ABSOLUTE EXTENSOR SPACES: A CORRECTION AND AN ANSWER

Carlos R. Borges

This paper has a two-fold purpose: The first is to make a minor correction in the proof of a result of ours, which states that any hyperconnected space is an AE (stratifiable) and the second is to give an affirmative answer to a question of Vaughan: Does Dugundji's Extension Theorem remain valid for linearly stratifiable spaces?

- 1. A correction. As it stands, the proof of Theorem 4.1 of [1] is incorrect, because the function g is not well-defined. (Obviously, for each $x \in X A$, there is some implicit order in the selection of p_{V_1}, \dots, p_{V_n} such that V_1, \dots, V_n are the only elements $V \in \mathscr{V}$ for which $p_V(x) \neq 0$. However, no explicit mention of it is made.) The proof is easily corrected however, by taking the following three steps:
 - 1. Assign a total order " \leq " to \mathscr{V} .
 - 2. Add to the function g the sentence "and $V_1 \leq V_2 \leq \cdots \leq V_n$."
 - 3. On page 615 of [1], replace
- (a) "say $V_1, \dots, V_m, \dots, V_{m+k}$ " by "say W_1, \dots, W_{m+k} such that $W_1 \leq \dots \leq W_{m+k}$ ".

(b) "
$$(p_{V_1}(x), \dots, p_{V_m}(x), 0, \dots, 0) \in P_{m+k-1}$$
" by

"
$$(p_{_{{{\mathbb W}}_1}}\!(x),\;\cdots,\;p_{_{{{\mathbb W}}_{m+k}}}\!(x))\in P_{_{m+k-1}}$$
",

(c) "
$$t \to (h_{m+k}(f(a_{v_1}), \dots, f(a_{v_{m+k}}), t))$$
" by

"
$$t \rightarrow h_{m+k}(f(a_{w_1}), \cdots, f(a_{w_{m+k}}), t)$$
",

(d) "
$$p(y) = (p_{V_1}(y), \dots, p_{V_{m-k}}(y))$$
" by " $p(y) = (p_{W_1}(y), \dots, p_{W_{m+k}}(y))$ ".

2. An answer. Recently, Vaughan [7] asked if Dugundji's Extension Theorem (Theorem 4.1 of [6]) remains valid for linearly stratifiable spaces. It turns out that the answer is affirmative and it requires little effort. Indeed, all our generalizations of Dugundji's Extension Theorem remain valid for linearly stratifiable spaces.

THEOREM 2.1. [2; Theorem 4.1], [3; Theorem 3.1], [4; Theorem

¹ A T_1 -space X is said to be linearly stratifiable provided there exists some infinite cardinal number α such that to each open $U \subset X$ one can assign a family $\{U_\beta\}_{\beta < \alpha}$ of open subsets of X such that (a) $U_\beta^- \subset U$ for all $\beta < \alpha$, (b) $U\{\beta \mid \beta < \alpha\} = U$, (c) $U_\beta \subset U_\beta$ whenever $U \subset V$, (d) $U_\gamma \subset U_\beta$ whenever $\gamma < \beta < \alpha$.

5.2] and [5; Theorems 4.1 and 4.2] remain valid for linearly stratifiable spaces.

Proof. All we need do is the following two alterations in Definition 4.1 of [2] and the proof of Theorem 4.1 of [2]. (The same alterations apply to the proofs of the other results):

- 1. In Definition 4.1 of [7] replace the word "integer" by the word "ordinal".
- 2. Replace the sentence "Note that $m(x) < \infty$ and, in fact, m(x) < n(W, x)" by the sentence "Note that m(x) < n(W, x)" on the fourth line of the proof of Theorem 4.3 of [2]. The same applies to the other proofs.

REFERENCES

- C. R. Borges, A study of absolute extensor spaces, Pacific J. Math., 31 (1969), 609-617.
- 2. ——, On stratifiable spaces, Pacific J. Math., 17 (1966), 1-16.
- 3. ———, Continuous extensions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 18 (1967), 874-878.
- 4. —, A study of multivalued functions, Pacific J. Math., 23 (1967), 451-461.
- 5. —, A study of absolute extensor spaces, Pacific J. Math., 31 (1969), 609-617.
- 6. J. Dugundji, An extension of Tietze's theorem, Pacific J. Math., 1 (1951), 353-367.
- 7. J. E. Vaughan, Linearly stratifiable spaces, Pacific J. Math., 43 (1972), 254-266.

Received May 23, 1972.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS