

## IMAGES OF $SK_1ZG$

BRUCE A. MAGURN

**The computation of  $SK_1ZG$  for finite nonabelian groups  $G$  remains a difficult problem. Few examples are known in which  $SK_1ZG$  is nontrivial. One way to uncover nontrivial elements is to examine the homomorphic images of  $SK_1ZG$  under  $K_1(-)$  of ring maps  $ZG \rightarrow A$ . Such images are investigated here in the cases where  $A$  is a commutative ring, a noncommutative order or a semisimple artinian image of  $ZG$ . Even trivial images illuminate the structure of  $SK_1ZG$  through  $K$ -theory exact sequences.**

2. Terminology. The word "ring" refers to an associative ring with an identity. The group of units of a ring  $A$  is denoted  $A^*$ . "Map" means homomorphism. Unless otherwise specified,  $G$  denotes a finite group, and  $R$ , the ring of integers in an algebraic number field  $F$ .

3. The origin of  $K_1$ . In 1950 J. H. C. Whitehead introduced the notion of simple homotopy equivalence. A natural question in this theory is the following: Which  $CW$ -complexes are equivalent, relative to a common subcomplex  $L$ , under deformations which add and delete cells in a "simple" way along the cell structure? (See [3] for details.)

The answer lies in the computation of the Whitehead group  $Wh(L)$ , which depends only on the fundamental group  $\pi_1(L)$ . In fact it is obtained by the following algebraic construction: Let  $ZG$  denote the integral group ring of a (possibly infinite) group  $G$ . Then  $GL(ZG)$  is the group of all invertible matrices over  $ZG$ , with matrices  $A$  and  $B$  identified if  $A = \begin{bmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & I_n \end{bmatrix}$  for some size identity matrix  $I_n$ . The commutator subgroup  $E(ZG)$  of  $GL(ZG)$  is generated by all elementary matrices, obtained from the identity by adding a  $ZG$  multiple of one row to another. The quotient  $GL(ZG)/E(ZG)$  is written  $K_1ZG$ . The trivial units  $\pm G$  of  $ZG$  are  $1 \times 1$  matrices in  $GL(ZG)$ . The Whitehead group  $Wh_1(G)$  of  $G$  is  $K_1ZG/\text{Image}(\pm G)$ . If  $L$  is a  $CW$ -complex,  $Wh(L) = Wh_1(\pi_1(L))$ .

Group maps  $G \rightarrow H$  extend to ring maps  $ZG \rightarrow ZH$ , and entry-wise on representative matrices to groups  $K_1ZG \rightarrow K_1ZH$ . This makes  $K_1Z(-)$  a functor from groups to abelian groups. Replacing  $ZG$ , the same construction provides the functor  $K_1(-)$  from rings to abelian groups. However, the group  $G$  plays a special role in the computation of  $K_1ZG$ , which apparently has no natural analog in  $K_1A$  for an arbitrary ring  $A$ .

Assume henceforth that  $G$  denotes a finite group. H. Bass proved [2] that  $K_1ZG$ , hence also  $Wh_1G$ , is a finitely generated abelian group of rank  $r - q$ , where  $r$  and  $q$  are the numbers of inequivalent irreducible real and rational representations of  $G$ , respectively. C. T. C. Wall showed [11] that the torsion parts are  $\text{tor } K_1ZG = SK_1ZG \times \pm G^{ab}$  and  $\text{tor } Wh_1(G) = SK_1ZG$ , where  $SK_1ZG$  is the kernel of a determinant map, defined on  $K_1ZG$  in the next section.

4. Three functors called  $SK_1$ . Let  $A$  be an  $R$  order in a finite dimensional semisimple  $F$  algebra  $\Gamma$ . (The motivating case is  $R = Z$ ,  $F = Q$ ,  $A = ZG$ , and  $\Gamma = QG$ .) There is a direct product decomposition  $\Gamma \xrightarrow{\cong} \prod_{i=1}^s \sum_i$ , where each  $\sum_i$  is a full matrix ring over a division ring whose center  $C_i$  contains  $F$ . There exists [4, p. 96] a number field  $E$  containing each  $C_i$ , for which there are isomorphisms:

$E \otimes_{C_i} \sum_i \xrightarrow{\cong} M_{n_i}(E)$ . Application of the embedding  $A \rightarrow \prod_i M_{n_i}(E)$  in each entry provides a map  $GL_n(A) \rightarrow \prod_i GL_{n_i}(E)$ . Following this by the determinant in each component defines a group map,  $\det: K_1A \rightarrow \prod_i E^*$ , with kernel denoted  $SK_1A$ .

Let  $\mathcal{O}$  denote the category of  $R$  orders in finite dimensional semisimple  $F$  algebras, and of  $R$  algebra maps. The map  $\det$  factors through  $K_1\Gamma \rightarrow \prod_i E^*$ , which is an injection [12]; so  $SK_1A$  is also the kernel of  $K_1A \rightarrow K_1\Gamma$  (induced by inclusion  $A \hookrightarrow \Gamma$ ). Any map  $A \rightarrow A'$  in  $\mathcal{O}$  extends to an  $F$  algebra map  $FA \rightarrow FA'$ . Application of  $K_1(-)$  to the resulting commutative square shows that  $K_1(A \rightarrow A')$  takes  $SK_1A$  into  $SK_1A'$ . So  $SK_1(-)$  is a functor on  $\mathcal{O}$ .

Any group map  $G \rightarrow H$  extends to an  $R$  algebra map  $RG \rightarrow RH$ . So  $SK_1R(-)$  is a functor from finite groups to abelian groups. The application of this functor to inclusions  $G \hookrightarrow H$  has been used in connection with the Artin and Berman-Witt induction theorems to compute  $SK_1ZH$  from the groups  $SK_1ZG$  as  $G$  ranges over certain classes of subgroups of  $H$ . (See [5], [7], and [11].)

When  $G$  is abelian,  $FG$  is a direct product of fields, and  $\det: K_1RG \rightarrow (RG)^*$  is the ordinary determinant. If  $A$  is any commutative ring, the determinant on  $GL(A)$  induces a group map,  $\delta: K_1A \rightarrow A^*$ , split by  $GL_1(A) \rightarrow GL(A) \rightarrow K_1A$ . Define  $SK_1A$  as the kernel of  $\delta$ ; so  $K_1A = SK_1A \times A^*$ . Since determinants commute with ring maps,  $SK_1(-)$  is a functor commutative rings.

Any ring map from  $RG$  into a commutative ring  $S$  factors as a composite:  $RG \rightarrow R[G^{ab}] \rightarrow S$  of an  $R$  algebra map followed by a map between commutative rings. Since the definitions of  $SK_1(-)$  agree on the middle term,  $SK_1RG$  is mapped into  $SK_1S$ . If we replace  $RG$  by another  $R$  order this may fail, as the next section shows.

5. **Twisted group rings.** The noncommutativity in  $RG$  is due to the group  $G$ . Sometimes a map may be found in  $\mathcal{O}$  which replaces some noncentral group elements by units in an extended coefficient ring. This shifts noncommutativity between group elements to a “twist” between coefficients and group elements.

An example of such a twisted group ring is described as follows. Let  $E/F$  be a Galois extension of number fields, with Galois group  $H$ . Let  $E \circ H$  be the  $F$  algebra with  $E$  basis given by the elements of  $H$  and distributive multiplication subject to the relations in  $H$  and  $he = e^h h (e \in E, h \in H)$ . Restrict coefficients to the integers  $S$  of  $E$  to obtain the twisted group ring  $S \circ H$ , an  $R$  order in  $E \circ H$ .

Assume  $H$  is abelian. Let  $I$  be the ideal of  $S$  generated by  $\{s - s^h; s \in S, h \in H\}$ . Since  $I$  is  $H$  invariant,  $I \circ H$  is an  $S \circ H$  ideal, and the group ring  $(S/I)H$  is a commutative quotient ring of  $S \circ H$ . The quotient map is universal for maps from  $S \circ H$  to a commutative ring. Suppose  $I \neq S$ .

The composite:  $H \hookrightarrow GL_1(S \circ H) \rightarrow K_1(S \circ H) \rightarrow K_1(S/I)H \xrightarrow{\delta} ((S/I)H)^*$  is just inclusion. So  $H \subseteq K_1(S \circ H)$ , and the elements  $h \neq 1$  in  $H$  do not map into  $SK_1(S/I)H$ . In fact,  $(S/I)H$  is a finite commutative ring; so  $SK_1(S/I)H = 1$  [1, p. 267].

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $G$  be the metacyclic group  $\langle x, y: x^{p^r} = y^p = 1, y^{-1}xy = x^\alpha, \alpha = p^{r-1} + 1 \rangle$  for an odd prime  $p$ . Replacing  $x$  by a primitive  $p^r$  root of unity  $\zeta$  is a ring map  $ZG \rightarrow Z[\zeta] \circ H$ , where  $H = \langle y: y^p = 1 \rangle$ . This map is one projection of the decomposition,  $QG \xrightarrow{\sim} QG^{ab} \times Q(\zeta) \circ H$ . Computation of the determinant of a matrix representation [7, Ch. 6]:  $Q(\zeta) \circ H \xrightarrow{\sim} M_p(Q(\zeta^p))$  reveals that  $H \subseteq SK_1(Z[\zeta] \circ H)$ . So, in this example,  $SK_1(S \circ H) \rightarrow SK_1(S/I)H$ .

In short, the prevalent definitions of  $SK_1(-)$  are unambiguous where applied, but are not part of a general “subfunctor” of  $K_1(-)$  on rings.

6. **Exact sequences.** If  $J$  is an ideal of a ring  $A$ , let  $GL(A, J)$  denote the kernel of  $GL(A \rightarrow A/J)$ , and let  $E(A, J)$  be the normal subgroup generated by elementary matrices in  $GL(A, J)$ . Define  $K_1(A, J)$  to be  $GL(A, J)/E(A, J)$ . Suppose  $A$  is either commutative or an  $R$  order in a finite dimensional semisimple  $F$  algebra. Since  $GL(A, J) \subseteq GL(A)$ ,  $SK_1(A, J)$  may be defined as the kernel of the appropriate determinant,  $\delta$  or  $\det$ , on  $K_1(A, J)$ . A sequence of  $J$ . Milnor’s [8, p. 54] restricts to the *relative exact sequence*:

$$K_2A \longrightarrow K_2(A/J) \longrightarrow SK_1(A, J) \longrightarrow SK_1A \longrightarrow K_1(A/J) .$$

Call a commutative square of surjective ring maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & A_1 \\ \kappa \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu \\ A_2 & \xrightarrow{\mu} & A' \end{array}$$

a *surjective pullback* if the sequence of additive groups:

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{(\kappa, \lambda)} A_1 \oplus A_2 \xrightarrow{\mu - \nu} A' \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. If all four rings are commutative or  $A_2 \xleftarrow{\kappa} A \xrightarrow{\lambda} A_1$  is in  $\mathcal{O}$  (see §4), there is an exact *Mayer-Vietoris sequence* [6, §4]:

$$K_2 A_1 \times K_2 A_2 \longrightarrow K_2 A' \xrightarrow{\partial_m} SK_1 A \xrightarrow{(\kappa., \lambda.)} SK_1 A_1 \times SK_1 A_2 \xrightarrow{\nu./\mu.} K_1 A'$$

where  $\kappa.$ ,  $\lambda.$ ,  $\mu.$ , and  $\nu.$  denote  $K_1(-)$  of the corresponding maps  $\kappa$ ,  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\nu$ .

In particular a surjective group map  $G \twoheadrightarrow H$  decomposes  $FG$  as a direct product of  $F$  algebras:  $FG \cong FH \times \Sigma$ . Projections of  $RG$  to the two factors are the maps  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  in the following surjective pullback [6, §5]:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} RG & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & A \\ \kappa \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu \\ RH & \xrightarrow{\mu} & (R/nR)H \quad (n = |G|/|H|). \end{array}$$

Consider its Mayer-Vietoris sequence:

$$(1) \quad K_2(R/nR)H \xrightarrow{\partial_m} SK_1 RG \xrightarrow{(\kappa., \lambda.)} SK_1 RH \times SK_1 A \xrightarrow{\nu./\mu.} K_1(R/nR)H.$$

Computation of the first term is complicated. In some cases, when  $K_2(R/nR)H = 1$ , this sequence has been used to prove  $SK_1 ZG = 1$  [6]. But when nontrivial generators of  $K_2(R/nR)H$  are known, it is difficult to determine whether or not their images in  $SK_1 RG$  are trivial.

Consider, rather, the maps to the right of  $SK_1 RG$ .

**7. Right exactness of  $SK_1 R(-)$ .** Since any ring map from  $RG$  to a commutative ring factors through  $RG \rightarrow R[G^{ab}]$ , the latter is the most informative about  $SK_1 RG$ . Whether or not  $SK_1 R(-)$  is right exact is an open question, but the Mayer-Vietoris sequence provides a strong partial result:

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $R$  is the ring of integers in a number field and*

$G$  is a finite group with abelian quotient  $H$ , then the quotient map induces a surjection:  $SK_1RG \rightarrow SK_1RH$ .

*Proof.* By inspection of the sequence (1),  $\kappa$  is surjective if and only if  $\mu.(SK_1RH) \subseteq \nu.(SK_1A)$ . If  $H$  is abelian,  $\mu.(SK_1RH) \subseteq SK_1(R/nR)H$ . Since  $(R/nR)H$  is a finite commutative ring,  $SK_1(R/nR)H = 1$ .

*Note 1.* There are algorithms for the computation of  $SK_1ZH$  when  $H$  is a finite abelian group [10].

*Note 2.* Even if  $H$  is not abelian, a split surjection of finite groups  $G \twoheadrightarrow H$  induces a split surjection of abelian groups  $SK_1RG \twoheadrightarrow SK_1RH$ .

8. The image  $\lambda.(SK_1RG)$ . Example 1 shows that  $SK_1ZG \rightarrow SK_1A$  need not be surjective, if it is induced by projection of  $ZG$  to its image  $A$  in a noncommutative factor of  $QG$ . Indeed, in that example, the composite  $K_1ZG \rightarrow K_1(S \circ H) \rightarrow K_1(S/I)H$  kills  $SK_1ZG$ , but the second map does not kill  $H \subseteq SK_1(S \circ H)$ .

Suppose in the sequence (1) that  $\mu.(SK_1RH) = 1$ , as happens when  $H$  is abelian. The following exact sequence may be extracted:

$$SK_1RG \xrightarrow{\lambda} SK_1A \xrightarrow{\nu} K_1(R/nR)H.$$

This extends to the left as the relative sequence for  $\lambda$ . From the relative sequence of  $\nu$  it is clear that  $\lambda.(SK_1RG)$  is the image of the natural map  $SK_1(A, J) \rightarrow SK_1A$ , where  $J$  is the kernel of  $\nu$ . If  $H$  is  $G^{ab}$ ,  $J$  is generated by the set of all  $ab - ba$  ( $a, b \in A$ ).

9. Maps to semisimple artinian rings. Since  $SK_1RG$  is the kernel of  $K_1(RG \hookrightarrow FG)$ , it may be expected to appear in the kernel of  $K_1(RG \rightarrow \Sigma)$  for other semisimple artinian rings  $\Sigma$ . Specifically, the Wedderburn theorems describe  $\Sigma$  as a direct product of matrix rings over division rings, providing a determinant map on  $K_1\Sigma$ . A connection might be expected between the map  $\det$  and the composite  $K_1RG \rightarrow K_1\Sigma \rightarrow \text{determinant}(K_1\Sigma)$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $\mathfrak{P}$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$  not dividing the order of  $G$ , then  $K_1(-)$  of the quotient map  $RG \rightarrow (R/\mathfrak{P})G$  kills  $SK_1RG$ .*

(My thanks to Frank Demeyer for suggesting the following use of localization).

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be a number field which splits every simple component of  $FG$ . Then there is an isomorphism  $\rho: EG \xrightarrow{\cong} \prod_{i=1}^s M_{n_i}(E)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  denote a prime lying over  $\mathfrak{P}$  in the integers  $S$  of  $E$ . The order of  $G$  is a unit in the localization  $S_{\mathfrak{G}}$ ; so  $S_{\mathfrak{G}}G$  is a maximal  $S_{\mathfrak{G}}$  order in  $EG$  [9, Theorem 41.1]. Since  $S_{\mathfrak{G}}$  is a discrete valuation ring,  $S_{\mathfrak{G}}G$  is conjugate in  $EG$  to the maximal  $S_{\mathfrak{G}}$  order  $\rho^{-1}(\prod_{i=1}^s M_{n_i}(S_{\mathfrak{G}}))$  [9, Theorem 18.7].

The following diagram of ring maps commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 RG & \hookrightarrow & R_{\mathfrak{P}}G & \longrightarrow & (R/\mathfrak{P})G & \xrightarrow{\approx} & \prod_{j=1}^t M_{m_j}(L_j) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 EG & \longleftarrow & S_{\mathfrak{G}}G & \longrightarrow & (S/\mathfrak{G})G & \xrightarrow{\approx} & \prod_{j=1}^t M_{m_j}((S/\mathfrak{G}) \otimes_{R/\mathfrak{P}} L_j) \\
 \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \\
 \prod_{i=1}^s M_{n_i}(E) & \hookrightarrow & \prod_{i=1}^s M_{n_i}(S_{\mathfrak{G}}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i=1}^s M_{n_i}(S/\mathfrak{G}) & & 
 \end{array}$$

$\downarrow \wr$        $a$        $\downarrow \wr$        $c$        $\downarrow \wr$

The vertical maps in square  $a$  are conjugation followed by  $\rho$ . The left side of  $b$  induces the right side on the coefficient level. The left side of  $c$  sends  $\mathfrak{G}S_{\mathfrak{G}}$  to itself, inducing the right side. Since  $(R/\mathfrak{P})G$  is semisimple and finite ( $\mathfrak{P} \nmid |G|$ ), there is a top isomorphism in  $d$ , where the  $L_j$  are finite fields. The bottom of  $d$  is  $(S/\mathfrak{G}) \otimes_{R/\mathfrak{P}} (-)$  of the top.

Apply  $K_1(-)$  to the diagram above, and extend by the appropriate determinant maps  $\delta$  to obtain the following commutative diagram of abelian groups:

(2)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 K_1RG & \rightarrow & K_1R_{\mathfrak{P}}G & \rightarrow & K_1(R/\mathfrak{P})G & \xrightarrow{\approx} & \prod K_1L_j & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \prod L_j^* \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \\
 K_1EG & \leftarrow & K_1S_{\mathfrak{G}}G & \rightarrow & K_1(S/\mathfrak{G})G & \xrightarrow{\approx} & \prod K_1((S/\mathfrak{G}) \otimes L_j) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \prod ((S/\mathfrak{G}) \otimes L_j)^* \\
 \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & & & \\
 \prod K_1E & \leftarrow & \prod K_1S & \rightarrow & \prod K_1(S/\mathfrak{G}) & & & & \\
 \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \delta & & & & \\
 \prod E^* & \hookrightarrow & \prod S_{\mathfrak{G}}^* & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \prod (S/\mathfrak{G})^* & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

Since  $SK_1(-)$  of any product of fields or local commutative ring is trivial, the determinants  $\delta$  are all isomorphisms. So  $\alpha$  and hence  $\beta$ , is injective. The distinction between  $EG = E \otimes_R FG$  and  $\prod E \otimes_{C_i} \Sigma_i$  (from §4) is just a duplication of components. So the kernel of the composite  $\Delta$  is  $SK_1RG$ . This is killed by the composite  $K_1RG \rightarrow K_1R_{\mathfrak{P}}G \rightarrow K_1(R/\mathfrak{P})G$ , which is  $K_1(RG \rightarrow (R/\mathfrak{P})G)$ .

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $f: RG \rightarrow \Sigma$  be a surjective ring map, where*

$\Sigma$  is a semisimple artinian ring of characteristic coprime to the order of  $G$ . Then  $K_1f(SK_1RG) = 1$ .

*Proof.* The ring  $\Sigma$  is a direct product  $\prod \Sigma_i$  of simple artinian rings. The center of each is a field which is a finitely generated abelian group ( $\Sigma_i$  being an image of  $RG$ ). So  $f(R)$  is a direct product of finite residue fields  $\prod R/\mathfrak{P}_i$ . Since the characteristic of  $\Sigma$  is the least common multiple of the characteristics of the  $R/\mathfrak{P}_i$ , no  $\mathfrak{P}_i$  divides the order of  $G$ . Because  $f$  factors through  $RG \rightarrow (R/\prod \mathfrak{P}_i)G = \prod (R/\mathfrak{P}_i)G$  (where the product is taken over distinct  $\mathfrak{P}_i$ ), and because  $K_1(-)$  respects direct products, the corollary follows from the case  $f: RG \rightarrow (R/\mathfrak{P}_i)G$ .

Let  $M$  be the set of maximal ideals of  $R$  not dividing the order of  $G$ . If  $\mathfrak{P} \in M$ , the (unrestricted) relative exact sequence,  $K_2(R/\mathfrak{P})G \rightarrow K_1(RG, \mathfrak{P}G) \rightarrow K_1RG \rightarrow K_1(R/\mathfrak{P})G$ , provides a natural identification of  $K_1(RG, \mathfrak{P}G)$  with the kernel of  $K_1(RG \rightarrow (R/\mathfrak{P})G)$ , since  $K_2$  of a direct product of full matrix rings over finite fields is trivial. Using the restricted relative sequence (§6), Theorem 2 says  $SK_1(RG, \mathfrak{P}G) = SK_1RG$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $M_0$  is any infinite subset of  $M$ ,  $SK_1RG = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{P} \in M_0} K_1(RG, \mathfrak{P}G)$ .*

*Proof.* The preceding paragraph shows  $SK_1RG \subseteq \bigcap_{\mathfrak{P} \in M_0} K_1(RG, \mathfrak{P}G)$ . Let  $x$  be in this intersection. For each  $\mathfrak{P}$  in  $M_0$  there is a prime  $\mathfrak{G}$  of  $S$  over  $\mathfrak{P}$ , and a diagram (2). Then  $\Delta(x)$  is in the kernel of  $\gamma$ . Since  $\Delta(K_1RG) \subseteq \prod S^*$  [1, p. 153], the components of  $\Delta(x)$  are in  $S^* \cap (1 + \mathfrak{G}S_{\mathfrak{G}}) \subseteq 1 + \mathfrak{G}$ . If  $M_0$  is infinite,  $\Delta(x)$  must be 1, and  $x \in SK_1RG$ .

*Note 3.* The same arguments prove Theorems 2 and 4 and Corollary 3 when  $RG$  is replaced by its image  $\Lambda$  under a projection to a direct factor of  $FG$ , and  $\mathfrak{P}G$  is replaced by  $\mathfrak{P}\Lambda$ .

*Note 4.* It is unclear when  $SK_1RG$  is a finite intersection of relative groups  $K_1(RG, \mathfrak{P}G)$ . But for  $\mathfrak{P}$  in  $M$ ,  $SK_1RG$  is the torsion part of  $K_1(RG, \mathfrak{P}G)$  exactly when reduction modulo  $\mathfrak{P}$  restricts to an injection  $\text{tor } R^* \rightarrow (R/\mathfrak{P})^*$ . (This follows from C. T. C. Wall's result [11, Proposition 6.5]:  $\text{tor } K_1RG = SK_1RG \times \text{tor } R^* \times G^{ab}$ .)

**10. Groups with a cyclic direct factor.** There is an isomorphism  $Z[G \times H] \xrightarrow{\sim} ZG \otimes_Z ZH$ , but  $SK_1(-)$  does not respect tensor products. Although  $SK_1ZG$  and  $SK_1ZH$  are direct factors of  $SK_1Z[G \times H]$ , there is generally more.

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $C_r$  denote a cyclic group of order  $r$ . If  $n$  is a square free rational integer coprime to the order of  $G$ , then there is an isomorphism:  $SK_1Z[C_n \times G] \xrightarrow{\cong} SK_1AG$ , where  $A$  is the integral closure of  $ZC_n$  in  $QC_n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\zeta_r$  denote a primitive  $r$  root of unity. The decomposition of  $QC_p$  induces a surjective pullback of rings:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R[C_p \times G] & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & R[\zeta_p]G \\ \kappa \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu \\ RG & \xrightarrow{\mu} & (R/pR)G \end{array} \quad (p \text{ a prime})$$

whenever  $R$  is the ring of integers of a number field  $F$  not containing  $\zeta_p$ . The maps  $\lambda$  and  $\kappa$  take a generator of  $C_p$  to  $\zeta_p$  and  $1$ , respectively;  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are reduction of coefficients modulo  $p$  and  $1 - \zeta_p$ , respectively.

Suppose  $R$  is  $Z[\zeta_t]$  and that  $p$  divides neither  $t$  nor the order of  $G$ . Then  $p$  is unramified in  $R$ ; so  $(R/pR)G$  is finite and semi-simple of characteristic  $p$ . Therefore  $K_2(R/pR)G = 1$ .

Since  $Z[\zeta_t]$  and  $Z[\zeta_t][\zeta_p](=Z[\zeta_{pt}])$  are the rings of integers in number fields, Corollary 3 says  $\mu_*(SK_1Z[\zeta_t]G) = \nu_*(SK_1Z[\zeta_{pt}]G) = 1$ . The Mayer-Vietoris sequence becomes an isomorphism:

$$SK_1Z[\zeta_t][C_p \times G] \xrightarrow{\cong} SK_1Z[\zeta_t]G \times SK_1Z[\zeta_{pt}]G .$$

Reasoning by induction on  $t$  yields the composite:

$$SK_1Z[C_n \times G] \xrightarrow{\cong} \prod_{r|n} SK_1Z[\zeta_r]G \xrightarrow{\cong} SK_1(\prod_{r|n} Z[\zeta_r])G$$

where  $\prod_{r|n} Z[\zeta_r] = A$ .

### REFERENCES

1. H. Bass, *Algebraic  $\kappa$ -Theory*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1968.
2. ———, *The Dirichlet unit theorem, induced characters, and Whitehead groups of finite groups*, *Topology*, **4** (1966), 391-410.
3. M. M. Cohen, *A Course in Simple-Homotopy Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, N. Y., 1973.
4. I. N. Herstein, *Noncommutative Rings*, Carus Monograph 15, Math. Assoc. America, 1968.
5. T. Y. Lam, *Induction theorems for Grothendieck groups and Whitehead groups of finite groups*, *Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm., Sup.* **4** serie **1** (1968), 91-148.
6. B. A. Magurn,  *$SK_1$  of dihedral groups*, *J. Algebra*, **51**, 2 (1978).
7. ———, *Whitehead groups of nonabelian finite groups*, Ph. D. Dissertation, Northwestern University, June, 1976.
8. J. Milnor, *Introduction to Algebraic K-Theory*, *Annals of Math.*, Studies Number 72, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1971.



9. I. Reiner, *Maximal Orders*, Academic Press, Inc., London, 1975.
10. M. R. Stein, *Whitehead groups of finite groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
11. C. T. C. Wall, *Norms of units in group rings*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **3**, **29** (1974), 593-632.
12. S. Wang, *On the commutator group of a simple algebra*, Amer. J. Math., **72** (1950), 323-334.

Received January 24, 1978. This work has been partially supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant MCS 77-00961.

THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA  
NORMAN, OK 73019

