

ON THE SIGNATURE OF GRASSMANNIANS

PATRICK SHANAHAN

1. **Introduction.** Let $G_{n,k}$ denote the manifold of linear subspaces of \mathbf{R}^n of dimension $k > 0$. Then $G_{n,k}$ is compact and has dimension $k(n - k)$. When n is even $G_{n,k}$ is orientable and we may consider the topological invariant $\text{Sign}(G_{n,k})$. The cohomology algebra of $G_{n,k}$ over \mathbf{R} was determined by Borel in [3] and thus in principle the problem of computing $\text{Sign}(G_{n,k})$ is a problem in linear algebra. In practice this is very awkward, and it is the purpose of this paper to compute this invariant by a simpler method:

THEOREM. *The signature of $G_{n,k}$ is zero except when n and k are even and $k(n - k) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$. In this case (with a conventional orientation)*

$$\text{Sign}(G_{n,k}) = \begin{pmatrix} \left[\frac{n}{4} \right] \\ \left[\frac{k}{4} \right] \end{pmatrix}.$$

REMARK. When n is odd, $G_{n,k}$ is nonorientable and $\text{Sign}(G_{n,k})$ is not defined; however, for odd n $\text{Sign}(\tilde{G}_{n,k}) = 0$, where $\tilde{G}_{n,k}$ is the orientation covering of $G_{n,k}$.

2. **The Atiyah-Bott formula.** We recall a few definitions. Let X be a compact orientable manifold of dimension $4l$. The *signature* of X is defined by

$$\text{Sign}(X) = \dim H^+ - \dim H^-$$

where $H^{2l}(X; \mathbf{R}) = H^+ \oplus H^-$ is a decomposition of the middle-dimensional cohomology of X into subspaces on which the cup-product form $B(x, y) = \langle x \cup y, X \rangle$ is positive definite and negative definite, respectively. When $\dim X$ is not divisible by 4 one defines $\text{Sign } X = 0$.

More generally, let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping of X into itself. When the decomposition of $H^{2l}(X, \mathbf{R})$ is invariant under f one defines

$$\text{Sign}(f) = \text{tr } f^*|H^+ - \text{tr } f^*|H^-$$

where $f^*: H^{2l}(X; \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow H^{2l}(X; \mathbf{R})$ is the homomorphism induced by f . $\text{Sign}(f)$ is then independent of the choice of H^+ and H^- . When f is homotopic to the identity mapping one obviously has $\text{Sign}(f) = \text{Sign}(X)$.

Now suppose that X is an oriented Riemannian manifold. If $f: X \rightarrow X$ is an orientation preserving isometry, then at each isolated fixed point p of f the differential $df_p: T_p X \rightarrow T_p X$ is an orthogonal transformation with determinant 1. Let $\theta_1(p), \dots, \theta_{2l}(p)$ be the $2l$ rotation angles associated with the eigenvalues of df_p . When the fixed point set of f consists of isolated points one has the formula of Atiyah and Bott ([1], p. 473):

$$\text{Sign}(f) = (-1)^l \sum_{\substack{p \\ \text{fixed}}} \prod_{\nu=1}^{\nu=2l} \text{ctn} \left(\frac{\theta_\nu(p)}{2} \right).$$

We will apply this formula to a certain mapping $f: G_{n,k} \rightarrow G_{n,k}$.

REMARK. When f is an element of a compact group acting on X (and this will be the situation in our application) the formula above is also a consequence of the G -signature theorem of Atiyah and Singer. (See [1], p. 582 or [6], §18.)

For simplicity of notation we confine our attention to the case $n = 2s$, $k = 2r$; the remaining cases can be dealt with by minor adjustments in the argument.

Let $F: R^n \rightarrow R^n$ be the linear transformation which rotates the i th coordinate plane $P_i = \text{span}\{e_{2i-1}, e_{2i}\}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, s$) through the angle α_i , where $0 < \alpha_i < \pi$. The transformation F induces a smooth mapping $f: G_{n,k} \rightarrow G_{n,k}$ which is clearly homotopic to the identity mapping. If P_I denotes the k -plane

$$P_I = P_{i_1} \oplus \dots \oplus P_{i_r}$$

where $I = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$ is a multi-index with $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r$ and $1 \leq i_\nu \leq s$, then $f(P_I) = P_I$.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *If the angles α_i are all distinct, then the points $P_I \in G_{n,k}$ are the only fixed points of f .*

Proof. Let W be a k -dimensional linear subspace of R^n not equal to any P_I . By regarding W as the row space of a matrix in reduced row echelon form one sees that there exists a $v \in W$ whose orthogonal projections v_i on P_i are nonzero for at least $r+1$ indices i .

If $F(W) = W$, the vectors $v, F(v), \dots, F^k(v)$ all belong to W , and hence there is a nontrivial relation

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\nu=k} a_\nu F^\nu(v) = 0.$$

But this implies

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\nu=k} a_{\nu} F^{\nu}(v_i) = 0$$

for all i . Writing $\lambda_j = \cos(\alpha_j) + i \sin(\alpha_j)$ it follows that the k -degree polynomial $q(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_kx^k$ has zeros λ_i and $\bar{\lambda}_i$ for each of the $r+1$ indices i for which v_i is nonzero. Since the α_i are all distinct, the coefficients a_{ν} must all be zero, which contradicts our assumption. Thus when $F(W) = W$, the subspace W must coincide with one of the subspaces P_I .

3. The Normal angles $\theta_{\nu}(p)$. We wish to show that with respect to an appropriate metric on $G_{n,k}$ the mapping f is an isometry, and then compute the normal angles $\theta_{\nu}(p)$ at the fixed points p of f . We begin with some remarks about the differentiable structure on $G_{n,k}$.

The smooth structure on $G_{n,k}$ may be defined by identifying $G_{n,k}$ with the left coset space G/H , where $G = O(n)$ is the orthogonal group and $H = O(k) \times O(n - k)$ is the closed subgroup of orthogonal transformations which take $\text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ into itself. The space $O(n)$ may be regarded as the space of orthogonal $n \times n$ matrices (and hence as a subspace of \mathbf{R}^{n^2}), or, equivalently, as the space of orthonormal n -frames $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ in \mathbf{R}^n . We denote the image of an element $a \in G$ under the natural projection $\pi: G \rightarrow G/H$ by \bar{a} , and the image of a tangent vector $v \in T_aG$ under $d\pi: T_aG \rightarrow T_{\bar{a}}G/H$ by \bar{v} .

The elements of the tangent space T_eG are determined by smooth curves passing through the identity matrix e . By differentiating the relation $aa^t = e$ one obtains the usual identification of T_eG with the space of skew-symmetric $n \times n$ matrices. As a basis for T_eG we may take the set $\{b_{rs} \mid r < s\}$ of matrices b_{rs} having -1 in column s and row r , 1 in column r and row s , and 0 everywhere else. The ordering $\{b_{12}, b_{13}, b_{23}, b_{14}, b_{24}, \dots\}$ then defines a standard orientation for G . More generally, the system of matrices $\{ab_{rs}\}$ may be taken as a basis for the tangent space T_aG at an arbitrary $a \in G$.

To obtain an oriented basis for the tangent space $T_{\bar{a}}G/H$ we simply restrict ourselves to vectors in T_aG which are orthogonal, as vectors in \mathbf{R}^{n^2} , to $T_a(aH)$. It is easily shown that the vectors ab_{ij} with $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $k + 1 \leq j \leq n$ provide such a system. The coherence of the orientations will follow from the proof of Proposition 3.1. Note that even when a and a' represent the same coset in G/H , the bases $\{\overline{ab_{ij}}\}$ and $\{\overline{a'b_{ij}}\}$ will in general be different bases.

These facts all have simple interpretations in terms of curves in $O(n)$ and $G_{n,k}$. For example, the tangent vector $\overline{ab_{ij}}$ may be viewed as the infinitesimal motion of the k -plane $\text{span}\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$

towards its orthogonal complement obtained by rotating the vector a_i toward complementary vector a_j .

PROPOSITION 3.1. *There is a unique Riemannian metric on $G_{n,k}$ for which the standard bases $\{\overline{ab_{ij}}\}$ are all orthonormal. The mapping $f: G_{n,k} \rightarrow G_{n,k}$ is an orientation preserving isometry with respect to this metric. Moreover, the system of normal angles $\{\theta_i(p)\}$ is the same at each fixed point p of f .*

Proof. To prove the first assertion it will be enough to show that for arbitrary n -frames a and a' in $SO(n)$ the matrix of transition between the bases $\{\overline{ab_{ij}}\}$ and $\{\overline{a'b_{ij}}\}$ is orthogonal. Let $a' = ah$, where $h \in O(k) \times O(n - k)$. Then $\overline{a'b_{ij}} = \overline{a'b_{ij}h^{-1}} = \overline{ahb_{ij}h^{-1}}$.

Let $hb_{ij}h^{-1} = \sum_{\nu,\mu} q_{ij,\nu\mu} b_{\nu\mu}$. Clearly $q = [q_{ij,\nu\mu}]$ is the required transition matrix. Writing

$$h = \begin{bmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & F \end{bmatrix}, \quad E \in O(k), F \in O(n - k),$$

we obtain $q_{ij,\nu\mu} = e_{\nu i} f_{\mu j}$, that is, $q = E \otimes F$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} q_{ij,\nu\mu} q_{ij,\nu'\mu'} &= \sum_{i,j} e_{\nu i} f_{\mu j} e_{\nu' i} f_{\mu' j} \\ &= \sum_{i,j} e_{\nu i} e_{\nu' i} f_{\mu j} f_{\mu' j} = \delta_{\nu\nu'} \delta_{\mu\mu'}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves that $qq^t = e$. Moreover, it follows from $\det q = (\det E)^{n-k} (\det F)^k = 1$ that the various bases are coherently oriented.

To see that f is an isometry it is enough to observe that $df_{\bar{a}}(\overline{ab_{ij}}) = \overline{F(a)b_{ij}}$.

Finally, let $p = \bar{a}$ be any fixed point of f . We will compare the normal angles at \bar{a} with those \bar{e} .

Denoting $F(e)$ by c we have

$$df_{\bar{e}}(\overline{b_{ij}}) = \overline{cb_{ij}} = \overline{cb_{ij}c^{-1}},$$

since $c \in O(k) \times O(n - k)$. On the other hand, $f(\bar{a}) = \bar{a}$ implies that $F(a) = ah$ for some $h \in O(k) \times O(n - k)$. Thus $ca = ah$ and hence

$$df_{\bar{a}}(\overline{ab_{ij}}) = \overline{F(a)b_{ij}} = \overline{ab_{ij}a^{-1}ca}.$$

Writing out the matrices D and D' of $df_{\bar{e}}$ and $df_{\bar{a}}$ with respect to the appropriate bases we have

$$(1) \quad \overline{cb_{ij}c^{-1}} = df_{\bar{e}}(\overline{b_{ij}}) = \sum_{\nu,\mu} d_{ij,\nu\mu} \overline{b_{\nu\mu}},$$

$$(2) \quad \overline{cab_{ij}a^{-1}c^{-1}a} = df_{\bar{a}}(\overline{ab_{ij}}) = \sum_{\nu,\mu} d'_{ij,\nu\mu} \overline{ab_{\nu\mu}}.$$

Let $ab_{ij}a^{-1} = \sum_{\nu,\mu} m_{ij,\nu\mu} b_{\nu\mu}$, and $m = [m_{ij,\nu\mu}]$. Then (2) becomes

$$\sum_{\nu,\mu} m_{ij,\nu\mu} \overline{cb_{\nu\mu}c^{-1}} = \sum_{\nu,\mu} \sum_{s,t} d'_{ij,\nu\mu} m_{\nu\mu, st} \overline{b_{st}}.$$

Substituting (1) we obtain

$$\sum_{\nu,\mu} m_{ij,\nu\mu} d_{\nu\mu, st} \overline{b_{st}} = \sum_{\nu,\mu} d'_{ij,\nu\mu} m_{\nu\mu, st} \overline{b_{st}}$$

for each i and j . Thus $md = d'm$. Since m is nonsingular this means that d' is similar to d , and hence the normal angles of f at p are the same as those at \bar{e} .

PROPOSITION 3.2. *At each fixed point p of $f: G_{2s,2r} \rightarrow G_{2s,2r}$ the normal angles $\{\theta_\nu(p)\}$ are the $2r(s - r)$ angles $\{\alpha_j \pm \alpha_i\}$ with $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $r + 1 \leq j \leq s$.*

Proof. It is enough to compute the matrix m of $df_{\bar{e}}$ relative to the basis $\{\overline{b_{ij}}\}$. Since $c = F(e) \in O(k) \times O(n - k)$,

$$df_{\bar{e}}(\overline{b_{ij}}) = \overline{F(e)b_{ij}} = \overline{cb_{ij}c^{-1}}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $r + 1 \leq j \leq s$. Hence, as above, we have

$$m_{i'j',ij} = c_{ii'}c_{jj'}.$$

It follows that m is a sum of disjoint 4×4 blocks

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos(\alpha_j)B - \sin(\alpha_j)B \\ \sin(\alpha_j)B & \cos(\alpha_j)B \end{bmatrix}$$

where $B = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\alpha_i) & -\sin(\alpha_i) \\ \sin(\alpha_i) & \cos(\alpha_i) \end{bmatrix}$. Each such block is the image of the matrix $e^{i\alpha_j}B$ under the standard monomorphism $U(2) \rightarrow SO(4)$. Since the eigenvalues of $e^{i\alpha_j}B$ are $e^{i(\alpha_j \pm \alpha_i)}$, the proposition follows.

4. Computation of the signature. We apply the Atiyah-Bott formula to the mapping $f: G_{n,k} \rightarrow G_{n,k}$ described above. Since f is homotopic to the identity mapping we obtain

$$\text{Sign}(G_{n,k}) = (-1)^i \sum_p \prod_{\substack{i \in I \\ j \in J}} \text{ctn} \frac{(\alpha_j \pm \alpha_i)}{2}.$$

Here $I = (i_1 \dots, i_r)$ is the multi-index which corresponds to the fixed point $P_I = P_{i_1} \oplus \dots \oplus P_{i_r}$ and J is the complementary multi-index.

With the aid of the formula for the cotangent of a sum the right-hand side may be written in the form

$$\sum_p \prod_{\substack{i \in I \\ j \in J}} \frac{1 - x_j x_i}{x_j - x_i}$$

where $x_i = \text{ctn}^2(\alpha_i/2)$. Since the formula is true for all systems of distinct angles between 0 and π (noninclusive), it is true in particular when the angles $\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \dots$ are taken between 0 and $\pi/2$ and the angles $\alpha_2, \alpha_4, \dots$ are chosen to be their supplements.

Consider first the case s even, r even. Then the indicated choice of angles gives

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 &= x_1^{-1}, \\ x_4 &= x_3^{-1}, \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ x_s &= x_{s-1}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For such a choice most of the terms in the sum vanish, since if there exists an $i \in I$ for which $x_j = x_i^{-1}$ for some $j \in J$, then

$$(1 - x_j x_i)(x_j - x_i)^{-1} = (1 - x_i^{-1} x_i)(x_i^{-1} - x_i)^{-1} = 0.$$

The only terms which survive are those for which no x_i^{-1} can be an x_j ; for such I , the factors may be grouped in pairs of the form

$$[(1 - x_j x_i)(x_j - x_i)^{-1}][(1 - x_j x_i^{-1})(x_j - x_i^{-1})^{-1}] = 1,$$

and to evaluate the sum we need only count the number of such multi-indices I . Since these are precisely those multi-indices which are a disjoint union of pairs (odd, odd + 1) the sum in question is $\binom{s/2}{r/2}$.

If s is even and r is odd, some x_i^{-1} must be an x_j ; thus in this case no terms survive and the sum is 0.

When s is odd x_s is not the inverse of any other x_i . For even r the contributing multi-indices are then exactly as in the first case, giving a value of $\binom{(s-1)/2}{r/2}$ for the sum. For odd r the contributing multi-indices are obtained from those already mentioned by adjoining the index s . The extra factors then occur in pairs of the form

$$[(1 - x_j x_s)(x_j - x_s)^{-1}][(1 - x_j^{-1} x_s)(x_j^{-1} - x_s)^{-1}] = 1,$$

giving a sum of $\binom{(s-1)/2}{(r-1)/2}$.

As for the sign preceding the sum, $(-1)^i = (-1)^{r(s-r)} = 1$ for those cases in which the sum is nonzero.

This completes the proof of the theorem stated at the beginning of the paper.

5. Further remarks.

1. A similar argument may be used to compute the signature of the complex Grassmannian $G_{n,k}(C)$ of complex k -dimensional sub-

spaces of C^n . The normal angles at a fixed point in this case have the form $\alpha_j - \alpha_i$.

One obtains

$$\text{Sign}(G_{n,k}(C)) = \begin{cases} \binom{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} & k(n-k) \text{ even} \\ 0 & k(n-k) \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

(For a different approach to the computation of $\text{Sign} G_{n,k}(C)$ see Connolly and Nagano [4] (their formula contains a minor error due to a counting mistake). [Added in proof; see also Mong [5]].

2. The same line of argument used here to compute the signature of $G_{n,k}$ may be used to compute the Euler characteristic $E(G_{n,k})$. The Lefschetz fixed point theorem is used in place of the theorem of Atiyah and Bott, and instead of computing the normal angles $\theta_i(p)$ one need only determine the fixed-point indices $\text{Ind}_p(f)$. Since f is an isometry, these must necessarily be 1. One obtains

$$E(G_{n,k}) = \begin{cases} \binom{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} & k(n-k) \text{ even} \\ 0 & k(n-k) \text{ odd} \end{cases} .$$

3. The assumption that the angles α_i used in the definition of the transformation F are all distinct was necessary to obtain a mapping f with *isolated* fixed points. When coincidences $\alpha_{i_1} = \alpha_{i_2} = \dots$ are permitted the fixed point sets become submanifolds of $G_{n,k}$ of positive dimension. The G -signature theorem of Atiyah and Singer (see [2] or [6]) may then be used to obtain information about the normal bundles of these submanifolds.

REFERENCES

1. M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott, *A Lefschetz fixed point formula for elliptic complexes: II*, Ann. of Math., **37** (1968), 451-491.
2. M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer, *The index of elliptic operators: II*, Ann. of Math., **87** (1968), 546-604.
3. A. Borel, *Cohomologie des espaces fibrés principaux et des espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie compacts*, Ann. of Math., **57** (1953), 115-207.
4. F. Connolly and T. Nagano, *The intersection pairing on a homogeneous Kähler manifold*, Michigan Math. J., **24** (1977), 33-39.

5. S. Mong, The index of complex and quaternionic grassmannianos via Lefschetz formula, *Advances in Math.*, **15** (1975), 169-174.
6. P. Shanahan, *The Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem: An Introduction*, Springer Lecture Notes No. 638, Springer-Verlag, 1978.

Received October 3, 1978. This paper was written while the author was a visitor at the Mathematical Institute, Oxford.

COLLEGE OF THE HOLY CROSS
WORCESTER, MA 01610