

## THE INVERSION OF A CLASS OF LINER OPERATORS

JAMES A. DYER

Let  $\bar{Q}_L$  denote the set of all quasi-continuous number valued functions on a number interval  $[a, b]$  which vanish at  $a$  and are left continuous at each point of  $(a, b]$ . Every linear operator,  $\mathcal{L}$ , on  $\bar{Q}_L$  which is continuous relative to the sup norm topology for  $\bar{Q}_L$  has a unique representation of the form  $\mathcal{L}f(s) = \int_a^b f(t)dL(t, s)$ ,  $f \in \bar{Q}_L$ ,  $a \leq s \leq b$ , where all integrals are taken in the  $\sigma$ -mean Stieltjes sense, and  $L$  is a function on the square  $a \leq t \leq b$ , satisfying the conditions of Definition 1.2. This paper is concerned primarily with those linear operators, the  $P$ -operators, which are abstractions from that class of linear physical systems whose output signals at a given time do not depend on their input signals at a later time; and with a sub-family of the  $P$ -operators, the  $P_1$ -operators which include all stationary linear operators. The  $P$ -operators are the Volterra operators on  $\bar{Q}_L$ . Necessary conditions and sufficient conditions for a  $P$ -operator to have an inverse which is a  $P$ -operator are found; and a necessary and sufficient condition for a  $P_1$ -operator to have an inverse which is a  $P$ -operator is given in Theorem 3.1. In addition it is shown that if  $\mathcal{L}$  is a  $P_1$ -operator and  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  is a  $P$ -operator then  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  may be written as the product of two operators whose generating functions may be found by successive approximation techniques. An analogue of Lane's inversion theorem for stationary operators on  $QC_{OL}$  is found as a special case of these results.

In [1] subspaces of the space of functions which are quasi-continuous on an interval  $[a, b]$  for which every linear operator  $\mathcal{L}$  may be written as a  $\sigma$ -mean Stieltjes integral of the form  $\mathcal{L}f(s) = \int_a^b f(t)dL(t, s)$  are investigated. In this paper we will be concerned with one such subspace,  $\bar{Q}_L$ , and with a class of linear operators on  $\bar{Q}_L$ , the  $P$ -operators, which are essentially the abstractions from that class of linear physical systems whose output signals at a given time do not depend on their input signals at a later time. In particular we shall be concerned with determining conditions which will guarantee that a  $P$ -operator has an inverse which is a  $P$ -operator.

In § 2 some of the basic properties of  $P$ -operators are developed and in § 3 a subfamily of these operators, the  $P_1$ -operators, are introduced. The  $P_1$ -operators have the property that if a  $P_1$ -operator,  $\mathcal{H}$ , has an inverse which is a  $P$ -operator then the generating function for  $\mathcal{H}^{-1}$  may be determined by successive approximation techniques. In

Theorem 3.1 necessary and sufficient conditions for a  $P_1$ -operator to have an inverse which is a  $P$ -operator are given. The inversion theorems obtained in § 2 and § 3 are related to some of Lane's results on stationary linear operators ([3] and [4]), and this relationship is also discussed in § 3. The author is grateful to the referee for his comments and suggestions in connection with this paper.

1. **Preliminary theorems.** In the main body of this paper it will be assumed that  $[a, b]$  is a given number interval and that the statement " $f$  is a left-continuous function on  $[a, b]$ " means that  $f$  is a quasi-continuous function on  $[a, b]$ ; i.e.  $f$  is the limit of a uniformly convergent sequence of step functions on  $[a, b]$ ; and  $f$  is left-continuous at each point of  $(a, b)$ . All integrals referred to will be  $\sigma$ -mean Stieltjes integrals and the reader is referred to [2] or [5] for a definition. We will need the following lemma which is a trivial consequence of Corollaries 1.1 and 1.2 of [5] and the definition of the  $\sigma$ -mean Stieltjes integral.

LEMMA 1.1. *Suppose  $f$  is a bounded function on  $[a, b]$  and  $g$  a function of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$ . If  $\int_a^b f dg$  exists then*

$$\int_a^b |f(t)| d[V_{\xi=a}^t g(\xi)]$$

*exists and*

$$\left| \int_a^b f dg \right| \leq \int_a^b |f(t)| d[V_{\xi=a}^t g(\xi)].$$

The symbol  $\bar{Q}_L$  will denote the set of functions on  $[a, b]$  to which a function  $f$  belongs if, and only if,  $f$  is left-continuous on  $[a, b]$  and  $f(a) = 0$ . If  $f$  is in  $\bar{Q}_L$  then the norm of  $f$  is taken to be  $\sup |f(s)|$  for  $s$  in  $[a, b]$ . It follows immediately from the properties of quasi-continuous functions that  $\bar{Q}_L$  is a Banach space. The following additional definitions will also be used.

DEFINITION 1.1. Suppose  $\bar{t}$  is a number,  $a \leq \bar{t} < b$ . The statement that  $\tau_{\bar{t}}$  is a test function means that  $\tau_{\bar{t}}$  is that function in  $\bar{Q}_L$  defined by  $\tau_{\bar{t}}(s) = -J_L(s - \bar{t})$ ,  $a \leq s \leq b$ , where  $J_L$  denotes the function defined by  $J_L(s) = 0$ ,  $s \leq 0$ ,  $J_L(s) = 1$ ,  $s > 0$ .

It is clear that the span of the set of all test functions is dense in  $\bar{Q}_L$ .

DEFINITION 1.2. The statement that  $A$  is a generating function means that  $A$  is a function on the square  $a \leq \frac{t}{s} \leq b$  and that

- (i)  $A(b, s) = 0, a \leq s \leq b,$
- (ii) for each number  $\bar{s}, a \leq \bar{s} \leq b, A(t, \bar{s})$  is of bounded variation on  $[a, b],$
- (iii) for each number  $\bar{t}, a \leq \bar{t} < b, 1/2[A(\bar{t}, s) + A(\bar{t}+, s)]$  is in  $\bar{Q}_L,$  and
- (iv) there exists a positive number  $M,$  such that if  $\bar{s}$  is in  $[a, b], V_{t=a}^b A(t, \bar{s}) \leq M.$  The smallest such number  $M$  will be denoted by  $V_A.$  It is clear from this definition that any finite linear combination of generating functions is also a generating function.

If  $A$  is a generating function, then  $\bar{A}$  will denote the function defined by

$$\bar{A}(t, s) = \begin{cases} A(b, s) & t = b, \quad a \leq s \leq b \\ \frac{1}{2}[A(t, s) + A(t+, s)], & a \leq t < b, \quad a \leq s \leq b. \end{cases}$$

While the space  $\bar{Q}_L$  introduced here differs from the space  $Q_L$  studied in [1], it is easy to show that the basic results of [1] can also be developed for the space  $\bar{Q}_L.$  In particular, Theorem 1.1, stated here without proof, can be established by the same techniques used for the analogous theorem in [1].

For the purposes of this paper, it will be assumed that operator means a continuous mapping whose domain is  $\bar{Q}_L$  and whose range is a subset of  $\bar{Q}_L.$  The statement that an operator,  $\mathcal{A},$  has an inverse will mean that the mapping inverse to  $\mathcal{A}$  is an operator.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *If  $A$  is a generating function then there exists a linear operator,  $\mathcal{A},$  on  $\bar{Q}_L$  such that if  $s$  is in  $[a, b]$  and  $f$  is in  $\bar{Q}_L$  then  $\mathcal{A}f(s) = \int_a^b f(t)dA(t, s),$  with  $\|\mathcal{A}\| \leq V_A.$  Conversely if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a linear operator on  $\bar{Q}_L$  then  $\mathcal{B}$  admits a representation of this type for some generating function,  $B,$  with  $V_B \leq 3 \|\mathcal{B}\|.$  Furthermore  $B$  is unique.*

**COROLLARY 1.11.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is a linear operator on  $\bar{Q}_L,$  and that  $A$  is the generating function for  $\mathcal{A}.$  If  $\bar{t}$  is a number such that  $a \leq \bar{t} < b,$  and  $\tau_i$  is a test function then  $\mathcal{A}\tau_i(s) = \bar{A}(\bar{t}, s), a \leq s \leq b.$*

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.1,  $\mathcal{A}\tau_i(s) = \int_a^b \tau_i(\xi)dA(\xi, s) = \bar{A}(\bar{t}, s).$

It follows from this corollary that the generating function,  $I,$  for the identity operator,  $\mathcal{I},$  on  $\bar{Q}_L$  is given by  $I(t, s) = -J_L(s - t), a \leq \frac{t}{s} \leq b.$  Also, if each of  $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L},$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  is a linear operator on  $\bar{Q}_L,$  with generating functions  $K, L,$  and  $M$  respectively, and  $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M}$

then  $\bar{M}(t, s) = \int_a^b \bar{L}(t, \xi) dK(\xi, s)$ ,  $a \leq t \leq b$ .

## 2. P-operators.

DEFINITION 2.1. Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is a linear operator on  $\bar{Q}_L$ . The statement that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $P$ -operator means that if  $f$  is in  $\bar{Q}_L$ , and has the property that for some number  $c$ ,  $a < c < b$ ,  $f(t) = 0$ ,  $t \leq c$  then  $\mathcal{A}f(s) = 0$ ,  $s \leq c$ .

It follows immediately from the definition that the identity operator,  $\mathcal{I}$ , is a  $P$ -operator; and that sums and products of  $P$ -operators are  $P$ -operators. A more interesting result however is:

THEOREM 2.1. Suppose  $\mathcal{K}$  is a linear operator with generating function  $K$ . A necessary and sufficient condition that  $\mathcal{K}$  be a  $P$ -operator is that  $K(t, s) = 0$ ,  $a \leq t \leq b$ ,  $a \leq s \leq t$ .

*Proof.* Since  $K(t, s) = 2\bar{K}(t, s) - \bar{K}(t+, s)$ ,  $a \leq t < b$ ,  $a \leq s \leq b$ , the necessity of this condition follows Corollary 1.11 and the definition of a test function.

Conversely, if  $K(t, s) = 0$ ,  $a \leq t \leq b$ ,  $a \leq s \leq t$  then  $\bar{K}(t, s) = 0$ ,  $a \leq t \leq b$ ,  $a \leq s \leq t$ , and by Corollary 1.11  $\mathcal{K}\tau_i(s) = 0$ ,  $a \leq s \leq \bar{t}$ . If  $g$  is in  $\bar{Q}_L$ , and for some number  $k$ ,  $a < k < b$ ,  $g(t) = 0$ ,  $t \leq k$ , then any sequence of linear combinations of test functions which converges to  $g$  need contain only test functions  $\tau_i$  for which  $\bar{t} \geq k$ , therefore  $\mathcal{K}g(s) = 0$ ,  $s \leq k$ , and  $\mathcal{K}$  is a  $P$ -operator.

From Theorem 2.1 and the properties of the mean integral, it is clear that if  $\mathcal{K}$  is a  $P$ -operator with generating function  $K$  and  $f$  is in  $\bar{Q}_L$  then  $\mathcal{K}f(s) = \int_a^s f(t) dK(t, s)$ ,  $a \leq s \leq b$ ; or in other words, a  $P$ -operator is an operator of Volterra type.

Throughout the remainder of this section it will be assumed that  $\mathcal{K}$  denotes a  $P$ -operator with generating function  $K$ . If  $\mathcal{K}$  has an inverse then the generating function for  $\mathcal{K}^{-1}$  will be denoted by  $K^{(-1)}$ .

The remaining theorems of this section are concerned with necessary conditions and sufficient conditions for  $\mathcal{K}$  to have an inverse which is a  $P$ -operator.

THEOREM 2.2. Suppose there exists a number  $\bar{t}$ ,  $a \leq \bar{t} < b$ , such that  $\mathcal{K}\tau_i(\bar{t}+) = \bar{K}(\bar{t}, \bar{t}+) = 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}$  does not have an inverse which is a  $P$ -operator.

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{K}$  has an inverse then  $\mathcal{K}^{-1}\mathcal{K}\tau_i(\bar{t}+) = -1$ . If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a  $P$ -operator then  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}\tau_i(s) = \int_i^s \mathcal{K}\tau_i(\xi) dL(\xi, s)$ . Hence from

Lemma 1.1,  $|\mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}\tau_i(s)| \leq \sup_{\xi \in [\bar{t}, s]} |\mathcal{K}\tau_i(\xi)| V_L$ , and  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}\tau_i(\bar{t}+) = 0$ .

If  $\bar{t}$  in Theorem 2.2 is  $a$ , then  $\mathcal{K}$  not only does not have an inverse which is a  $P$ -operator,  $\mathcal{K}$  does not have an inverse. This follows because  $\mathcal{K}\tau_a(a+) = 0$  implies that for any  $f \in Q_L$ ,  $\mathcal{K}f(a+) = 0$ , since for any  $P$ -operator  $\mathcal{K}$ ,  $\mathcal{K}\tau_i(a+) = 0$ ,  $a < \bar{t} < b$ . If  $\bar{t}$  is not  $a$  then  $\mathcal{K}$  may have an inverse. As an example, suppose that  $[a, b]$  is  $[0, 1]$  and let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the  $P$ -operator defined by:

$$\mathcal{L}\tau_t = \tau_{(3/2)t}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1/2; \quad \mathcal{L}\tau_t = \tau_{(1/2)(t+1)}, \quad 1/2 < t < 1.$$

Here,  $\mathcal{L}\tau_i(t+) = 0$ ,  $0 < t < 1$ . However,  $\mathcal{L}$  has an inverse,  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  being the linear operator defined by:

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\tau_t = \tau_{(2/3)t}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \frac{3}{4}; \quad \mathcal{L}^{-1}\tau_t = \tau_{2t-1}, \quad \frac{3}{4} < t < 1.$$

$\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  is clearly not a  $P$ -operator.

If  $\mathcal{K}$  is a  $P$ -operator whose generating function has the property that for some number  $\bar{t}$ ,  $a \leq \bar{t} < b$  and every positive number  $c$ , there exists a positive number  $d$  such that if  $s$  is in  $(\bar{t}, \bar{t} + d)$ ,  $V_{\xi=\bar{t}}^s K(\xi, s) < c$ , then  $\mathcal{K}\tau_i(\bar{t}+) = 0$ . This follows from Lemma 1.1 since

$$|\mathcal{K}\tau_i(s)| = \left| \int_{\bar{t}}^s \tau_i(\xi) dK(\xi, s) \right|, \quad \bar{t} \leq s \leq b.$$

This condition will be needed in § 3.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{U}$  is an operator on  $\bar{Q}_L$  whose generating function has the property that for some number  $h$ ,*

$$0 < h < b - a, \quad U(t, s) = 0, \quad a \leq s \leq b, \quad s - h \leq t \leq b.$$

*Then  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{I} - \mathcal{U}$  has an inverse and  $\mathcal{L}^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \mathcal{U}^n$ , where  $p$  is the smallest integer such that  $a + ph \geq b$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $g$  is in  $\bar{Q}_L$ . Since  $U(t, s) = 0$ ,  $a \leq s \leq b$ ,  $s - h \leq t \leq b$ , it follows that

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{U}g(s) = \begin{cases} 0, & a \leq s \leq a + h \\ \int_a^{s-h} g(t) dU(t, s), & a + h < s \leq b. \end{cases}$$

By successive applications of equation (1) it can be shown that if  $q$  is an integer,  $q \geq p$ , then  $\mathcal{U}^q g(s) = 0$ ,  $a \leq s \leq b$ . The theorem then follows.

It should be noted that the hypothesis on  $\mathcal{U}$  implies that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a  $P$ -operator. Hence  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  are  $P$ -operators.

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Suppose that  $K$  has the property that there exists a number  $k$ ,  $0 < k < b - a$ , such that*

- (i)  $K(s - k, s)$  is a left-continuous function on  $[a + k, b]$ , and
- (ii) the  $P$ -operator,  $\mathcal{A}$ , generated by

$$A(t, s) = \begin{cases} K(s - k, s), & a + k < s \leq b, a \leq t \leq s - k \\ K(t, s), & a \leq s \leq a + k, a \leq t \leq b \text{ or} \\ & a + k < s \leq b, s - k \leq t \leq b \end{cases}$$

has an inverse.

Then  $\mathcal{K}$  has an inverse, and  $\mathcal{K}^{-1}$  is a  $P$ -operator if, and only if,  $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$  is a  $P$ -operator.

*Proof.* There exists a unique operator  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{K}$ . From Theorem 1.1 and its corollary it then follows that if  $\bar{t}$  is a number in  $[a, b]$  then  $\bar{L}(\bar{t}, s)$  is the unique solution in  $\bar{Q}_L$  to the integral equation

$$\bar{K}(\bar{t}, s) = \int_a^s f(\bar{t}, \xi) dA(\xi, s), \quad a \leq s \leq b.$$

By direct computation it can be seen that

$$\bar{L}(\bar{t}, s) = -J_L(s - \bar{t}) = L(\bar{t}, s), \quad \text{if } a \leq s \leq a + k, a \leq \bar{t} \leq b,$$

or  $a + k < s \leq b, s - k \leq \bar{t} \leq b$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{L}$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3 and  $\mathcal{L}$  has an inverse. Therefore  $\mathcal{K}^{-1} = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathcal{A}^{-1}$ . The remaining assertions follow immediately since  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  are  $P$ -operators. This completes the proof.

If there exists an integer  $q$  such that  $\|\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{A}\|^q < 1$ , then  $K$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.4, and in this case, for each number  $t$  in  $[a, b]$   $\bar{A}^{(-1)}$  is the successive approximations solution to the integral equation

$$-J_L(s - t) = g(t, s) - \int_a^s g(t, \xi) d[-J_L(s - \xi) - A(\xi, s)], \quad a \leq t \leq b,$$

and  $\bar{L}$  is the successive approximations solution to

$$\bar{K}(t, s) = f(t, s) - \int_a^s f(t, \xi) d[-J_L(s - \xi) - A(\xi, s)], \quad a \leq t \leq b;$$

the approximating sequences converging in both cases uniformly in  $s$  on  $[a, b]$  for each number  $t$  in  $[a, b]$ . Consideration of the approximating sequence for  $\bar{A}^{(-1)}$  shows that in this case  $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$ , and hence  $\mathcal{K}^{-1}$ , is a  $P$ -operator. In particular, if it is true that

$$(2) \quad V_{t=a}^b[-J_L(s-t) - A(t,s)] \leq M < 1, \quad a \leq s \leq b,$$

it can be shown that these two approximating sequences are in fact uniformly convergent on the square  $a \leq \frac{t}{s} \leq b$ .

If  $K$  is right continuous in  $t$  for each number  $s$  in  $[a, b]$  and  $A$  satisfies equation (2) one would have usable computational techniques for the determination of  $\bar{K}^{(-1)}$ , since if  $K$  has this right continuity property then so does  $A$ . It can then be shown from the approximating sequences for  $\bar{A}^{(-1)}$  and  $\bar{L}$  that they are also right continuous in  $t$  for each number  $s$  in  $[a, b]$ . Hence, in this case,  $\bar{A}^{(-1)} = A^{(-1)}$  and  $\bar{L} = L$ . One would still have the problem of obtaining  $K^{(-1)}$  from  $\bar{K}^{(-1)}$ , but for the solution of many operator problems knowledge of  $\bar{K}^{(-1)}$  would suffice. The  $P_1$ -operators to be considered in § 3 will have this right continuity property.

3.  $P_1$ -operators. In this section we will consider a class of  $P$ -operators that are of interest in the study of electrical networks.

DEFINITION 3.1. The statement that a linear operator,  $\mathcal{S}$ , on  $\bar{Q}_L$  is a stationary operator means that if each of  $f$  and  $g$  is in  $\bar{Q}_L$  and for some number  $k$ ,  $0 < k < b - a$ ,

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & a \leq t \leq a + k \\ f(t - k), & a + k < t \leq b, \end{cases}$$

then

$$\mathcal{S}g(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & a \leq s \leq a + k \\ \mathcal{S}f(s - k), & a + k < s \leq b. \end{cases}$$

It follows from this definition, Definition 1.1, and Theorem 1.1, that a linear operator,  $\mathcal{S}$ , is stationary if, and only if,  $\mathcal{S}$  has a representation of the form,  $\mathcal{S}f(s) = \int_a^s f(\xi)d[u(s - \xi)]$ , where

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & a - b \leq t \leq 0 \\ \mathcal{S}\tau_a(t + a), & 0 < t \leq b - a. \end{cases}$$

Hence, every stationary operator is a  $P$ -operator. It is also a trivial consequence of Definition 3.1 and this representation that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a stationary operator and that sums and products of stationary operators are stationary.

It may also be concluded from this representation that the generating function for a stationary operator is right continuous in  $t$  for each  $s$  in  $[a, b]$ , and that  $u$  is of bounded variation on  $[a - b, b - a]$ . Furthermore if  $u^*$  denotes the function defined by  $u^*(t) = V_{\xi=a-b}^t u(t)$ ,

$a - b \leq t \leq b - a$ , then the mapping,  $\mathcal{S}^*$ , given by

$$\mathcal{S}^*f(s) = \int_a^s f(\xi) du^*(s - \xi), \quad a \leq s \leq b$$

is a stationary operator on  $\bar{Q}_L$ .

In [3] and [4], Lane has developed the theory of a class of linear operators,  $T_{OL}$ , on the set,  $QC_{OL}$ , of functions on the real line, which are quasi-continuous on each closed bounded interval, are everywhere left continuous, and vanish for negative values of their argument. A linear operator,  $\mathcal{L}$ , is in  $T_{OL}$  if, and only if, there exists a function,  $u$ , in  $QC_{OL}$ , of bounded variation on each closed bounded interval, such that if  $f$  is in  $QC_{OL}$  and  $s$  is a number then  $\mathcal{L}f(s) = \int_0^\infty f(s - t) du(t)$ . Using the properties of the integral this condition can be rewritten

$$\mathcal{L}f(s) = \begin{cases} 0, & s \leq 0 \\ \int_0^s f(\xi) d[-u(s - \xi)], & s > 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus, the stationary operators on  $\bar{Q}_L$  are analogous to Lane's  $T_{OL}$  operators.

**DEFINITION 3.2.** The statement that a bounded linear operator,  $\mathcal{K}$ , on  $\bar{Q}_L$  is a  $P_1$ -operator means that there exists a stationary operator,  $\mathcal{S}$ , such that if each of  $\tau_p$  and  $\tau_q$  is a test function then

$$|\mathcal{K}(\tau_p - \tau_q)(s)| \leq |\mathcal{S}(\tau_p - \tau_q)(s)|, \quad a \leq s \leq b.$$

From Corollary 1.11, an equivalent form of Definition 3.2 is

$$|\bar{K}(p, s) - \bar{K}(q, s)| \leq |u(s - p) - u(s - q)| \leq |u^*(s - p) - u^*(s - q)|.$$

Therefore if  $\mathcal{K}$  is a  $P_1$ -operator,  $\bar{K} = K$ . Also if  $\mathcal{S}$  dominates  $\mathcal{K}$  then so does  $\mathcal{S}^*$ . From this it may be shown by direct computation that if each of  $\mathcal{K}_1$  and  $\mathcal{K}_2$  is a  $P_1$ -operator with dominating stationary operators  $\mathcal{S}_1$  and  $\mathcal{S}_2$  respectively then  $\mathcal{S}_1^* + \mathcal{S}_2^*$  dominates  $\mathcal{K}_1 + \mathcal{K}_2$  and  $\mathcal{S}_1^* \mathcal{S}_2^*$  dominates  $\mathcal{K}_1 \mathcal{K}_2$ .

In the remainder of this section it will be assumed that  $\mathcal{K}$  denotes a  $P_1$ -operator, with generating function  $K$ , and that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a dominating stationary operator for  $\mathcal{K}$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.**  $\mathcal{K}$  has an inverse which is a  $P$ -operator if, and only if,  $\inf |K(s-, s)|$  for  $s$  in  $(a, b]$  is not zero. Furthermore if  $\lim_{s \rightarrow a+} K(s-, s) = 0$  then  $\mathcal{K}$  has no inverse.

*Proof.* It can be shown from Definition 3.2 that if  $h$ ,  $0 < h < b - a$ , is a point of continuity of  $u$ , then  $K(s - h, s)$  is a left continuous

function on  $[a + h, b]$ . It then follows that if  $f$  is a function on  $[a, b]$  such that  $f(s) = K(s-, s)$ ,  $a < s \leq b$  then  $f$  is a left continuous function on  $[a, b]$ . Suppose that  $f(a) \neq 0$  and  $\inf_{s \in (a, b]} |K(s-, s)| = L > 0$ . If  $M$  is a number,  $0 < M < 1$ , and  $h$  is a point of continuity of  $u$  such that  $u^*(h) - u^*(0+) \leq ML$ , then it follows from Definition 3.2 that for every number  $s$  in  $[a, b]$ ,

$$V_{t=\varepsilon}^b \{ -J_L(s-t) - [-f(s)]^{-1}K(t, s) \} \leq M,$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is the larger of  $a$  and  $s - h$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}^*$  denote the  $P$ -operator defined by  $\mathcal{K}^*g(s) = [-f(s)]^{-1}\mathcal{K}g(s)$ ,  $a \leq s \leq b$ ,  $g \in \bar{Q}_L$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}^*$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.4, since  $\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{A}^*$  is a contraction mapping. Hence,  $\mathcal{K}^{*-1}$  exists and is a  $P$ -operator. It then follows immediately from Theorems 1.1 and 2.1 that  $\mathcal{K}^{-1}$  exists, and  $K^{(-1)}(t, s) = [-f(s)]^{-1}K^{*(-1)}(t, s)$  so that  $\mathcal{K}^{-1}$  is also a  $P$ -operator.

If  $\inf_{s \in (a, b]} |K(s-, s)| = 0$  then either there exists a number  $q$  in  $[a, b)$  such that  $\lim_{s \rightarrow q+} K(s-, s) = 0$  or a number  $p$  in  $(a, b]$  such that  $K(p-, p) = 0$ . In the first case it can be shown from Definition 3.2 that if  $c$  is a positive number there exists a positive number  $d$  such that  $V_{\xi=q}^s K(\xi, s) < c$ ,  $q < s < q + d$ . Hence  $K(q, q+) = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{K}$  does not have an inverse which is a  $P$ -operator, and if  $q = a$ ,  $\mathcal{K}$  has no inverse.

In the second case, it can be shown in a similar manner that if  $c$  is a positive number, there exists a positive number  $d$  such that if  $s$  and  $t$  are in  $(p - d, p]$ ,  $t < s$ , then  $V_{\xi=t}^s K(\xi, s) < c$ . Hence  $|\mathcal{K}\tau_t(s)| < c$  for  $t$  in  $(p - d, p]$  and  $t < s \leq p$  by Lemma 1.1. From this it follows that there exists a strictly increasing sequence of numbers,  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ ,  $t_n < p$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , such that if for each positive integer  $n$ ,  $g_n$  is defined by

$$g_n(s) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{K}\tau_{t_n}(s), & a \leq s \leq p \\ 0, & p < s \leq b, \end{cases}$$

then the sequence  $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  converges uniformly to zero on  $[a, b]$ . Suppose now that  $\mathcal{K}$  has an inverse and  $\mathcal{K}^{-1}$  is a  $P$ -operator. Since  $\mathcal{K}^{-1}$  is bounded,  $\{\mathcal{K}^{-1}g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is also uniformly convergent on  $[a, b]$ . But  $\mathcal{K}^{-1}(g_n - \mathcal{K}\tau_{t_n})(s) = 0$ ,  $a \leq s \leq p$ , if  $\mathcal{K}^{-1}$  is a  $P$ -operator. Or,  $\mathcal{K}^{-1}g_n(s) = \tau_{t_n}(s)$ ,  $a \leq s \leq p$ , and  $\{\tau_{t_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is not uniformly convergent on  $[a, p]$ . This completes the proof.

If  $\mathcal{K}$  is a stationary operator then Theorem 3.1 yields a stronger result, because in this case  $K(s-, s) = \mathcal{K}\tau_a(a+)$ ,  $a < s \leq b$ . Therefore either  $K(s-, s) \equiv 0$  or  $\inf |K(s-, s)| > 0$  on  $(a, b]$ . Consequently a necessary and sufficient condition that a stationary operator,  $\mathcal{K}$ , have an inverse is that  $\mathcal{K}\tau_a(a+) \neq 0$ . Furthermore the inverse of a stationary operator must be a  $P$ -operator, and it can be shown by

applying the construction used in the proof of Theorem 2.4, and the remarks following Theorem 2.4, to a stationary generating function that the inverse must be stationary also. This is analogous to Lane's result for the operators in  $T_{ol}$  [3].

From Theorem 3.1 and its special form for a stationary operator, it may be concluded that if  $\mathcal{K}$  is a  $P_1$ -operator and there exists a stationary operator,  $\mathcal{S}$ , which has no inverse and dominates  $\mathcal{K}$ , then  $\mathcal{K}$  has no inverse, since  $|\mathcal{K}\tau_a(s)| \leq |\mathcal{S}\tau_a(s)|$ ,  $a \leq s \leq b$ , from Definition 3.2.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. A. Dyer, *Concerning the mean Stieltjes integral representation of a bounded linear transformation*, J. Math. Analysis and App., **8** (1964), 452-460.
2. R. E. Lane, *The integral of a function with respect to a function*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1954), 59-66.
3. ———, *On linear operator equations*, Abstract, Amer. Math. Soc. Notices **7** (1960), 952.
4. ———, *Research on linear operators with applications to systems analysis*, ASD-TDR-62-393, Aeronautical Systems Division, Navigation and Guidance Lab., Wright-Patterson AFB, Dayton, Ohio, June 1962. (Unclassified)
5. P. Porcelli, *On the existence of the Stieltjes mean  $\sigma$ -integral*, Illinois J. Math. **2** (1958), 124-128.

Received October 18, 1965.

SOUTHERN METHODIST UNIVERSITY  
 DALLAS, TEXAS  
 AND  
 IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY  
 AMES, IOWA