

COMMUTATIVE CANCELLATIVE SEMIGROUPS WITHOUT IDEMPOTENTS

H. B. HAMILTON, T. E. NORDAHL AND T. TAMURA

A commutative cancellative idempotent-free semigroup (CCIF-) S can be described in terms of a commutative cancellative semigroup C with identity, an ideal of C , and a function of $C \times C$ into integers. If C is an abelian group, S has an archimedean component as an ideal; S is called an $\bar{\mathfrak{N}}$ -semigroup. A CCIF-semigroup of finite rank has nontrivial homomorphism into nonnegative real numbers.

1. Introduction. In this paper, a commutative cancellative semigroup without idempotent is called a CCIF-semigroup (in which, by "IF" we mean "idempotent-free") and a commutative cancellative semigroup with identity is called a CCI-semigroup. In particular, an \mathfrak{N} -semigroup is an archimedean CCIF-semigroup. The structure of \mathfrak{N} -semigroups has been much studied [1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8] and also it is well known that every CCIF-semigroup is a semilattice of \mathfrak{N} -semigroups. In this paper CCIF-semigroups will be studied by means of the representation by the generalized \mathcal{S} - and φ -functions and also through homomorphisms into the nonnegative real numbers.

Throughout this paper, \mathbf{R} denotes the set of real numbers; \mathbf{R} the set of rational numbers; \mathbf{R}_+ the set of positive real numbers; \mathbf{R}_+^0 the set of nonnegative real numbers; \mathbf{Z}_+ the set of positive integers and \mathbf{Z}_+^0 the set of nonnegative integers. Each of these is a semigroup under the usual addition. If S is a semigroup and if X is a sub-semigroup of the group \mathbf{R} , then the notation $\text{Hom}(S, X)$ denotes the semigroup of homomorphisms of S into X under the usual operation.

At the end of §1 we show that if S is a CCIF-semigroup, $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}) \neq \{0\}$, and the homomorphism group is transitive in some sense. In Section 2 we shall try to generalize the representation of \mathfrak{N} -semigroups to CCIF-semigroups. It will be understood as the so-called Schreier's extension to build up complicated CCIF-semigroups from simpler CCIF-semigroups. Most of the results in [7] will be extended to CCIF-semigroups. In §3 we shall treat the important case, i.e., the case where the structure semigroup is a group. Such a CCIF-semigroup will be called an $\bar{\mathfrak{N}}$ -semigroup. In §4 we shall show that every CCIF-semigroup of finite rank has a nontrivial homomorphism into \mathbf{R}_+^0 . In particular we will characterize CCIF-semigroups S having the property $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+) \neq \emptyset$.

(1.1) *Let S be a CCIF-semigroup. Then $x \neq xy$ for all $x, y \in S$.*

Proof. Suppose, for some $x, y \in S$, we have $x = xy$. Then $xy = xy^2$ which implies $y = y^2$ by cancellation. This is a contradiction.

PROPOSITION 1.2. *Let S be a CCIF-semigroup.*

(1.2.1) *Hom(S, \mathbf{R}) is a nontrivial vector space over the field \mathbf{R} .*

(1.2.2) *For each $a \in S$ and each $r \in \mathbf{R}, r \neq 0$, there is an $h \in \text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R})$ such that $h(a) = r$.*

Proof of (1.2.1). Let S be a CCIF-semigroup. Let $Q(S)$ be the quotient group of S (i.e., the group of quotients of S), and $D(S)$ be the divisible hull of $Q(S)$

$$(1.2.3) \quad D(S) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha} \oplus \bigoplus_{p \in \mathcal{A}} C(p^{\infty}).$$

$D(S)$ is a direct sum of copies R_{α} of the group of rational numbers under addition and quasi-cyclic groups $C(p^{\infty})$ with respect to prime number p . We view S as a subsemigroup of $D(S)$. Let π_{α} be the projection of $D(S)$ upon R_{α} for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Let x be an element of S . Suppose $\pi_{\alpha}(x) = 0$ for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$. It follows that $x \in \bigoplus_{p \in \mathcal{A}} C(p^{\infty})$, a torsion group. This is a contradiction as x has infinite order. Thus, for some $\alpha_0 \in \Gamma, \pi_{\alpha_0}(x) \neq 0$. Note that $\pi_{\alpha_0} \in \text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R})$ and is not the trivial homomorphism. It is obvious that $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R})$ is a vector space over \mathbf{R} in the usual way.

Proof of (1.2.2). Let $a \in S$ and $r \in \mathbf{R}$ be given. In establishing (1.2.1), we have shown that there exists $h_1 \in \text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R})$ with $h_1(a) \neq 0$. Let $s = h_1(a)$. Now define h by $h = (r/s)h_1$. Then $h(a) = r$, and $h \in \text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R})$.

2. Schreier Extension. We consider the following problem. Let C be a CCI-semigroup and ε be its identity. Given C , find all CCIF-semigroups S such that there is a homomorphism \mathcal{P} of S onto C satisfying the condition.

$$\{x \in S \mid \mathcal{P}(x) = \varepsilon\} \cong Z_+.$$

In this section we shall show that S always exists for every C and shall describe S in terms of elements of C , integers and a certain function of $C \times C$ into the integers. The extension S is called a Schreier extension (of Z_+) by C . (The terminology is due to [5].) Schreier extension by C is significant because we shall see that every CCIF-semigroup is isomorphic to a Schreier extension by some CCI-semigroup C .

THEOREM 2.1. *Let C be a CCI-semigroup and C_1 a proper ideal*

of C . (C_1 can be empty.) Let $I: C \times C \rightarrow Z$ be a function which satisfies

(2.1.1) $I(\alpha, \beta) \in Z_+^0$ if $\alpha\beta \notin C_1$

(2.1.2) $I(\alpha, \beta) = I(\beta, \alpha)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in C$

(2.1.3) $I(\alpha, \beta) + I(\alpha\beta, \gamma) = I(\alpha, \beta\gamma) + I(\beta, \gamma)$ for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in C$

(2.1.4) $I(\varepsilon, \alpha) = 1$ (ε the identity element of C) for all $\alpha \in C$.

Given C, C_1, I , the set $(C, C_1; I)$ with its operation is defined by

$$(C, C_1; I) = \{(x, \alpha) \in Z \times C; x \in Z_+^0 \text{ if } \alpha \notin C_1\}$$

(2.1.5) $(x, \alpha)(y, \beta) = (x + y + I(\alpha, \beta), \alpha\beta)$.

Then $(C, C_1; I)$ is a CCIF-semigroup.

Conversely if S is a CCIF-semigroup, then $(S \cong C, C_1; I)$ for some C, C_1, I .

Proof. It is routine to prove that $(C, C_1; I)$ is a commutative cancellative simigroup. To show idempotent-freeness, assume $(x, \alpha)^2 = (x, \alpha)$, that is, $\alpha^2 = \alpha$ and $2x + I(\alpha, \alpha) = x$. It follows that $\alpha = \varepsilon$ and $x + 1 = 0$. Since C_1 is a proper ideal of C , $\varepsilon \notin C_1$, hence $x \geq 0$ and we arrive at a contradiction.

Conversely assume that S is a CCIF-semigroup. Let $a \in S$, and define a relation ρ_a on S by

(2.1.6) $x\rho_a y$ iff $a^m x = a^n y$ for some $m, n \in Z_+$.

It is easy to see that ρ_a is a congruence relation. To show that S/ρ_a is cancellative, assume $xz\rho_a yz$. Then $a^m xz = a^n yz$ for some $m, n \in Z_+$. Since S is cancellative, we get $a^m x = a^n y$, i.e., $x\rho_a y$. Obviously $ax\rho_a x$ for all $x \in S$, that is, the ρ_a -class containing a is the identity of S/ρ_a . Let $C = S/\rho_a$. C is a CCI-semigroup. In each ρ_a -class define $x \leq_a y$ by $x = a^m y$ for some $m \in Z_+$ where $a^0 y = y$. Because of cancellation, each ρ_a -class forms a chain with respect to \leq_a . Let $T = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty a^n S$ and let C_1 be the image of T under the natural homomorphism $S \rightarrow C$. If $T \neq \emptyset$, it is a proper ideal of S (since $a \notin T$) and thus C_1 is a proper ideal of C . Under the homomorphism $S \rightarrow C$ we have a partition of $S: S = \bigcup_{\xi \in C} S_\xi$. If $\xi \in C \setminus C_1$, S_ξ contains a maximal element with respect to \leq_a ; but if $\xi \in C_1$, S_ξ contains no maximal element. For each $\xi \in C$, define p_ξ to be $a \leq_a$ -maximal element in S_ξ if $\xi \in C \setminus C_1$, and p_ξ to be arbitrarily chosen from S_ξ if $\xi \in C_1$. Since C_1 is a proper ideal, $\varepsilon \notin C_1$, hence $p_\varepsilon = a$ because of (1.1). Then every element of S has a unique expression

$$x = a^m p_\xi \text{ where } m \in Z \text{ if } \xi \in C_1; m \in Z_+^0 \text{ if } \xi \in C \setminus C_1.$$

Define $I: C \times C \rightarrow Z$ as follows:

$$p_\alpha p_\beta = a^{I(\alpha, \beta)} p_{\alpha\beta}.$$

It is easy to see that I satisfies (2.1.1), (2.1.2), (2.1.3) and (2.1.4). S is isomorphic to $(C, C_1; I)$ under the map $a^m p_\varepsilon \mapsto (m, \xi)$.

The representation $(C, C_1; I)$ of S depends on the choice of a . The element a is called the standard element of the representation $(C, C_1; I)$ of S . S/ρ_a is called the structure CCI-semigroup of S with respect to a ; C is the structure CCI-semigroup of $(C, C_1; I)$, and $(0, \varepsilon)$ is the standard element. A function $I: C \times C \rightarrow Z$ satisfying (2.1.1), (2.1.2), (2.1.3), (2.1.4) is called an \mathcal{I} -function on (C, C_1) .

THEOREM 2.2. *Let C be a CCI-semigroup, and C_1 be a proper ideal of C . (C_1 can be empty.) Assume that $\varphi: C \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ satisfies*

$$(2.2.1) \quad \varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta) - \varphi(\alpha\beta) \in \begin{cases} Z & \text{if } \alpha\beta \in C_1 \\ Z_+^0 & \text{if } \alpha\beta \notin C_1. \end{cases}$$

$$(2.2.2) \quad \varphi(\varepsilon) = 1.$$

Given C, φ , and C_1 , define $((C, C_1; \varphi))$ by

$$(2.2.3) \quad ((C, C_1; \varphi)) = \{((x + \varphi(\alpha), \alpha)): \alpha \in C, x \in Z, x \in Z_+^0 \text{ if } \alpha \notin C_1\}$$

and

$$(2.2.4) \quad ((x + \varphi(\alpha), \alpha))((y + \varphi(\beta), \beta)) = ((x + y + \varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta), \alpha\beta)).$$

Then $((C, C_1; \varphi))$ is a CCIF-semigroup.

Conversely every CCIF-semigroup is isomorphic to $((C, C_1; \varphi))$ for some C, φ and C_1 , that is, $(C, C_1; I) \cong ((C, C_1; \varphi))$ under $(x, \alpha) \mapsto ((x + \varphi(\alpha), \alpha))$, $I(\alpha, \beta) = \varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta) - \varphi(\alpha\beta)$.

Proof. Assume S is a CCIF-semigroup. By Theorem 2.1, we let $S = (C, C_1; I)$ for some C, I, C_1 . By (1.2.2), there is an $h \in \text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R})$ such $h(0, \varepsilon) \neq 0$. Define $\varphi: C \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$(2.2.5) \quad \varphi(\alpha) = \frac{h(0, \alpha)}{h(0, \varepsilon)}.$$

If $I(\alpha, \beta) \geq 0$, then $(0, \alpha)(0, \beta) = (0, \varepsilon)^{I(\alpha, \beta)}(0, \alpha\beta)$ implies

$$h(0, \alpha) + h(0, \beta) = I(\alpha, \beta) \cdot h(0, \varepsilon) + h(0, \alpha\beta).$$

If $I(\alpha, \beta) < 0$, then $(0, \alpha)(0, \beta)(0, \varepsilon)^{-I(\alpha, \beta)} = (0, \alpha\beta)$ implies

$$h(0, \alpha) + h(0, \beta) - I(\alpha, \beta) \cdot h(0, \varepsilon) = h(0, \alpha\beta).$$

In both cases, using (2.2.5), we have

(2.2.6) $I(\alpha, \beta) = \varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta) - \varphi(\alpha\beta)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in C$. It is easy to see that φ satisfies (2.2.1) and (2.2.2); and $S = (C, C_1; I) \cong ((C, C_1; \varphi))$ under $(x, \alpha) \mapsto ((x + \varphi(\alpha), \alpha))$.

Conversely assume φ satisfies (2.2.1) and (2.2.2), define $((C, C_1; \varphi))$ by (2.2.3) and (2.2.4), and define I by (2.2.6). Then we can see that I satisfies (2.1.1), (2.1.2), (2.1.3) and (2.1.4), and $((x, \alpha)) \mapsto (x - \varphi(\alpha), \alpha)$ gives an isomorphism of $((C, C_1; \varphi))$ to $(C, C_1; I)$.

A function $\varphi: C \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is called a defining function on (C, C_1) if it satisfies (2.2.1) and (2.2.2); let $\text{Dfn}(C, C_1, \mathbf{R})$ denote the set of all defining functions on (C, C_1) . If φ satisfies (2.2.6) for a fixed I , φ is called a defining function belonging to I , and the set of all φ belonging to I is denoted by $\text{Dfn}_I(C, C_1, \mathbf{R})$.

COROLLARY 2.3. *S is a CCIF-semigroup if and only if S is isomorphic to the subdirect product of a CCI-semigroup C and a subsemigroup of R by means of φ on C (i.e., by means of φ with (2.2.1) and (2.2.2) in the sense of (2.2.4)).*

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let S be a CCIF-semigroup. S is a subdirect product of a subsemigroup P of \mathbf{R}_+^0 and a CCI-semigroup C if and only if there exists $h \in \text{Hom}((S, \mathbf{R}_+^0))$ with $h \neq 0$.*

The problem posed at the beginning of the section is solved, that is,

$$\mathcal{S}: ((x + \varphi(\alpha), \alpha)) \longrightarrow \alpha$$

has kernel $K = \{((x + 1, \varepsilon)): x \in \mathbf{Z}_+^0\}$ and $K \cong \mathbf{Z}_+$ under $((x + 1, \varepsilon)) \mapsto x + 1$.

Let $S = (C, C_1; I)$.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let $\varphi_0 \in \text{Dfn}_I(C, C_1, \mathbf{R})$ be fixed. If $f \in \text{Hom}(C, \mathbf{R})$ then $\varphi = \varphi_0 + f \in \text{Dfn}_I(C, C_1, \mathbf{R})$. Every element φ of $\text{Dfn}_I(C, C_1, \mathbf{R})$ can be obtained in this manner.*

PROPOSITION 2.6 (2.6.1). *Let $\varphi_0 \in \text{Dfn}_I(C, C_1, \mathbf{R})$ be fixed and $f \in \text{Hom}(C, \mathbf{R})$. Define $h: S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by*

$$h(x, \alpha) = s(x + \varphi_0(\alpha) + f(\alpha)), \quad s \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Then $h \in \text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R})$. Every element h of $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R})$ satisfying $h(0, \varepsilon) \neq 0$ can be obtained in this manner.

(2.6.2) *Let $p: S \rightarrow C$ be the natural homomorphism. Then every h of $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R})$ satisfying $h(0, \varepsilon) = 0$ is obtained by $h = fp$ where $f \in \text{Hom}(C, \mathbf{R})$.*

Proof (2.6.1). As the former half is easily proved, we prove the latter half. By (1.2.1) $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}) \neq \{0\}$, so there is h such that $h(0, \varepsilon) \neq 0$. If $x \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} h(x, \alpha) &= h((0, \varepsilon)^x(0, \alpha)) = x \cdot h(0, \varepsilon) + h(0, \alpha) \\ &= h(0, \varepsilon)(x + \varphi(\alpha)) = s(x + \varphi(\alpha)) \end{aligned}$$

where $s = h(0, \varepsilon)$; $\varphi(\alpha) = h(0, \alpha)/h(0, \varepsilon)$, $\varphi \in \text{Dfn}_I(C, C_1, \mathbf{R})$. If $x = 0$, $(0, \varepsilon)^z$ is regarded as void. If $x < 0$, $-x - 1 \geq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} h(0, \alpha) &= h((-x - 1, \varepsilon)(x, \alpha)) = h((0, \varepsilon)^{-x}(x, \alpha)) \\ &= (-x) \cdot h(0, \varepsilon) + h(x, \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

hence $h(x, \alpha) = h(0, \varepsilon)(x + \varphi(\alpha))$. By Proposition 2.5, φ is expressed as $\varphi_0 + f$. Thus we have the conclusion.

Proof. (2.6.2) Let $h \in \text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R})$ with $h(0, \varepsilon) = 0$. If $x \geq 0$, $h(x, \alpha) = x \cdot h(0, \varepsilon) + h(0, \alpha) = h(0, \alpha)$. If $x < 0$, $h(0, \alpha) = (-x) \cdot h(0, \varepsilon) + h(x, \alpha) = h(x, \alpha)$. Hence $h(x, \alpha) = h(0, \alpha)$ for all $(x, \alpha) \in S$. Define $f: C \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by $f(\alpha) = h(x, \alpha)$ where $(x, \alpha) \in S$. By the above result, f is well defined. Now

$$fp(x, \alpha) = f(\alpha) = h(x, \alpha), \text{ hence } h = fp.$$

It is easy to see that $fp \in \text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R})$ with $fp(0, \varepsilon) = 0$.

By the notation $S = (C, C_1; I) = ((C, C_1; \varphi))$ we mean that S has representation $(C, C_1; I)$ and $((C, C_1; \varphi))$ identifying (x, α) of $(C, C_1; I)$ with $((x + \varphi(\alpha), \alpha))$ of $((C, C_1; \varphi))$.

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Let S be a CCIF-semigroup. If $a \in S$ and if there is an $h \in \text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+^0)$ such that $h(a) \neq 0$, then $C_1 = \emptyset$ using a as the standard element.*

Proof. Let $S = (C, C_1; I) = ((C, C_1; \varphi))$ and let a denote $(0, \varepsilon)$ in $(C, C_1; I)$ and at the same time $((1, \varepsilon))$ in $((C, C_1; \varphi))$. Let $\alpha \in C_1$. Then $(x, \alpha) \in (C, C_1; I)$ for all $x \in \mathbf{Z}$. By Proposition 2.6

$$h(x, \alpha) = h(0, \varepsilon)(x + \varphi(\alpha)).$$

Since $h(0, \varepsilon) > 0$ and x is arbitrary, $h(x, \alpha) < 0$ if, $x < -\varphi(\alpha)$; a contradiction to the assumption. Hence $C_1 = \emptyset$.

A subsemigroup T of a commutative semigroup S is called *cofinal* if, for every $x \in S$, there is a $y \in T$ such that $xy \in T$. Let $S = (C_1, C; I)$. The following are easily obtained.

LEMMA 2.8.

(2.8.1) *If $C \setminus C_1$ contains a cofinal subsemigroup of C , then $C_1 = \emptyset$.*

(2.8.2) *If C is an abelian group, then $C_1 = \emptyset$.*

We will now make a further investigation into defining functions and C_1 .

Let U denote the group of units of C . Let φ be a function

$C \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. Define a set $D_c(\varphi)$ by

$$D_c(\varphi) = \{ \alpha \in C: \varphi(\xi) + \varphi(\eta) - \varphi(\alpha) < 0 \\ \text{for some } \xi, \eta \in C \text{ with } \alpha = \xi\eta \} .$$

We define defining functions from the point of C .

DEFINITION 2.9.

(2.9.1) A function $\varphi: C \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is called a *defining function on C* if it satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(\varepsilon) = 1 . \\ \varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta) - \varphi(\alpha\beta) \in Z \text{ for all } \alpha, \beta \in C . \\ D_c(\varphi) \subseteq C \setminus U . \end{cases}$$

The set of defining functions on C is denoted by $\text{Dfn}(C, \mathbf{R})$.

(2.9.2) A defining function on C is called a *normal defining function on C* if $D_c(\varphi) = \emptyset$, and a *nonnormal defining function on C* if $D_c(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$. $D_c(\varphi)$ is called the *nonnormal domain of φ* . The set of normal defining functions on C is denoted by $\text{NDfn}(C, \mathbf{R})$.

PROPOSITION 2.10. *Let $\varphi: C \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a defining function on C . Let C_1 be a proper ideal of C such that $D_c(\varphi) \subseteq C_1$. Then $\varphi \in \text{Dfn}(C, C_1, \mathbf{R})$. Conversely every defining function on (C, C_1) is a defining function on C .*

The following three cases are possible:

- (i) φ is normal and $C_1 = \emptyset$
- (ii) φ is normal and $C_1 \neq \emptyset$
- (iii) φ is not normal and $C_1 \neq \emptyset$.

DEFINITION. In each case we consider the CCIF-semigroup $((C, C_1; \varphi))$. $((C, C_1; \varphi))$ is called a *normal representation* in case (i); *seminormal representation* in case (ii); *nonnormal representation* in case (iii). In case (i), $((C, C_1; \varphi))$ is denoted by $((C; \varphi))$. When φ is normal (nonnormal), the \mathcal{S} -function I defined by $I(\alpha, \beta) = \varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta) - \varphi(\alpha\beta)$ is called *normal* (*nonnormal*); the corresponding semigroup is denoted by $(C, C_1; I)$, in particular $(C; I)$ in case (i).

PROPOSITION 2.11. *Let $S = ((C, C_1; \varphi))$ with standard element a . Then $((C, C_1; \varphi))$ is a normal representation if and only if $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n S = \emptyset$.*

PROPOSITION 2.12. *For every CCI-semigroup C there exist normal defining functions on C . If C is a CCI-semigroup and C_1 is a non-*

empty proper ideal of C , there exist nonnormal defining functions φ such that the nonnormal domain of φ is contained in C_1 .

EXAMPLES 2.13. Let C be a CCI-semigroup.

(2.13.1) Define φ by

$$\varphi(\alpha) = 1 \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in C .$$

Then $\varphi \in \text{NDFn}(C, \mathbf{R})$, and $((C; \varphi)) \cong Z_+ \times C$.

(2.13.2) Let U be the group of units of C . Let φ_0 be a non-negative integer valued normal defining function on U . Define $\varphi: C \rightarrow Z_+^0$ by

$$\varphi(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \varphi_0(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \in U \\ c & \text{if } \alpha \notin U \end{cases}$$

where c is a constant nonnegative integer. Then φ is a normal defining function on C .

(2.13.3) Let C_1 be a nonempty proper ideal of C . Define φ by

$$\varphi(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \alpha \notin C_1 \\ -1 & \alpha \in C_1 . \end{cases}$$

The φ is a nonnormal defining function on C such that $D_c(\varphi) \subseteq C_1$.

(2.13.4) Assume that ε is the only unit of C . Suppose $\varphi_0: C \setminus \{\varepsilon\} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ satisfies, for all $\alpha, \beta \in C \setminus \{\varepsilon\}$.

$$\varphi_0(\alpha) + \varphi_0(\beta) - \varphi_0(\alpha\beta) \in Z .$$

Define $\varphi: C \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$\varphi(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \alpha = \varepsilon \\ \varphi_0(\alpha) & \alpha \neq \varepsilon . \end{cases}$$

Then φ is a defining function on C .

As another example, consider the case $C = Z_+^0$.

(2.14) Let $C = Z_+^0$. Let $\delta: Z_+ \rightarrow Z$ be a function with $\delta(1) = 0$ and let r be a real number. Define $\varphi: Z_+^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$\varphi(m) = \begin{cases} 1 & m = 0 \\ mr - \delta(m) & m > 0 . \end{cases}$$

If $D_{Z_+^0}(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$, take a proper ideal C_1 with $C_1 \supseteq D_{Z_+^0}(\varphi)$. Then $\varphi \in \text{Dfn}(C, C_1; \mathbf{R})$. Every defining function on C is obtained in this manner. In particular if δ satisfies

$$\delta(m) + \delta(n) \leq \delta(m+n) \quad \text{for all } m, n \in Z_+ ,$$

then φ is a normal defining function on C .

We are interested in the important case, i.e., case where C is a group. In the next section we discuss the structure of $((C, \varphi))$ where C is a group. Then we will see that Example (2.14) is isomorphic to a Schreier extension by a group.

3. $\bar{\mathfrak{N}}$ -Semigroups.

DEFINITION 3.1. If S is a commutative semigroup and $v \in S$ such that for all $x \in S$ there exist $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $y \in S$ with $v^m = xy$, then S is called a *subarchimedean* semigroup and the element v is called a *pivot element* of S .

DEFINITION 3.2. An $\bar{\mathfrak{N}}$ -semigroup is a subarchimedean CCIF-semigroup.

LEMMA 3.3. *The pivot elements of a subarchimedean semigroup form an archimedean component and ideal of the semigroup.*

Proof. Let A be the set of pivot elements of a subarchimedean semigroup S . Let $v \in A$ and $x \in S$. There exist $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $y \in S$ such that $v^m = xy$. Then $(vz)^m = x(yz^m)$ for every $z \in S$; hence $vz \in A$. Thus A is an ideal of S . To see that A is archimedean, let $u, v \in A$. Then there exist $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $y \in S$ such that $v^m = uy$, therefore $v^{m+1} = u(yv)$ and $yv \in A$. Therefore A is archimedean. Let A_0 be the archimedean component containing $v \in A$. Obviously $A \subseteq A_0$. Let $u \in A_0$, so $u^n = vy$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, some $y \in S$. Let $z \in S$. As $v \in A$, $v^k = zt$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, some $t \in S$. Then $u^{nk} = v^ky^k = z(ty^k)$, hence $u \in A$, $A_0 \subseteq A$. Thus we have proved $A = A_0$.

LEMMA 3.4. *A homomorphic image of a subarchimedean semigroup is a subarchimedean semigroup.*

Proof. Let S be a subarchimedean semigroup, and f a surjective homomorphism of S onto a semigroup T . Let v be a pivot element of S . Then for all $x \in S$ there exist $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $y \in S$ such that $v^m = xy$. Hence $(f(v))^m = f(x)f(y)$, and we see that $f(v)$ is a pivot element of T .

LEMMA 3.5. *Let S be a CCIF-semigroup. S is subarchimedean if and only if S/ρ_a is subarchimedean for (some) all $a \in S$.*

Proof. If S is subarchimedean then S/ρ_a being a homomorphic image of S is subarchimedean for all $a \in S$ by Lemma 3.4. Conversely,

if $a \in S$ and S/ρ_a is subarchimedean let \bar{x} denote the ρ_a -class of $x \in S$. Let \bar{v} be a pivot element of S/ρ_a . Then for all $\bar{x} \in S/\rho_a$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\bar{y} \in S/\rho_a$ such that $\bar{v}^m = \bar{x}\bar{y}$. Hence, by the definition of ρ_a we have $v^m a^k = xya^l$ for some $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Therefore, $(va)^{m+k} = x(ya^{l+m}v^k)$ and we see that va is a pivot element of S .

LEMMA 3.6. *If S is an $\bar{\mathfrak{N}}$ -semigroup then $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+) \neq \{0\}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, S contains an \mathfrak{N} -semigroup A which is an ideal of S . By [2, 7, 8] $\text{Hom}(A, \mathbf{R}_+) \neq \{\emptyset\}$. Let $h \in \text{Hom}(A, \mathbf{R}_+)$. Then $h \neq 0$. Define $\bar{h}: S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by $\bar{h}(x) = h(ax) - h(a)$ for $a \in A$ and $x \in S$. Let $a, b \in A$, and $x \in S$. Then $h(ax) + h(b) = h((ax)b) = h((bx)a) = h(bx) + h(a)$, so $h(ax) - h(a) = h(bx) - h(b)$. Thus \bar{h} is well defined. Also, $\bar{h}(xy) = h(a^2xy) - h(a^2) = h(ax) - h(a) + h(ay) - h(a) = \bar{h}(x) + \bar{h}(y)$, hence \bar{h} is a homomorphism. If $\bar{h}(x) < 0$ for some $x \in S$, choose $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $h(a) + n\bar{h}(x) < 0$. Since $ax^n \in A$, $h(ax^n) > 0$, but $h(ax^n) = h(a) + n\bar{h}(x) < 0$, a contradiction. Hence $\bar{h} \in \text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+)$. As $\bar{h}|_A = h \neq 0$, $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+) \neq \{0\}$.

LEMMA 3.7. *Let S be an $\bar{\mathfrak{N}}$ -semigroup. Then $a \in S$ is a pivot element if and only if S/ρ_a is an abelian group.*

Proof. Let A be the archimedean ideal of pivot elements of S , and let $a \in A$. Then $A/(\rho_a|_A)$ is an abelian group, and for all $x \in S$ we have $(x, xa) \in \rho_a$ where $xa \in A$. Hence $S/\rho_a \cong A/(\rho_a|_A)$ and S/ρ_a is an abelian group. Conversely if S/ρ_a is an abelian group then for all $x \in S$ there exists $y \in S$ such that $\bar{a} = \bar{x}\bar{y}$ in S/ρ_a . (See the notation in the proof of Lemma 3.5.) Thus $a^m = xya^l$ for some $m, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Hence $a \in A$.

THEOREM 3.8. *Let S be a CCIF-semigroup, and for $a \in S$ let ρ_a be defined by (2.1.6). The following are equivalent:*

- (3.8.1) S is an $\bar{\mathfrak{N}}$ -semigroup.
- (3.8.2) S/ρ_a is subarchimedean for all $a \in S$.
- (3.8.3) S/ρ_a is subarchimedean for some $a \in S$.
- (3.8.4) Some archimedean component of S is an ideal of S .
- (3.8.5) S/ρ_a is an abelian group for some $a \in S$.
- (3.8.6) $S \cong (G; I)$ where G is an abelian group and I is an \mathcal{F} -function on G .
- (3.8.7) S is isomorphic to a subdirect product of an abelian group G and a subsemigroup of \mathbf{R}_+ by means of a defining function φ on G .

Proof. By Lemma 3.5, the first three conditions are equivalent.

By Lemma 3.7, (3.8.1) implies (3.8.5); obviously (3.8.5) implies (3.8.3). By Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.7, (3.8.5) implies (3.8.4). Assume (3.8.4). Let I be the ideal and archimedean component, and let $a \in I$, $x \in S$. Since $ax \in I$, $a^m = axy$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and some $y \in I$, hence $a^m = x(ay)$, that is, a is a pivot element of S . By Lemma 3.7, (3.8.5) holds. By Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.8, (3.8.5) implies (3.8.6). Conversely if $S \cong (G; I)$, then $G \cong S/\rho_{(0, \varepsilon)}$. Thus the first six conditions are equivalent. To see that (3.8.1) and (3.8.6) imply (3.8.7), let S be an $\bar{\mathfrak{N}}$ -semigroup. By Lemma 3.6, there exists a nontrivial homomorphism h of S into \mathbf{R}_+^0 , and by (3.8.6), $S \cong (G; I)$ for some abelian group G and an \mathcal{I} -function I . Let $\varphi(\alpha) = h(0, \alpha)/h(0, \varepsilon)$ for all $\alpha \in G$. (Clearly we can assume $h(0, \varepsilon) \neq 0$.) Then by the proof of Theorem 2.2 we have (3.8.7). Finally if we assume (3.8.7), $S \cong ((G; \varphi))$ for some $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^0$, then when we define $I(\alpha, \beta) = \varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta) - \varphi(\alpha, \beta)$, we have $S \cong (G; I)$ as before. Hence (3.8.7) implies (3.8.6). The proof has been completed.

COROLLARY 3.9. *Let S be a CCIF-semigroup. S is an \mathfrak{N} -semigroup if and only if S/ρ_a is an abelian group for all $a \in S$.*

Proof. Let A be the set of pivot elements of S . If S is an \mathfrak{N} -semigroup then $S = A$ and so S/ρ_a is an abelian group for all $a \in S$. Conversely if S/ρ_a is an abelian group for all $a \in S$ then $S = A$ by Lemma 3.7. Hence S is archimedean, hence an \mathfrak{N} -semigroup.

4. Homomorphisms into \mathbf{R}_+^0 . As seen in §3 every $\bar{\mathfrak{N}}$ -semigroup has a nontrivial homomorphism into \mathbf{R}_+^0 . The following question is raised.

Is a CCIF-semigroup nontrivially homomorphic into \mathbf{R}_+^0 ? We cannot answer this question in general, but in some special case it is affirmative.

Let S be a CCIF-semigroup. As defined in §1, $Q(S)$ denotes the quotient group and $D(S)$ the divisible hull of $Q(S)$.

$$D(S) \cong \bigoplus_{p \in \mathcal{I}} C(p^\infty) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_\alpha$$

where R_α is a copy of the additive group of rationals and $C(p^\infty)$ is a quasicyclic group. The cardinality $|\Gamma|$ of Γ is called the *rank* of S . In the present case the rank of S is not zero since $\bigoplus_{p \in \mathcal{I}} C(p^\infty)$ is torsion while S is torsion-free.

In particular, assume that S is of finite rank. Let T be the torsion subgroup of $D(S)$, then $D(S) = T \oplus R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_n$ where n is

the rank of S . We can assume $R_i \neq \{0\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $P_i = R_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R_i$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then $P_n = P_{n-1} \oplus R_n$ if $n > 1$; and $D(S) = T \oplus P_n$ if $n \geq 1$. Let $\alpha, \bar{\sigma}, \sigma, \pi_n, \tau_n$ be the respective projection homomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha: D(S) &\longrightarrow T, \quad \bar{\sigma}: D(S) \longrightarrow P_n, \quad \sigma = \bar{\sigma}|S, \\ \pi_n: P_n &\longrightarrow P_{n-1}, \quad \tau: P_n \longrightarrow R_n \quad (n \geq 1) \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 4.1. *If S is a CCIF-semigroup of finite rank, then $\text{Hom}(S, R_+^0) \neq \{0\}$. (R_+^0 is the additive semigroup of nonnegative rationals.)*

Proof. S is viewed as a subsemigroup of $D(S)$. We will prove the theorem by induction on n . Let $V_n = \pi_n \sigma(S)$, $W_n = \tau_n \sigma(S)$, $V = \sigma(S)$, $T' = \alpha(S)$. As $D(S) = T \oplus P_n$, we have

$$S = T' \oplus_s V, \text{ and if } n > 1, V = V_n \oplus_s W_n,$$

where \oplus_s denotes a subdirect sum, $V \subseteq P_n$, $V_n \subseteq P_{n-1}$, $W_n \subseteq R_n$, and $T' \subseteq T$, hence T' is a torsion group. First we prove

(4.1.1) V does not contain 0.

Suppose V contains 0. There is $x \in T'$ such that $(x, 0) \in S$. Since T' is a torsion group, $mx = 0$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then $(0, 0) = (x, 0)^m \in S$. This is a contradiction as S has no idempotent.

In case $n = 1$, $S = T' \oplus_s W_1$ where $W_1 = V \subset R_1$. By (4.1.1), W_1 must be isomorphic to a positive rational semigroup R'_1 , say, under f , i.e., $f(W_1) = R'_1$, hence $f\tau_1\sigma \in \text{Hom}(S, R_+^0) \setminus \{0\}$.

Assume $n > 1$ and that the theorem holds for all semigroups of rank i such that $i \leq n - 1$. As denoted above,

$$S = T' \oplus_s V, \quad V = V_n \oplus_s W_n$$

where $V_n \subseteq P_{n-1}$, $W_n \subseteq R_n$. We can assume $V_n \neq \{0\}$, otherwise it is reduced to the case $n = 1$.

If V_n is a CCIF-semigroup, V_n has a nontrivial homomorphism f from V_n into R_+^0 by the induction assumption, hence $f\pi_n\sigma \in \text{Hom}(S, R_+^0) \setminus \{0\}$.

If V_n is a CCI-semigroup which is not a group, then $V_n = V'_n \cup H$ where $V'_n \neq \emptyset$, $H \neq \emptyset$, V'_n is an ideal of V_n and it is a CCIF-semigroup, and H is a group. Define S' by $S' = ((\pi_n\sigma)^{-1}(V'_n)) \cap S$ and $W'_n = \tau_n\sigma(S')$. Then S' is an ideal of S and

$$S' = V'_n \oplus_s W'_n.$$

By the preceding paragraph, $\text{Hom}(S', R_+^0)$ contains a nontrivial

element f . However, since S' is an ideal of S , f can be extended to $\bar{f} \in \text{Hom}(S, R_+^0)$. In fact \bar{f} is obtained by defining $\bar{f}(x) = f(ax) - f(a)$ where $x \in S, a \in S'$. It is easy to show that \bar{f} is well defined and a homomorphism. Suppose $\bar{f}(x_1) < 0$ for some $x_1 \in S$. There exists $m \in Z_+$ such that $m\bar{f}(x_1) + f(a) < 0$. However

$$m\bar{f}(x_1) + f(a) = f(ax_1^m) \geq 0$$

since $ax_1^m \in S'$. This contradicts the assumption. Therefore $\bar{f}(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in S$. Hence $\text{Hom}(S, R_+^0) \neq \{0\}$. Assume V_n is a group. Let $\bar{W}_n = \{(0, z) : z \in W_n\} \cap V$. It is obvious that \bar{W}_n is a subsemigroup if $\bar{W}_n \neq \emptyset$. If $x \in V, x$ has the form $x = (x_1, x_2) \in V_n \oplus_s W_n, x_1 \in V_n, x_2 \in W_n$. Since V_n is a group, there exists $y_2 \in W_n$ such that $y = (-x_1, y_2) \in V$. Then $xy = (0, x_2 + y_2) \in \bar{W}_n$. This proves that $\bar{W}_n \neq \emptyset$ and it is cofinal in V . Suppose $x \in V$ and $a, xa \in \bar{W}_n$. We write $x = (x_1, x_2), a = (0, a_2)$ viewing them as in $V_n \oplus_s W_n$. Then $xa = (x_1, x_2 + a_2) \in \bar{W}_n$ implies $x_1 = 0$, hence $x \in \bar{W}_n$. Thus \bar{W}_n is unitary in V . Since \bar{W}_n does not contain $(0, 0)$ by (4.1.1), \bar{W}_n is isomorphic to a positive rational semigroup R'_n under $f: \bar{W}_n \rightarrow R'_n$. By (4.1.2) below, f extends to $\bar{f} \in \text{Hom}(V, R_+^0)$. Therefore $\bar{f}\sigma \in \text{Hom}(S, R_+^0) \setminus \{0\}$.

(4.1.2) *Let S be a CCIF-semigroup and let U be a unitary cofinal subsemigroup of S . Then every homomorphism of U into R_+^0 extends to a homomorphism of S into R_+^0 .*

This is immediately obtained from [4].

The proof of Theorem 4.1 has been completed.

REMARK 4.2. Let $S = R_+ \oplus (\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_\alpha)$ where $|\Gamma| = \infty, R_\alpha$ is the group of rationals. We note that $\text{Hom}(S, R_+^0) \neq \{0\}$, yet S is not of finite rank. Thus the converse of Theorem 4.1 does not hold.

Next we consider the relation between nontriviality of $\text{Hom}(S, R_+^0)$ and the property

$$(4.3) \quad \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n S = \emptyset \quad \text{for some } a \in S.$$

PROPOSITION 4.4. *If $\text{Hom}(S, R_+^0) \neq \{0\}$, then there is an element $a \in S$ satisfying (4.3).*

Proof. Let $h \in \text{Hom}(S, R_+^0), h \neq 0$. There is $a \in S$ such that $h(a) \neq 0$. Choose a as a standard element. We have $C_1 = \emptyset$ by Proposition 2.7 and then have (4.3) by Proposition 2.11.

The converse of Proposition 4.4 is still open.

Problem 4.5. Let S be a CCIF-semigroup. If $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n S = \emptyset$ for some $a \in S$, then is the following true

$$\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+^0) \neq \{0\}?$$

However, we give a few examples with respect to the related problems.

EXAMPLE 4.6. Let $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n S = \emptyset$. There does not necessarily exist $h \in \text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+^0)$ such that $h(a) \neq 0$.

Let $S = ((Z_+^0; \varphi))$ where $\varphi: Z_+^0 \rightarrow Z$ is defined by

$$\varphi(m) = 1 - m^2 .$$

It can be easily shown that φ is a normal defining function on Z_+^0 , and that if $a = ((1, 0))$, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n S = \emptyset$. Every element f_i of $\text{Hom}(Z_+^0, \mathbf{R})$ has the form

$$f_i(m) = tm \qquad t \in \mathbf{R} ,$$

but there is no t satisfying

$$\varphi(m) + f_i(m) = 1 - m^2 + tm \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } m \in Z_+^0 .$$

By Proposition 2.6, (2.6.1), there is no $h \in \text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+^0)$ with $h(a) \neq 0$. However the projection $h_0: S \rightarrow Z_+^0$ is a nontrivial element of $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+^0)$ such that $h_0(a) = 0$. Thus $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+^0) \neq \{0\}$ and so Example 4.6 is not a counterexample to the converse of Proposition 4.4. In fact the semigroup S is an \mathfrak{N} -semigroup.

EXAMPLE 4.7. We exhibit an example of a CCIF-semigroup S which satisfies

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n S \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for all } a \in S ,$$

and hence $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+^0) = \{0\}$.

$$\text{Let } S = \{(a_1, \dots, a_m): m, a_m \in Z_+, a_i \in Z, 1 \leq i < m\}$$

and define a binary operation on S as follows: if $m \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, \dots, a_m)(b_1, \dots, b_n) &= (b_1, \dots, b_n)(a_1, \dots, a_m) \\ &= (a_1 + b_1, \dots, a_m + b_m, b_{m+1}, \dots, b_n) . \end{aligned}$$

Then, with this product, S is a CCIF-semigroup. Let $S_1 = Z_+$ and $S_i = Z^{i-1} \times Z_+$ for $i > 1$. Then S is the union of the infinite chain of S_i 's, $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i$ and $S_i S_j \subseteq S_j$ if $i \leq j$. If $a \in S_m$ then

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n S = \bigcup_{i>m} S_i .$$

DEFINITION 4.8. A semigroup S is called an \mathfrak{N} '-semigroup if S is isomorphic to a subsemigroup of an \mathfrak{N} -semigroup.

THEOREM 4.9. Let S be a CCIF-semigroup. S is an \mathfrak{N} '-semigroup if and only if

$$\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+) \neq \emptyset .$$

Proof. Assume that S is a subsemigroup of an \mathfrak{N} -semigroup T . By [6, 7] there is an $h \in \text{Hom}(T, \mathbf{R}_+)$. Let h_1 be the restriction of h to S . Then $h_1 \in \text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+)$.

Conversely let $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+) \neq \emptyset$. By Proposition 2.7, $C_1 = \emptyset$. By Theorem 2.2 and its Corollaries, $S \cong (C; \varphi)$ where C is a CCI-semigroup and $\varphi \in \text{DNfn}(C, \mathbf{R})$; and S is isomorphic to a subdirect product of a subsemigroup P of \mathbf{R}_+ and $C, S \cong P \times_s C$. Let Q be the group of quotients of C . Then $P \times_s C$ is a subsemigroup of the direct product $\mathbf{R}_+ \times Q$, but the last direct product is an \mathfrak{N} -semigroup. Consequently S is an \mathfrak{N} '-semigroup.

The two concepts, \mathfrak{N} -semigroup and \mathfrak{N} '-semigroup, are independent of each other.

EXAMPLE 4.10. Let $S = Z_+ \cup (Z \times Z_+)$. A binary operation is defined to be the same as Example 4.7, that is, S is a subsemigroup of the semigroup in Example 4.7. S is an \mathfrak{N} -semigroup, but we prove $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+) = \emptyset$ as follows:

Let $x \in Z_+$ and $(a_1, a_2) \in Z \times Z_+$. There exists $(b_1, b_2) \in Z \times Z_+$ such that

$$x \cdot (b_1, b_2) = (a_1, a_2) .$$

Suppose $h \in \text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+) \neq \emptyset$. Then

$$h(x) < h(a_1, a_2) \text{ for all } x \in Z_+ \text{ and all } (a_1, a_2) \in Z \times Z_+ .$$

In particular $h(1) < h(a_1, a_2)$, but there is $x \in Z_+$ such that $x \cdot h(1) > h(a_1, a_2)$. Accordingly $h(x) = x \cdot h(1) > h(a_1, a_2)$. This contradiction proves $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+) = \emptyset$, hence S is not an \mathfrak{N} '-semigroup.

EXAMPLE 4.11. Let S be the free commutative semigroup generated by infinitely countable letters $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots$. (The empty word is not considered.) S is obviously a CCIF-semigroup and $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+) \neq \emptyset$ since

$$a_{i_1}^{m_1} \cdots a_{i_k}^{m_k} \longmapsto m_1 + \cdots + m_k$$

gives a homomorphism of S into Z_+ . However S is not an \mathfrak{N} -semi-

group, as the greatest semilattice homomorphic image of S does not have a zero.

REMARK. According to his recent personal letter to one of the authors, Professor Yuji Kobayashi, Tokushima University, has negatively answered Problem 4.5 by showing a counter example.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The authors express their heart felt thanks to the referee of his kind advice to this paper.

REFERENCES

1. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *Algebraic theory of semigroups*, vol. I, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1961.
2. Y. Kobayashi, *Homomorphisms on N -semigroups into R_+ and the structure of N -semigroups*, J. Math. Tokushima University, **7** (1973), 1-20.
3. M. Petrich, *Introduction to Semigroups*, C. E. Merrill Publ. Co., 1973.
4. M. S. Putcha and T. Tamura, *Homomorphisms of commutative cancellative semigroups into nonnegative real numbers*, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.
5. L. Rédei, *Die Verallgemeinerung der Schreierscher Erweiterungstheorie*, Acta Sci. Math., Szeged., **14** (1952), 252-273.
6. T. Tamura, *Commutative nonpotent archimedean semigroup with cancellation law*, I., J. Gakugei Tokushima Univ., **8** (1957), 5-11.
7. ———, *Basic study of \mathfrak{R} -semigroups and their homomorphisms*, Semigroup Forum, **8** (1974), 21-50.
8. ———, *Irreducible \mathfrak{R} semigroups*, Math. Nachrt., **63** (1974), 71-88.

Received March 19, 1975.

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SACRAMENTO

CALIFORNIA STATE COLLEGE, STANISLAUS

AND

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS, CALIFORNIA