

SYMMETRIC SHIFT REGISTERS, PART 2

JAN SØRENG

We study symmetric shift registers defined by

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \longrightarrow (x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1})$$

where $x_{n+1} = x_1 + S(x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and S is a symmetric polynomial over the field $\text{GF}(2)$.

Introduction. In this paper we study symmetric shift registers over the field $\text{GF}(2) = \{0, 1\}$. In [2] we introduced the block structure of elements in $\{0, 1\}^n$ and developed a theory about this block structure. In this paper we will use the results in [2] about the block structure to determine the cycle structure of the symmetric shift registers.

The symmetric shift register θ_S corresponding to $S(x_2, \dots, x_n)$ where S is a symmetric polynomial, is defined by

$$\theta_S(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) \quad \text{where} \quad x_{n+1} = x_1 + S(x_2, \dots, x_n).$$

q is the minimal period of $A \in \{0, 1\}^n$ with respect to θ_S if q is the least integer such that $\theta_S^q(A) = A$. Then $A \rightarrow \theta_S(A) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \theta_S^q(A) = A$ is called the cycle corresponding to A . We will for all S solve the following three problems:

1. Determine the minimal period for each $A \in \{0, 1\}^n$.
2. Determine the possible minimal periods.
3. Determine the number of cycles corresponding to each minimal period.

Moreover, the problems will be solved in a constructive way, a way which will describe how the minimal periods and the number of cycles can be calculated. In [1] (see also [2]) we reduced all the problems to the case $S = E_k + \dots + E_{k+p}$ where E_i is defined by

$$E_i(x_2, \dots, x_n) = 1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \sum_{j=2}^n x_j = i.$$

In this paper we will only study $S = E_k + \dots + E_{k+p}$.

I will now roughly describe the structure of the proof. First we need a definition. Suppose $\mathcal{M} \subset \{0, 1\}^n$ is a set such that for all $A \in \mathcal{M}$ there exists an $i > 0$ such that $\theta_S^i(A) \in \mathcal{M}$. Then we define Index: $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\psi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ in the following way:

Let $i > 0$ be the least integer such that $\theta_S^i(A) \in \mathcal{M}$, then we define $\text{Index}(A) = i$ and $\psi(A) = \theta_S^i(A)$.

In the proof we need only consider certain subsets \mathcal{M} which can be represented in a nice way. Each $A \in \mathcal{M}$ is uniquely deter-

mined by its block structure. In [2] we proved how we can determine the block structure of $\psi(A)$ by means of the block structure of A . We continue in this way and calculate the block structure of $\psi^2(A)$, $\psi^3(A)$, \dots . Finally, we find a q such that A and $\psi^q(A)$ have the same block structure. Hence $A = \psi^q(A)$. Then

$$\text{Index}(A) + \text{Index}(\psi(A)) + \dots + \text{Index}(\psi^{q-1}(A))$$

is the minimal period of A .

Next we give a short outline of the paper. Section 2 contains some definitions and notations. In §3 we compute ψ for a certain subset \mathcal{M} and describe the main ideas. In the §§4, 5 and 6 we solve the Problems 1, 2 and 3 respectively for the set \mathcal{M} . In §7 we generalize the results to all $A \in \{0, 1\}^n$. This generalization will not be difficult.

2. Preliminaries. We must repeat some of the definitions from [2]. First we define the blocks of $A \in \{0, 1\}^n$ ([2], Def. 3.1). Intuitively an i -block is i consecutive 1's in A . 0_i denotes i consecutive 0's in A and 1_i denotes i consecutive 1's in A for $i \geq 0$.

We need some notation. We write $a_1 \dots a_n = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$. If $A = a_1 \dots a_n \in \{0, 1\}^n$, we define

$$f(a_i \dots a_j) = (\text{the number of 1's in } a_i \dots a_j) \\ - (\text{the number of 0's in } a_i \dots a_j).$$

If $r \leq i \leq j \leq s$ and ($r \neq i$ or $j \neq s$) we write $a_i \dots a_j < a_r \dots a_s$. Moreover, $a \wedge b$ denotes the minimum of a and b , and we define $w(\cdot)$ by $w(a_1 \dots a_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$.

We divide the definition of blocks into two parts by first defining 1-structures and 0-structures of A . A 1-structure (0-structure) is a generalization of q consecutive 1's (respectively 0's) which is succeeded by q 0's (respectively 1's). We will say that a block B_i is on level i if it is contained in a chain $B_1 > B_2 > B_3 > \dots > B_i$ of blocks.

DEFINITION 2.1, Part 1. Suppose $A = a_1 \dots a_n \in \{0, 1\}^n$.

(a) Suppose $a_r = 1$. Let s be the maximal integer such that $D = a_r \dots a_s$ satisfies

$$(1) \quad 0 < f(a_r \dots a_i) \leq f(a_r \dots a_s) \text{ for } i \in \{r, \dots, s\}$$

and

$$(2) \quad \text{If } r \leq i \leq j \leq s, \text{ then } f(a_i \dots a_j) > -(p+1).$$

By definition D is a 1-structure with respect to p .

(b) Suppose $a_r = 0$. Let s be the maximal integer such that $D = a_r \dots a_s$ satisfies

B_7 and B_8	are blocks of type 2
B_9 and B_{10}	are blocks of type 3
B_1, B_3, B_4 and B_{10}	are blocks on level 1
B_7, B_8, B_9, B_8 and B_8	are blocks on level 2
B_2	is a block on level 3 .

We establish the convention that B always denotes a block. Moreover, we suppose k and p are fixed integers such that $0 \leq k \leq k + p \leq n - 1$. The block structure is always determined with respect to p and we always work with $S = E_k + \dots + E_{k+p}$. We write $\theta = \theta_s$. These conventions do not concern § 7.

If $A = a_1 \dots a_n$, we write $l_A(a_i \dots a_j) = i$ and $r_A(a_i \dots a_j) = j$. Next we define $d(B)$ which measures how far the block B is to the left in A . Suppose $A = a_1 \dots a_n$. We define

$$d_q(a_1 \dots a_j) = j - \sum \{q \wedge \text{type}(B) : l_A(B) \leq j\} \\ - \sum \{q \wedge \text{type}(B) : r_A(B) \leq j\} .$$

If B is a block of A , then we define $d(B) = 0$ if $l_A(B) = 1$. Otherwise,

$$d(B) = d_q(a_1 \dots a_j) \quad \text{where} \quad j = l_A(B) - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad q = \text{type}(B) .$$

In our example in this section we get

$$(d(B_1), d(B_2), d(B_3), d(B_4), d(B_5), d(B_6)) = (1, 5, 6, 10, 11, 15) \\ (d(B_7), d(B_8)) = (3, 7) \\ (d(B_9), d(B_{10})) = (2, 4) .$$

3. Main ideas. In this section we let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{p+1}$ be fix integers such that $\gamma_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$ and $\gamma_{p+1} > 0$. Moreover, we will only work with $A \in \{0, 1\}^n$ which contains γ_i i -blocks for $i = 1, \dots, p + 1$, and such that $w(A) = k + p + 1$. That is; A contains $(k + p + 1)$ 1's.

In [2] we described how the blocks move by applying the shift register. We will reformulate these results by introducing new notation. First we have to repeat a lot of the notation from [2]. Moreover, we will mention some of the problems we must solve and describe the main ideas on an example.

In [2] we defined ($i = 1, \dots, p + 1$)

$$(3.1) \quad \alpha_i = n + i - 2\gamma_1 - 4\gamma_2 - \dots - 2i\gamma_i - 2i(\gamma_{i+1} + \dots + \gamma_{p+1}) . \\ m = k + p + 1 - \gamma_1 - 2\gamma_2 - 3\gamma_3 - \dots - (p + 1)\gamma_{p+1} .$$

Since α_i and m are very important constants, we will give an interpretation of them. To do this we define a subset $\mathcal{M} \subset \{0, 1\}^n$ in the following way

$$(3.2) \quad A \in \mathcal{M} \iff \begin{cases} w(A) = k + p + 1 . \\ A \text{ starts with } 0 \text{ or a } (p + 1)\text{-block} . \\ A \text{ contains } \gamma_i \text{ } i\text{-blocks for } i = 1, \dots, p + 1 . \\ A \text{ ends with a } (p + 1)\text{-block} . \end{cases}$$

In the §§ 3-6 we will study this subset, and in § 7 we reduce the general problem to \mathcal{M} . It can be proved that

$$(3.3) \quad \alpha_i \geq \max \{d(B) : B \text{ is an } i\text{-block in } A\}$$

for each $A \in \mathcal{M}$. For some $A \in \mathcal{M}$ we will have equality in (3.3). Next, we will give an interpretation of m . We use the function $f(\cdot)$ defined in § 2. From the definition of blocks we have $f(B) \geq p + 1$ when $\text{type}(B) = p + 1$. We suppose $A \in \mathcal{M}$. Then it can be proved that

$$m = \sum \{f(B) - (p + 1) : B \text{ is a } (p + 1)\text{-block in } A\} .$$

m is in a way the sum of the superfluous 1's in the $(p + 1)$ -blocks in A .

The subset \mathcal{M} we defined in (3.2) is very important. We will now study the key map $\psi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ defined by

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{if } A \in \mathcal{M}, \text{ then } \psi(A) = \theta^i(A) \text{ where } i \text{ is the least integer} \\ \text{such that } \theta^i(A) \in \mathcal{M}. \text{ Moreover we define } \text{Index}(A) = i . \end{array}$$

In [2] we called this map φ_{\min} . Moreover, if $\gamma_{p+1} = 1$ then $\varphi = \varphi_{\min}$ in [2]. By Lemma 4.11 (the case $\gamma_{p+1} = 1$) and Lemma 4.13 in [2] there exists a bijective correspondence (which we also call ψ)

$$(3.5) \quad \psi: \{\text{the blocks in } A\} \longrightarrow \{\text{the blocks in } \psi(A)\}$$

which satisfies Condition 4.9 in [2]. That implies that the map (3.5) have a lot of nice properties which we describe now. We have

$$\text{type}(B) = \text{type}(\psi(B)) \quad \text{and} \quad |f(B)| = |f(\psi(B))|$$

where f is as in § 2. In [2] we also write $m(B) = |f(B)|$. But the most important thing which Condition 4.9 in [2] gives us is the following: Let i be an integer such that $1 \leq i \leq p + 1$ and

$$B_1, \dots, B_{r_i}$$

are the i -blocks in A ordered from left to right. Then there exists an integer r (depending on i) such that

$$\psi(B_{r+1}), \psi(B_{r+2}), \dots, \psi(B_{r_i}), \psi(B_1), \dots, \psi(B_r)$$

are the i -blocks in $\psi(A)$ ordered from left to right. Moreover, there

exists an integer β (depending on i) such that

$$d(\psi(B_i)) = \begin{cases} d(B_i) - \beta & \text{when } d(B_i) \leq \beta \\ d(B_i) - \beta + \alpha_i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We calculated these integers r and β in [2]. Unfortunately, these calculations are very complicated. We will return to these calculations in Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4. Moreover, we proved in [2] (Lemma 4.1(b) in [2]) the following fundamental result:

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{If } A, A' \in \mathcal{M} \text{ and there is a correspondence } B \longrightarrow B' \\ \text{between the blocks of respectively } A \text{ and } A' \text{ such that} \\ \text{and } d(B) = d(B') \text{ for each block } B \\ \text{and } f(B) = f(B') \text{ for each } (p+1)\text{-block } B, \\ \text{then } A = A'. \end{array}$$

Now we need a simple way to describe the block structure. To each $A \in \mathcal{M}$ we define $(p+1)$ vectors which contains all information about the block structure of A .

DEFINITION 3.1. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}$. Suppose $1 \leq i \leq p+1$ and

$$B_1, \dots, B_{r_i}$$

are the i -blocks in A ordered from left to right. If $1 \leq i \leq p$, we define

$$D_i(A) = (d(B_1), \dots, d(B_{r_i})).$$

If $i = p+1$, then we define

$$\begin{aligned} D_{p+1}(A) &= (d(B_1), \dots, d(B_{r_{p+1}})) \times (f(B_1) \\ &\quad - (p+1), \dots, f(B_{r_{p+1}}) - (p+1)) \end{aligned}$$

where f is as in § 2. As a convention we let $D_i(A)$ be the empty vector if $\gamma_i = 0$.

The last part of $D_{p+1}(A)$, namely $(f(B_1) - (p+1), \dots, f(B_{r_{p+1}}) - (p+1))$ tells us how large each $(p+1)$ -block in A is. Let A be as in our example in § 2. Then $n = 34$ and by putting $p = 2$ and $k = 15$ we get $A \in \mathcal{M}$. Moreover, we get

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{array}{l} \gamma_1 = 6, \quad \gamma_2 = 2, \quad \gamma_3 = 2, \quad \alpha_1 = 15, \quad \alpha_2 = 8, \\ \alpha_3 = 5 \quad \text{and} \quad m = 2. \\ D_1(A) = (1, 5, 6, 10, 11, 15), \quad D_2(A) = (3, 7) \quad \text{and} \\ D_3(A) = (2, 4) \times (1, 1). \end{array}$$

These results from [2] indicate that we must solve the following 3 problems: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}$.

1. Let i be an integer such that $1 \leq i \leq p + 1$. How can we obtain $D_i(\psi^t(A)) = D_i(A)$?

2. How can we determine an integer t such that $D_i(\psi^t(A)) = D_i(A)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, p + 1\}$.

3. Suppose we have solved Problem 2. By (3.6) we have $\psi^t(A) = A$. How can we determine an integer “per” such that $\psi^t(A) = \theta^{\text{per}}(A)$?
By using Definition 3.1 we can define a map

$$g = D_1 \times D_2 \times \dots \times D_{p+1} .$$

By (3.6) g is a bijective correspondence

$$g: \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow g(\mathcal{M}) .$$

One of the main ideas in this paper is that we work with $g(\mathcal{M})$ instead on \mathcal{M} . For example, later we will count some subsets of \mathcal{M} . Then we instead count the corresponding subset of $g(\mathcal{M})$. In [2] we described $g(\mathcal{M})$ in a nice way as in the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.2. (a) *If $1 \leq i \leq p$, then*

$$D_i(\mathcal{M}) = \{(t_1, \dots, t_{r_i}): 1 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_{r_i} \leq \alpha_i\} .$$

We use the convention that $D_i(\mathcal{M}) = \{(\emptyset)\}$ where (\emptyset) is the empty vector, when $\gamma_i = 0$.

(b)

$$D_{p+1}(\mathcal{M}) = \{(t_1, \dots, t_{r_{p+1}}) \times (s_1, \dots, s_{r_{p+1}}): t_i \geq 0, s_i \geq 0, \\ s_1 + \dots + s_{r_{p+1}} = m, t_i + s_i \leq t_{i+1} \ (i = 1, \dots, \gamma_{p+1} - 1) \\ \text{and } t_{r_{p+1}} + s_{r_{p+1}} = \alpha_{p+1}\} .$$

(c)

$$g(\mathcal{M}) = \prod_{i=1}^{p+1} D_i(\mathcal{M}) .$$

PROOF. The lemma is a reformulation of Lemma 4.1(c).

Instead of $\psi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ we will later use the corresponding map on $g(\mathcal{M})$. That is; we will find a map $\hat{\psi}$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & g(\mathcal{M}) \\ \psi \downarrow & g & \downarrow \hat{\psi} \\ \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & g(\mathcal{M}) . \end{array}$$

$\hat{\psi}$ will be defined implicitly in Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4. We do not need an explicit definition of $\hat{\psi}$.

The next two lemmas describe how we calculate $D_i(\psi(A))$ from $D_i(A)$.

LEMMA 3.3. (a) Suppose $A \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\gamma_{p+1} = 1$. We define r_p, \dots, r_1 and β_p, \dots, β_1 inductively in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_p &= 1 \\ r_p &= \text{the number of } p\text{-blocks } B \text{ in } A \text{ such that } d(B) \leq \beta_p. \\ &\vdots \\ \beta_i &= (p+1-i) + 2r_{i+1} + 4r_{i+2} + 6r_{i+3} + \dots + 2(p-i)r_p \\ r_i &= \text{the number of } i\text{-blocks } B \text{ in } A \text{ such that } d(B) \leq \beta_i. \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $D_i(A) = (t_1, \dots, t_{r_i})$. Then we have

$$D_i(\psi(A)) = (t'_{r_{i+1}}, \dots, t'_{r_i}, t'_1, \dots, t'_{r_i})$$

where

$$t'_j = \begin{cases} t_j + \alpha_i - \beta_i & \text{if } j \leq r_i \\ t_j - \beta_i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, $D_{p+1}(\psi(A)) = D_{p+1}(A)$ and $0 \leq \beta_i \leq \alpha_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ and

$$\text{Index}(A) = (n + p + 1) + 2r_1 + 4r_2 + \dots + 2 \cdot p \cdot r_p.$$

We also write $r_i(A) = r_i$ and $\beta_i(A) = \beta_i$.

PROOF. (a) $\varphi(A)$ in Lemma 4.11 in [2] is equal to $\psi(A)$. By Lemma 4.11(b) and (d) in [2] $\beta_i = x_i(A)$ and $r_i = r_i$ where $x_i(A)$ and r_i are used in Lemma 4.11. Then it is not difficult to see that this lemma is a reformulation of Lemma 4.11 in [2]. \square

LEMMA 3.4. (a) Suppose $A \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\gamma_{p+1} > 1$. We define r_{p+1}, \dots, r_1 and $\beta_{p+1}, \dots, \beta_1$ inductively in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{p+1} &= d(B) + f(B) - (p+1) \text{ where } B \text{ is the first } (p+1)\text{-block in } A. \\ r_{p+1} &= 1 \\ \beta_p &= \beta_{p+1} + 2r_{p+1} \\ r_p &= \text{the number of } p\text{-blocks } B \text{ in } A \text{ such that } d(B) \leq \beta_p. \\ &\vdots \\ \beta_i &= \beta_{p+1} + 2r_{i+1} + 4r_{i+2} + \dots + 2(p+1-i)r_{p+1} \\ r_i &= \text{the number of } i\text{-blocks in } A \text{ such that } d(B) \leq \beta_i. \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $D_i(A) = (t_1, \dots, t_{r_i})$. Then we have

$$D_i(\psi(A)) = (t'_{r_i+1}, \dots, t'_{r_i}, t'_1, \dots, t'_{r_i})$$

where

$$t'_j = \begin{cases} t_j + \alpha_i - \beta_i & \text{if } j \leq r_i \\ t_j - \beta_i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Suppose $D_{p+1}(A) = (t_1, \dots, t_{r_{p+1}}) \times (s_1, \dots, s_{r_{p+1}})$. Then we have

$$D_{p+1}(\psi(A)) = (t'_2, t'_3, \dots, t'_{r_{p+1}}, t'_1) \times (s_2, \dots, s_{r_{p+1}}, s_1)$$

where

$$t'_j = \begin{cases} t_j - \beta_{p+1} & \text{if } j \geq 2 \\ t_1 + \alpha_{p+1} - \beta_{p+1} = \alpha_{p+1} - s_1 & \text{if } j = 1. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we have $0 < \beta_i < \alpha_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ and

$$\text{Index}(A) = \beta_{p+1} + 2r_1 + 4r_2 + \dots + 2(p+1)r_{p+1}.$$

We also write $r_i(A) = r_i$ and $\beta_i(A) = \beta_i$.

PROOF. Since ψ is equal to φ_{\min} in [2] this is a reformulation of Lemma 4.13 in [2].

We will illustrate this lemma by our example in § 2. We get

$$\begin{array}{lll} \beta_3 = 2 + 1 = 3 & \beta_2 = 3 + 2 \cdot 1 = 5 & \beta_1 = 3 + 2 \cdot 1 + 4 \cdot 1 = 9 \\ r_3 = 1 & r_2 = 1 & r_1 = 3. \end{array}$$

Since $D_1(A) = (1, 5, 6, 10, 11, 15)$ and $\alpha_1 = 15$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(\psi(A)) &= (10 - \beta_1, 11 - \beta_1, 15 - \beta_1, 1 + \alpha_1 - \beta_1, 5 + \alpha_1 - \beta_1, 6 + \alpha_1 - \beta_1) \\ &= (1, 2, 6, 7, 11, 12). \end{aligned}$$

Since $D_2(A) = (3, 7)$ and $\alpha_2 = 8$ we get

$$D_2(\psi(A)) = (7 - \beta_2, 3 + \alpha_2 - \beta_2) = (2, 6).$$

Since $D_3(A) = (2, 4) \times (1, 1)$ and $\alpha_3 = 5$ we get

$$D_3(\psi(A)) = (4 - \beta_3, 2 + \alpha_3 - \beta_3) \times (1, 1) = (1, 4) \times (1, 1).$$

In our forthcoming proofs we need not know what $\psi(A)$ looks like. But, if we want, we can successively construct

$$K_3 = K_3(\psi(A)) \longrightarrow K_2 = K_2(\psi(A)) \longrightarrow K_1(\psi(A)) = \psi(A)$$

as in the proof of Lemma 4.1 in [2]. We will only sketch this method:

$$K_3 = 01111000001111$$

since K_3 is the unique vector satisfying: K_3 contains only 3-blocks, $D_3(K_3) = D_3(A)$ and the length of $K_3 = n - 2\gamma_1 - 4\gamma_2 = 14$.

By putting in 1100 or 0011 between certain positions in K_3 we get a vector K_2 which only contains 2- and 3-blocks and satisfies: $D_i(K_2) = D_i(A)$ for $i = 2, 3$ and the length of $K_2 = n - 2\gamma_1 = 22$. we get

$$K_2 = 0111001110000011001111 .$$

By putting in 10 or 01 between certain positions in K_2 we finally get:

$$\psi(A) = K_3 = 0101101100111010010000110100101111 .$$

Next we will determine q such that $D_j(\psi^q(A)) = D_j(A)$. To do this we must be able to determine $D_j(\psi^q(A))$ directly from $D_j(A)$. We will develop a method in Lemma 3.6. First we need more notation.

DEFINITION 3.5. When it is clear which $A \in \{0, 1\}^n$ we are working with, we define ($s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$)

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_j(s) &= \beta_j(\psi^s(A)) & \text{and} & & r_j(s) &= r_j(\psi^s(A)) \\ \mathcal{B}_j(s) &= \beta_j(0) + \dots + \beta_j(s-1) & \text{and} & & \mathcal{R}_j(s) &= r_j(0) + \dots + r_j(s-1) . \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3.6. Suppose $A \in \mathcal{M}$, $1 \leq j \leq p$ and $D_j(A) = (t_1, \dots, t_{r_j})$. Then we determine $D_j(\psi^s(A))$ in the following way:

We determine integers f and β^* such that

$$\mathcal{B}_j(s) = f \cdot \alpha_j + \beta^* \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \beta^* < \alpha_j .$$

We let $r^* =$ the number of coordinates t_i in $D_j(A)$ such that $t_i \leq \beta^*$.

Then we have

$$D_j(\psi^s(A)) = (t'_{r^*+1}, \dots, t'_{r_j}, t'_1, \dots, t'_{r^*}) \quad \text{where}$$

$$t'_i = \begin{cases} t_i + \alpha_j - \beta^* & \text{when } 1 \leq i \leq r^* \\ t_i - \beta^* & \text{when } i > r^* . \end{cases}$$

(If $r^* = \gamma_j$, then $D_j(\psi^s(A)) = (t'_1, \dots, t'_{r_j})$.) Moreover, $\mathcal{R}_j(s) = f \cdot \gamma_j + r^*$.

PROOF. We suppose the lemma is true for s , and we will prove that it is true for $(s + 1)$. We write

$$D_j(\psi^s(A)) = (u_1, \dots, u_{r_j}) .$$

By Lemma 3.3 or Lemma 3.4 we have $(\beta^{**} = \beta_j(s))$ and $(r^{**} = r_j(s))$

$$D_j(\psi^{s+1}(A)) = (u'_{r^{**}+1}, \dots, u'_{r^*}, u'_1, \dots, u'_{r^{**}}) \quad \text{where}$$

$$u'_i = \begin{cases} u_i + \alpha_j - \beta^{**} & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq r^{**} \\ u_i - \beta^{**} & \text{for } i > r^{**}. \end{cases}$$

We suppose $\beta^* + \beta^{**} \geq \alpha_j$ (the case $\beta^* + \beta^{**} < \alpha_j$ is treated analogously). We observe

$$t'_{r^*} = t_{r^*} - \beta^* \leq \alpha_j - \beta^* \leq \beta^{**}.$$

Hence we get

$$D_j(\psi^s(A)) = \underbrace{(t'_{r^{**}+1}, \dots, t'_{r^*}, t'_1, \dots, t'_v, t'_{v+1}, \dots, t'_{r^*})}_{\substack{= (u_1, \dots, \\ \dots, u_{r^{**}}, u_{r^{**}+1}, \dots)}}.$$

and

$$D_j(\psi^{s+1}(A)) = (t''_{v+1}, \dots, t''_{r^*}, t''_1, \dots, t''_v) \quad \text{where}$$

$$t''_i = \begin{cases} t_i + \alpha_j - (\beta^* + \beta^{**} - \alpha_j) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq v \\ t_i - (\beta^* + \beta^{**} - \alpha_j) & \text{if } i > v. \end{cases}$$

(For example, if $1 \leq i \leq v$ we get: $t''_i = t'_i + \alpha_j - \beta^{**} = (t_i + \alpha_j - \beta^*) + \alpha_j - \beta^{**} = t_i + \alpha_j - (\beta^* + \beta^{**} - \alpha_j)$).

Now we will prove that this is in accordance with the lemma:

$$\mathcal{B}_j(s+1) = f\alpha_j + \beta^* + \beta^{**} = (f+1)\alpha_j + (\beta^* + \beta^{**} - \alpha_j).$$

If $1 \leq i \leq v$, then we have

$$t_i = (t_i + \alpha_j - \beta^*) + \beta^* - \alpha_j = t'_i + \beta^* - \alpha_j \leq \beta^{**} + \beta^* - \alpha_j.$$

If $v < i \leq r^*$, then we have

$$t_i = (t_i + \alpha_j - \beta^*) + \beta^* - \alpha_j = t'_i + \beta^* - \alpha_j > \beta^{**} + \beta^* - \alpha_j.$$

If $v > r^*$, then we have

$$t_i > \beta^* \geq \beta^* + \beta^{**} - \alpha_j.$$

Hence, v = the number of coordinates t_i in $D_j(A)$ such that $t_i \leq \beta^* + \beta^{**} - \alpha_j$.

We observe $v = r^* + r^{**} - \gamma_j$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{B}_j(s+1) = \mathcal{B}_j(s) + r^{**} = f \cdot \gamma_j + r^* + r^{**} = (f+1) \cdot \gamma_j + v$$

and the proof is complete.

Now we return to our example. We divide the treatment into 5 steps:

Step 1. We have $D_2(A) = (3, 7)$ and $\alpha_2 = 8$. If $\beta^* = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 7$

respectively in Lemma 3.6 we get that $D_2(\psi^s(A))$ is equal to (3, 7), (2, 6), (1, 5), (4, 8), (3, 7), (2, 6), (1, 5), (4, 8) respectively. Hence, $\beta^* = 0$ or 4 gives $D_2(\psi^s(A)) = (3, 7)$ and therefore

$$(3.8) \quad D_2(\psi^s(A)) = D_2(A) \iff \mathcal{B}_2(s) \text{ is a multiple of } 4 .$$

Step 2. In the same way as in Step 1 we get

$$(3.9) \quad D_1(\psi^s(A)) = D_1(A) \iff \mathcal{B}_1(s) \text{ is a multiple of } 5 .$$

Step 3. By using Lemma 3.4 we get

$$\begin{aligned} D_3(A) &= (2, 4) \times (1, 1) & \beta_3(A) &= 3 & r_3(A) &= 1 \\ D_3(\psi(A)) &= (1, 4) \times (1, 1) & \beta_3(\psi(A)) &= 2 & r_3(\psi(A)) &= 1 \\ D_3(\psi^2(A)) &= (2, 4) \times (1, 1) . \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get $D_3(A) = D_3(\psi^2(A)) = D_3(\psi^4(A)) = \dots$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_3(2) &= 5 , & \mathcal{B}_3(4) &= 10, \dots , & \mathcal{B}_3(2 \cdot X_3) &= 5 \cdot X_3, \dots \\ \mathcal{R}_3(2) &= 2 , & \mathcal{R}_3(4) &= 4, \dots , & \mathcal{R}_3(2 \cdot X_3) &= 2 \cdot X_3, \dots \end{aligned}$$

where X_3 is an integer.

Step 4. We will determine Y such that $D_i(\psi^Y(A)) = D_i(A)$ for $i = 2, 3$. By Step 3

$$Y = 2 \cdot X_3 \text{ for an integer } X_3 .$$

By Lemma 3.4 and Step 3

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_2(Y) &= \sum_{s=0}^{Y-1} \beta_2(s) + 2r_2(s) = \mathcal{B}_2(Y) + 2\mathcal{R}_2(Y) \\ &= \mathcal{B}_2(2X_3) + 2\mathcal{R}_2(2X_3) = 5X_3 + 4X_3 = 9X_3 . \end{aligned}$$

By (3.8) $\mathcal{B}_2(Y)$ must be a multiple of 4. Hence, the possible values of X_3 and $Y = 2 \cdot X_3$ are

$$X_3 = 4, 8, 12, \dots \quad \text{and} \quad Y = 8, 16, 24, \dots .$$

Direct calculation gives us

$$\mathcal{R}_2(8) = 9 , \quad \mathcal{R}_2(16) = 18 , \quad \mathcal{R}_2(24) = 27 , \quad \text{etc.}$$

Later, of course, we must do this in a more sophisticated way. But at the present stage, this will obscure the ideas.

Step 5. We will determine Y such that $D_i(\psi^Y(A)) = D_i(A)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. The possible values of Y are $Y = 8, 16, 24, \dots$. By Lemma 3.4 we have

$$\mathcal{B}_1(Y) = \sum_{s=0}^{Y-1} \beta_3(s) + 2r_2(s) + 4r_3(s) = \mathcal{B}_3(Y) + 2\mathcal{R}_2(Y) + 4\mathcal{R}_3(Y).$$

Hence, by Step 3 and Step 4 we get

$$\mathcal{B}_1(8) = \mathcal{B}_3(8) + 2\mathcal{R}_2(8) + 4\mathcal{R}_3(8) = 20 + 18 + 32 = 70$$

which is a multiple of 5. Hence $Y = 8$ is the least Y such that $\psi^Y(A) = A$.

Now I will try to sketch thoroughly the ideas on the case $S = E_k + E_{k+1} + E_{k+2}$. Instead I will delete the general proof of how the minimal periods are determined. We suppose $A \in \mathcal{M}$, $\gamma_{p+1} > 1$ and again we divide the treatment of A into 5 steps.

Step 1. Suppose $D_2(A) = (t_1, \dots, t_{r_2})$. We will find a formula similar to (3.8). To do this we define A_2 in the following way:

$$\text{If } t_1 = \dots = t_r = 1 \text{ and } t_{r+1} > 1 \text{ we define } A_2(t_1, \dots, t_r, \dots, t_{r_2}) = (t_{r+1} - 1, \dots, t_{r_2} - 1, t'_1, \dots, t'_r) \text{ where } t'_1 = \dots = t'_r = \alpha_2.$$

By Lemma 3.4 we get

$$\begin{aligned} D_2(\psi(A)) &= A_2^{\beta_2(A)}(D_2(A)) \\ D_2(\psi^2(A)) &= A_2^{\beta_2(A) + \beta_2(\psi(A))}(D_2(A)) = A_2^{\beta_2(2)}(D_2(A)) \\ &\vdots \\ D_2(\psi^s(A)) &= \dots = A_2^{\beta_2(s)}(D_2(A)). \end{aligned}$$

The next problem is to determine when $A_2^\alpha(D_2(A)) = D_2(A)$. First we observe that this is true for $\alpha = \alpha_2$. Next we let α be the least α such that $A_2^\alpha(D_2(A)) = D_2(A)$. We will now describe how $D_2(A)$ looks in this case. We must have $\alpha_2 = r\alpha$ for an integer r . We let γ be the maximum integer such that $t_r \leq \alpha$. By definition of A_2^α we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_2^\alpha(D_2(A)) &= (t_{r+1} - \alpha, \dots, t_{r_2} - \alpha, t_1 + \alpha_2 - \alpha, \dots, t_r + \alpha_2 - \alpha) \\ &= D_2(A). \end{aligned}$$

Now we get obviously that $D_2(A)$ must have the form

$$(3.10) \quad D_2(A) = \underbrace{(t_1, \dots, t_\gamma)}_{\text{Part 1}}, \underbrace{(t_1 + \alpha, \dots, t_\gamma + \alpha, \dots)}_{\text{Part 2}}, \dots, \underbrace{(t_1 + (r-1)\alpha, \dots, t_\gamma + (r-1)\alpha)}_{\text{Part } r}$$

where $\alpha_2 = r\alpha$.

Now we will prove that (3.10) is a sufficient condition. Therefore we suppose (3.10) is true. Then we get by Lemma 3.2 that

$$t_{r_2} = t_r + (r - 1)\alpha \leq \alpha_2 \quad \text{and} \quad t_1 > 0 .$$

Hence

$$t_r \leq \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad t_{r+1} > \alpha .$$

Hence, $A^\alpha(D_2(A)) = D_2(A)$.

We let α_2^* be the least α such that $A^\alpha(D_2(A)) = D_2(A)$. We get

$$D_2(\psi^s(A)) = D_2(A) \iff \mathcal{B}_2(s) = X_2\alpha_2^* \quad \text{for an integer } X_2 .$$

Moreover, if $\mathcal{B}_2(s) = X_2\alpha_2^*$, then

$$(3.11) \quad \mathcal{B}_2(s) = X_2\gamma_2^* \quad \text{where} \quad \gamma_2^* = \frac{\alpha_2^*}{\alpha_2} \gamma_2 .$$

We prove (3.11) as follows: If $0 \leq z < r$, then by (3.10) the number of coordinates less than or equal to $z \cdot \alpha_2^*$ is $z \cdot \gamma_2^*$. We suppose $\mathcal{B}_2(s) = (wr + z)\alpha_2^* = w\alpha_2 + z \cdot \alpha_2^*$ where $0 \leq z < r$. By Lemma 3.6 we get

$$\mathcal{B}_2(s) = w\gamma_2 + z\gamma_2^* = (wr + z)\gamma_2^*$$

and the proof of (3.11) is complete.

Step 2. Suppose $D_1(A) = (t_1, \dots, t_{r_1})$. Analogously with Step 1 we define A_1 in the following way:

If $t_1 = \dots = t_r = 1$ and $t_{r+1} > 1$ we define $A_1(t_1, \dots, t_{r_1}) = (t_{r+1} - 1, t_{r+2} - 1, \dots, t_{r_1} - 1, t'_1, \dots, t'_r)$ where $t'_1 = \dots = t'_r = \alpha_1$.

We let α_1^* be the least integer such that $A_1^{\alpha_1^*}(D_1(A)) = D_1(A)$. Analogously with Step 1 we get

$$D_1(\psi^s(A)) = D_1(A) \iff \mathcal{B}_1(s) = X_1\alpha_1^* \quad \text{for an integer } X_1$$

and

$$\text{If } \mathcal{B}_1(s) = X_1\alpha_1^*, \text{ then } \mathcal{B}_1(s) = X_1\gamma_1^* \quad \text{where} \quad \gamma_1^* = \frac{\alpha_1^*}{\alpha_1} \gamma_1 .$$

Step 3. Suppose $D_3(A) = (t_1, \dots, t_{r_3}) \times (s_1, \dots, s_{r_3})$. Now we will determine when $D_3(\psi^s(A)) = D_3(A)$. Again we define a function A_3 in the following way:

$$A_3(t_1, \dots, t_{r_3}) \times (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{r_3}) = (t'_2, \dots, t'_{r_3}, t'_1) \times (s_2, \dots, s_{r_3}, s_1)$$

where

$$t'_i = \begin{cases} t_1 + \alpha_3 - (s_1 + t_1) = \alpha_3 - s_1 & \text{for } i = 1 \\ t_i - (s_1 + t_1) & \text{for } i = 2, 3, \dots, r_3 . \end{cases}$$

We observe by Lemma 3.4 that

$$D_3(\psi^q(A)) = A_3(D_3(A)), \dots, D_3(\psi^q(A)) = A_3^q(D_3(A)), \dots .$$

By definition of A_3 we have for $1 \leq q \leq \gamma_3$ that

$$(3.12) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A_3^q(t_1, \dots, t_{\gamma_3}) \times (s_1, \dots, s_{\gamma_3}) \\ \quad = (t''_{q+1}, \dots, t''_{\gamma_3}, t''_1, \dots, t''_q) \times (s_{q+1}, \dots, s_{\gamma_3}, s_1, \dots, s_q) \\ \text{where} \\ \quad t''_i = \begin{cases} t_i + \alpha_3 - (s_q + t_q) & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, q \\ t_i - (s_q + t_q) & \text{for } i = q + 1, \dots. \end{cases} \end{array} \right.$$

For example if $q = 2$ and $i > 2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} t''_i &= t_i - (s_2 + t_2) = t_i - (s_1 + t_1) - s_2 - (t_2 - (s_1 + t_1)) \\ &= t_i - (s_2 + t_2) . \end{aligned}$$

Specially, if $q = \gamma_3$ we get $(s_{\gamma_3} + t_{\gamma_3} = \alpha_3$ by Lemma 3.2)

$$t''_i = t_i + \alpha_3 - (s_{\gamma_3} + t_{\gamma_3}) = t_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, \gamma_3 .$$

Hence, $A^3(D_3(A)) = D_3(A)$.

If $D_3(A) = (t_1, \dots, t_{\gamma_3}) \times (s_1, \dots, s_{\gamma_3})$ and $1 \leq q \leq \gamma_3$, we have by Lemma 3.4 that

$$D_3(\psi^q(A)) = (t''_{q+1}, \dots, t''_{\gamma_3}, t''_1, \dots) \times (s_{q+1}, \dots, s_{\gamma_3}, s_1, \dots, s_q)$$

where

$$t''_i = \begin{cases} t_i + \alpha_3 - (\beta_3(0) + \dots + \beta_3(q-1)) \\ \quad = t_i + \alpha_3 - \mathcal{B}_3(q) & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq q \\ t_i - (\beta_3(0) + \dots + \beta_3(q-1)) \\ \quad = t_i - \mathcal{B}_3(q) & \text{for } i > q . \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$(3.13) \quad \mathcal{B}_3(q) = s_q + t_q \quad \text{for } 1 \leq q \leq \gamma_3 .$$

The next problem is to determine when $A^r(D_3(A)) = D_3(A)$. Next we suppose γ is the least integer such that $A^r(D_3(A)) = D_3(A)$. Then we have $\gamma_3 = r\gamma$ for an integer r , and by (3.12) we get that $D_3(A)$ has the form

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} D_3(A) &= \underbrace{(t_1, \dots, t_r)}_{\text{Part 1}} \underbrace{(t_1 + \alpha, \dots, t_r + \alpha, \dots)}_{\text{Part 2}}, \\ &\quad \underbrace{(t_1 + (r-1)\alpha, \dots, t_r + (r-1)\alpha)}_{\text{Part } r} \\ &\quad \times \underbrace{(s_1, \dots, s_r)}_{\text{Part 1}} \underbrace{(s_1, \dots, s_r)}_{\text{Part 2}}, \dots, \underbrace{(s_1, \dots, s_r)}_{\text{Part } r} \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha r = \alpha_3$ (which is equivalent to $\alpha = s_r + t_r$). (We get directly from (3.12) that (3.14) is true with $\alpha = s_r + t_r$. But this is equivalent to $\alpha r = \alpha_3$ because $s_{r_3} + t_{r_3} = (s_r + t_r) + (r - 1)\alpha = \alpha_3$ by Lemma 3.2.)

We let γ_3^* be the least integer γ such that $A_3^i(D_3(A)) = D_3(A)$. Then we have

$$D_3(\psi^Y(A)) = D_3(A) \iff Y = X_3\gamma_3^* \quad \text{for an integer } X_3.$$

Moreover, if $Y = X_3\gamma_3^*$, then

$$(3.15) \quad \mathcal{B}_3(Y) = X_3\alpha_3^* \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha_3^* = \frac{\gamma_3^*}{\gamma_3}\alpha_3.$$

We prove (3.15) as follows: By (3.13) and (3.14) we have

$$\mathcal{B}_3(q \cdot \gamma_3^*) = t_{q \cdot r_3^*} + s_{q \cdot r_3^*} = q\alpha_3^* \quad \text{for } 0 \leq q < r,$$

where $r = \gamma_3/\gamma_3^*$, and

$$\mathcal{B}_3(r\gamma_3^*) = \mathcal{B}_3(\gamma_3) = s_{r_3} + t_{r_3} = \alpha_3 = r\alpha_3^*,$$

and (3.15) follows.

Step 4. Next, we will determine Y such that $D_i(\psi^Y(A)) = D_i(A)$ for $i = 2, 3$. By Step 3 we must have $Y = X_3 \cdot \gamma_3^*$. Moreover in this case

$$\mathcal{B}_2(Y) = \mathcal{B}_3(Y) + 2\mathcal{B}_3(Y) = X_3\alpha_3^* + 2X_3\gamma_3^*.$$

Moreover, by Step 1, we must have

$$\mathcal{B}_2(Y) = X_2\alpha_2^* \quad \text{for an integer } X_2.$$

Hence, we get the equation $X_2\alpha_2^* = X_3\alpha_3^* + 2X_3\gamma_3^*$.

Step 5. Next, we will determine Y such that $D_i(\psi^Y(A)) = D_i(A)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. By Step 2 this is true for $i = 2, 3$ if and only if there exist integers X_2 and X_3 such that $X_2\alpha_2^* = X_3\alpha_3^* + 2X_3\gamma_3^*$ and $Y = X_3\gamma_3^*$. Moreover by the previous steps we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_3(Y) &= X_3\alpha_3^*, & \mathcal{P}_3(Y) &= X_3\gamma_3^*, & \mathcal{B}_2(Y) &= X_2\alpha_2^* & \text{and} \\ \mathcal{B}_2(Y) &= X_2\alpha_2^*. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{B}_1(Y) = \mathcal{B}_3(Y) + 2\mathcal{B}_2(Y) + 4\mathcal{B}_3(Y) = X_3\alpha_3^* + 2X_2\alpha_2^* + 4X_3\gamma_3^*.$$

Moreover, by Step 2 we must have

$$\mathcal{B}_1(Y) = X_1\alpha_1^* \quad \text{for an integer } X_1.$$

Hence, we get the equation

$$X_1\alpha_1^* = X_3\alpha_3^* + 2X_2\gamma_2^* + 4X_3\gamma_3^* .$$

Conclusion. $\psi^Y(A) = A \Leftrightarrow D_i(\psi^Y(A)) = D_i(A) \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \Leftrightarrow$ There exists integers X_1, X_2 and X_3 such that

$$\begin{aligned} X_2\alpha_2^* &= X_3\alpha_3^* + 2X_3\gamma_3^* \\ X_1\alpha_1^* &= X_3\alpha_3^* + 2X_2\gamma_2^* + 4X_3\gamma_3^* \\ Y &= X_3\gamma_3^* . \end{aligned}$$

Let X_1, X_2, X_3 be the least integral solution. Then $(\mathcal{R}_1(Y) = X_1\gamma_1^*$ follows from Step 2)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=0}^{Y-1} \text{Index}(\psi^s(A)) &= \sum_{s=0}^{Y-1} \beta_3(s) + 2r_1(s) + 4r_2(s) + 6r_3(s) \\ &= \mathcal{R}_3(Y) + 2\mathcal{R}_1(Y) + 4\mathcal{R}_2(Y) + 6\mathcal{R}_3(Y) \\ &= X_3\alpha_3^* + 2X_1\gamma_1^* + 4X_2\gamma_2^* + 6X_3\gamma_3^* \end{aligned}$$

which is the minimal period of A .

If $A \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\gamma_{p+1} = 1$ we must use Lemma 3.3 instead of Lemma 3.4. Then we have always $D_3(\psi(A)) = D_3(A)$. Hence, we need only to modify Steps 4 and 5 as follows.

Step 4. By Lemma 3.3 we get $\mathcal{R}_2(Y) = Y$. We must have $\mathcal{R}_2(Y) = Y = X_2\alpha_2^*$ for an integer X_2 . In this case $\mathcal{R}_2(Y) = X_2\gamma_2^*$.

Step 5. By Lemma 3.3 we get

$$\mathcal{R}_1(Y) = \sum_{s=0}^{Y-1} (2 + 2r_2(s)) = 2Y + 2\mathcal{R}_2(Y) = 2Y + 2X_2\gamma_2^* .$$

We must have $\mathcal{R}_1(Y) = 2Y + 2X_2\gamma_2^* = X_1\alpha_1^*$ for an integer X_1 . In this case $\mathcal{R}_1(Y) = X_1\gamma_1^*$.

Conclusion. $A = \psi^Y(A) \Leftrightarrow$ There exist integers X_1 and X_2 such that $X_2\alpha_2^* = Y$ and $X_1\alpha_1^* = 2Y + 2X_2\gamma_2^*$. Suppose X_1, X_2 is the least solution. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=0}^{Y-1} \text{Index}(\psi^s(A)) &= \sum_{s=0}^{Y-1} [(n + 3) + 2r_1(s) + 4r_2(s)] \\ &= Y(n + 3) + 2\mathcal{R}_1(Y) + 4\mathcal{R}_2(Y) \\ &= Y(n + 3) + 2X_1\gamma_1^* + 4X_2\gamma_2^* \end{aligned}$$

which is the minimal period.

4. **The minimal periods.** Now I will formulate the results

from §3 for a general p and very roughly sketch the proof. As before

$$A \in \mathcal{M} \iff \begin{cases} w(A) = k + p + 1 \\ A \text{ starts with } 0 \text{ or a } (p+1)\text{-block} \\ A \text{ contains } \gamma_i \text{ } i\text{-blocks for } i = 1, \dots, p+1 \\ A \text{ ends with a } (p+1)\text{-block.} \end{cases}$$

The blocks in A are determined with respect to p . $D_i(A)$ ($i = 1, \dots, p+1$) is defined in Definition 3.1.

DEFINITION 4.1. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}$ be given.

(a) Suppose $1 \leq j \leq p$ and $D_j(A) = (t_1, \dots, t_{\gamma_j})$. We define A_j in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{If } t_1 = \dots = t_r = 1 \text{ and } t_{r+1} > 1 \text{ we define} \\ &A_j(t_1, \dots, t_{\gamma_j}) = (t_{r+1} - 1, \dots, t_{\gamma_j} - 1, t'_1, \dots, t'_r) \\ &\text{where } t'_1 = \dots = t'_r = \alpha_j. \end{aligned}$$

Let α_j^* be the least integer such that

$$A_j^{\alpha_j^*}(D_j(A)) = D_j(A).$$

(b) Suppose $D_{p+1}(A) = (t_1, \dots, t_{\gamma_{p+1}}) \times (s_1, \dots, s_{\gamma_{p+1}})$. We define A_{p+1} in the following way:

$$A_{p+1}(t_1, \dots, t_{\gamma_{p+1}}) \times (s_1, \dots, s_{\gamma_{p+1}}) = (t'_1, \dots, t'_{\gamma_{p+1}}, t'_1) \times (s_2, \dots, s_{\gamma_{p+1}}, s_1)$$

where

$$t'_i = \begin{cases} \alpha_{p+1} - s_1 & \text{for } i = 1 \\ t_i - (s_1 + t_1) & \text{for } i > 1. \end{cases}$$

Let γ_{p+1}^* be the least integer such that

$$A_{p+1}^{\gamma_{p+1}^*}(D_{p+1}(A)) = D_{p+1}(A).$$

(c) If $1 \leq i \leq p$, we define $\gamma_i^* = \gamma_i \cdot \alpha_i^* / \alpha_i$. Moreover, we define $\alpha_{p+1}^* = \alpha_{p+1} \cdot \gamma_{p+1}^* / \gamma_{p+1}$.

As in the previous section we can prove that γ_i^* ($1 \leq i \leq p$) and α_{p+1}^* are integers.

THEOREM 4.2. Suppose $A \in \mathcal{M}$. We associate p equations to A in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} (p) \quad &\alpha_p^* \cdot X_p = \alpha_{p+1}^* X_{p+1} + 2\gamma_{p+1}^* X_{p+1} \\ (p-1) \quad &\alpha_{p-1}^* X_{p-1} = \alpha_{p+1}^* X_{p+1} + 2\gamma_p^* X_p + 4\gamma_{p+1}^* X_{p+1} \\ &\vdots \\ (1) \quad &\alpha_1^* X_1 = \alpha_{p+1}^* X_{p+1} + 2\gamma_2^* X_2 + 4\gamma_3^* X_3 + \dots + 2p\gamma_{p+1}^* X_{p+1}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\gamma_i = 0$, we replace equation (i) by $X_i = 0$. We let X_1, \dots, X_{p+1} be the least integral solution of the equations.

Then $X_{p+1}\alpha_{p+1}^* + \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} 2i \cdot \gamma_i^* \cdot X_i$ is the minimal period of A with respect to the shift register $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow (x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})$ where

$$x_{n+1} = x_1 + (E_k + \dots + E_{k+p})(x_2, \dots, x_n).$$

If $\gamma_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$, we observe that the minimal period $= X_{p+1}\alpha_{p+1}^* + 2(p+1)\gamma_{p+1}^*X_{p+1} = \alpha_{p+1}^* + 2(p+1)\gamma_{p+1}^* = (\gamma_{p+1}^*/\gamma_{p+1})(\alpha_{p+1} - 2(p+1)\gamma_{p+1}) = (\gamma_{p+1}^*/\gamma_{p+1})(n+p+1)$.

The existence of the minimal solution X_1, \dots, X_{p+1} is proved as indicated in § 3 in [2].

Proof. We only sketch the proof since it is only a generalization of the case $p = 2$ which we treated in § 3.

First we suppose $\gamma_{p+1} > 1$.

We get

$$D_{p+1}(\psi^V(A)) = D_{p+1}(A) \iff Y = X_{p+1}\gamma_{p+1}^* \text{ for an integer } X_{p+1}.$$

In this case $\mathcal{B}_{p+1}(Y) = X_{p+1}\alpha_{p+1}^*$ and $\mathcal{R}_{p+1}(Y) = X_{p+1}\gamma_{p+1}^*$. If $1 \leq j \leq p$ we get (if $\gamma_j \neq 0$)

$$D_j(\psi^V(A)) = D_j(A) \iff \mathcal{B}_j(Y) = X_j\alpha_j^* \text{ for an integer } X_j.$$

In this case we have $\mathcal{R}_j(Y) = X_j\gamma_j^*$.

Suppose X_1, \dots, X_{p+1} satisfy the equations. Put $Y = X_{p+1}\gamma_{p+1}^*$. We prove by induction that

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{B}_i(Y) = X_i\alpha_i^* \text{ when } \gamma_i \neq 0 \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq p.$$

Suppose (4.1) is true for $i = p, p-1, \dots, j+1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_j(Y) &= \mathcal{B}_{p+1}(Y) + 2\mathcal{R}_{j+1}(Y) + \dots + 2(p+1-j)\mathcal{R}_{p+1}(Y) \\ &= X_{p+1}\alpha_{p+1}^* + 2\gamma_{j+1}^*X_{j+1} + \dots + 2(p+1-j)\gamma_{p+1}^*X_{p+1} = \alpha_j^*X_j. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (4.1) is true for $j = 1, \dots, p$. Then we get $\psi^V(A) = A$ and $\psi^V(A) = \theta^t(A)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \mathcal{B}_{p+1}(Y) + 2\mathcal{R}_1(Y) + \dots + 2(p+1)\mathcal{R}_{p+1}(Y) \\ &= X_{p+1}\alpha_{p+1}^* + \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} 2i \cdot \gamma_i^* \cdot X_i. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, it is easily seen that all Y such that $\psi^V(A) = A$ is obtained in this way.

Finally, we suppose $\gamma_{p+1} = 1$ and $\gamma_i \neq 0$ for at least one $i < p+1$. We only sketch the proof since the proof is analogous with the case

$\gamma_{p+1} > 1$. We get

$$\psi^Y(A) = A \iff \mathcal{B}_i(Y) = X_i \cdot \alpha_i^* \quad \text{when } \gamma_i \neq 0 \quad \text{and } 1 \leq i \leq p.$$

In the same way as in § 3 (the case $\gamma_{p+1} = 1$) this is equivalent to: X_1, \dots, X_p, Y satisfy the equations (1)', \dots , (p)' given by

$$(q)' \begin{cases} X_q \cdot \alpha_q^* = Y(p+1-q) + \sum_{t=q+1}^p 2(t-q)X_t \gamma_t^* & \text{if } \gamma_q \neq 0 \\ X_q = 0 & \text{if } \gamma_q = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let X_1, \dots, X_p, Y be the least solution of the equations (1)', \dots , (p)'. Then Y is the least Y such that $\psi^Y(A) = A$. We calculate the minimal period of A in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=0}^{Y-1} \left[(n+p+1) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^p i \cdot r_i(s) \right] &= Y(n+p+1) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^p i \cdot \mathcal{B}_i(Y) \\ &= Y(n+p+1) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^p i \cdot \gamma_i^* \cdot X_i. \end{aligned}$$

The proof will be complete if we can prove the following claim: Suppose X_1, \dots, X_{p+1} is the least solutions (1), \dots , (p). Let

$$Y = X_{p+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{X}_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \gamma_i = 0 \\ X_i - Y \cdot \frac{\gamma_i}{\gamma_i^*} & \text{if } \gamma_i \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $\hat{X}_1, \dots, \hat{X}_p, Y$ is the least solution of the equations (1)', \dots , (p)', and

$$Y(n+p+1) + \sum_{i=1}^p 2i \cdot \hat{X}_i \cdot \gamma_i^* = X_{p+1} \alpha_{p+1}^* + \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} 2i \cdot X_i \cdot \gamma_i^*.$$

Now we will prove this claim. Since $\gamma_{p+1} = \gamma_{p+1}^* = 1$, then $\alpha_{p+1} = \alpha_{p+1}^*$. We use the definition of α_{p+1} and get

$$\begin{aligned} X_{p+1} \alpha_{p+1}^* + \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} 2i \cdot X_i \cdot \gamma_i^* &= Y \left(n+p+1 - \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} 2i \gamma_i \right) + \sum_{i=1}^p 2i \gamma_i^* \left(\hat{X}_i + Y \frac{\gamma_i}{\gamma_i^*} \right) + 2(p+1) \gamma_{p+1} Y \\ &= Y(n+p+1) + \sum_{i=1}^p 2i \cdot \gamma_i^* \cdot \hat{X}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Next we prove that the following 3 equations are equivalent (we use $\alpha_i^* \cdot \gamma_i / \gamma_i^* = \alpha_i$):

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i^* X_i &= X_{p+1} \alpha_{p+1}^* + \sum_{t=i+1}^{p+1} 2(t-i) \gamma_t^* X_i \\ \alpha_i^* \hat{X}_i + \alpha_i Y &= Y \alpha_{p+1} + \sum_{t=i+1}^p 2(t-i) \gamma_t^* \hat{X}_i + Y \sum_{t=i+1}^{p+1} 2(t-i) \gamma_t \end{aligned}$$

$$\widehat{X}_i \alpha_i^* = Y(p + 1 - i) + \sum_{t=i+1}^p 2(t - i) \gamma_i^* \widehat{X}_i + Z$$

where

$$Z = Y\left(-\alpha_i + \alpha_{p+1} + \sum_{t=i+1}^{p+1} 2(t - i) \gamma_i + i - (p + 1)\right).$$

$Z = 0$ follows from the definition of α_{p+1} and α_i . Hence, the proof of the claim is complete.

Finally we will include an alternative way to determine α_i^* and γ_i^* :

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let $A \in \mathcal{M}$.*

(a) *Suppose $1 \leq j \leq p$. We define the map ρ_j in the following way: If $D_j(A) = (t_1, \dots, t_{r_j})$, then*

$$\rho_j(D_j(A)) = (d_1, \dots, d_{r_j})$$

where

$$d_i = \begin{cases} t_1 + \alpha_j - t_{r_j} & \text{for } i = 1 \\ t_{i+1} - t_i & \text{for } i > 1. \end{cases}$$

Then γ_j^* is the cycle period of (d_1, \dots, d_{r_j}) , that is; γ_j^* is the least integer such that

$$(d_{r_j+1}^*, \dots, d_{r_j}, d_1, \dots, d_{r_j}^*) = (d_1, \dots, d_{r_j}).$$

(b) *Suppose $D_{p+1}(A) = (t_1, \dots, t_{r_{p+1}}) \times (s_1, \dots, s_{r_{p+1}})$. Then we define*

$$\eta_{p+1}(D_{p+1}(A)) = (d_1, \dots, d_{r_{p+1}}) \times (s_1, \dots, s_{r_{p+1}})$$

where

$$d_i = \begin{cases} t_1 + \alpha_{p+1} - (t_{r_{p+1}} + s_{r_{p+1}}) = t_1 & \text{for } i = 1 \\ t_{i+1} - (t_i + s_i) & \text{for } i > 1. \end{cases}$$

Then γ_{p+1}^* is the least cycle period of $(d_1, \dots, d_{r_{p+1}}) \times (s_1, \dots, s_{r_{p+1}})$. That is; γ_{p+1}^* is the least integer such that

$$\begin{aligned} (d_{r_{p+1}+1}^*, \dots, d_{r_{p+1}}, d_1, \dots, d_{r_{p+1}}^*) \times (s_{r_{p+1}+1}^*, \dots, s_{r_{p+1}}, s_1, \dots, s_{r_{p+1}}^*) \\ = (d_1, \dots, d_{r_{p+1}}) \times (s_1, \dots, s_{r_{p+1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (a) By (3.10) we have that γ_j^* is the least integer such that $D_j(A)$ has the form

$$(4.2) \quad D_j(A) = \underbrace{(t_1, \dots, t_{r_j}^*)}_{\text{Part 1}}, \underbrace{(t_1 + \alpha_j^*, \dots, t_{r_j}^* + \alpha_j^*)}_{\text{Part 2}}, \dots, \underbrace{(t_1 + (r-1)\alpha_j^*, \dots, t_{r_j}^* + (r-1)\alpha_j^*)}_{\text{Part } r} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\alpha_j = r\alpha_j^* .$$

Moreover, this is equivalent to that $\rho_j(D_j(A))$ has the form

$$(4.3) \quad \rho_j(D_j(A)) = (\underbrace{d_1, \dots, d_{r_j^*}}_{\text{Part 1}}, \underbrace{d_1, \dots, d_{r_j^*}}_{\text{Part 2}}, \dots, \underbrace{d_1, \dots, d_{r_j^*}}_{\text{Part } r}) \quad \text{and} \\ d_1 + \dots + d_{r_j^*} = \alpha_j^* .$$

We indicate how this is proved: Suppose (4.2) is satisfied, then

$$d_1 = t_1 + \alpha_j - t_{r_j} = t_1 + \alpha_j - (t_{r_j}^* + (r - 1)\alpha_j^*) \\ = t_1 + \alpha_j^* - t_{r_j}^* = t_{r_{j+1}^*} - t_{r_j}^* = d_{r_{j+1}^*} , \quad \text{etc.}$$

Suppose (4.3) is satisfied, then

$$t_{r_{j+1}^*} = \sum_{i=2}^{r_j^*+1} (t_i - t_{i-1}) + t_1 = \sum_{i=2}^{r_j^*+1} d_i + t_1 = \alpha_j^* + t_1 , \quad \text{etc.}$$

Since (4.2) is equivalent to (4.3), (a) follows easily.

(b) We define ρ_j for $j = p + 1$ as in (a). Since (3.14) is analogous with (3.10) we get as in (a) that γ_{p+1}^* is the least common cycle period for $\rho_{p+1}(D_{p+1}(A))$ and $(s_1, \dots, s_{r_{p+1}})$. This is equivalent with that γ_{p+1}^* is the least cycle period of $\eta_{p+1}(D_{p+1}(A))$.

5. The possible periods. By Theorem 4.2 the minimal periods of $A \in \mathcal{M}$ are completely determined by $(\gamma_1^*, \dots, \gamma_{p+1}^*)$ since $\alpha_i^* = (\gamma_i^*/\gamma_i)\alpha_i$. We define

$$\text{PER}(\gamma_1^*, \dots, \gamma_{p+1}^*) \\ = X_{p+1}\alpha_{p+1}^* + 2X_1\gamma_1^* + 4X_2\gamma_2^* + \dots + 2(p + 1)\gamma_{p+1}^*X_{p+1}$$

where X_1, \dots, X_{p+1} is the least solution of the equations corresponding to $(\gamma_1^*, \dots, \gamma_{p+1}^*)$ in Theorem 4.2. Moreover, we let

$$m = k + p + 1 - \gamma_1 - 2\gamma_2 - \dots - (p + 1)\gamma_{p+1} .$$

THEOREM 5.1. (a) *The possible periods of the elements in \mathcal{M} are:*

$$\{\text{PER}(\gamma_1^*, \dots, \gamma_{p+1}^*): (\gamma_1^*, \dots, \gamma_{p+1}^*) \text{ corresponds to an } A \in \mathcal{M}\} .$$

(b) *There exists $A \in \mathcal{M}$ corresponding to $(\gamma_1^*, \dots, \gamma_{p+1}^*)$ if and only if*

$$\frac{\gamma_i}{\gamma_i^*} \quad (i = 1, \dots, p + 1) , \quad \alpha_i \cdot \frac{\gamma_i^*}{\gamma_i} \quad (i = 1, \dots, p + 1) \quad \text{and}$$

$$m \cdot \frac{\gamma_{p+1}^*}{\gamma_{p+1}} \quad \text{are integers.}$$

Proof. (a) is obvious. We let $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_p, \eta_{p+1}$ be as in Proposi-

tion 4.3. By Lemma 3.2 we get easily

$$\rho_1 \times \rho_2 \times \cdots \times \rho_p \times \eta_{p+1} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{p+1} D_i(A) : A \in \mathcal{M} \right\} = \prod_{i=1}^{p+1} \mathcal{N}_i$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_i &= \{(d_1, \dots, d_{\gamma_i}) : d_1 > 0, d_j \geq 0 \ (j = 2, \dots, \gamma_i) \text{ and} \\ &\quad d_1 + \dots + d_{\gamma_i} = \alpha_i\} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq p \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{N}_{p+1} &= \{(d_1, \dots, d_{\gamma_{p+1}}) \times (s_1, \dots, s_{\gamma_{p+1}}) : d_i \geq 0, s_i \geq 0, \\ &\quad d_1 + \dots + d_{\gamma_{p+1}} = \alpha_{p+1} - m \text{ and } s_1 + \dots + s_{\gamma_{p+1}} = m\} \end{aligned}$$

where $m = k + p + 1 - \gamma_1 - 2\gamma_2 - \dots - (p + 1)\gamma_{p+1}$.

By Proposition 4.3 we get {the possible $(\gamma_1^*, \dots, \gamma_{p+1}^*)$ } is equal to the set

$$\prod_{i=1}^{p+1} \{\text{the cycle periods of elements in } \mathcal{N}_i\}.$$

Finally, we get easily that {the possible cycle periods of elements in \mathcal{N}_i } is equal to the set

$$\left\{ \gamma_i^* : \frac{\gamma_i}{\gamma_i^*} \text{ and } \alpha_i \cdot \frac{\gamma_i^*}{\gamma_i} \text{ are integers} \right\}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq p$. Moreover, we get

$$\{\text{the possible cycle periods of elements in } \mathcal{N}_{p+1}\}$$

is equal to the set

$$\left\{ \gamma_{p+1}^* : \frac{\gamma_{p+1}}{\gamma_{p+1}^*}, \alpha_{p+1} \cdot \frac{\gamma_{p+1}^*}{\gamma_{p+1}} \text{ and } m \cdot \frac{\gamma_{p+1}^*}{\gamma_{p+1}} \text{ are integers} \right\}$$

and the proof is complete.

6. The number of cycles. In this section we will count the number of cycles \mathcal{C} in

$$\bar{\mathcal{M}} = \{A \in \{0, 1\}^n : \exists i \text{ such that } \theta^i(A) \in \mathcal{M}\}$$

corresponding to a given $(\gamma_1^*, \dots, \gamma_{p+1}^*)$. That means: If $A \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{M}$, then $(\gamma_1^*, \dots, \gamma_{p+1}^*)$ corresponds to A . We let $\#$ denote “the number of elements in”. Moreover, we let \mathcal{N}_i ($i = 1, \dots, p + 1$) be as in § 5. That is;

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_i &= \{(d_1, \dots, d_{\gamma_i}) : d_1 > 0, d_j \geq 0 \ (j = 2, \dots, \gamma_i) \text{ and} \\ &\quad d_1 + \dots + d_{\gamma_i} = \alpha_i\} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq p \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{N}_{p+1} &= \{(d_1, \dots, d_{\gamma_{p+1}}) \times (s_1, \dots, s_{\gamma_{p+1}}) : d_i \geq 0, s_i \geq 0, \\ &\quad d_1 + \dots + d_{\gamma_{p+1}} = \alpha_{p+1} - m \text{ and } s_1 + \dots + s_{\gamma_{p+1}} = m\}. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 6.1. *Suppose X_1, \dots, X_{p+1} is the least solution of the equations corresponding to $(\gamma_1^*, \dots, \gamma_{p+1}^*)$ in Theorem 4.2. Then the number of cycles in \mathcal{M} corresponding to $(\gamma_1^*, \dots, \gamma_{p+1}^*)$ is*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{p+1} w_i / X_{p+1} \gamma_{p+1}^*$$

where

$$w_{p+1} = \#\{\text{the elements in } \mathcal{N}_{p+1} \text{ with cycle period } \gamma_{p+1}^*\}$$

and for $1 \leq j \leq p$

$$w_j = \sum_{t=1}^{\alpha_j^*} t \cdot w_{j,t}$$

where

$$w_{j,t} = \#\{(d_1, \dots, d_{r_j}) \in \mathcal{N}_j \text{ with cycle period } \gamma_j^* \text{ and } d_1 = t\}.$$

Proof. Suppose $A \in \mathcal{M}$ corresponds to $(\gamma_1^*, \dots, \gamma_{p+1}^*)$. In the proof of Theorem 4.2 we prove that $Y = X_{p+1} \gamma_{p+1}^*$ is the least integer such that $\psi^Y(A) = A$. Hence, there are $X_{p+1} \gamma_{p+1}^*$ elements in \mathcal{M} on the same cycle as A . Hence, the proof will be complete if we can prove

$$\#\{A \in \mathcal{M} : A \text{ corresponds to } (\gamma_1^*, \dots, \gamma_{p+1}^*)\} = \prod_{i=1}^{p+1} w_i.$$

We get by Lemma 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{A \in \mathcal{M} : A \text{ corresponds to } (\gamma_1^*, \dots, \gamma_{p+1}^*)\} \\ = \prod_{i=1}^{p+1} \#\{D_i(A) : D_i(A) \text{ corresponds to } \gamma_i^* \text{ and } A \in \mathcal{M}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the proof will be complete if we can prove $(1 \leq i \leq p + 1)$

$$(6.1) \quad \#\{D_i(A) : D_i(A) \text{ corresponds to } \gamma_i^* \text{ and } A \in \mathcal{M}\} = w_i.$$

First we will prove that (6.1) is true for $i = p + 1$. It is sufficient to prove that the map

$$\eta_{p+1} : \{D_{p+1}(A) : A \in \mathcal{M}\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{p+1}$$

defined in Proposition 4.3 is bijective: Let $(d_1, \dots, d_{r_{p+1}}) \times (s_1, \dots, s_{r_{p+1}}) \in \mathcal{N}_{p+1}$. Then there exists one and only one $D_{p+1}(A)$ such that

$$\eta_{p+1}(D_{p+1}(A)) = (d_1, \dots, d_{r_{p+1}}) \times (s_1, \dots, s_{r_{p+1}}).$$

This $D_{p+1}(A) = (t_1, \dots, t_{r_{p+1}}) \times (s_1, \dots, s_{r_{p+1}})$ is given by $t_1 = d_1$, $t_2 = d_2 + t_1 + s_1$, $t_3 = d_3 + t_2 + s_2$, etc.

Next we will prove (6.1) in the case $i < p + 1$, and we do the

following observation ($i = 1, \dots, p$):

To each $(d_1, \dots, d_{r_i}) \in \mathcal{N}_i$ there exists exactly d_1 elements $D = D_i(A)$ such that $\rho_i(D) = (d_1, \dots, d_{r_i})$ where ρ_i is as in Proposition 4.3.

These elements are

$$\left(s, s + d_2, s + d_2 + d_3, \dots, s + \sum_{j=2}^{r_i} d_j \right) \text{ where } s = 1, \dots, d_1 .$$

(6.1) follows from this observation in the case $i < p + 1$.

The next theorem gives us a way of calculating w_{p+1} and $w_{j,t}$.

THEOREM 6.2. (a) *We let $\sigma(r, s, t)$ = the number of elements in*

$$\mathcal{E}(r, s, t) = \{(d_1, \dots, d_s) : d_i \geq 0, d_1 = r, d_1 + \dots + d_s = t \text{ and } (d_1, \dots, d_s) \text{ has trivial period } s\} .$$

Then $\sigma(r, s, t)$ can be calculated inductively by the following formula:

$$\sigma(r, s, t) = \binom{t + s - r - 2}{s - 2} - \sum \left\{ \sigma\left(r, \frac{s}{s'}, \frac{t}{s'}\right) : \frac{s}{s'} \text{ and } \frac{t}{s'} \text{ are integers} \right\} .$$

() *is the binomial coefficient.*

(b) *We let $\sigma(s, t)$ = the number of elements in*

$$\mathcal{E}(s, t) = \{(d_1, \dots, d_s) : d_i \geq 0, d_1 + \dots + d_s = t \text{ and } (d_1, \dots, d_s) \text{ has trivial period } s\} .$$

Then $\sigma(s, t)$ can be calculated inductively by the following formula:

$$\sigma(s, t) = \binom{t + s - 1}{s - 1} - \sum \left\{ \sigma\left(\frac{s}{s'}, \frac{t}{s'}\right) : \frac{s}{s'} \text{ and } \frac{t}{s'} \text{ are integers} \right\} .$$

(c) *The number of elements in*

$$\mathcal{E}(s, t) = \{(d_1, \dots, d_s) : d_i \geq 0 \text{ and } d_1 + \dots + d_s = t\}$$

is

$$\binom{s + t - 1}{s - 1} .$$

(d) $w_{i,t} = \sigma(t, \gamma_i^*, \alpha_i^*)$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $1 \leq t \leq \alpha_i^*$.

(e) Let $m^* = m \cdot \gamma_{p+1}^* / \gamma_{p+1}$. Then we have

$$w_{p+1} = r_1 \cdot q_1 + r_2 \cdot q_2 - r_1 \cdot r_2$$

where

$$r_1 = \sigma(\gamma_{p+1}^*, \alpha_{p+1}^* - m^*) \quad \text{and} \quad q_1 = \binom{m^* + \gamma_{p+1}^* - 1}{\gamma_{p+1}^* - 1}$$

$$r_2 = \sigma(\gamma_{p+1}^*, m^*) \quad \text{and} \quad q_2 = \binom{\alpha_{p+1}^* - m^* + \gamma_{p+1}^* - 1}{\gamma_{p+1}^* - 1}.$$

Proof. (a)

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(d_1, \dots, d_s): d_i \geq 0, d_1 = r \text{ and } d_1 + \dots + d_s = t\}^\# \\ &= \{(d_2, \dots, d_s): d_i \geq 0 \text{ and } d_2 + \dots + d_s = t - r\}^\# \\ &= \text{the number of ways to divide } (t - r) \text{ 1's into} \\ & \quad (s - 1) \text{ groups} \\ &= \text{the number of ways to put } s - 2 \text{ 0's into} \\ & \quad (t + s - r - 2) \text{ positions} \\ &= \binom{t + s - r - 2}{s - 2}. \end{aligned}$$

We subtract those (d_1, \dots, d_s) with trivial period less than s . For each s' such that s/s' and t/s' are integers, $(d_1, \dots, d_s) \rightarrow (d_1, \dots, d_{s/s'})$ is a bijective correspondence between

$$\{(d_1, \dots, d_s): 0 \leq d_i, d_1 = r, d_1 + \dots + d_s = t \text{ and } (d_1, \dots, d_s) \text{ has trivial period } s/s'\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}(r, s/s', t/s').$$

By using these correspondences (a) follows.

(b) and (c) are proved in the same way.

(d) By definition $w_{i,t}$ is the number of elements in the set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1 = \{ & (d_1, \dots, d_{r_i}) \in \mathcal{N}_i; d_1 = t \text{ and } (d_1, \dots, d_{r_i}) \\ & \text{has cycle period } \gamma_i^* \}. \end{aligned}$$

The map from \mathcal{A}_1 into $\mathcal{E}(t, \gamma_i^*, \alpha_i^*)$ given by

$$(d_1, \dots, d_{r_i}) \longrightarrow (d_1, \dots, d_{r_i}^*)$$

is bijective, and (d) follows.

(e) By definition w_{p+1} is the number of elements in the set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_2 = \{ & (d_1, \dots, d_{r_{p+1}}) \times (s_1, \dots, s_{r_{p+1}}) \in \mathcal{N}_{p+1} \text{ which} \\ & \text{has cycle period } \gamma_{p+1}^* \}. \end{aligned}$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_3 = \{ & (d_1, \dots, d_{r_{p+1}}^*) \times (s_1, \dots, s_{r_{p+1}}^*): d_i \geq 0, s_i \geq 0, \\ & d_1 + \dots + d_{r_{p+1}}^* = \alpha_{p+1}^* - m^*, s_1 + \dots + s_{r_{p+1}}^* = m^* \text{ and} \\ & (d_1, \dots, d_{r_{p+1}}^*) \text{ or } (s_1, \dots, s_{r_{p+1}}^*) \text{ has cycle period } \gamma_{p+1}^* \}. \end{aligned}$$

The map from \mathcal{A}_2 into \mathcal{A}_3 given by

$$(\mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_{r_{p+1}}) \times (\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{r_{p+1}}) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_{r_{p+1}}^*) \times (\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{r_{p+1}}^*)$$

is bijective. We observe that

$$\#\mathcal{A}_3 = r_1 \cdot q_1 + r_2 \cdot q_2 - r_1 \cdot r_2$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &= \#\mathcal{E}(\gamma_{p+1}^*, \alpha_{p+1}^* - m^*) & \text{and} & & q_1 &= \mathcal{Q}(\gamma_{p+1}^*, m^*) \\ r_2 &= \#\mathcal{E}(\gamma_{p+1}^*, m^*) & \text{and} & & q_2 &= \mathcal{Q}(\gamma_{p+1}^*, \alpha_{p+1}^* - m^*) \end{aligned}$$

and (e) follows.

7. The reduction. We will reduce the cycle structure problem to the set studied in the §§ 3-6. First we need two lemmas. $C < D$ means C contained in D and $C \neq D$. If $D = a_r \cdots a_s$, we define ($t \in D \Leftrightarrow r \leq t \leq s$) and $f_D(t) = f(a_r \cdots a_t)$.

We need more precise notation. If we are working with A we write

$$\alpha_i(A), \gamma_i(A) \text{ and } m_A \text{ instead of } \alpha_i, \gamma_i \text{ and } m .$$

LEMMA 7.1. *Suppose $A = 0_{i_1} B_1 C_1 0_{i_2} B_2 C_2 \cdots 0_{i_f} B_f$ where B_i is a block on level 1. Moreover, we suppose $f(C_i) = -\text{type}(B_i)$ and $0 > f_{C_i}(t) \geq -\text{type}(B_i)$ for $t \in C_i$.*

Then we have

$$n + \text{type}(B_f) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{p+1} 2i\gamma_i \right) + m_A + (i_1 + \cdots + i_f) ,$$

and if $\text{type}(B_f) \geq \text{type}(B_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, f$ then

$$\alpha_{\text{type}(B_f)}(A) = m_A \iff i_1 + \cdots + i_f = 0 .$$

Proof. We let $C_f = 0_{\text{type}(B_f)}$ and consider $A^* = AC_f = 0_{i_1} B_1 C_1 \cdots 0_{i_f} B_f C_f$.

As in the proof of Lemma 4.13 in [2] we get

$$\text{the length of } B_i = f(B_i) + \sum \{2 \cdot \text{type}(B^*): B^* < B_i\} ,$$

$$\text{the length of } C_i = \text{type}(B_i) + \sum \{2 \cdot \text{type}(B^*): B^* < C_i\} .$$

If $\text{type}(B_i) = p + 1$, we therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{the length of } B_i C_i &= [f(B_i) - (p + 1)] \\ &+ \sum \{2 \cdot \text{type}(B^*): B^* < B_i C_i\} . \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise,

$$\text{the length of } B_i C_i = \sum \{2 \cdot \text{type}(B^*): B^* < B_i C_i\} .$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{the length of } A^* &= \sum \{f(B_i) - (p+1) : \text{type}(B_i) = p+1\} \\ &\quad + \sum \{2 \cdot \text{type}(B^*) : B^* \text{ a block}\} + (i_1 + \cdots + i_f) \\ &= m_A + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{p+1} 2i\gamma_i \right) + (i_1 + \cdots + i_f). \end{aligned}$$

The equivalence follows by the definition of $\alpha_{\text{type}(B_f)}(A)$. \square

We write

$$(7.1) \quad \theta_{k,p} = \theta_{E_k + \cdots + E_{k+p}}.$$

LEMMA 7.2. *We suppose the block structure of $A \in \{0, 1\}^*$ is determined with respect to p . Moreover, we suppose $w(A) = k + p + 1$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &([\gamma_{p+1}(A) \neq 0 \text{ and } \alpha_{p+1}(A) = m_A] \text{ or} \\ & [z = \sup_i \{i : \gamma_i(A) \neq 0\} < p+1 \text{ and } \alpha_z(A) = 0]) \\ & \iff \theta_{k,p}^i(A) = \theta_{k,p'}^i(A) \text{ for } p' > p \text{ and every } j. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We suppose first $\gamma_{p+1}(A) \neq 0$. By Lemma 4.4 in [2] there exists q such that $\bar{A} = \theta_{k,p}^q(A)$ satisfies $\gamma_i(\bar{A}) = \gamma_i(A)$, $\alpha_i(\bar{A}) = \alpha_i(A)$, $m_{\bar{A}} = m_A$, \bar{A} ends with a $(p+1)$ -block, \bar{A} starts with 0 or a $(p+1)$ -block and $w(\bar{A}) = k + p + 1$.

Moreover, \bar{A} has the form

$$\bar{A} = 0_{i_1} B_1 C_1 0_{i_2} B_2 C_2 \cdots 0_{i_f} B_f \text{ as in Lemma 7.1.}$$

(If $f = 1$, then $\bar{A} = 0_{i_1} B_1$.)

We suppose $\theta_{k,p}^i(A) = \theta_{k,p'}^i(A)$ for $p' > p$. If $i_1 \neq 0$, then $w(\theta_{k,p+1}(A)) = k + p + 2 \neq w(\theta_{k,p}(A))$. Hence, $i_1 = 0$. By Lemma 5.7 in [2] we have

$$w(\theta_{k,p}^s(\bar{A})) = k + p + 1 \text{ where } s = \text{length of } B_1 C_1.$$

In the same way we prove $i_1 = \cdots = i_f = 0$. By Lemma 7.1 $\alpha_{p+1}(\bar{A}) = m_{\bar{A}}$. Hence, $\alpha_{p+1}(A) = m_A$.

Next we suppose $\alpha_{p+1}(A) = m_A$. Hence, $\alpha_{p+1}(\bar{A}) = m_{\bar{A}}$. By Lemma 7.1 we have $i_1 + \cdots + i_f = 0$. Hence, $\text{type}(B_i) = p+1$. Moreover, let $j = \inf \{i > 1 : \text{type}(B_i) = p+1\}$. Put $C_1'' = C_1 B_2 C_2 \cdots B_{j-1} C_{j-1}$ and $B_2'' = B_j$. By continuing in this way we can suppose $\text{type}(B_1) = \cdots = \text{type}(B_f) = p+1$. Hence, by Lemma 5.6(c) in [2] we get $\theta_{k,p}^i(\bar{A}) = \theta_{k,p'}^i(\bar{A})$ for $p' > p$.

Finally we treat the case $z = \sup_i \gamma_i(A) < p+1$. By Lemma 5.6 (a) in [2] we have $\theta_{k,p}^i(A) = \theta_{k_1,p_1}^i(A)$ where $k_1 = p+1-z$ and $p_1 = z-1$. By Lemma 4.4 in [2] there exists q such that $\bar{A} = \theta_{k,p}^q(A)$ satisfies:

$\gamma_i(A) = \gamma_i(\bar{A})$, $\alpha_i(A) = \alpha_i(\bar{A})$, $m_A = m_{\bar{A}} = 0$, \bar{A} ends with a z -block, \bar{A} starts with 0 or a z -block and $w(\bar{A}) = k + p + 1$. Moreover, \bar{A} has the form

$$\bar{A} = 0_{i_1} B_1 C_1 0_{i_2} B_2 C_2 \cdots 0_{i_f} B_f \text{ as in Lemma 7.1.}$$

We suppose $\theta_{k,p}^i(A) = \theta_{k,p'}^i(A)$ for $p' > p$. As in the case $\gamma_{p+1}(A) \neq 0$ we prove $i_1 = \cdots = i_f = 0$. By Lemma 7.1 $\alpha_z(A) = m_A = 0$.

Next we suppose $\alpha_z(A) = 0$. Hence, $\alpha_z(\bar{A}) = m_{\bar{A}} = 0$. By Lemma 7.1 we have $i_1 + \cdots + i_f = 0$. As before we can suppose $\text{type}(B_1) = \cdots = \text{type}(B_f) = z$. Hence, by Lemma 5.6 (c) we get $\theta_{k,p}^i(\bar{A}) = \theta_{k,p'}^i(\bar{A})$ for $p' > p$. □

Previously in this paper we have not mentioned the possible values of $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{p+1})$. However, by Lemma 4.1 in [2] we have the following result (k, p and n are given)

$(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{p+1})$ is a possible vector if and only if

$$\exists m \geq 0 \text{ such that } m + \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} i \cdot \gamma_i = k + p + 1$$

and

$$m + 2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} i \cdot \gamma_i \leq n + p + 1$$

(m corresponds to m defined previously).

The results obtained in this paper give a complete description of the cycle structure of \mathcal{M} where

$$(7.2) \quad \mathcal{M} = \text{the union of all } \mathcal{M} \text{ defined in (3.2) corresponding to the possible vectors } (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{p+1}) \text{ satisfying } \gamma_{p+1} \neq 0.$$

Now we start the reduction process. For $\mathcal{A} \subset \{0, 1\}^n$, we define the closure of \mathcal{A} with respect to θ by

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}} = \{\theta^i(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

We let $\theta = \theta_{k,p}$ and we define

$$\mathcal{F} = \{A : k \leq w(\theta^i(A)) \leq w(A) \leq k + p + 1 \forall i\}.$$

If $A \notin \mathcal{F}$, then $\theta^i(A) = C^i(A) \forall i$, where $C(a_1, \dots, a_n) = a_2 \cdots a_n a_1$ is the pure cycling register. Hence, it is enough to study $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$. We define

$$\mathcal{D}(i, j) = \{A \in \mathcal{F} : k + i = \inf_s w(\theta^s(A)) \leq w(A) = k + j\}.$$

Then we have obviously that

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{i \leq j} \overline{\mathcal{D}(i, j)}$$

is a disjoint union. Hence, it is sufficient to determine the cycle structure of the sets $\overline{\mathcal{D}(i, j)}$. First we need an observation:

Observation 7.3. Suppose $\theta = \theta_{k,p}$, $w(A) = k + p + 1$ and $0 \leq p' < p$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{p'+1} &\neq 0 \quad \text{and} \\ \gamma_{p'+2} = \dots = \gamma_{p+1} &= 0 \iff \inf_s w(\theta^s(A)) = k + p - p'. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows directly from the definition of the blocks, or for example from Lemma 5.1 in [2].

We also need very precise notation. If we are working with p we write α_i^p , γ_i^p and m^p instead of α_i , γ_i and m .

Case 1. $\overline{\mathcal{D}(0, p + 1)} = \mathcal{M}$ where \mathcal{M} is as in (7.2).

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{D}(0, p + 1)$. By Observation 7.3 we have $\gamma_{p+1} \neq 0$. By Lemma 4.4 in [2] there exists s such that $\theta^s(A) \in \mathcal{M}$ and the claim follows.

Case 2. If $0 \leq i < j < p + 1$, we can determine $\overline{\mathcal{D}(i, j)}$ in the following way: Let $k' = k + i$, $p' = j - i - 1$ and let \mathcal{M} be as in (7.2) with respect to k' and p' . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{D}(i, j)} &= \overline{\{A \in \mathcal{M} : \alpha_{p'+1} = 0\}} \quad \text{if } i > 0 \\ \overline{\mathcal{D}(i, j)} &= \overline{\{A \in \mathcal{M} : \alpha_{p'+1} = m\}} \quad \text{if } i = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_{p'+1}$ and m are determined with respect to p' . Moreover, the closure of $\mathcal{D}(i, j)$ with respect to $\theta_{k,p}$ and $\theta_{k',p'}$ respectively are equal.

Proof. Let $p'' = j - 1$ and $A \in \mathcal{D}(i, j)$. By Lemma 7.2 there are two possibilities:

- (1) If $\gamma_{p'+1}^{p''} \neq 0$, then $\alpha_{p'+1}^{p''} = m^{p''}$.
- (2) If $\gamma_z^{p''} \neq 0$ and $\gamma_{z+1}^{p''} = \dots = \gamma_{p'+1}^{p''} = 0$, then $\alpha_z^{p''} = 0$.

We suppose first that $i > 0$. By Observation 7.3 we are in Case 2 with $z = j - i$ since

$$k + p'' + 1 - (j - i) = k + i \leq w(\theta^s(A)) \leq k + p'' + 1.$$

Hence, we have $\alpha_z^{p''} = \alpha_{p'+1}^{p''} = 0$ and $\gamma_z^{p''} = \gamma_{p'+1}^{p''} \neq 0$. Since, $\gamma_{z+1}^{p''} = \dots = \gamma_{p'+1}^{p''} = 0$ we have

$$\alpha_{p'+1}^{p''} = \alpha_{p'+1}^{p''} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_{p'+1}^{p''} = \gamma_{p'+1}^{p''} \neq 0 .$$

By Lemma 4.4 in [2] there exists s such that $\theta_{k',p'}^s(A) \in \mathcal{M}$ where \mathcal{M} is defined as in (7.2) with respect to k' and p' .

Next we suppose $i = 0$. Then we are in Case 1 and $p'' = p'$. Hence, we have $\alpha_{p'+1}^{p''} = m^{p'}$ and $\gamma_{p'+1}^{p''} \neq 0$. By Lemma 4.4 in [2] there exists s such that $\theta_{k',p'}^s(A) \in \mathcal{M}$ where \mathcal{M} is defined as in (7.2) with respect to k' and p' .

Case 3. If $0 < i < j = p + 1$, then

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}(i, j)} = \overline{\{A \in \mathcal{M} : m = 0\}}$$

where \mathcal{M} and m is defined with respect to $k' = k + i$ and $p' = p - i$. Moreover, the closure of $\mathcal{D}(i, j)$ with respect to $\theta_{k,p}$ and $\theta_{k',p'}$ respectively are equal.

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{D}(i, j)$. By Observation 7.3 we have

$$(*) \quad \gamma_{p'+2}^{p''} = \dots = \gamma_{p+1}^{p''} = 0 .$$

Hence, $m^{p'} = 0$. Namely, if $m^{p'} \neq 0$, then (*) would not be true.

Moreover, by Lemma 5.6 in [2] we have

$$\theta_{k,p'}^s(A) = \theta_{k,p}^s(A) \quad \forall s$$

and there exists s such that $\theta_{k',p'}^s(A) \in \mathcal{M}$ where \mathcal{M} is defined with respect to k' and p' . Hence the proof of Case 3 is complete.

Case 4. If $i = j$, then $\mathcal{D}(i, i) = \emptyset$ except in the following case: If $k + p + 1 = n$, then $\overline{\mathcal{D}(p + 1, p + 1)} = \{A = 1_n\}$.

The proof of Case 4 is obvious.

Finally we will mention how to determine the minimal period for $A \in \{0, 1\}^n$ with respect to $\theta_{k,p}$ in the following 4 steps:

1. If $w(A) \notin \{k, \dots, k + p + 1\}$, then $\theta_{k,p}(A) = \xi(A)$ where $\xi(a_1 \dots a_n) = (a_2 \dots a_n a_1)$ and the problem is trivial. We therefore suppose $w(A) \in \{k, \dots, k + p + 1\}$.

2. We calculate $w(A), w(\theta_{k,p}(A)), \dots, w(\theta_{k,p}^{2n}(A))$ and choose j such that $A^* = \theta_{k,p}^j(A)$ satisfies

$$w(A^*) = \sup_{1 \leq i \leq 2n} w(\theta_{k,p}^i(A)) = \sup_i w(\theta_{k,p}^i(A)) .$$

3. Put $p' = w(A^*) - k - 1$. Then we can use $\theta_{k,p'}$ instead of $\theta_{k,p}$ (Lemma 5.6 (b) in [2]). We have $w(A^*) = k + p' + 1$.

4. Next we determine the block structure of A^* with respect to p' . We put $j = \sup \{i : \gamma_i^{p''}(A) \neq 0\}$, and $k'' = p' - j$ and $p'' = j - 1$. Then we can use $\theta_{k'',p''}$ instead of $\theta_{k,p}$ (Lemma 5.6 (a) in [2]). More-

over, we have $w(A^*) = k'' + p'' + 1$ and $\gamma_{p'',+1}^{p''}(A^*) \neq 0$. Hence, we can use Theorem 4.2.

REFERENCES

1. J. Søreng, *The periods of the sequences generated by some shift registers*, J. Combinatorial Theory, Ser. A, **21** (1976), 165-187.
2. ———, *Symmetric shift registers*, Pacific J. Math., **85** (1979), 201-229.

Received September 19, 1978 and in revised form November 2, 1979.

UNIVERSITY OF OSLO
BLINDERN, OSLO 3, NORWAY