## TWO THEOREMS ON TOPOLOGICAL LATTICES

## ALEXANDER DONIPHAN WALLACE

A topological lattice is a pair of continuous functions

$$\wedge: L \times L \to L, \quad \wedge: L \times L \to L$$

(L a Hausdorff space) satisfying the usual conditions for lattice operations. A set A is convex if  $x, y \in A$  and  $x \leq a \leq y$  implies  $a \in A$ . This is equivalent to  $A = (A \land L) \cap (A \lor L)$ .

After proving a separation theorem involving a convex set we show that a compact connected topological lattice is a cyclic chain in the sense of G. T. Whyburn and that each cyclic element is a convex sublattice. In doing so we rely on some results recently obtained by L. W. Anderson.

THEOREM 1. Let L be a connected topological lattice and let A be a convex set such that  $L \setminus A$  is not connected. Then  $L \setminus A$  is the union of the connected separated sets  $(A \wedge L) \setminus A$  and  $(A \vee L) \setminus A$  which are open (closed) if A is closed (open). If L is also compact then A is connected if it is either open or closed.

*Proof.* Let  $L \setminus A = U \cup V$  with  $U^* \cap V = \phi = U \cap V^*$  and let  $p \in U$ ,  $q \in V$ . The connected set  $(p \wedge L) \cup (q \wedge L)$  meets both U and V; hence it meets A. Adjust the notation so that  $(q \wedge L) \cap A \neq \phi$  and thus  $q \in A \setminus L$ . If  $(q \setminus L) \cap A \neq \phi$  then  $q \in A \cap L$  and hence  $q \in (A \cap L)$  $(A \lor L) = A$ . This being impossible we infer that  $(q \lor L) \cap A = \phi$ and  $q \in (A \lor L) \setminus A = (A \lor L) \setminus (A \land L)$ . The connected set  $(p \lor L) \cup A = (A \lor L) \setminus (A \land L)$  $(q \lor L)$  intersects U and V and so intersects A. But  $(q \lor L) \cap A = \phi$ so that  $(p \lor L) \cap A \neq \phi$  and hence  $p \in A \land L$ . Were  $(p \land L) \cap A \neq \phi$ we would also have  $p \in A \setminus L$  and so  $p \in A$ , a contradiction. Thus  $(p \land L) \cap A = \phi$  and  $p \in (A \lor L) \land A = (A \lor L) \land (A \land L)$ . Now take  $y \in V$ and suppose that y is not in  $A \vee L$  so that  $(y \wedge L) \cap A = \phi$ ; then  $(p \wedge L)$  $\bigcap A \neq \phi$  since  $(p \bigcap L) \bigcup (y \bigcap L)$  is a connected set meeting U and V. But this is contrary to the proven fact that  $(p \land L) \cap A = \phi$ . We conclude that  $V \subset (A \setminus L) \setminus A$  and, dually, that  $U \subset (A \setminus L) \setminus A$ . It follows that  $L=(A \land L) \cup (A \lor L)$ . Now  $x \in (A \lor L) \setminus A$  and  $x \in L \setminus V$  gives  $x \in U \subset (A \land L) \setminus A$  and this contradicts the convexity of A. Hence  $U=(A \land L) \land A$  and  $V=(A \lor L) \land A$ . To see that  $U \land L=U$  we need only note that  $x \in U$  gives  $(x \wedge L) \cap A = \phi$  and thus  $(x \wedge L) \cap V = \phi$  (since  $x \wedge L$ is connected and contains x) and hence  $x \wedge L \subset (A \wedge L) \setminus (A \vee L) = U$ .

Received August 6, 1956. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation.

Dually,  $V \wedge L = V$  and these equalities imply that U and V are connected. If A is closed (open) then U and V are open (closed). This completes the proof of the first sentence of the conclusion. If L is also compact then  $H^1(L)=0$  [3] so that (as is well known) L is unicoherent. But L is locally connected,  $L=(A \wedge L) \cup (A \vee L)$ , and the sets  $A \wedge L$  and  $A \vee L$  are connected, and open (closed) [1] if A is open (closed). Hence by a known result [2] we see that  $A=(A \wedge L) \cap (A \vee L)$  is connected.

We assume that the reader is familiar with the cyclic element theory of locally connected continua as given in [4]. We recall that a locally compact connected topological lattice is locally connected [1].

THEOREM 2. Let L be a compact connected metrizable topological lattice. Then L is a cyclic chain, each cyclic element of which is a convex sublattice. If L is topologically contained in the plane then each true cyclic element of L is 2-cell and L has the fixed-point property.

*Proof.* Let C be a true cyclic element of L, let  $x, y \in C$  with  $x \leq y$ and let  $p \in L$  such that  $x \leq p \leq y$ . If T is a maximal chain containing x, p, and y then T is an arc from 0 to 1, as is well known [1]. Hence the set  $[x, y] = \{t | t \in T \text{ and } x \leq t \leq y\}$  is an arc from x to y [1]. Since C is an A-set [4] we know that  $[x, y] \subset C$  and thus  $p \in C$ . Hence C is convex. Let D be the cyclic chain from 0 to 1, that is, Dis the smallest A-set containing 0 and 1 [4]. Then, by definition,  $T\subset$ D and if  $x \in L \setminus D$  then the maximal chain T' containing 0, x, 1 is an arc from 0 to 1 and thus  $T' \subset D$ , a contradiction. Hence D = L and L is the cyclic chain from 0 to 1. Let  $T_0$  be 0, 1 and all points which separate 0 and 1. Then L is the union of  $T_0$  and all true cyclic elements meeting  $T_0$  in two points [4]. Suppose that the true cyclic element C meets  $T_0$  in the cutpoints p and q. Note that neither 0 nor 1 is a cutpoint [3]. If z is a cutpoint then, since  $\{z\}$  is convex, L= $(z \wedge L) \cup (z \vee L)$  and thus z is comparable with each  $x \in L$ , by Theorem 1. We may assume that p < q. We will show that  $C = \{x | p \le x \le q\}$ . The convexity of C proves the containment " $\supset$ ". If  $x \in C$  and if, say,  $x \leq q$  is false then we have q < x. By Theorem 1,  $L \setminus q = ((q \land L) \setminus q)$  $\bigcup ((q \lor L) \lor q)$  is a separation and C meets both members, contrary to the fact that C is a true cyclic element [4]. Dually,  $x \leq p$  cannot be false, proving the containment "

of the desired equality. It follows that C is a convex sublattice. The cases p=0 or q=1 are treated similarly. The remaining results follow from the fact that H'(L)=0[3] so that L is a locally connected continuum [1] which does not cut the plane [4].

## REFERENCES

- 1. L. W. Anderson, Topological lattices, Tulane University dissertation (1956).
- 2. A. H. Stone, *Incidence relations in unicoherent spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **65** (1949) 427-447.
- 3. A. D. Wallace, Cohomology, dimension and mobs, Summa Bras. Math. 3 (1953) 43-54.
- 4. G. T. Whyburn, Analytic topology, New York, 1942.

THE TULANE UNIVERSITY OF LOUISIANA