

## A COMBINATORIAL PROBLEM IN THE SYMMETRIC GROUP

OSCAR ROTH AUS AND JOHN G. THOMPSON

If  $G$  is a group and  $T$  is a nonempty subset of  $G$ , we say that  $T$  divides  $G$  if and only if  $G$  contains a subset  $S$  such that every element of  $G$  has a unique representation as  $ts$  with  $t$  in  $T$ ,  $s$  in  $S$ , in which case we write  $T \cdot S = G$ . We study the case where  $G$  is  $\Sigma_n$ , the symmetric group on  $n$  symbols and  $T$  is the set consisting of the identity and all transpositions in  $\Sigma_n$ .

The problem may be given a combinatorial setting as follows: For  $x, y$  in  $\Sigma_n$ , let  $d(x, y)$  be the minimum number of transpositions needed to write  $xy^{-1}$ . One verifies that  $d$  converts  $\Sigma_n$  into a metric space, and that  $T$  divides  $\Sigma_n$  if and only if  $\Sigma_n$  can be covered by disjoint closed spheres of radius one.

We use the irreducible characters of  $\Sigma_n$ , together with judiciously selected permutation representations of  $\Sigma_n$ , to prove the following result.

**THEOREM.** If  $1 + (n(n-1))/2$  is divisible by a prime exceeding  $\sqrt{n} + 2$ , then  $T$  does not divide  $\Sigma_n$ .

The proof depends on properties of  $\Sigma_n$  (see [1] and [2], pp. 190–193).

If  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_s$  are the parts of the partition  $\sigma$  in decreasing order and  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_t$  are the parts of the partition  $\tau$  in decreasing order, we write  $\sigma > \tau$  provided the first nonvanishing difference  $\lambda_i - \mu_i$  is positive. We say that  $\sigma$  dominates  $\tau$  provided  $\lambda_i - \mu_i \geq 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . Let  $\sigma'$  be the conjugate partition to  $\sigma$  with parts  $\lambda'_1 \geq \lambda'_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda'_{s'}$ , and set

$$\pi(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{\lambda_i(\lambda_i - 1)}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{s'} \frac{\lambda'_i(\lambda'_i - 1)}{2}.$$

The function  $\pi$  has a simple interpretation. Namely, in the dot diagram of  $\sigma$ , the number of unordered pairs of dots in a common row minus the number of unordered pairs of dots in a common column equals  $\pi(\sigma)$ . However, it will become apparent that  $\pi(\sigma)$  has a group theoretic interpretation too.

**LEMMA 1.** If  $\sigma$  dominates  $\tau$ , and  $\sigma \neq \tau$  then  $\pi(\sigma) > \pi(\tau)$ .

*Proof.* Let the parts of  $\sigma$  be  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_s$  and those of  $\tau$  be  $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_t$ . By the hypotheses, we may suppose that  $\lambda_1 = \mu_1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = \mu_2$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\lambda_{r-1} = \mu_{r-1}$ ,  $\lambda_r > \mu_r$  and  $\lambda_{r+1} \geq \mu_{r+1}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\lambda_s \geq \mu_s$ , for some

integer  $r$  less than  $s$ . A straightforward computation shows that  $\pi(\tau)$  increases if  $\mu_r$  is increased by 1 and  $\mu_t$  is decreased by 1. With this observation made, the result is clear.

For any subset  $A$  of  $\Sigma_n$ ,  $\dot{A}$  denotes the sum of the elements of  $A$  in the group algebra of  $\Sigma_n$  (over the rationals), while

$$\dot{A} = \sum_{a \in A} sg(a) \cdot a .$$

LEMMA 2. *If  $R = R_\sigma$  is the irreducible representation of  $\Sigma_n$  associated to the partition  $\sigma$ , then  $R(\dot{T})$  is singular if and only if  $\pi(\sigma) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\dot{T}$  is in the center of the group algebra,  $R(\dot{T})$  is a scalar matrix, say  $(1 + c)I = R(\dot{T})$ . Thus,  $R(\dot{T})$  is singular if and only if  $c = -1$ . Let  $Y$  be a Young tableau associated to  $\sigma$ , that is, the dot diagram of  $\sigma$  with a label on each dot, the labels coming from and exhausting the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $A$  be the subgroup of  $\Sigma_n$  permuting the columns of  $Y$  and  $B$  the subgroup of  $\Sigma_n$  permuting the rows of  $Y$ , and let

$$E = \frac{\dot{A}}{|A|} \cdot \frac{\ddot{B}}{|B|} .$$

Then  $E$  is a primitive idempotent and has the property that  $R_\tau(E) = 0$  for  $\tau \neq \sigma$ . We have  $R_\sigma(E)R_\sigma(\dot{T}) = (1 + c)R_\sigma(E)$ . As  $E$  vanishes in each  $R_\tau$  with  $\tau \neq \sigma$ , we have trivially,  $R_\tau(E) \cdot R_\tau(\dot{T}) = (1 + c)R_\tau(E)$  for all  $\tau \neq \sigma$ . Hence

$$(4) \quad E \cdot \dot{T} = (1 + c)E .$$

Let  $T_0$  be the set of transpositions in  $\Sigma_n$ . Then (4) implies

$$(5) \quad E \cdot \dot{T}_0 = cE .$$

Since  $A \cap B = 1$ , to determine  $c$ , it suffices to determine the multiplicity (i.e., coefficient) of 1 in  $E \cdot \dot{T}_0$ . It follows readily that  $c = \Sigma sg(b)$ , the summation ranging over all triples  $(a, b, t)$  with  $a$  in  $A$ ,  $b$  in  $B$ ,  $t$  in  $T_0$ , such that  $abt = 1$ . Since  $abt = 1$  if and only if  $ab = t$ , it is easy to see that whenever  $abt = 1$ , then either  $t \in A$  or  $t \in B$ . Hence,  $c = -\pi(\sigma)$ , as required.

In the following discussion,  $\sigma, Y, A, B, E$  have the same meaning as above.

We next consider a family of permutation representations of  $\Sigma_n$ . Let  $X$  be a Young tableau for the partition  $\tau$  and let  $C$  be the subgroup permuting the columns of  $X$ . Then  $P_\tau$  denotes the permutation representation of  $\Sigma_n$  on the cosets of  $C$ . Thus, for  $x$  in  $\Sigma_n$ ,

$P_\tau(x): Cg \rightarrow Cgx$ . It is clear that  $P_\tau$  depends only on  $\tau$  and not on  $X$ . As is customary, we view  $P_\tau$  as a representation of the group algebra.

LEMMA 3. *If  $\sigma > \tau$ , then  $R_\sigma$  is not a constituent of  $P_\tau$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $E$  is a primitive idempotent,  $tr(P_\tau(E))$  is the multiplicity of  $R_\sigma$  in  $P_\tau$ . Consider a coset  $Cg$ . A contribution to  $tr(P_\tau(E))$  occurs each time  $Cgab = Cg$  with  $a$  in  $A$ ,  $b$  in  $B$ , the contribution being

$$\frac{sg(b)}{|A| \cdot |B|}.$$

Thus, from the coset  $Cg$ , we get

$$\frac{\sum sg(b)}{|A| \cdot |B|},$$

the summation being over those pairs  $(a, b)$  with  $a$  in  $A$ ,  $b$  in  $B$  and  $ab$  in  $g^{-1}Cg$ . As  $\sigma > \tau$ , it is easy to verify that there is a row of  $Y$  which has at least two symbols in common with some column of  $Xg$ , that is,  $B \cap g^{-1}Cg$  contains a transposition  $t = t(g)$ . This implies that whenever a pair  $(a, b)$  occurs in the above summation, so does the pair  $(a, bt)$ , so  $tr(P_\tau(E)) = 0$ , as required.

Now let  $p$  be a prime divisor of  $1 + (n(n - 1))/2$  with  $p \geq \sqrt{n} + 2$ . Let  $n = (p - 1)q + r$  with  $0 \leq r < p - 1$ . Hence  $q < p - 2$ . Let  $\tau$  be the partition of  $n$  with  $r$  parts equal to  $q + 1$  and  $p - 1 - r$  parts equal to  $q$ . We see that  $\tau'$  has  $q$  parts equal to  $p - 1$  and one part equal to  $r$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\tau) &= \frac{(q + 1)q}{2}r + \frac{q(q - 1)}{2}(p - 1 - r) \\ &\quad - \left\{ \frac{(p - 1)(p - 2)}{2}q + \frac{r(r - 1)}{2} \right\} \\ &= \frac{q(p - 1)}{2} \{q + 1 - (p - 2)\} - \frac{r(r - 1)}{2} - q(p - 1 - r). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $q + 1 \leq p - 2$ , it follows that  $\pi(\tau) < -1$ .

By Lemma 3, if  $R_\sigma$  is a constituent of  $P_\tau$ , then  $\sigma \leq \tau$ . The structure of  $\tau$  now yields that whenever  $\sigma \leq \tau$ , then  $\tau$  dominates  $\sigma$ .

By Lemma 1,  $\pi(\sigma) \leq \pi(\tau) < -1$ , and hence by Lemma 2,  $R_\sigma(\dot{T})$  is nonsingular. Thus  $P_\tau(\dot{T})$  is nonsingular.

Let  $d = d_\tau$  be the degree of  $P_\tau$ . Since  $d = |\Sigma_n : C|$ , we see that  $d$  is divisible by the same power of  $p$  as  $|\Sigma_n|$ , since  $|C| = (p - 1)!^q r!$  is prime to  $p$ . Now suppose  $T \cdot U = \Sigma_n$ . Then  $P_\tau(\dot{T})P_\tau(U) = P_\tau(\dot{\Sigma}_n)$ .

It is clear that  $P_\tau(\dot{\Sigma}_n)$  is the matrix with  $|C|$  in every entry, so is of rank 1. Since  $P_\tau(\dot{T})^{-1}$  is a polynomial in  $P_\tau(\dot{T})$ , and since  $P_\tau(\dot{\Sigma}_n) = P_\tau(\dot{x})P_\tau(\dot{\Sigma}_n)$  for all  $x$  in  $\Sigma_n$ , it follows that  $P_\tau(\dot{U}) = aP_\tau(\dot{\Sigma}_n)$  for some rational number  $a$ . This implies that  $a(1 + (n(n-1))/2) = 1$ , so that

$$P_\tau(\dot{U}) = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} P_\tau(\dot{\Sigma}_n)$$

does not have integral entries, which is a contradiction, since  $P_\tau(\dot{U})$  is a sum of  $|U|$  permutation matrices.

REMARK 1. The integers 1, 2, 3, 6, 91, 137, 733 and 907 are the only integers less than 1,000 which fail to satisfy the theorem.

REMARK 2. As the referee has noted, essentially the same proof yields: If  $(n(n-1))/2$  is divisible by a prime exceeding  $\sqrt{n} + 2$ , then  $T_0$  does not divide  $\Sigma_n$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. D. E. Littlewood, *The theory of group characters*, Oxford at the Clarendon Press.
2. B. L. van der Waerden, *Modern algebra*, Vol. II.

Received December 22, 1964.

INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSES  
 PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY  
 UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO