

ON CHARACTERIZATIONS OF EXPONENTIAL POLYNOMIALS

PHILIP G. LAIRD

This paper considers some characterizations of exponential polynomials in $C(G)$, the set of all continuous complex valued functions on a σ -compact locally compact Abelian group G . For $f \in C(G)$, U_f will denote the subspace of $C(G)$ obtained by taking finite linear combinations of translates of f . It is known that f is an exponential polynomial if and only if U_f is of finite dimension. Our main result is to show that f is an exponential polynomial when U_f is closed in $C(G)$ if $C(G)$ is given the topology of convergence uniform on all compact subsets of G .

Further characterizations of exponential polynomials are given when G is real Euclidean n -space, R^n .

A function $b \in C(G)$ is additive if $b(x + y) = b(x) + b(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$ and $g \in C(G)$ is an exponential if $g(x + y) = g(x)g(y)$ for all $x, y \in C(G)$. An exponential polynomial is a finite linear combination of terms $h = b_1^{q_1} b_2^{q_2} \cdots b_m^{q_m} g$ where b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m are additive, q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m are nonnegative integers and g is an exponential.

If f is an exponential polynomial, it is easy to see that U_f is finite dimensional. For if h is as above, then $T_\alpha h: x \rightarrow h(x - \alpha)$ is a finite linear combination of terms $b_1^{r_1} b_2^{r_2} \cdots b_m^{r_m} g$ for each $\alpha \in G$ where $r_j = 0, 1, \dots, q_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. A result of Engert [5] shows that if U_f is finite dimensional, then f is an exponential polynomial. The proof of this result when G is any σ -compact locally compact Abelian group is naturally more involved than when G is merely R or R^n . Proofs for the case of $C(R)$ may be found in Anselone and Korevaar [1] and Loewner [8] who also refers to $C(R^n)$.

Throughout this paper, the only topology considered on $C(G)$ is that of convergence uniform on all compact subsets of G . With G being σ -compact, let G be the countable union of compact sets K_p . Let $S_p(f) = \sup \{|f(x)|: x \in K_p\}$ and $d(f, g) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} 2^{-p} \min(1, S_p(f - g))$ for $f, g \in C(G)$. Then d is a metric for $C(G)$ and $C(G)$ is complete in this metric.

With such a topology for $C(G)$, if U_f is finite dimensional, it is closed. The converse to this is shown here (Theorem 3) so that in $C(G)$,

$$\begin{aligned} f \text{ is an exponential polynomial} &\iff U_f \text{ is finite dimensional} \\ &\iff U_f \text{ is closed in } C(G). \end{aligned}$$

In showing that when U_f is closed, it is then finite dimensional, the following notation shall be used throughout. As above, assume that $G = \bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} K_p$ where each K_p is compact. For a given function f in $C(G)$, set

$$S_p = \left\{ g \in C(G) : g = \sum_{k=1}^p a_k T_{\beta_k} f \right.$$

where $|a_k| \leq p$ and $\beta_k \in K_p$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$.

It is clear that $U_f = \bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} S_p$. The method of proof is one suggested by Edwards [4], pages 38-39 in establishing the result for functions on the circle group.

LEMMA 1. S_p is pointwise equicontinuous in $C(G)$.

Proof. Let $x \in G$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let B denote the set of all neighborhoods of 0 in G . It suffices to show that there is a $U \in B$ such that

$$|f(x - \alpha) - f(y - \alpha)| < \varepsilon/p^2 \text{ for all } \alpha \in K_p \text{ and all } y \text{ with } y - x \in B.$$

Then

$$|g(x) - g(y)| < \sum_{k=1}^p |a_k| \varepsilon/p^2 \leq \varepsilon$$

whenever $y - x \in U$ and $g \in S_p$.

Set $F = x - K_p$ so if $\alpha \in K_p$, $\beta = x - \alpha \in F$. For each $\beta \in F$, there exists $V_\beta \subset B$ such that $|f(z) - f(\beta)| < \varepsilon/2p^2$ whenever $z - \beta \in V_\beta$. For this V_β , there is a $W_\beta \in B$ such that $W_\beta + W_\beta \subset V_\beta$. With $\{\beta + W_\beta : \beta \in F\}$ forming an open cover for the compact set F , select a finite subcover $\{\beta_j + W_{\beta_j}\}_{j=1}^m$. Let $W = \bigcap_{j=1}^m W_{\beta_j}$ and $U = W \cap (-W)$ so $U \in B$. If $\alpha \in K_p$ and $x - \alpha \in F$, $x - \alpha \in \beta_i + W_{\beta_i}$ say. Then

$$y - \alpha = y - x + x - \alpha \in U + x - \alpha \subset \beta_i + V_{\beta_i}$$

which also contains $x - \alpha$. Hence $f(x - \alpha)$ and $f(y - \alpha)$ differ from $f(\beta_i)$ by amounts in modulus less than $\varepsilon/2p^2$ and the result follows.

LEMMA 2. S_p is compact in $C(G)$.

Proof. Use is made of the condition that in $C(G)$, a closed equicontinuous set S is compact if $S[x] = \{f(x) : f \in S\}$ is compact in C (see, for example, [3], page 34 or [6], page 234). With f being continuous and $x \in G$, $\{f(x - \beta) : \beta \in K_p\}$ is compact whence $S_p[x]$ is compact in C . To show that S_p is closed, let $\{g_q\}$ be any Cauchy

sequence in S_p with $g_q = \sum_{k=1}^p a_{q,k} T_{\beta_{q,k}} f$. Since $|a_{q,1}| \leq p$ for all positive integers q , a convergent subsequence $a_{q',1}$ may be found with limit, say a_1 , and $|a_1| \leq p$. Continue in this manner to find convergent subsequences $\{a_{r,k}\}_{r=1}^\infty$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$ with respective limits a_k where $|a_k| \leq p$. Now use $\{\beta_{r,k}\}_{r=1}^\infty \subset K_p$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and K_p is compact to find convergent subsequences $\{\beta_{v,k}\}_{v=1}^\infty$. With $a_{v,k} \rightarrow a_k$, $|\beta_{v,k}| \leq p$ and $\beta_{v,k} \rightarrow \beta_k \in K_p$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$, it follows that $g_v \rightarrow g$ for some $g \in S_p$. So $g_q \rightarrow g$ as $q \rightarrow \infty$ showing that S_p is closed. Hence S_p is compact in $C(G)$.

THEOREM 3. *If U_f is closed in $C(G)$, then U_f is finite dimensional.*

Proof. Since $U_f = \bigcup_{p=1}^\infty S_p$ is closed in the metric space $C(G)$, it follows by Baire's category theorem applied to U_f that there must be as S_p that is not nowhere dense. As this S_p is closed, it must have a nonvoid interior. Hence U_f contains a compact neighbourhood of zero. So, by Riesz's theorem (see, for example [3], page 65) U_f is finite dimensional.

The remainder of this article, concerns exponential polynomials in $C(R^n)$. These functions in $C(R^n)$ are finite linear combinations of terms $x_1^{p_1} x_2^{p_2} \dots x_n^{p_n} \exp(a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \dots + a_n x_n)$ where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in R^n$, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n are nonnegative integers and a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n are complex numbers. In restricting G to be R^n , little economy of the proof of Theorem 3 is gained except for Lemma 1. However, it is considerably easier to show for $C(R^n)$ compared with $C(G)$ that if U_f is finite dimensional, then f is an exponential polynomial. A new and simple proof is as follows.

Suppose that U_f has finite dimension m where $m > 1$. (If $m = 0$, $f = 0$ and if $m = 1$ a simpler version of the following suffices.) Let g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m be a basis of U_f and $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m)$. Then $T_\alpha g = A(\alpha)g$ where $A(\alpha)$ is an $m \times m$ complex matrix. From $T_{\alpha+\beta} = T_\alpha T_\beta$, one finds that $A(\alpha + \beta) = A(\alpha)A(\beta)$ and $A(0) = I$, the unit matrix. Since $T_\alpha f \rightarrow T_\beta f$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$, $A(\alpha)$ is continuous. So $z \in R^n$ near 0 may be chosen and fixed so that $A(z)$ is nonsingular. It is clear from

$$A(x) = \left(\int_{x_1}^{x_1+z_1} \dots \int_{x_n}^{x_n+z_n} A(y) dy \right) (A(z))^{-1},$$

that each partial derivative of A exists. Letting $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ be the standard basis for R^n ,

$$D_j g = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (A(-he_j) - A(0))g/h = C_j g,$$

where the matrix $C_j = D_j A(0)$. So $D_j(\exp(-C_j x_j)g) = 0$ showing

that $g = \exp(C_j x_j) \phi_j$ where ϕ_j is independent of x_j for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and ϕ_j takes value in R^n .

From $\exp(C_1 x_1) \phi_1 = \exp(C_2 x_2) \phi_2$ with $x_1 = 0$ $\phi_1(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) = \exp(C_2 x_2) \phi_2(0, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_n)$. Successively equating $\exp(C_j x_j) \phi_j = \exp(C_{j+1} x_{j+1}) \phi_{j+1}$ with $x_j = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$, we find

$$g = \exp(C_1 x_1) \exp(C_2 x_2) \cdots \exp(C_n x_n) d$$

where $d \in R^n$ is constant. As it is well known that the elements of $\exp(Cx)$ are exponential polynomials in x ([2], page 46), it follows that the components of g are exponential polynomials. Hence f is an exponential polynomial in $C(R^n)$ when U_f is finite dimensional.

Other characterizations of exponential polynomials in $C(R^n)$ are now given. For $C(R)$, one such is that of the set of all solutions to all nontrivial linear ordinary differential equations with constant coefficients. For $C(R^n)$ with $n > 1$, one cannot identify the set of all exponential polynomials with the set of all solutions to all nontrivial linear partial differential equations with constant coefficients. However, a necessary and sufficient condition that $f \in C(R^n)$ be an exponential polynomial is that there exists n nonzero linear differential operators $L_j = L_j(D_j)$ with constant coefficients where each L_j only involves the j th partial derivative D_j and $L_j f = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. A proof of this given by Laird [7], page 816, is reproduced here for completeness. The necessity of the condition is obvious. Conversely, if $f \in C(R^n)$ and if $L_1 f = 0$, then f is a finite sum of terms $A(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) x_1^{q_1} \exp ax_1$. With $L_2 f = 0$, $L_2 A = 0$ and so each A is a finite sum of terms $B(x_3, x_4, \dots, x_n) x_2^{q_2} \exp bx_2$. Continuing in this manner, one finds that f is an exponential polynomial.

The following is an extension of the above result.

THEOREM 4. *Let $f \in C(R^n)$ and let $A = (a_{jk})$ be a real nonsingular $n \times n$ real matrix. Then a necessary and sufficient condition that f be an exponential polynomial is that there exist n nonzero polynomials P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n , each of one variable, such that*

$$P_j(a_{j1}D_1 + a_{j2}D_2 + \cdots + a_{jn}D_n)f = 0$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. Let $u_k = \sum_{m=1}^n b_{km} x_m$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $f(x) = g(u)$. Then

$$D_m f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_k} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_m}$$

so that

$$\sum_{m=1}^n a_{jm} D_m f = \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_j}$$

when $B = (b_{km})$ is chosen so that $B^r = A^{-1}$. The given condition is then $P_j(D_j)g = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ which is equivalent to g and so to f being an exponential polynomial.

THEOREM 5. *Let $a \in R^n$, $f \in C(R^n)$ and $U_f(a)$ denote the subspace in $C(R^n)$ obtained from finite linear combinations of terms $f(x - ta)$ for $t \in R$. A necessary and sufficient condition that f be an exponential is that $U_f(a_j)$ be finite dimensional for n linearly independent vectors a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n in R^n .*

Proof. The necessity is easily seen from $U_f(a) \subset U_f$ for all $a \in R^n$, and if f is an exponential polynomial, then U_f is finite dimensional.

The converse, which has been recognized by Loewner [8] when $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ is the standard basis, may be shown directly, or as follows. Let $f_j(t) = f(ta_j)$ for all $t \in R$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If each $U_f(a_j)$ is finite dimensional in $C(R^n)$, then U_{f_j} is finite dimensional in $C(R^n)$. So each f_j is an exponential polynomial and there is a nonzero polynomial P_j so that $P_j(D)f_j = 0$. With $Df_j = a \cdot \text{grad } f$, the conditions of the sufficiency part of Theorem 4 are satisfied. Hence f is an exponential polynomial in $C(R^n)$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The author would like to thank Dr. R. V. Nilsen of the University of Wollongong for several helpful discussions and also acknowledge the use of the Science Citation Indices.

REFERENCES

1. P. M. Anselone and J. Korevaar, *Translation invariant subspaces of finite dimension*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **15** (1964), 747-752.
2. W. A. Coppel, *Stability and Asymptotic Behavior of Differential Equations*, Heath, Boston, 1965.
3. R. E. Edwards, *Functional Analysis: Theory and Applications*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1965.
4. ———, *Fourier Series, A Modern Introduction*, Volume II, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1967.
5. M. Engert, *Finite dimensional translation invariant subspaces*, Pacific J. Math., **32** (1970), 333-343.
6. J. L. Kelly, *General Topology*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1955.
7. P. G. Laird, *Entire mean periodic functions*, Canad. J. Math., **27** (1975), 805-818.
8. C. Loewner, *On some transformations invariant under Euclidean or non-Euclidean isometries*, J. Math. Mech., **8** (1959), 393-409.

Received January 4, 1977 and in revised form July 12, 1978.

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG
WOLLONGONG, N. S. W. 2500
AUSTRALIA

