## TESTS FOR PRIMALITY BASED ON SYLVESTERS CYCLOTOMIC NUMBERS

## MORGAN WARD

Introduction. Lucas, Carmichael [1] and others have given tests for primality of the Fermat and Mersenne numbers which utilize divisibility properties of the Lucas sequences (U) and (V); in this paper we are concerned only with the first sequence;

$$(U): U_0, U_1, U_2, \cdots, U_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}, \cdots$$

Here  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the roots of a suitably chosen quadratic polynomial  $x^2 - Px + Q$ , with P and Q coprime integers. (For an account of these tests, generalizations and references to the early literature, see Lehmer's Thesis [2]).

I develop here a test for primality of a less restrictive nature which utilizes a divisibility property of the Sylvester cyclotomic sequence [3]:

$$(Q): Q_0 = 0, \ Q_1 = 1, \ Q_2, \dots, \ Q_n = \prod_{\substack{1 \le r \le n \\ (r,n) = 1}} (\alpha - e^{\frac{2\pi i r}{n}} \beta), \dots$$

Here  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  have the same meaning as before. (U) and (Q) are closely connected [4]; in fact

$$(1.1) U_n = \prod_{d \mid n} Q_d \; .$$

The divisibility property is expressed by the following theorem proved in § 3 of this paper.

THEOREM. If m is an odd number dividing some cyclotomic number  $Q_n$  whose index n is prime to m, then every divisor of m greater than one has the same rank of apparition n in the Lucas sequence (U) connected with (Q).

Here the rank of apparition or rank, of any number d in (U) means as usual the least positive index x such that  $U_x \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ .

The following primality test is an immediate corollary.

Primality test. If m is odd, greater than two, and divides some cyclotomic number  $Q_n$  whose index n is both prime to m and greater than the square root of m, then m is a prime number except in two trivial cases:  $m = (n - 1)^2$ , n - 1 a prime greater than 3, or  $m = n^2 - 1$  with n - 1 and n + 1 both primes.

Received January 14, 1959.

The primality tests of Lucas and Carmichael are the special case when  $n = m \pm 1$  is a power of two (which allows  $Q_n$  to be expressed in terms of  $V_n$ ) with  $X^2 - Px + Q$  suitably specialized.

2. Notations. We denote the rational field by R, and the ring of rational integers by I. The polynomial

(2.1) 
$$f(x) = x^2 - Px + Q$$
,  $P$ ,  $Q$ , in  $I$  and co-prime

is assumed to have distinct roots  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

We denote the root field of f(x) by  $\mathscr{A}$  and the ring of its integers by  $\mathscr{I}$ . Thus  $\mathscr{A}$  is either R itself, or a simple quadratic extension of R.

Let p be an odd prime of I, and p a prime ideal factor of p in  $\mathscr{I}$ . Every element  $\rho$  of  $\mathscr{A}$  may be put in the form  $\rho = \alpha/a$  with  $\alpha$ in  $\mathscr{I}$  and a in I. The totality of such  $\rho$  with (a, p) = 1 forms a subring  $\mathscr{I}_p$  of  $\mathscr{A}$ . Evidently  $\mathscr{A} \supset \mathscr{I}_p \supset \mathscr{I} \supseteq I$ . If we extend p into  $\mathscr{I}_p$ in the obvious way, we obtain a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{P}$ . The homomorphic image of  $\mathscr{I}_p$  modulo  $\mathfrak{P}$  is a field,  $\mathscr{I}_p$ . We denote the mapping of  $\mathscr{I}_p$  onto  $\mathscr{I}_p$  by  $(\mathfrak{P})$ .

Let  $F_n(z)$  denote the cyclotomic polynomial of degree  $\phi(n)$ .  $F_n(z)$  has coefficients in *I*, and if *n* is greater than one, then (Lehmer [2], Carmichael [1])

(2.2) 
$$Q_n = \beta^{\phi(n)} F_n\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right),$$

Furthermore

3. Proof of theorem. Let m be an odd number greater than one which divides some term of (Q) whose index n is prime to m, so that

(3.1) 
$$Q_n \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$$
,  $(n, m) = 1$ .

Throughout the next three lemmas, p stands for a fixed prime factor of m.

LEMMA 1. If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is any ideal factor of p in  $\mathscr{I}$ , then (3.2)  $(Q, p) = (\alpha, \mathfrak{p}) = (\beta, \mathfrak{p}) = (1)$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that (Q, p) = (1). Assume the contrary. Then (p, P) = 1. Since  $U_1 = 1$  and  $U_{x+2} = PU_{x+1} - QU_x \equiv PU_{x+1} \pmod{p}$ , it follows by induction that  $U_n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Then by (1.1),  $Q_n \not\equiv 0$ 

1270

(mod p). But p divides m so that by (3.1)  $Q_n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  a contradiction.

LEMMA 2. The rank of apparition of p in (U) is n.

*Proof.* Since  $U_n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , p has a positive rank of apparition in (U), r say. Then r divides n. But by (1.1),  $U_r = \prod_{a|n} Q_a$ . Hence  $Q_a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for some d dividing both r and n. Clearly, if d = n, then r = n and we are finished. Assume that d is less than n.

The number  $\alpha/\beta = \alpha^2/Q$  is in  $\mathscr{I}_p$  by Lemma 1. Let  $\tau$  be its image in  $\mathscr{F}_p$  under the mapping ( $\mathfrak{P}$ ). Then by (2.2) and Lemma 1  $F_n(\tau) = F_d(\tau) = 0$  in  $\mathscr{F}_p$ . Consequently the resultant of the polynomials  $F_n(z)$ and  $F_d(z)$  is zero in  $\mathscr{F}_p$ . Therefore its inverse image under the mapping is in  $\mathfrak{P}$ . But this resultant is evidently in *I*. Therefore it must be divisible by *p*. But by formula (2.3), since d < n the resultant of  $F_n(z)$  and  $F_d(z)$  must divide the discriminant  $\pm n^{n-1}$  of  $z^n - 1$ . Thus  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  so that  $(n, m) \equiv 0 \mod p$  which contradicts (3.1) and completes the proof.

LEMMA 3. The rank of apparition in (U) of any positive power of p which divides m is n.

*Proof.* Let  $p^k$  divide  $m, k \ge 1$  and let the rank of  $p^k$  in (U) be r. Now  $U_n = \prod_{d \mid n} Q_d \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$ . But by Lemma 2, each  $Q_d$  with d < n is prime to p. Hence r must equal n.

The theorem proper now follows easily. For let m' be any divisor of m other than one. By Lemma 3, every prime power dividing m' has rank of apparition n in (U). But the rank of apparition of m' in (U)is the least common multiple of the ranks of the prime powers of maximal order diving m'. (Carmichael [1]). Hence m' also has rank of apparition n in (U).

4. Proof of primality test. Assume that (3.1) holds for some n greater than  $\sqrt{m}$ . If m is not a prime, it has a prime factor  $\leq \sqrt{m}$ . Let p be the smallest such factor, and let

$$(4.1) m = pq , q \ge 3 .$$

Then p has rank n in (U) by Lemma 3. But by a classical result of Lucas,  $U_{p\pm 1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Hence n divides  $p \pm 1$ . If n is less than p+1,  $\sqrt{m} , a contradiction. Hence <math>n = p+1$ . If  $p = \sqrt{m}$ , then  $m = (n-1)^2$  and n-1 is a prime. Since m is odd,  $n \ge 4$ . This is the first trivial case.

If  $p < \sqrt{m}$ , then  $q \ge p+2$  and  $m \ge p(p+2)$ . But if m > p(p+2),

## MORGAN WARD

then  $n^2 > m \ge (p+1)^2 = n^2$ , a contradiction. Hence m = p(p+2) where p+2 has no prime factor smaller than p. Hence p+2 is a prime and  $m = n^2 - 1$  with both n-1 and n+1 primes. This is the second trivial case. In every other case then, m must be a prime.

5. Conclusion. The two trivial cases can actually occur. For if P = 22 and Q = 3, then  $Q_6 = \alpha^2 - \alpha\beta + \beta^2 = P^2 - 3Q = 475$ . Hence  $Q_6 \equiv 0 \pmod{25}$  and  $25 = (6-1)^2$ . Again, if P = 17 and Q = 3, then  $Q_6 = 280$ . Hence  $Q_6 \equiv 0 \pmod{35}$  and  $35 = 6^2 - 1 = 5 \times 7$ . It is worth noting that these trivial cases cannot occur if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are rational integers. (See [1], Theorem XII and remark.)

To illustrate the theorem, note that if P = 2 and Q = 1,  $Q_9 = 73$ . Since  $\sqrt{73} < 9$  and (9, 73) = 1, 73 is a prime. But for P = 3 and Q = 1,  $Q_9 = 91$ . But  $9 < \sqrt{91}$  so the test is inapplicable. As a matter of fact, 91 is the product of two primes. Evidently the test may be extended to cover such a case. That is, if  $Q_n \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ , (n, m) = 1 and  $n > \sqrt[3]{m}$ , m will usually be either a prime, or the product of two primes.

## References

1. R. D. Carmichael, On the numerical factors of arithmetic forms, Ann. of Math., 15 (1913-14), 30-70.

2. D. H. Lehmer, An extended theory of Lucas functions, Ann. of Math. 31 (1930), 419-448.

3. J. J. Sylvester, On certain ternary cubic form equations, Amer. J. Math. 2 (1879), 357-83.

4. Morgan Ward, The mappings of the positive integers into themselves which preserve division, Pacific J. Math. 5 (1955), 1013-1023.

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY