

DUAL MAPS OF JORDAN HOMOMORPHISMS AND *-HOMOMORPHISMS BETWEEN C^* -ALGEBRAS

FREDERIC W. SHULTZ

A geometric characterization of the dual maps of Jordan homomorphisms and *-homomorphisms between C^* -algebras is given.

Introduction. In [2] the authors gave a geometric characterization of state spaces of (unital) C^* -algebras among compact convex sets. They defined the notion of an orientation of the state space, and showed that the state space as a compact convex set with orientation completely determines the C^* -algebra up to *-isomorphism. Our purpose here is to show that this correspondence is categorical by giving a geometric description of the dual maps on the state space induced by unital *-homomorphisms. Along the way we will also characterize dual maps of unital Jordan homomorphisms between C^* -algebras, and in fact in the larger category of JB -algebras: the normed Jordan algebras introduced in [3]. Finally we remark that the first result on this topic was Kadison's [6]: the dual maps of Jordan isomorphisms are precisely the affine homeomorphisms of the state spaces.

Characterization of Jordan homomorphisms. Throughout this paper A will be a C^* -algebra with state space K . (All C^* -algebras mentioned are assumed to be unital.) Assume that $A \subseteq B(H)$ is given in its universal representation, and thus its weak closure can be identified with its bidual A^{**} , and K can be identified with normal state space of A^{**} [4, §12].

We will view elements of A and A^{**} as affine functions on K . In fact, the self-adjoint parts of A and A^{**} are respectively isometrically order isomorphic to the spaces $A(K)$ and $A^b(K)$ of w^* -continuous (respectively, bounded) affine functions on K [6]. If B is also a C^* -algebra and $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ is a unital positive map then the dual map ϕ^* is an affine map from the state space K_B of B into $K = K_A$, and is weak *-continuous; $\phi \rightarrow \phi^*$ is a 1 - 1 correspondence of unital positive maps and w^* -continuous affine maps. Our purpose in this section is to characterize those affine maps from K_B into K_A which correspond to Jordan homomorphisms of A into B . (In the case that the C^* -subalgebra generated by $\phi(A)$ is all of B , another characterization of the dual map has been given by Størmer [10].)

Recall that a convex subset F of K is a *face* of K if $\lambda\sigma + (1 - \lambda)\tau \in F$ for $\sigma, \tau \in K$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ implies σ and τ are in F . If

$a \in A^{**}$ is positive, then $a^{-1}(0)$ is a face of K ; such faces are said to be (norm)-*exposed*. In [5] and [7] it is shown that every norm closed face of K is exposed.

Exposed faces of K are in 1 – 1 correspondence with projections in A^{**} , with the face corresponding to a projection p being $p^{-1}(1)$. Given an exposed face F , the corresponding projection p can be recovered as the affine function.

$$(1) \quad p = \inf\{a \in A^b(K) \mid 0 \leq a \leq 1, a = 1 \text{ on } F\}.$$

We will write $F^\#$ for the face corresponding to $1 - p$, i.e., $F^\# = (1 - p)^{-1}(1) = p^{-1}(0)$. The face $F^\#$ is called the *quasicomplement* of F and will play a key role in characterizing dual maps. (For details on other geometric properties of these faces, which lead to the notion of a “projective face”, see [1, §§1-3].) Note that when we give A its universal representation all states are vector states; the states in F and $F^\#$ are then the vector states w_ξ with $\xi \in pH$ (respectively $\xi \in (1 - p)H$).

The key to the role played by F and $F^\#$ is their relationship to orthogonality. Recall that each $a = a^* \in A^{**}$ admits a unique orthogonal decomposition, $a = a^+ - a^-$ with $0 \leq a^+, 0 \leq a^-$ and $a^+a^- = 0$. To express this in geometric terms, note that $a, b \in (A^{**})^+$, are orthogonal (i.e., $ab = 0$) iff the kernel of a contains $(\text{range } b)^- = (\text{kernel } b)^\perp$. In terms of the state space:

$$(2) \quad a, b, \in (A^{**})^+ \text{ are orthogonal iff there exists an exposed face } F \text{ with } a = 0 \text{ on } F, b = 0 \text{ on } F^\#.$$

We are now ready for our first result. The natural context is the category of *JB*-algebras: the normed Jordan algebras investigated in [3] which include self-adjoint parts of C^* -algebras as a special case.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let A_1 and A_2 be JB-algebras with state spaces K_1 and K_2 . A w^* -continuous affine map $\psi: K_2 \rightarrow K_1$ is the dual of a unital Jordan homomorphism from A_1 into A_2 iff ψ^{-1} preserves quasicomplements, i.e., $\psi^{-1}(F^\#) = \psi^{-1}(F)^\#$ for every exposed face F of K_1 .*

Proof. We will prove the proposition for the case when A_1 and A_2 are the self-adjoint part of C^* -algebras and then indicate the changes needed for *JB*-algebras.

Assume first that $\phi: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ is a unital Jordan homomorphism such that $\phi^* = \psi$, and let F be an exposed face in K_1 , say $F = p^{-1}(1)$ for $p \in A_1^{**}$. Then

$$\psi^{-1}(F) = \psi^{-1}(p^{-1}(1)) = (\phi^{**}(p))^{-1}(1),$$

while

$$\psi^{-1}(F^\#) = \psi^{-1}(p^{-1}(0)) = (\phi^{**}(p))^{-1}(0) .$$

Since $\phi^{**}: A_1^{**} \rightarrow A_2^{**}$ is a Jordan homomorphism, then $\phi^{**}(p)$ is an idempotent, so we have shown that ψ^{-1} preserves quasicomplements.

Conversely, suppose ψ^{-1} preserves quasicomplements. We first show that ψ^{-1} sends exposed faces to exposed faces. If $p^2 = p \in A_1^{**}$ and $F = p^{-1}(0)$, then

$$\psi^{-1}(F) = \psi^{-1}(p^{-1}(0)) = (p \circ \psi)^{-1}(0) .$$

Since $p \circ \psi(A_2^{**})^+$, then $\psi^{-1}(F)$ is a norm exposed face of K_2 .

Next we show that ψ preserves orthogonality of elements of A_1^+ . Suppose $a, b \in A_1^+$ and $a \perp b$. Let F be a norm exposed face of K_1 such that $a = 0$ on F and $b = 0$ on $F^\#$. Now $\phi(a)$ and $\phi(b)$ are positive elements of A_2 which are zero on $\psi^{-1}(F)$ and $\psi^{-1}(F^\#) = \psi^{-1}(F)^\#$ respectively, and so $\phi(a) \perp \phi(b)$.

Now suppose a is any element of A_1 , with orthogonal decomposition $a = a^+ - a^-$. By virtue of uniqueness of the orthogonal decomposition we conclude that $\phi(a^+) - \phi(a^-)$ is the orthogonal decomposition of $\phi(a)$ in A_2 ; in particular $\phi(a^+) = \phi(a)^+$.

Since ϕ is positive and unital, then $\|\phi\| \leq 1$. Now the set of all $f \in C(\sigma(a))$ such that $\phi(f(a)) = f(\phi(a))$ is seen to be a norm closed vector sublattice of $C(\sigma(a))$; by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem it equals $C(\sigma(a))$. In particular ϕ will preserve squares and then also Jordan products. Thus ϕ is a Jordan homomorphism. Finally, we consider the more general *JB*-algebra context. We can *define* orthogonality by the property in (2). The proof above then applies without change; the necessary background on the bidual, functional calculus, facial structure and orthogonal decomposition can be found in [8], [3, §2], and [1, §12]. □

As an illustration, let A_1 be the 2×2 real symmetric matrices and A_2 the 2×2 hermitian matrices. The corresponding state spaces are affinely isomorphic to the unit balls of \mathbf{R}^2 and \mathbf{R}^3 respectively. (See the last section of this paper.) In each case the nontrivial pairs of quasicomplementary faces are just the pairs of antipodal boundary points.

Now suppose $\phi: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ is a unital order isomorphism of A_1 into A_2 , i.e., $a \geq 0$ iff $\phi(a) \geq 0$. Now $\phi^*: K_2 \rightarrow K_1$ will be surjective, and one readily verifies that $(\phi^*)^{-1}$ must preserve quasicomplements. It follows that every unital order isomorphism from A_1 into A_2 is a Jordan isomorphism. (This is not true in general.)

*Characterization of *-homomorphisms.* We first recall the notion

of orientation defined in [2]. Let B be a 3-ball (i.e., a convex set affinely isomorphic to the closed unit ball of E^3 of R^3). If ψ_1 and ψ_2 are affine maps of E^3 onto B , we say that ψ_1 and ψ_2 are equivalent if the orthogonal transformation $\psi_1^{-1} \circ \psi_2$ has determinant $+1$. An *orientation* of B is then an equivalence class of affine maps from E^3 onto B .

Recall that the state space $S(M_2(C))$ of the 2×2 complex matrices is a 3-ball; in fact if we identify $S(M_2(C))$ with the positive matrices of unit trace, then an affine isomorphism $\tau: E^3 \rightarrow S(M_2(C))$ is given by

$$(3) \quad \tau(a, b, c) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(1+a) & \frac{1}{2}(b+ic) \\ \frac{1}{2}(b-ic) & \frac{1}{2}(1-a) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will refer to the associated orientation as the standard orientation for $S(M_2(C))$.

If B_1 and B_2 are 3-balls with orientations given by $\psi_i: E^3 \rightarrow B_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, we say an affine map γ of B_1 onto B_2 *preserves orientation* if $\gamma \circ \psi_1$ is equivalent to ψ_2 ; else we say γ *reverses orientation*. It is not difficult to verify that the dual map of any *-automorphism of $M_2(C)$ will preserve orientation, while for a *-anti-homomorphism orientation is reversed [2, Lemma 6.1].

Now let A be a C^* -algebra with state space K . If ρ and σ are unitarily equivalent pure states then the smallest face containing ρ and σ is a 3-ball, which we denote $B(\rho, \sigma)$. (If ρ and σ are inequivalent, the face they generate is the line segment $[\rho, \sigma]$. See [2, Lemma 3.4] for details.) In the future when we refer to a 3-ball of K we will mean a facial 3-ball, i.e., one of the form $B(\rho, \sigma)$.

Let $A(E^3, K)$ denote the set of affine maps from E^3 onto 3-balls of K , with the topology of pointwise convergence. We let the orthogonal group $O(3)$ of affine automorphisms of E^3 act on $A(E^3, K)$ by composition. Then $A(E^3, K)/SO(3) \rightarrow A(E^3, K)/O(3)$ is a locally trivial $Z/2$ bundle cf. [2, Lemma 7.1]. Note that a cross section of this bundle is just a choice of one of the two possible orientations for each 3-ball in K . We then define a (global) *orientation* of K to be a continuous cross section of this bundle.

The state space of every C^* -algebra is orientable. (Indeed, the fact that face $\{\rho, \sigma\}$ is always of dimension 1 or 3, together with orientability, characterize state spaces of C^* -algebras among state space of JB -algebras; this is the main result of [2].) To define the standard orientation of K , we define the orientation on each 3-ball B in K . If $p \in A^{**}$ is the projection corresponding to B (i.e., $p^{-1}(1) = B$), then $pA^{**}p$ is *-isomorphic to $M_2(C)$. If $\Phi: pA^{**}p \rightarrow M_2(C)$ is a

-isomorphism, then we define the orientation of B to be that carried over from $S(M_2(C))$ by ϕ^ . More precisely, let $U_p: A^{**} \rightarrow A^{**}$ be the map $a \rightarrow p a p$ and let $\tau: E^3 \rightarrow S(M_2(C))$ be the map defined by equation (3); then the orientation of B is given by the map $U_p^* \circ \phi^* \circ \tau: E^3 \rightarrow B$. If this orientation is chosen for each 3-ball, then it is shown in [2, Thm. 7.3] that this cross section is continuous, i.e., is a global orientation.

If $\psi: K_2 \rightarrow K_1$ is an affine map between state spaces of C^* -algebras, we say ψ preserves orientation if ψ preserves orientation for each 3-ball of K_2 whose image in K_1 is a 3-ball of K_1 . In general ψ will not map 3-balls to 3-balls, even if ψ is the dual of a *-homomorphism, but the following lemma shows this happens often enough for our purposes.

The following observation will be useful in the proof. If $\pi: A \rightarrow B(H)$ is an irreducible representation, then π^* maps the normal state space $N(B(H))$ bijectively onto a face of K_1 which we will denote by F_π . To see that F_π is a face, note that $\pi^*N(B(H))$ is just the annihilator in K of the ideal $\ker \tilde{\pi}$, where $\tilde{\pi}: A^{**} \rightarrow B(H)$ is the σ -weakly continuous extension of π . (In fact F_π will be a minimal split face of K_1 containing the pure states whose GNS representations are unitarily equivalent to π , cf. [2, Prop. 2.2], but we will not need this.) Since $\tilde{\pi}$ is surjective, π^* will be $1 - 1$.

LEMMA 2. *Let A_1 and A_2 be C^* -algebras with state spaces K_1 and K_2 , and $\phi: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ a *-preserving unital Jordan homomorphism. Then each 3-ball of K_1 which lies in $\phi^*(K_2)$ is the image of a 3-ball in K_2 .*

Proof. Let $B = B(\rho, \sigma) \subseteq \phi^*(K_2)$ be a 3-ball of K_1 . Then $(\phi^*)^{-1}(\rho)$ is a nonempty w^* -closed face of K_2 , so contains a pure state $\tilde{\rho}$. Let (π, H, ξ) be the corresponding GNS representation of A_2 , and let q be the projection on $((\pi \circ \phi)(A_1)\xi)^\perp$. Identify $qB(H)q$ and $B(qH)$; define $\gamma: A_1 \rightarrow (B(qH))$ by

$$\gamma(a) = p(\pi \circ \phi)(a)p .$$

Then γ is an irreducible representation of A_1 , and so γ^* maps the normal state space $N(B(qH))$ bijectively onto the face F_γ of K_1 . Since ρ and σ belong to a 3-ball, they are unitarily equivalent; thus $\sigma = w_\gamma \circ \gamma$ for some vector state w_γ on $B(qH)$. It follows that $B \subseteq F_\gamma$, and thus there is a 3-ball B^1 in $q^{-1}(1) \cong (B(qH))$ which is mapped onto B by $(\pi \circ \phi)^*$. Finally, π^* maps $N(B(H))$ bijectively onto the face F_π of K_2 , and therefore $\pi^*(B^1)$ is the desired 3-ball of K_2 . \square

PROPOSITION 3. *Let A_1 and A_2 be C^* -algebras with state spaces*

K_1 and K_2 . A $*$ -preserving unital Jordan homomorphism $\phi: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ is a $*$ -homomorphism iff ϕ^* preserves orientation.

Proof. Assume ϕ is a $*$ -homomorphism, and let B_1 and B_2 be 3-balls such that $\phi^*(B_2) = B_1$. Let $p \in A_1^{**}$ be the projection corresponding to B_1 , i.e. $B = p^{-1}(1)$, and denote by $\tilde{\phi}: A_1^{**} \rightarrow A_2^{**}$ the σ -weakly continuous extension of ϕ . Now since $pA_1^{**}p$ and $\tilde{\phi}(p)A_2^{**}\tilde{\phi}(p)$ are both isomorphic to $M_2(C)$, it follows that $\tilde{\phi}: pA_1^{**}p \rightarrow \tilde{\phi}(p)A_2^{**}\tilde{\phi}(p)$ is a $*$ -isomorphism. From the definition of the standard orientations of K_1 and K_2 , it follows that $\phi^*: B_2 = (\tilde{\phi}(p))^{-1}(1) \rightarrow B_1$ preserves orientation. (We note for use below that if ϕ were a $*$ -anti-homomorphism, the argument above shows that $\phi^*: B_2 \rightarrow B_1$ would reverse orientation.)

Conversely, assume now that $\phi^*: K_2 \rightarrow K_1$ preserves orientation. Let C be the C^* -subalgebra of A_2 generated by $\phi(A_1)$; clearly it suffices to show $\phi: A_1 \rightarrow C$ is a $*$ -homomorphism.

We will first show that $\phi^*: K_C \rightarrow K_1$ is orientation preserving (where K_C is the state space of C). Let B_C and B_1 be 3-balls in K_C and K_1 with $\phi^*(B_C) = B_1$. By Lemma 2 we can choose a 3-ball B_2 in K_2 such that the restriction map sends B_2 onto B_C . By the first paragraph of this proof the restriction map preserves orientation; by assumption so does $\phi^*: B_2 \rightarrow B_1$. It follows that $\phi^*: B_C \rightarrow B_1$ preserve orientation.

Now let $\pi: C \rightarrow B(H)$ be any irreducible $*$ -representation of C . Since $\phi(A_1)$ generates C , then $\pi \circ \phi: A_1 \rightarrow B(H)$ will be an irreducible Jordan homomorphism. By [9, Cor. 3.4] $\pi \circ \phi$ is either a $*$ -homomorphism or $*$ -anti-homomorphism. Let B be any 3-ball in K_1 contained in the image of the state space of $B(H)$ under $(\pi \circ \phi)^*$. (By the remarks preceding Lemma 2 such a 3-ball will exist unless $\dim H = 1$.) Now by Lemma 2 there is a 3-ball B^1 in K with $\phi^*(B^1) = B$ and a 3-ball B^2 in the state space of $B(H)$ with $\pi^*(B^2) = B^1$. Since π^* and ϕ^* preserve orientation, then $(\pi \circ \phi)^*: B^2 \rightarrow B$ does also. By the remarks in the first paragraph of this proof, this rules out the case where $\pi \circ \phi$ is an anti-homomorphism unless $\dim H = 1$, and so in all cases $\pi \circ \phi$ is a $*$ -homomorphism. Since π was an arbitrary irreducible representation of C , it follows that ϕ is a $*$ -homomorphism. □

PROPOSITION 4. Let A and B be C^* -algebras and ψ a w^* -continuous affine map from the state space of B into the state space of A . Then ψ is the dual of a unital $*$ homomorphism from A into B iff ψ^{-1} preserves quasicomplements and ψ preserves orientation.

Proof. Immediate from Propositions 1 and 3. □

REFERENCES

1. E. M. Alfsen and F. Shultz, *Non-commutative spectral theory for affine function spaces on convex sets*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **172** (1976).
2. E. M. Alfsen, H. Hanche-Olsen, and F. Shultz, *State spaces of C^* -algebras*, Acta Math., **144** (1980), 267-305.
3. E. M. Alfsen, F. Shultz, and E. Størmer, *A Gelfand-Neumark theorem for Jordan algebras*, Advances in Math., **28** (1978), 11-56.
4. J. Dixmier, *Les C^* -algèbres et leurs représentations*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1964.
5. E. G. Effros, *Order ideals in a C^* -algebra and its dual*, Duke Math. J., **30** (1963), 391-412.
6. R. V. Kadison, *Transformations of states in operator theory and dynamics*, Topology, **3** (1965), 177-198.
7. R. T. Prosser, *On the ideal structure of operator algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **45** (1963).
8. F. Shultz, *On normal Jordan algebras which are Banach dual spaces*, J. Functional Analysis, **31** (1979), 360-376.
9. E. Størmer, *On the Jordan structure of C^* -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **120** (1965), 438-447.
10. ———, *On partially ordered vector spaces and their duals, with applications to simplexes and C^* -algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **18** (1968), 245-265.

Received December 26, 1979. Supported in part by NSF grant MCS78-02455.

WELLESLEY COLLEGE
WELLESLEY, MA 02181

