

## A GENERALIZATION OF MARTINGALES AND TWO CONSEQUENT CONVERGENCE THEOREMS

LOUIS H. BLAKE

**Loeve has observed that a discrete stochastic process can be interpreted as a game and that a martingale can be interpreted as a "fair" game. In this context, the notion of a martingale is enlarged to a game which becomes "fairer with time" and then this concept is utilized to establish two convergence theorems.**

Let  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, p)$  be a probability space with  $\{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  an increasing family of sub  $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathfrak{A}$  to which the process  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is adapted, (see [3, p. 65]). Henceforth, the process  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  will be referred to as a game.

DEFINITION. The game  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  will be said to become *fairer with time* if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

$$p_n\{|E(X_n | \mathfrak{A}_m) - X_m| > \varepsilon\} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$  with  $n \geq m$ .

It should be noted that any martingale is a game which becomes fairer with time. An easy example of a game which is not a martingale or a sub or a super martingale but does become fairer with time is constructed by considering a game which consists of tossing a die. Here, let

$$\mathfrak{A}_n = \mathfrak{A}, \text{ all } n$$

and

$$X_n(\{i\}) \equiv i + (-1)^n/n.$$

The main results. Let  $\{\alpha_n: n \geq 1\}$  be a monotonic sequence decreasing to zero with finite sum. The game  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  may be decomposed with respect to  $\{\alpha_n: n \geq 1\}$  as

$$(1.1) \quad X_n = Y_n - Z_n, \text{ where } \{Y_n\}_{n \geq 1} \text{ and } \{Z_n\}_{n \geq 1}$$

are defined inductively by:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} Y_1 &= X_1 \\ &\vdots \\ Y_n &= Y_{n-1} + [X_n - E(X_n | \mathfrak{A}_{n-1})] + \alpha_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.3) \quad Z_n = Z_{n-1} + [X_{n-1} - E(X_n | \mathfrak{A}_{n-1})] + \alpha_{n-1}.$$

We note that  $\{Y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is adapted to the sequence of  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  and forms a submartingale with respect to it.

We will call the decomposition of the game  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  according to (1.1) – (1.3) a Doob-like decomposition. (See [3, p. 104–105].)

Also, we define the collection of sets  $\{B_{n,m}^\alpha\}$  for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $n \geq m$  by

$$B_{n,m}^\alpha \equiv \{w: |E(X_n | \mathfrak{A}^m) - X_m| > \alpha_m\}.$$

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a uniformly integrable game and  $\{Y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ , the submartingale associated with its Doob-like decomposition, be uniformly dominated in absolute value by an element of  $L_1(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, p)$ . Suppose for every  $\delta > 0$  there exists an integer  $N(\delta)$ , such that*

$$(1.4) \quad P[B_{n,m}^\alpha] < \delta \text{ whenever } n \geq m \geq N(\delta),$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad \sim B_{n,m}^\alpha \subset \sim B_{k,k-1}^\alpha \text{ whenever } n \geq k \geq k-1 \geq m \geq N(\delta).$$

Then, there exists a function  $X$  in  $L_1(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, p)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |X_n - X| dp = 0.$$

*Proof.* It will be sufficient to show the game  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is Cauchy in the  $L_1$  norm. For every pair  $(n, m)$  of positive integers write:

$$\int_{\Omega} |X_n - X_m| dp = \int_{B_{n,m}^\alpha} |X_n - X_m| dp + \int_{\sim B_{n,m}^\alpha} |X_n - X_m| dp.$$

Since  $p[B_{n,m}^\alpha] \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$  and since the game  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is uniformly integrable (see [1, p. 89]), it is immediate that  $\int_{B_{n,m}^\alpha} |X_n - X_m| dp$  can be made arbitrarily small for sufficiently large  $n$  and  $m$ .

By utilizing the Doob-like decomposition of  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ , we can write

$$\int_{\sim B_{n,m}^\alpha} |X_n - X_m| dp \leq \int_{\sim B_{n,m}^\alpha} |Y_n - Y_m| dp + \int_{\sim B_{n,m}^\alpha} |Z_n - Z_m| dp.$$

Since there exists an integrable function which uniformly dominates the process  $\{Y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  in absolute value, it is immediate that  $\{Y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a convergent submartingale. Moreover, the dominated convergence theorem can be used to show that  $\int_{\sim B_{n,m}^\alpha} |Y_n - Y_m| dp$  can be made arbitrarily small for sufficiently large  $n$  and  $m$ .

Thus, it remains to show that  $\int_{\sim B_{n,m}^\alpha} |Z_n - Z_m| dp$  can be made arbitrarily small for sufficiently large  $n$  and  $m$  and the proof will be complete.

On  $\sim B_{n,m}^\alpha$  it follows that

$$X_m \geq E(X_n | \mathfrak{A}_m) - \alpha_m .$$

In particular, on  $\sim B_{n,n-1}^\alpha$

$$X_{n-1} \geq E(X_n | \mathfrak{A}_{n-1}) - \alpha_{n-1}$$

and so where

$$(1.6) \quad Z_n - Z_{n-1} = X_{n-1} - E(X_n | \mathfrak{A}_{n-1}) + \alpha_{n-1}$$

we can say

$$(1.7) \quad Z_n - Z_{n-1} \geq 0 \text{ on } \sim B_{n,n-1}^\alpha .$$

Thus, choose any  $\delta > 0$  and there exists  $N(\delta)$  such that

$$(1.8) \quad \sum_{k=m}^\infty \alpha_k < \delta/2 \text{ for } m \geq N(\delta)$$

and such that (1.5) holds. Hence, with  $n \geq m \geq N(\delta)$ , (1.5) and (1.7), write

$$(1.9) \quad Z_n - Z_{n-1} \geq 0 \text{ on } \sim B_{n,m}^\alpha .$$

By observing the fact that  $B_{n,m}^\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}_m$  for all  $n$  and  $m$ , we can write that

$$(1.10) \quad \int_{\sim B_{n,m}^\alpha} |Z_n - Z_m| dp = \int_\Omega E\{|Z_n - Z_m| I_{\sim B_{n,m}^\alpha} | \mathfrak{A}_m\} dp .$$

By (1.9),  $|Z_n - Z_m| I_{\sim B_{n,m}^\alpha} = \sum_{k=m+1}^n (Z_k - Z_{k-1}) I_{\sim B_{n,m}^\alpha}$ ; this together with (1.6) lets us continue the equality in (1.10) to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\sim B_{n,m}^\alpha} |Z_n - Z_m| dp &= \sum_{k=m+1}^n \int_{\sim B_{n,m}^\alpha} E\{(X_{k-1} - E(X_k | \mathfrak{A}_{k-1}) + \alpha_{k-1} | \mathfrak{A}_m)\} dp \\ &= \int_{\sim B_{n,m}^\alpha} \{(X_m - E(X_n | \mathfrak{A}_m)) + \alpha_m + \dots + \alpha_{n-1}\} dp \\ &\leq \int_{\sim B_{n,m}^\alpha} \left\{ \alpha_m + \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \alpha_k \right\} dp < \delta . \end{aligned}$$

By not demanding that the submartingale  $\{Y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  associated with the Doob-like decomposition of the game  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be uniformly bounded above in absolute value by an element of  $L_1(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, p)$ , we get the weaker

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a uniformly integrable game satisfying (1.4) and (1.5). Then, there exists some constant  $c$  such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} X_n d\mathcal{P} = c .$$

*Proof.* It will be sufficient to show the sequence  $\left\{ \int_{\Omega} X_n d\mathcal{P} \right\}_{n \geq 1}$  is Cauchy. With respect to the Doob-like decomposition of  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ , we can write

$$(1.11) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} (X_n - X_m) d\mathcal{P} \right| \leq \left| \int_{B_{n,m}^{\alpha}} (X_n - X_m) d\mathcal{P} \right| + \left| \int_{\sim B_{n,m}^{\alpha}} (X_n - X_m) d\mathcal{P} \right| .$$

Again,  $\left| \int_{B_{n,m}^{\alpha}} (X_n - X_m) d\mathcal{P} \right|$  may be made arbitrarily small for sufficiently large  $m$  and  $n$  by using the uniform integrability of  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ . In order to deal with the second summand in (1.11), write

$$\left| \int_{\sim B_{n,m}^{\alpha}} (X_n - X_m) d\mathcal{P} \right| \leq \left| \int_{\sim B_{n,m}^{\alpha}} (Y_n - Y_m) d\mathcal{P} \right| + \int_{\sim B_{n,m}^{\alpha}} |Z_n - Z_m| d\mathcal{P} .$$

But  $\int_{\sim B_{n,m}^{\alpha}} |Z_n - Z_m| d\mathcal{P}$  can be made arbitrarily small for sufficiently large  $m$  and  $n$  exactly as in the proof of Theorem 1. Hence, showing that  $\left| \int_{\sim B_{n,m}^{\alpha}} (Y_n - Y_m) d\mathcal{P} \right|$  can be made arbitrarily small for sufficiently large  $m$  and  $n$  will complete the proof. To this end, we use (1.2) and write

$$E((Y_n - Y_m) | \mathfrak{A}_m) = \alpha_m + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1}$$

and get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\sim B_{n,m}^{\alpha}} (Y_n - Y_m) d\mathcal{P} &= \int_{\sim B_{n,m}^{\alpha}} E\{(Y_n - Y_m) | \mathfrak{A}_m\} d\mathcal{P} \\ &= \int_{\sim B_{n,m}^{\alpha}} (\alpha_m + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1}) d\mathcal{P} \leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \alpha_k . \end{aligned}$$

But since  $\sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \alpha_k$  can be made arbitrarily small for sufficiently large  $m$  and  $n$ , the result follows.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. K. L. Chung, *A Course in Probability Theory*, Harcourt, Brace & World, Inc., New York, New York, 1968.
2. M. Loeve, *Probability Theory*, third edition, Van Nostrand, Princeton, New Jersey, 1963.

3. P. A. Meyer, *Probability and Potentials*, Blaisdell Publishing Company, Waltham, Massachusetts, 1966.

Received March 20, 1970.

NORTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY

WORCESTER POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE

