SUMMABILITY R, FOR DOUBLE SERIES

M. J. Kohn

Let r be a positive integer. A trigonometric series T of a single variable is said to be summable R_r at θ_o if the series obtained by r times formally integrating T has an rth symmetric derivative at θ_o . For even values of r, summability R_r , has been applied to double trigonometric series. We study here summability R_r , for odd values of r, for double trigonometric series. We obtain a connection between Bochner-Riesz summable series and series which are summable R_r .

1. Let

$$(1.1) \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{in\theta}$$

be a trigonometric series of a single variable. Let r be a positive integer. Suppose the series obtained by formally integrating (1.1) r times

$$(1.2) c_o \frac{\theta^r}{r!} + \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{c_n}{(in)^r} e^{in\theta}$$

converges to a function $F(\theta)$ in a neighborhood of $\theta_o \in (0, 2\pi)$. We will say that the series (1.1) is at θ_o summable by the method R_r to sum s if $F(\theta)$ has at θ_o an rth symmetric derivative with value s. That is, if r is even,

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{1}{2} \left\{ F(\theta_o + t) + F(\theta_o - t) \right\} = a_o + \frac{a_2}{2!} t^2 + \cdots + \frac{s}{r!} t^r + o(t^r)$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$, and if r is odd,

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{1}{2} \{ F(\theta_o + t) - F(\theta_o - t) \} = a_1 t + \frac{a_3}{3!} t^3 + \cdots + \frac{s}{r!} t^r + o(t^r) ,$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$.

The following result, see [8], p. 66, establishes a connection between summability (C, α) and summability R_r for trigonometric series.

THEOREM A. Let $\alpha > -1$ and assume the series (1.1) is summable (C, α) at θ_o to sum s. Let r be an integer with $r > \alpha + 1$, and suppose the series (1.2) converges in a neighborhood of θ_o . Then the series (1.1) is summable R_r to s.

2. In two variables we will denote points $x \in E_2$ by $x = (x_1, x_2) =$

 $te^{i\theta}$ and integral lattice points by $n=(n_1, n_2)$. We write

$$|x| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$$
.

We will say a double trigonometric series

$$(2.1) T: \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_2} c_n e^{i n \cdot x}$$

is Bochner-Riesz summable of order α at x_o to sum s_o if

$$\lim_{R o\infty}\sum_{|x|\leq R}\left(1-\left(rac{|n|}{R}
ight)^{\!2}
ight)^{\!lpha}\!c_{n}e^{in\cdot x_{o}}=s_{o}$$
 .

Suppose r is an even number, r = 2s. A two dimensional analogue of summability R_r is given as follows, see [7], [4].

DEFINITION. Let F(x) be defined in a neighborhood of $x_o \in E_2$. F has at x_o a sth generalized Laplacian equal to s_o if F is integrable on each circle $|x - x_o| = t$ and

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F(x_o + te^{i\theta}) d\theta = a_o + \frac{a_s t^2}{(2!)^2} + \cdots + \frac{s_o t^{2s}}{(2^s s!)^2} + o(t^{2s})$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$.

THEOREM B. Let the series T of (2.1) be Bochner-Riesz-m summable at x_o to sum s_o , where m is a nonnegative integer, and suppose the coefficients of T satisfy

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_2} |n|^{-3+\varepsilon} |c_n|^2 < \infty$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Let r = 2s be an even integer with $r \ge m + 2$. Set

$$(2.3) F(x) = \frac{c_o(x_1 + x_2)^{2s}}{2^s(2s)!} + (-1)^s \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{c_n}{|n|^{2s}} e^{in \cdot x}.$$

Then the generalized sth Laplacian of F(x) exists at x_0 and is equal to s_0 .

That is, if the series (2.1) is Bochner-Riesz-m summable to s_o and r is an even number with $r \ge m+2$, then the series is also summable R_r to sum s_o .

3. The purpose of this paper is to derive a connection between Bochner-Riesz summability and summability R_r , for odd values of r. We use the following definition, from [5]. This definition extends the formula of (1.4) to two dimensions in a manner analogous to the extension of (1.3) to two variables by (2.2).

DEFINITION. Let r=2s+1 be an odd positive integer. Let L(x) be a function defined in a neighborhood of $x_o \in E_2$. We will say L(x) has at x_o a generalized symmetric derivative of order r with value s_o if L is integrable on each circle $|x-x_o|=t$, for t small, and if

(3.1)
$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} L(x_o + te^{i\theta}) (\cos \theta + \sin \theta) d\theta$$

$$= a_1 t + a_3 t^3 + \dots + \frac{s_o}{2^{2s+1} s! (s+1)!} t^{2s+1} + o(t^{2s+1})$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$.

We are able to obtain the following results which, for odd values of r, form a two dimensional version of Theorem A. We begin with the case of double trigonometric series which are Bochner-Riesz summable of integral order, since the statement and proof of our results are much simpler in this case.

Theorem 1. Let m be a nonnegative integer. Suppose

$$T: \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_2} c_n e^{in \cdot x}$$

is Bochner-Riesz-m summable at x_o to finite sum s_o . Let r=2s+1 be an odd integer such that $r \ge m+1$. Suppose the coefficients of T satisfy

$$(3.3) \qquad \sum_{n_1+n_2=0} |n|^{-2r+3+\varepsilon} |c_n|^2 + \sum_{n_1+n_2\neq 0} (n_1+n_2)^{-2} |n|^{-2r+3+\varepsilon} |c_n|^2 < \infty$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then the series

$$(3.4) \qquad \frac{c_o(x_1+x_2)^r}{(r)!(2r)!2^{s+1}} + \frac{1}{2}(x_1+x_2) \sum_{n_1+n_2=0}^{\prime} \frac{c_n}{|n|^{2s}} e^{in \cdot x} \\ + \sum_{n_1+n_2\neq 0} \frac{-ic_n}{(n_1+n_2)|n|^{2s}} e^{in \cdot x}$$

converges spherically to a function L(x) which has at x, a generalized symmetric derivative of order r with value s.

We are able to extend Theorem 1 to include some, but not all, fractional orders of Bochner-Riesz summability. Let β be a non-negative real number. We denote by $[\beta]$ the largest integer $\leq \beta$ and by $\langle \beta \rangle$ the fractional part of β , $\langle \beta \rangle = \beta - [\beta]$.

THEOREM 2. Let β be a nonnegative real number with $\langle \beta \rangle < 1/2$. Suppose the series (3.2) is summable Bochner-Riesz- β to finite sum s_o . Let r=2s+1 be an odd integer with $r \geq [\beta]+1$. Suppose the coefficients of the series (3.2) satisfy formula (3.3) for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

Then the conclusion of Theorem 1 still holds.

In particular, in the two dimensional case, Bochner-Riesz summability of order β , for $\beta < 1/2$, is enough to imply summability R_1 (which is Lebesgue summability).

4. Although Theorem 1 is a special case of Theorem 2, we give its proof separately, since its proof is much easier than that of Theorem 2. We will assume, as we may, that $c_o = 0$, $x_o = 0$, and $s_o = 0$. We set

$$S_R = S_R(0) = \sum_{|n| < R} c_n$$
 ,

and for $\eta > 0$

(4.1)
$$S_R^{\eta} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\eta)} \int_0^R (R-u)^{\eta-1} S_u du$$
.

Note that S_R^{η} , as a function of R, is the fractional integral of order η of $f(R) = S_R$, see [6].

Hardy, see [2], has shown that a series $\sum c_n$ is Bochner-Riesz- η summable to 0 if and only if

$$\sum_{|n| < R} c_n \left(1 - \frac{|n|}{R} \right)^n \longrightarrow 0$$

as $R \to \infty$. Thus, for the proof of Theorem 1 we may assume

$$(4.2) S_R^m = o(R^m)$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

We will need the following lemmas. The first lemma has been adapted from [7].

LEMMA 1. Suppose $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_2} c_n e^{in \cdot x}$ is Bochner-Riesz-(m+1) summable to 0 at x=0, and suppose the coefficients c_n satisfy condition (3.3) of Theorem 1, with $r \geq m+1$. Then

$$S_R^k = o(R^{r+1/2}),$$

as $R \to \infty$, for $k = 0, 1, \dots, m + 1$.

Proof. We first note that for $n_1 + n_2 \neq 0$,

$$egin{aligned} &\sum_{n_1+n_2
eq 0} (n_1+n_2)^{-2} |n|^{-2r+3+arepsilon} |c_n|^2 \ &\geq rac{1}{4} \sum_{n_1+n_2
eq 0} |n|^{-2} |n|^{-2r+3+arepsilon} |c_n|^2 \ &= rac{1}{4} \sum_{n_1+n_2
eq 0} |n|^{-2r+1+arepsilon} |c_n|^2 \ . \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (3.3),

$$\sum_{n_1+n_2
eq 0} |n|^{-2r+1+arepsilon} |c_n|^2 < \infty$$
 ,

and therefore

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_2} |n|^{-2r+1+\varepsilon} |c_n|^2 < \infty$$
 .

Using Schwartz's inequality,

$$(4.4) \begin{split} \sum_{|n| < R} |c_n| &= \sum_{|n| < R} (|n|^{1/2(-2r+1+\varepsilon)} |c_n|) (|n|^{-1/2(-2r+1+\varepsilon)}) \\ &\leq (\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_2} |n|^{-2r+1+\varepsilon} |c_n|^2)^{1/2} (\sum_{|n| < R} |n|^{2r-1-\varepsilon})^{1/2} \\ &= C \cdot (R^{2r+1-\varepsilon})^{1/2} \\ &= o(R^{r+1/2}) \end{split}$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

Now fix an integer j.

$$egin{aligned} \sum_{|i| < R} c_i (R - |i| + j)^{m+1} &= \sum_{|i| < R + j} c_i (R - |i| + j)^{m+1} \ &- \sum_{R \le |i| < R + j} c_i (R - |i| + j)^{m+1} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum c_n e^{in \cdot x}$ is Bochner-Riesz-(m+1) summable to 0 at 0,

$$\sum_{|j| \leq P+i} c_i (R-|i|+j)^{m+1} = o(R^{m+1})$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\sum_{R \le |i| \le R+i} c_i(R - |i| + j)^{m+1} = o(R^{r+1/2})$$
 ,

because of (4.4). Thus,

(4.5)
$$\sum_{|i| < R} c_i (R - |i| + j)^{m+1} = o(R^{m+1}) + o(R^{r+1/2}) \\ = o(R^{r+1/2}),$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

We next use the fact, see [7], that there are number C_{jk} , for $j=1,\cdots,m+2,\,k=0,\cdots,m+1$ such that for all complex numbers z,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+2} C_{jk} (z+j)^{m+1} = z^k$$
 .

Thus, for $0 \le k \le m+1$,

$$egin{align} S_{\scriptscriptstyle R}^{\,k} &= rac{1}{k!} \sum_{|i| < R} c_i (R - |i|)^k \ &= rac{1}{k!} \sum_{|i| < R} c_i \sum_{j=1}^{m+2} C_{jk} (R - |i| + j)^{m+1} \ \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{align} &=\sum_{j=1}^{m+2}rac{1}{k!}C_{jk}\sum_{|i|< R}c_i(R-|i|+j)^{m+1}\ &=\sum_{j=1}^{m+2}rac{1}{k!}C_{jk}o(R^{r+1/2})\ &=o(R^{r+1/2}) \ , \end{array}$$

by (4.5). This proves Lemma 1.

LEMMA 2. Let $x=(x_1,\,x_2)=te^{i\theta}\in E_2$ and $n=(n_1,\,n_2)\in \pmb{Z}_2$, with $|n|\neq 0$. Define

$$(4.6) \hspace{1cm} g_{_{n}}\!(x) = egin{cases} rac{1}{2}(x_{_{1}}+x_{_{2}})e^{in\cdot x} & if & n_{_{1}}+n_{_{2}}=0 \ rac{-ie^{in\cdot x}}{n_{_{1}}+n_{_{2}}} & if & n_{_{1}}+n_{_{2}}
otag \end{cases}.$$

Then

$$rac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g_n(te^{i heta})(\cos heta + \sin heta)d heta = rac{J_1(\mid n\mid t)}{\mid n\mid}$$
 ,

where $J_1(z)$ is the Bessel function of the first kind of order 1.

Proof. This is the lemma from [5].

5. Proof of Theorem 1. Let

$$T_{\scriptscriptstyle R}(x) = \sum_{\stackrel{\mid n \mid < R}{n_1 + n_n = 0}} rac{1}{2} (x_1 \, + \, x_2) rac{c_n}{\mid n \mid^{2s}} e^{i n \cdot x} \, + \sum_{\stackrel{\mid n \mid < R}{n_1 + n_n \neq 0}} rac{-i c_n}{(n_1 + n_2) \mid n \mid^{2s}} e^{i n \cdot x} \; .$$

The hypothesis (3.3) insures that

$$L(x) = \lim_{R \to \infty} T_R(x)$$

exists a.e. on each circle |x| = t, see [3], Theorem 1. Also, by Theorem 2 of [3],

$$\int_0^{2\pi}\!\sup_{\scriptscriptstyle R}|T_{\scriptscriptstyle R}(te^{i heta})|d heta<\infty$$
 ,

so, using Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem,

$$egin{aligned} & rac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} L(te^{i heta})(\cos heta + \sin heta)d heta \ & = \lim_{R o\infty} rac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} T_R(te^{i heta})(\cos heta + \sin heta)d heta \ & = \lim_{R o\infty} \sum_{|n|< R} rac{c_n}{|n|^{2s}} rac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g_n(te^{i heta})(\cos heta + \sin heta)d heta \end{aligned}$$

where $g_n(x)$ is defined by (4.6). Using Lemma 2 we get

(5.1)
$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} L(te^{i\theta}) (\cos\theta + \sin\theta) d\theta \\ &= \lim_{R \to \infty} \sum_{|n| < R} \frac{c_n}{|n|^{2s}} \frac{J_1(|n|t)}{|n|} \\ &= \lim_{R \to \infty} \sum_{|n| < R} c_n \frac{J_1(|n|t)}{|n|^r} \\ &= t^r \lim_{R \to \infty} \sum_{|n| < R} c_n \gamma(|n|t) \;, \end{split}$$

where $\gamma(t) = z^{-r}J_1(z)$.

We express the last sum as an integral and integrate by parts m+1 times.

$$\sum_{|n| < R} c_n \gamma(|n|t) = S_R \gamma(Rt) - \int_0^R S_u \frac{d}{du} \gamma(ut) du$$

$$= S_R \gamma(Rt) - S_R^1 \frac{d}{dR} \gamma(Rt) + \int_0^R S_u^1 \frac{d^2}{du^2} \gamma(ut) du$$

$$\vdots$$

$$= S_R \gamma(Rt) - S_R^1 \frac{d}{dR} \gamma(Rt) + \dots + (-1)^m S_R^m \frac{d^m}{dR^m} \gamma(Rt)$$

$$+ (-1)^{m+1} \int_0^R S_u^m \frac{d^{m+1}}{du^{m+1}} \gamma(ut) du .$$

From Lemma 1,

$$S_{R}^{k} = o(R^{r+1/2})$$
 for $k = 0, \dots, m$.

Repeatedly using the relations from [1],

(5.3)
$$\frac{d}{dz}(z^{-n}J_n(z)) = z^{-n}J_{n+1}(z)$$

and

$$J_{\nu}(z) = o(z^{-1/2})$$
 ,

as $z \to \infty$, we get

$$\frac{d^k}{dz_k}\gamma(z) = o(z^{-r-1/2})$$

as $z \to \infty$. So, for $k = 0, \dots, m$

(5.5)
$$S_{R}^{k} \frac{d^{k}}{dR^{k}} \gamma(Rt) = o(R^{r+1/2})o(R^{-r-1/2})$$
$$= o(1),$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, returning to (5.2),

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \sum_{|n| < R} c_n \gamma(|n|t) = (-1)^{m+1} \int_0^\infty S_u^m \frac{d^{m+1}}{du^{m+1}} \gamma(ut) du ,$$

and (5.1) becomes,

(5.6)
$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} & L(te^{i\theta})(\cos\theta + \sin\theta) d\theta \\ &= t^r \lim_{R \to \infty} \sum_{|n| < R} c_n \gamma(|n|t) \\ &= t^r (-1)^{m+1} \int_0^\infty & S_u^m \frac{d^{m+1}}{du^{m+1}} \gamma(ut) du \;. \end{split}$$

Now we make use of the series expansion for $J_1(z)$, [1], p. 4.

(5.7)
$$J_{1}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} (\frac{1}{2}z)^{1+2k}}{k! (k+1)!} = a_{1}z + a_{3}z^{3} + \cdots$$

Then,

$$egin{align} \gamma(z) &= z^{-r} J_1(z) \ &= z^{-r} (a_1 z + a_3 z^3 + \cdots + a_{r-2} z^{r-2} + a_r z^r + \cdots) \ . \end{array}$$

We define a polynomial P(z) as follows. If r=1, let $P(z)\equiv 0$. Otherwise, let

$$P(z) = a_1 z + a_3 z^3 + \cdots + a_{r-2} z^{r-2}$$

where the a_i 's are given by (5.7). Now we let

$$\lambda(z) = \gamma(z) - z^{-r}P(z).$$

Then $\lambda(z)$ is an entire function in the plane and

$$\gamma(z) = z^{-r}P(z) + \lambda(z).$$

Returning to (5.6),

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} L(te^{i\theta})(\cos\theta + \sin\theta)d\theta
= t^{r}(-1)^{m+1} \int_{0}^{\infty} S_{u}^{m} \frac{d^{m+1}}{du^{m+1}} \gamma(ut)du
= t^{r}(-1)^{m+1} \int_{0}^{\infty} S_{u}^{m} \frac{d^{m+1}}{du^{m+1}} \{(ut)^{-r} P(ut) + \lambda(ut)\} du
= t^{r}(-1)^{m+1} \int_{0}^{\infty} S_{u}^{m} \frac{d^{m+1}}{du^{m+1}} \{(ut)^{-r} P(ut)\} du
+ t^{r}(-1)^{m+1} \int_{0}^{\infty} S_{u}^{m} \frac{d^{m+1}}{du^{m+1}} \lambda(ut) du
= A + t^{r} B(t) .$$

Since $c_o = 0$, therefore $S_u^m = 0$ for $0 \le u < 1$. Thus we may replace the interval of integration of the integral involving A by the interval $(1/2, \infty)$.

$$egin{aligned} A &= t^r (-1)^{m+1} \int_{1/2}^\infty S_u^m \, rac{d^{m+1}}{du^{m+1}} \{(ut)^{-r} P(ut)\} du \ &= t^r (-1)^{m+1} \int_{1/2}^\infty S_u^m \, rac{d^{m+1}}{du^{m+1}} (\sum_{k=1 top k ext{ odd}}^{r-2} a_k (ut)^{k-r}) du \ &= \sum_{k=1 top k ext{ odd}}^{r-2} t^{r+k-r} a_k (-1)^{m+1} \int_{1/2}^\infty S_u^m \, rac{d^{m+1}}{du^{m+1}} u^{k-r} du \ &= \sum_{k=1 top k ext{ odd}}^{r-2} t^k a_k (-1)^{m+1} \int_{1/2}^\infty o(u^m) O(u^{k-r-m-1}) du \ &= \sum_{k=1 top k ext{ odd}}^{r-2} t^k a_k (-1)^{m+1} \int_{1/2}^\infty o(u^{k-r-1}) du \ &= \sum_{k=1 top k ext{ odd}}^{r-2} b_k t^k \ . \end{aligned}$$

Returning to (5.9),

$$egin{aligned} & rac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} L(te^{i heta}) (\cos heta + \sin heta) d heta \ & = A + t^r B(t) \ & = b_1 t + b_3 t^3 + \cdots + b_{r-s} t^{r-s} + 0 \cdot t^r + t^r B(t) \ . \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 1 will be complete when we establish $B(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} B(t) = (-1)^{m+1} \int_0^\infty S_u^m \, \frac{d^{m+1}}{du^{m+1}} \lambda(ut) du \\ \\ = \int_0^{1/t} + \int_{1/t}^\infty \\ \\ = B_1(t) + B_2(t) \; . \end{array}$$

To estimate $B_1(t)$ we use the fact that $\lambda(z)$ is entire, so for $|z| \leq 1$,

$$\left| rac{d^k}{dz^k} \, \lambda(z)
ight| < K$$
 .

Since $|ut| \leq 1$ in the interval of integration involving $B_1(t)$,

$$\left|\frac{d^{m+1}}{du^{m+1}}\,\lambda(ut)\right| \le t^{m+1}K$$

in this interval.

$$B_{1}(t) = (-1)^{m+1} \int_{0}^{1/t} o(u^{m}) t^{m+1} K du$$

$$= o(t^{m+1}) \int_{0}^{1/t} u^{m} du$$

$$= o(t^{m+1}) \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{m+1}$$

$$= o(1)$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$.

For the estimate of $B_2(t)$ we use the decomposition

$$\lambda(z) = \gamma(z) - z^{-r}P(z).$$

Clearly, as $z \to \infty$

$$\frac{d^{m+1}}{dz^{m+1}}z^{-r}P(z) = O(z^{-m-3}),$$

and by (5.4),

$$rac{d^{m+1}}{dz^{m+1}} \gamma(z) = O(z^{-r-1/2})$$
 .

Thus, for $z \to \infty$

(5.11)
$$\frac{d^{m+1}}{dz^{m+1}}\lambda(z) = O(z^{-r-1/2}),$$

and

$$egin{align} B_2(t) &= (-1)^{m+1} \int_{1/t}^\infty S_u^m rac{d^{m+1}}{du^{m+1}} \lambda(ut) du \ &= (-1)^{m+1} \int_{1/t}^\infty o(u^m) t^{m+1} O(ut)^{-r-1/2} du \ &= o(t^{m+1-r-1/2}) \int_{1/t}^\infty o(u)^{m-r-1/2} du \ &= o(t^{m-r+1/2}) o\left(rac{1}{t}
ight)^{m-r+1/2} \ &= o(1) \; . \end{split}$$

(Note we needed m-r-1/2<-1 to perform the last integration.) Thus $B_2(t)\to 0$ as $t\to 0$, and returning to (5.10), the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

6. Proof of Theorem 2. We may assume that the fractional part of β is not zero. Otherwise Theorem 2 reduces to Theorem 1. Write $\beta = m + \alpha$, where m is an integer and $0 < \alpha < 1/2$.

We again assume $c_o = 0$, $x_o = 0$, $s_o = 0$. We proceed as in the beginning of the proof of Theorem 1.

$$egin{aligned} rac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} L(te^{i heta}) &(\cos heta + \sin heta) d heta \ &= t^r \lim_{R o\infty} \sum_{|n| < R} c_n \gamma(|n|t) \;, \end{aligned}$$

with $\gamma(z) = z^{-r}J_1(z)$.

As in the proof of Theorem 1 we integrate the last sum by parts. We now integrate by parts m+2 times.

$$\sum_{|n| < R} c_n \gamma(|n|t) = S_R \gamma(Rt) - \int_0^R S_u \frac{d}{du} \gamma(ut) du$$

$$\vdots$$

$$(6.1) \qquad = S_R \gamma(Rt) - S_R^1 \frac{d}{dR} \gamma(Rt) + \dots + (-1)^{m+1} S_R^{m+1} \frac{d^{m+1}}{dR^{m+1}} \gamma(Rt)$$

$$+ (-1)^{m+2} \int_0^R S_u^{m+1} \frac{d^{m+2}}{du^{m+2}} \gamma(ut) du .$$

We are now assuming the series (3.1) is summable Bochner-Riesz- β to 0 at $x_o = 0$, so it is also summable Bochner-Riesz-(m + 1) to 0 at $x_o = 0$. Therefore we may again apply Lemma 1. For $0 \le k \le m + 1$,

$$S_R^k \frac{d^k}{dR^k} \gamma(Rt) = o(R^{r+1/2}) O(R^{-r-1/2})$$

= $o(1)$.

as $R \rightarrow \infty$, so

$$\begin{array}{ll} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{_{0}}^{2\pi} L(te^{i\theta})(\cos\theta + \sin\theta)d\theta \\ \\ (6.2) & = t^{r} \lim\limits_{R \to \infty} \sum\limits_{|n| < R} c_{n} \gamma(|n|t) \\ \\ & = t^{r} (-1)^{m} \int_{_{0}}^{\infty} S_{u}^{m+1} \frac{d^{m+2}}{du^{m+2}} \gamma(ut) du \; . \end{array}$$

We define P(z) and $\lambda(z)$ as in the proof of Theorem 1:

$$P(z)=egin{cases} 0 & ext{if} \quad r=1 \ lpha_1z+lpha_3z^3+\cdots+lpha_{r-2}z^{r-2} & ext{if} \quad r
eq 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\lambda(z) = \gamma(z) - z^{-r}P(z) .$$

Then (6.2) becomes,

$$egin{aligned} & rac{1}{2\pi} \int_{_0}^{2\pi} L(te^{i heta}) (\cos heta + \sin heta) d heta \ &= t^r (-1)^m \int_{_0}^{\infty} S_u^{\,m+1} rac{d^{\,m+2}}{du^{\,m+2}} [(ut)^{-r} P(ut) \, + \, \lambda(ut)] du \end{aligned}$$

$$= t^{r}(-1)^{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} S_{u}^{m+1} \frac{d^{m+2}}{du^{m+2}} [(ut)^{-r} P(ut)] du$$

$$+ t^{r}(-1)^{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} S_{u}^{m+1} \frac{d^{m+2}}{du^{m+2}} \lambda(ut) du$$

$$= A(t) + t^{r} B(t) .$$

$$A = t^{r}(-1)^{m} \int_{1/2}^{\infty} S_{u}^{m+1} \frac{d^{m+2}}{du^{m+2}} (\sum_{k=1 \text{ k odd}}^{r-2} a_{k}(ut)^{k-r}) du$$

$$= \sum_{k=1 \text{ k odd}}^{r-2} t^{r+k-r} a_{k} (-1)^{m} \int_{1/2}^{\infty} o(u)^{m+1} \frac{d^{m+2}}{du^{m+2}} u^{k-r} du$$

$$= \sum_{k=1 \text{ k odd}}^{r-2} b_{k} t^{k} .$$

$$k \text{ odd}$$

Hence,

(6.3)
$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}L(te^{i\theta})(\cos\theta+\sin\theta)d\theta=\sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\text{ odd}}}^{r-2}b_kt^k+t^rB(t)$$

where

(6.4)
$$B(t) = (-1)^m \int_0^\infty S_u^{m+1} \frac{d^{m+2}}{du^{m+2}} \lambda(ut) du.$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 2 we must show $B(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. If f(u) is a function defined for u > 0 and η is a positive real number, denote by

$$I^{\eta}f(z)=rac{1}{\Gamma(\eta)}\int_0^z(z-u)^{\eta-1}f(u)du$$
 ,

the fractional integral of order η , see [6]. Now if we set

$$f(u) = S_u = \sum_{|x| \leq u} c_n$$
,

then by (4.1),

$$S_{\cdot \cdot \cdot}^{\eta} = I^{\eta} S_{\cdot \cdot \cdot}$$

so

$$egin{aligned} S_u^{m+1} &= I^{m+1} S_u \ &= I^{1-lpha} I^{m+lpha} S_u \ &= I^{1-lpha} S_u^{m+lpha} \; . \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$egin{align} S_u^{m+1} &= rac{1}{\Gamma(1-lpha)} \!\!\int_0^u (u-z)^{1-lpha-1} S_z^{m+lpha} dz \ &= rac{1}{\Gamma(1-lpha)} \!\!\int_0^u (u-z)^{-lpha} S_z^{m+lpha} dz \;. \end{split}$$

Returning to (6.4)

$$egin{aligned} B(t) &= (-1)^m \int_0^\infty S_u^{m+1} rac{d^{m+2}}{du^{m+2}} \lambda(ut) du \ &= \lim_{R o \infty} (-1)^m \int_0^R rac{1}{\Gamma(1-lpha)} \int_0^u (u-z)^{-lpha} S_z^{m+lpha} dz \, rac{d^{m+2}}{du^{m+2}} \lambda(ut) du \ &= \lim_{R o \infty} rac{(-1)^m}{\Gamma(1-lpha)} \int_0^R S_z^{m+lpha} \int_z^R (u-z)^{-lpha} \, rac{d^{m+2}}{du^{m+2}} \lambda(ut) du dz \ &= \lim_{R o \infty} rac{(-1)^m}{\Gamma(1-lpha)} \int_0^R S_z^{m+lpha} H(z,t,R) dz \; , \end{aligned}$$

where

$$egin{align} H(z,\,t,\,R) &= \int_{z}^{R} (u-z)^{-lpha} \, rac{d^{m+2}}{du^{m+2}} \lambda(ut) du \;. \ B(t) &= \lim_{R o\infty} rac{(-1)^m}{\Gamma(1-lpha)} \int_{0}^{1/t} \! S_z^{m+lpha} H(z,\,t,\,R) dz \ &+ \lim_{R o\infty} rac{(-1)^m}{\Gamma(1-lpha)} \int_{1/t}^{R} \! S_z^{m+lpha} H(z,\,t,\,R) dz \ &= B_1(t) + B_2(t) \;. \ \end{array}$$

We will make separate estimates of H(z, t, R) for $B_1(t)$ and for $B_2(t)$. First, in the interval of integration involving $B_1(t)$, $0 \le z \le 1/t$.

(6.5)
$$H(z, t, R) = \int_{z}^{R} (u - z)^{-\alpha} \frac{d^{m+2}}{du^{m+2}} \lambda(ut) du$$
$$= \int_{z}^{1/t} + \int_{1/t}^{R}$$
$$= H_{1} + H_{2}.$$

Using the fact that λ is entire,

$$egin{align} |H_1| & \leq \int_z^{1/t} (z-u)^{-lpha} t^{m+2} \! \cdot \! K \! du \ & \leq K t^{m+2} \int_z^{1/t} (z-u)^{-lpha} \! du \ & = O(t^{m+2}) \! \Big(rac{1}{t} - z \Big)^{1-lpha} \; . \end{split}$$

We estimate H_2 by employing (5.11)

$$egin{align} H_2 &= \int_{1/t}^R (u-z)^{-lpha} \, rac{d^{m+2}}{du^{m+2}} \lambda(ut) du \ &= \int_{1/t}^\infty (u-z)^{-lpha} t^{m+2} O(ut)^{-r-1/2} du \ \end{gathered}$$

$$egin{align} &=O(t^{m-r+3/2})\Big(rac{1}{t}-z\Big)^{-lpha}\int_{1/t}^{\infty}u^{-r-1/2}du\ &=O(t^{m-r+3/2})\Big(rac{1}{t}-z\Big)^{-lpha}\Big(rac{1}{t}\Big)^{-r+1/2}\ &=O(t^{m+1})\Big(rac{1}{t}-z\Big)^{-lpha}\ . \end{array}$$

Returning to (6.5),

$$H(z, t, R) = O(t^{m+2}) \left(\frac{1}{t} - z\right)^{1-\alpha} + O(t^{m+1}) \left(\frac{1}{t} - z\right)^{-\alpha}.$$

and

$$egin{aligned} B_1(t) &= rac{(-1)^m}{\Gamma(1-lpha)} \int_0^{1/t} S_z^{m+lpha} H(z,\,t,\,R) dz \ &= \int_0^{1/t} o(z^{m+lpha}) \left\{ O(t^{m+2}) \Big(rac{1}{t}-z\Big)^{1-lpha} + O(t^{m+1}) \Big(rac{1}{t}-z\Big)^{-lpha}
ight\} dz \ &= o\Big(rac{1}{t}\Big)^{m+lpha} \left\{ O(t^{m+2}) \int_0^{1/t} \Big(rac{1}{t}-z\Big)^{1-lpha} dz + O(t^{m+1}) \int_0^{1/t} \Big(rac{1}{t}-z\Big)^{-lpha} dz
ight\} \ &= o\Big(rac{1}{t}\Big)^{m+lpha} \left\{ O(t^{m+2}) \Big(rac{1}{t}\Big)^{2-lpha} + O(t^{m+1}) \Big(rac{1}{t}\Big)^{1-lpha}
ight\} \ &= o(1) \; , \end{aligned}$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$.

It remains to be shown that $B_2(t) \rightarrow 0$. In the interval of integration for B_2 , $1/t \le z \le R$, and

$$egin{align} H(z,\,t,\,R) &= \int_z^R (u\,-z)^{-lpha} \, rac{d^{m+2}}{du^{m+2}} \lambda(ut) du \ &= \int_z^R (u\,-z)^{-lpha} \, rac{d^{m+2}}{du^{m+2}} \Big(rac{-P(ut)}{(ut)^r}\Big) du \ &+ \int_z^R (u\,-z)^{-lpha} \, rac{d^{m+2}}{du^{m+2}} \gamma(ut) du \ &= H_a \,+\, H_b \;. \end{align}$$

$$egin{aligned} H_a &= -\int_z^R (u-z)^{-lpha} rac{d^{m+2}}{du^{m+2}} (\sum\limits_{k=1 top k ext{ odd}}^{r-2} a_k(ut)^{k-r}) du \ &= \int_z^R (u-z)^{-lpha} t^{m+2} O(ut)^{-m-4} du \ &= t^{-2} \left\{ \int_z^{2z} (u-z)^{-lpha} O(u)^{-m-4} du + \int_{2z}^{\infty} (u-z)^{-lpha} O(u)^{-m-4} du
ight\} \ &= t^{-2} \{ O(z)^{1-lpha} z^{-m-4} + O(z^{-lpha}) z^{-m-3} \} \ &= t^{-2} O(z^{-m-lpha-3}) \; . \end{aligned}$$

We change variables in the interval for H_b by letting x = ut.

$$egin{align} H_b(z,\,t,\,R) &= \int_z^R (u-z)^{-a} \, rac{d^{m+2}}{du^{m+2}} \gamma(ut) du \ &= \int_{tz}^{tR} \!\! \left(rac{x}{t}-z
ight)^{-a} t^{m+2} \, rac{d^{m+2}}{du^{m+2}} \, \gamma(x) \, rac{dx}{t} \ &= t^{m+1+lpha} \!\! \int_{tz}^{tR} \!\! (x-tz)^{-lpha} \! \gamma^{(m+2)}(x) dx \ &= t^{m+1+lpha} \!\! \left\{ \! \int_{tz}^{tz+1} + \int_{tz+1}^{tR} \!\!
ight\} \ &= H_b' + H_b'' \; . \end{split}$$

Recall that $1/t \le z$, so in the interval of integration for H_b , $x > tz \ge 1$. Thus, by (5.11)

$$|\gamma^{(m+2)}(x)| \leq Cx^{-r-1/2}$$
,

and

$$egin{align} H_b' &= t^{m+1+lpha}\!\!\int_{tz}^{tz+1}\!\!(x-tz)^{-lpha}\gamma^{(m+2)}\!(x)dx \ &= t^{m+1+lpha}O(tz)^{-r-1/2}\!\int_{tz}^{tz+1}\!\!(x-tz)^{-lpha}dx \ &= t^{m+1+lpha}O(tz)^{-r-1/2} \;. \end{align}$$

We estimate $H_b^{"}$ by integrating by parts.

$$H_b'' = t^{m+1+lpha} \int_{tz+1}^{tR} (x-tz)^{-lpha} \gamma^{(m+2)}(x) dx \ = t^{m+1+lpha} (x-tz)^{-lpha} \gamma^{(m+1)}(x) \Big|_{tz+1}^{tR} \ + t^{m+1+lpha} lpha \int_{tz+1}^{tR} (x-tz)^{-lpha-1} \gamma^{(m+1)}(x) dx \ = t^{m+1+lpha} (x-tz)^{-lpha} \gamma^{(m+1)}(x) \Big|_{tz+1}^{tR} \ + t^{m+1+lpha} O(tz)^{-r-1/2} \int_{tz+1}^{tR} (x-tz)^{-lpha-1} dx \ = t^{m+1+lpha} (tR-tz)^{-lpha} \gamma^{(m+1)}(tR) - t^{m+1+lpha} \gamma^{(m+1)}(tz+1) \ + t^{m+1+lpha} O(tz)^{-r-1/2} \Big(rac{1}{-lpha} \Big) \{ (tR-tz)^{-lpha} - 1 \} \ = t^{m+1+lpha} (tR-tz)^{-lpha} O(tz)^{-r-1/2} + t^{m+1+lpha} O(tz)^{-r-1/2} \ = t^{m+1+lpha} O(tz)^{-r-1/2} \, .$$

Hence, in the interval of integration for B_2 ,

$$egin{aligned} H_b(z,\,t,\,R) &= H_b' + H_b'' \ &= t^{m+1+lpha} O(tz)^{-r-1/2} \,, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$H(z, t, R) = H_a + H_b$$

= $t^{-2}O(z^{-m-\alpha-3}) + t^{m+1+\alpha}O(tz)^{-r-1/2}$.

So,

$$egin{aligned} B_2(t) &= \lim_{R o\infty} rac{(-1)^m}{\Gamma(1-lpha)} \!\int_{1/t}^R \!S_z^{m+lpha} H(z,\,t,\,R) dz \ &= \lim_{R o\infty} rac{(-1)^m}{\Gamma(1-lpha)} \!\int_{1/t}^R \!o(z)^{m+lpha} \! \{ t^{-2} O(z^{-m-lpha-3}) + t^{m+1+lpha} O(tz)^{-r-1/2} \} dz \ &= t^{-2} \int_{1/t}^\infty \!o(z^{m+lpha-m-lpha-3}) dz + t^{m+1+lpha-r-1/2} \int_{1/t}^\infty \!o(z^{m+lpha-r-1/2}) dz \ &= t^{-2} o(z^{-2}) \left| \int_{1/t}^\infty + t^{m+1/2+lpha-r} o(z^{m+lpha-r+1/2}) \right|_{1/t}^\infty \ &= o(1) \; . \end{aligned}$$

(Note that the hypothesis $\alpha < 1/2$ is necessary here to insure that the last integral converge.) This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

- 1. Erdelyi et al, Higher Transcendental Functions, vol. II, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953.
- 2. G. H. Hardy, The second theorem of consistency for summable series, Proc. London Math. Soc., (2), 15 (1916), 72-88.
- 3. M. Kohn, Spherical convergence and integrability of multiple trigonometric series on hypersurfaces, Studia Math., 44 (1972), 345-354.
- 4. M. Kohn, Riemann summability of multiple trigonometric series, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 24 (1975), 813-823.
- 5. M. Kohn, Lebesgue summability of double trigonometric series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- M. Riesz, L'integrale de Riemann-Liouville et le problem de Cauchy, Acta Math., 81 (1949), 1-233.
- 7. V. Shapiro, Circular summability C of double trigonometric series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 76 (1954), 223-233.
- 8. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, Vol. II, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1968.

Received March 30, 1976 and in revised form October 6, 1976. Research partially sponsored by the City University of New York Research Foundation.

BROOKLYN COLLEGE OF THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK BROOKLYN, NY 11210