

AN INDEX THEOREM AND HYPOELLIPTICITY ON NILPOTENT LIE GROUPS

KENNETH G. MILLER

Extending results of Grushin we determine the index of $p(x, D)$ where $p(x, \xi)$ is a polynomial homogeneous with respect to some family of dilations on R^{2d} and $p(x, \xi) \neq 0$ if $(x, \xi) \neq (0, 0)$. In general these operators are not elliptic. If G is a step two nilpotent Lie group and P is a left invariant differential operator on G which is homogeneous with respect to some family of dilations, we apply this index theorem to prove that P is hypoelliptic if and only if P^* is hypoelliptic. This extends a result of Helffer and Nourrigat.

1. **An index theorem.** A family of dilations on a Lie algebra \mathcal{G} is a one parameter family of automorphisms $\{\delta_r: r > 0\}$ of \mathcal{G} of the form $\delta_r = \exp((\log r)A)$, where A is a diagonalizable automorphism of \mathcal{G} with positive real eigenvalues. There is no loss of generality in assuming that the smallest eigenvalue is 1. A finite dimensional normed vector space V with norm $|\cdot|$ determines an abelian Lie algebra. Let $\{\delta_r\}$ be a family of dilations on V . For $w \in V$ define $\|w\|$ by $\|w\| = r$ if $|\delta_r^{-1}(w)| = 1$. Then $w \rightarrow \|w\|$ is continuous on V and C^∞ on $V - \{0\}$ by the implicit function theorem. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}$ be a basis for V consisting of eigenvectors of A with corresponding eigenvalues μ_1, \dots, μ_n . If $w = a_1 w_1 + \dots + a_n w_n$, then

$$(1.1) \quad \delta_r w = \sum r^{\mu_j} a_j w_j \quad \text{and}$$

$$(1.2) \quad \|w\| \approx \sum |a_j|^{1/\mu_j}.$$

Throughout this section we will be considering a family of dilations on the abelian Lie algebra $R^{2d} = R_x^d \oplus R_\xi^d$. We do not necessarily assume that either R_x^d or R_ξ^d is invariant under $\{\delta_r\}$. Let $f \in C^\infty(R^{2d})$, $f(w) = 0$ for $\|w\| \leq 1/2$, and $f(w) = 1$ for $\|w\| \geq 1$. Define $\Phi(w) = 1 + f(w)\|w\|$ and $\varphi(w) = 1$ for all $w = (x, \xi) \in R^{2d}$. Note that there is a C such that if $|w - w'| \leq \Phi(w)$ then $\Phi(w') \leq C\Phi(w)$. Thus (Φ, φ) is a pair of weight functions on R_x^d as defined in Beals [1]. We will usually not mention φ and will refer to Φ as the weight function for the family of dilations $\{\delta_r\}$. Note that Φ satisfies the coercive estimate

$$(1.3) \quad |w| \leq C\Phi(w)^{\bar{\mu}}$$

where $\bar{\mu} = \max\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{2d}\}$.

For $m \in \mathbf{R}$, let S_ϕ^m denote the set of all smooth functions p on \mathbf{R}^{2d} such that for each α and $\beta \in \mathbf{N}^d$

$$\sup \{ \Phi(x, \xi)^{-m+|\alpha|} | D_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta p(x, \xi) | : (x, \xi) \in \mathbf{R}^{2d} \} < \infty .$$

\mathcal{L}_ν^m is the set of pseudodifferential operators with symbols in S_ν^m , H_ϕ^m is the associated (global) Sobolev space as defined in [1] and $\| \cdot \|_{m, \phi}$ is a norm for the topology on H_ϕ^m . We note that in the special case where $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and $m/\mu_j \in \mathbf{N}$ for all j (this is necessarily the case in the context of Theorem 2 below, by Proposition 1.3 of [7]), then $\| \cdot \|_{m, \nu}$ can be given explicitly as follows: Let \mathcal{B} be a basis for \mathbf{R}^{2d} consisting of eigenvectors for $\{\delta_r\}$ and let $a_j(x, \xi)$ be the j th coordinate of (x, ξ) with respect to the basis \mathcal{B} . By (1.2) above and 6.17 of [1]

$$(1.4) \quad \| u \|_{m, \nu} \approx \sum \| a_j(x, D)^{m/\mu_j} u \| + \| u \|$$

where $\| \cdot \|$ is the L^2 norm.

We shall denote by \tilde{S}_ϕ^m the subset of S_ϕ^m consisting of functions p such that for all α and β in \mathbf{N}^d

$$\sup \{ \Phi(x, \xi)^{-m+|\alpha|+|\beta|} | D_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta p(x, \xi) | : (x, \xi) \in \mathbf{R}^{2d} \} < \infty .$$

We say that $p \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ is homogeneous of degree m with respect to $\{\delta_r\}$ for large w if there is a c , $0 < c < 1$, such that $p(\delta_r w) = r^m p(w)$ for all $r \geq 1$ and all w for which $\| w \| \geq c$. If p is homogeneous of degree m with respect to $\{\delta_r\}$ for large w and if v is an eigenvector for the generator A of $\{\delta_r\}$ with eigenvalue μ , then

$$r^\mu D_\nu p(\delta_r w) = r^m D_\nu p(w) .$$

If $\| w \| \geq 1$, let $r = \| w \|$ and $w' = \delta_r^{-1}(w)$. Then $\| w' \| = 1$ and $D_\nu p(w) = \| w \|^{m-\mu} D_\nu p(w')$. Thus there is a C such that

$$(1.5) \quad | D_\nu p(w) | \leq C \| w \|^{m-\mu} \leq C \| w \|^{m-1}$$

for all w , $\| w \| \geq 1$. Consequently if p is homogeneous of degree m with respect to $\{\delta_r\}$ for large w , then $p \in \tilde{S}_\nu^m$. It follows from this remark that $\Phi \in \tilde{S}_\phi^1$ and hence $\Phi^m \in \tilde{S}_\phi^m$ for all $m \in \mathbf{R}$.

We say that $p \in S_\phi^m$ is Φ -elliptic if there is a C such that $\Phi(w)^m \leq C | p(w) |$ for $| w | \geq C$. Note that if p is a polynomial and p is homogeneous of degree m with respect to $\{\delta_r\}$, then p is Φ -elliptic if and only if $p(w) \neq 0$ for $| w | \neq 0$. Note that in general Φ -ellipticity does not imply ellipticity in the usual sense. For example on $\mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}^2$, $p(x, \xi) = \xi_1^4 + x_1^2 + 2x_1\xi_1 + \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + x_2^2$ is Φ -elliptic and homogeneous of degree two, where the dilations are given in terms of coordinates $a_1 = \xi_1, a_2 = x_1 + \xi_1, a_3 = \xi_2$ and $a_4 = x_2$, with $\mu_1 = 2, \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4 = 1$.

If Γ is an oriented curve and p maps the range of Γ into

$C - \{0\}$, let $\Delta_r \arg p$ denote the change in the argument of p along Γ . In the following theorem Γ is the curve in $\mathbf{R}_x \oplus \mathbf{R}_\xi$ given by $x(\theta) = \cos \theta$, $y(\theta) = \sin \theta$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$. In the case where \mathbf{R}_ξ^d and \mathbf{R}_x^d are eigenspaces for A with eigenvalues 1 and $1 + \delta$ respectively, $\delta > 0$, this theorem was proved in [2].

THEOREM 1. *Let $\delta_r = \exp((\log r)A)$, $r > 0$, be a family of dilations on \mathbf{R}^{2d} , Φ the weight function for $\{\delta_r\}$. Let $p = p_0 + p_1$ where p_0 is Φ -elliptic and homogeneous of degree m with respect to $\{\delta_r\}$ for large w and $p_1 \in S_\phi^{m_1}$ for some $m_1 < m$. Then $p(x, D): H_\phi^m \rightarrow L^2$ is Fredholm. If $d > 1$, then $\text{ind } p(x, D) = 0$. If $d = 1$, then $2\pi \text{ind } p(x, D) = \Delta_r \arg p_0$. If $d = 1$ and p_0 is a polynomial, then $\text{ind } p(x, D)$ is also given by (1.6) below.*

Proof. By Theorem 7.2 of [1] and (1.3) above, $p(x, D): H_\phi^m \rightarrow L^2$ is Fredholm. By Corollary 6.13 of [1], $p_1(x, D): H_\phi^m \rightarrow L^2$ is compact. Hence $\text{ind } p_0(x, D) = \text{ind } p(x, D)$. Let $f \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ be real valued, $f(w) = 0$ for $\|w\| \leq 1/2$, $f(w) = 1$ for $\|w\| \geq 1$. Let $a(w) = f(w)/\|w\|^{m/2}$, $q = p_0 a^2$. Then $A = a(x, D) \in \mathcal{L}_\phi^{-m/2}$, and by the pseudo-differential operator calculus $p_0(x, D)A^*A = q(x, D) + R$ where $R \in \mathcal{L}_\phi^{-1}$. Thus $\text{ind } q(x, D) = \text{ind } p_0(x, D)$. Also $q(\delta_r w) = p_0(w) \neq 0$ for all $r \geq 1$ and all w , $\|w\| = 1$. If $d > 1$, $\{w \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}: \|w\| = 1\}$ is simply connected, so q can be continuously deformed to a nonzero constant through Φ -elliptic symbols which are homogeneous of degree 0 for large w . Hence $\text{ind } q(x, D) = 0$.

Now consider the case $d = 1$. Although q is not elliptic in the classic sense, q is included in the class of symbols for which Hormander proves the index theorem in §7 of [5]. In [5] it is shown that $2\pi \text{ind } q^w(x, D) = \Delta_r \arg q$, where $q^w(x, D)$ is the Weyl pseudo-differential operator with symbol q . By (4.10) of [5] $q^w(x, D) = a(x, D)$ where $a = q + r$, $r \in S_\phi^{-1}$. Thus $\text{ind } q(x, D) = \text{ind } q^w(x, D)$. Clearly $\Delta_r \arg q = \Delta_r \arg p_0$.

If $d = 1$ and p_0 is a polynomial, then $\text{ind } p(x, D)$ can also be computed as follows: Let v_1 and v_2 be eigenvectors for the generator A of $\{\delta_r\}$, chosen so that if (x_1, ξ_1) and (x_2, ξ_2) are the respective x, ξ coordinates of v_1 and v_2 , then $x_1 \xi_2 - x_2 \xi_1 > 0$. Let Γ_+ be the line $t \rightarrow v_1 + tv_2$ and Γ_- the line $t \rightarrow -v_1 + tv_2$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Let $m_2 = m/\mu_2$. Let ν_+ be the number of complex roots z of $p_0(v_1 + zv_2)$ with positive imaginary part and ν_- the number of complex roots of $p_0(-v_1 + zv_2)$ with negative imaginary part. By the homogeneity of p_0 ,

$$\Delta_r \arg p_0 = \Delta_{\Gamma_+} \arg p_0 - \Delta_{\Gamma_-} \arg p_0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$\Delta_{\Gamma_+} \arg p_0 = -i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dt} |p_0(v_1 + tv_2)| dt = 2\pi(\nu_+ - m_2/2).$$

$$A_{r_-} \arg p_0 = -i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dt} |p_0(tv_2 - v_1)| dt = 2\pi(m_2/2 - \nu_-).$$

Thus

$$(1.6) \quad \text{ind } p(x, D) = \nu_+ + \nu_- - m_2.$$

2. Hypoellipticity of P^* . Let \mathcal{G} be a nilpotent Lie algebra of step 2; i.e., $[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_2] = 0$ where $\mathcal{G}_2 = [\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$. Let G be the corresponding connected, simply connected Lie group. A family of dilations $\{\delta_r\}$ on \mathcal{G} induces a family of algebra automorphisms, also denoted $\{\delta_r\}$, of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})$, the complexified universal enveloping algebra of \mathcal{G} . An element P of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})$ is said to be homogeneous of degree m with respect to $\{\delta_r\}$ if $\delta_r(P) = r^m P$ for all $r > 0$. The set of all $P \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})$ such that P is homogeneous of degree m with respect to a given family of dilations $\{\delta_r\}$ will be denoted $\mathcal{U}_m(\mathcal{G}, \{\delta_r\})$ or simply $\mathcal{U}_m(\mathcal{G})$ when there is no chance of confusion. We consider the elements of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})$ as left invariant differential operators on G .

THEOREM 2. *Let \mathcal{G} be a nilpotent Lie algebra of step two and $\{\delta_r\}$ a family of dilations on \mathcal{G} . If $P \in \mathcal{U}_m(\mathcal{G}, \{\delta_r\})$ is hypoelliptic, then P^* is hypoelliptic.*

When $\{\delta_r\}$ is the natural family of dilations for a grading $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_1 \oplus \mathcal{G}_2$ of \mathcal{G} , then this result was proved in Helffer and Nourrigat [4]. For the Heisenberg group such a result was proved in Miller [6]. It follows from this theorem that any hypoelliptic $P \in \mathcal{U}_m(\mathcal{G})$ is locally solvable.

The proof is based on the Helffer-Nourrigat-Rockland characterization of the hypoelliptic operators in $\mathcal{U}_m(\mathcal{G})$: $P \in \mathcal{U}_m(\mathcal{G})$ is hypoelliptic if and only if $\pi(P)$ is injective in \mathcal{S}_π for every nontrivial irreducible unitary representation π of G . (See [3] and [8]. That this result holds for arbitrary dilations is shown in [7].) We shall also need some other preliminary information before beginning the proof of Theorem 2.

By Lemma 1.2 of [7] there is a basis $\{X_1, \dots, X_N; \dots, X_n\}$ of \mathcal{G} such that each X_j is an eigenvector for the generator A of $\{\delta_r\}$, $\{X_{N+1}, \dots, X_n\}$ spans \mathcal{G}_2 , and for each $k > N$ there are i and $j \leq N$ such that $[X_i, X_j] = X_k$. Let μ_j be the eigenvalue of A corresponding to X_j . If $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, let $\alpha^\mu = \sum \alpha_j \mu_j$ and $X^\alpha = X_1^{\alpha_1} \dots X_n^{\alpha_n}$. Then $P \in \mathcal{U}_m(\mathcal{G})$ if and only if

$$(2.1) \quad P = \sum_{\alpha^\mu = m} a_\alpha X^\alpha$$

for some $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let \mathcal{G}_1 be the subspace of \mathcal{G} spanned by $\{X_1, \dots, X_N\}$. Letting

\mathcal{E}^* denote the vector space dual of \mathcal{E} , we define δ_r on \mathcal{E}^* to be the transpose of δ_r on \mathcal{E} for each $r > 0$. Since \mathcal{E}_1 is invariant under $\{\delta_r\}$, $\{\delta_r\}$ (on \mathcal{E}^*) restricts to a family of dilations on the vector space \mathcal{E}_1^* . For $\eta \in \mathcal{E}_1^*$ define $\|\eta\|$ as in §1. If $X \in \mathcal{E}$, let $X = X' + X''$ where $X' \in \mathcal{E}_1$, $X'' \in \mathcal{E}_2$. For $\eta \in \mathcal{E}_1^*$,

$$(2.2) \quad \pi_\eta(\exp X) = \exp i\langle \eta, X' \rangle$$

defines a unitary representation of G on \mathcal{C} . It follows from (2.1) that if $P \in \mathcal{U}_m(\mathcal{E})$, then

$$(2.3) \quad \pi_{\delta_r \eta}(P) = r^m \pi_\eta(P) = \pi_\eta(\delta_r P); \quad \eta \in \mathcal{E}_1^* .$$

We next recall some facts about the representation theory for G . More details are given in [7]. Let $\zeta \in \mathcal{E}_2^*$. Then there is a $d = d(\zeta) \leq N/2$ and a basis $\mathcal{B}(\zeta) = \{Y_1(\zeta), \dots, Y_N(\zeta)\}$ for \mathcal{E}_1 such that $\mathcal{B}(\zeta)$ is orthogonal with respect to the inner product determined by the basis $\{X_1, \dots, X_N\}$ and such that

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \zeta, [Y_j(\zeta), Y_{j+d}(\zeta)] \rangle &= 1 & \text{for } j \leq d \\ \langle \zeta, [Y_j(\zeta), Y_k(\zeta)] \rangle &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all other choices $j < k \leq N$. (In [7] we had $[Y_j(\zeta), Y_{j+d}(\zeta)] = \lambda_j > 0$. This was necessary because we wanted the basis to be orthonormal, but that is not needed here.) For any $\rho \in \mathbf{R}^{N-2d}$ there is an irreducible unitary representation $\pi_{\rho, \zeta}$ of G on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi_{\rho, \zeta}(Y_j(\zeta))u(t) &= \partial u / \partial t_j, & j \leq d; \\ \pi_{\rho, \zeta}(Y_{j+d}(\zeta))u(t) &= it_j u(t), & j \leq d; \\ \pi_{\rho, \zeta}(Y_{j+2d}(\zeta))u(t) &= i\rho_j u(t), & j \leq N - 2d; \\ \pi_{\rho, \zeta}(Z)u(t) &= i\langle \zeta, Z \rangle u(t), & Z \in \mathcal{E}_2 . \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore every irreducible unitary representation of G is unitarily equivalent to $\pi_{\rho, \zeta}$ for some $\zeta \in \mathcal{E}_2^*$ and some $\rho \in \mathbf{R}^{N-2d(\zeta)}$. Note that if $\zeta = 0$ we obtain the representation defined by (2.2).

For $\zeta \in \mathcal{E}_2^*$, $t \in \mathbf{R}^d$, $\tau \in \mathbf{R}^d$ and $\rho \in \mathbf{R}^{N-2d}$, $d = d(\zeta)$, let $\eta(t, \tau; \rho, \zeta)$ be that element η of \mathcal{E}_1^* such that

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \eta, Y_j(\zeta) \rangle &= \tau_j, & \langle \eta, Y_{j+d}(\zeta) \rangle &= t_j, & j \leq d; \\ \langle \eta, Y_{j+2d}(\zeta) \rangle &= \rho_j, & j &\leq N - 2d . \end{aligned}$$

Let $f \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^N)$ satisfy $f \equiv 0$ in a neighborhood of 0 and $f \equiv 1$ outside some bounded set. Define

$$\Phi_{\rho, \zeta}(t, \tau) = 1 + f(t, \tau, \rho) \|\eta(t, \tau; \rho, \zeta)\| .$$

Let $\zeta \in \mathcal{E}_2^*$, $\zeta \neq 0$, be fixed. If for all $\rho \in \mathbf{R}^{N-2d}$, $q_\rho \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and for all multi-indices α and β there is a $C_{\alpha\beta}$ such that

$$|D_\tau^\alpha D_t^\beta q_\rho(t, \tau)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \Phi_{\rho, \zeta}(t, \tau)^{k-|\alpha|}$$

for all $(t, \tau, \rho) \in \mathbf{R}^N$ we will write “ $q_\rho \in S_{\rho, \zeta}^k$ uniformly in ρ ”. $\mathcal{L}_{\rho, \zeta}^k$ is the space of pseudodifferential operators with symbols in $S_{\rho, \zeta}^k$; $H_{\rho, \zeta}^k$ the corresponding global Sobolev space as defined in [1].

It follows from (2.5), (2.6) and (2.2) that, for $X \in \mathcal{S}_1$,

$$(2.7) \quad \text{sym } \pi_{\rho, \zeta}(X)(t, \tau) = \pi_{\eta(t, \tau, \rho, \zeta)}(X),$$

where $\text{sym } Q$ denotes the symbol of the operator Q . Let $\zeta \in \mathcal{S}_2^*$ be fixed and let $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ be the basis for \mathcal{S} described at the beginning of this section. By (2.7) and (1.2),

$$(2.8) \quad \pi_{\rho, \zeta}(X_j) \in \mathcal{L}_{\rho, \zeta}^{\mu_j} \quad \text{uniformly in } \rho \text{ if } j \leq N,$$

$$(2.9) \quad \pi_{\rho, \zeta}(X_j) \in \mathcal{L}_{\rho, \zeta}^0 \quad \text{uniformly in } \rho \text{ if } j > N.$$

Thus if $P \in \mathcal{U}_m(\mathcal{S})$, then $\pi_{\rho, \zeta}(P) \in \mathcal{L}_{\rho, \zeta}^m$ uniformly in ρ .

LEMMA. *Let $P \in \mathcal{U}_m(\mathcal{S})$ satisfy $\pi_\eta(P) \neq 0$ for each of the one dimensional unitary representations $\pi_\eta, \eta \in \mathcal{S}_1^*, \eta \neq 0$. Then for fixed $\zeta \in \mathcal{S}_2^*, \zeta \neq 0$, there is a $c > 0$ and a $C > 0$ such that*

$$|\text{sym } \pi_{\rho, \zeta}(P)(t, \tau)| \geq c \Phi_{\rho, \zeta}(t, \tau)^m$$

for all $\rho \in \mathbf{R}^{N-2d}$ and all $(t, \tau) \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}$ such that $|t| + |\tau| \geq C$.

Proof. Let $S = \{\eta \in \mathcal{S}_1^*: \|\eta\| = 1\}$ and let $c_1 = \min \{\pi_\eta(P): \eta \in S\}$. For arbitrary $\eta \in \mathcal{S}_1^*, \eta \neq 0$, let $r = \|\eta\|^{-1}$. Then $\|\delta_r \eta\| = 1$. (2.3) implies that $|\pi_\eta(P)| \geq c_1 \|\eta\|^m$. Thus letting $p'_{\rho, \zeta}(t, \tau) = \pi_{\eta(t, \tau, \rho, \zeta)}(P)$, we have

$$(2.10) \quad |p'_{\rho, \zeta}(t, \tau)| \geq c_1 \|\eta(t, \tau; \rho, \zeta)\|^m.$$

Let $p_{\rho, \zeta} = \text{sym } \pi_{\rho, \zeta}(P)$. By (2.7), the pseudodifferential operator calculus, (2.9) and the remark following (2.9),

$$(2.11) \quad p_{\rho, \zeta} - p'_{\rho, \zeta} \in S_{\rho, \zeta}^{m-1} \quad \text{uniformly in } \rho.$$

Now there exist $c_2 > 0$ and C_2 such that if $|t| + |\tau| \geq C_2$ then $\|\eta(t, \tau; \rho, \zeta)\|^m \geq c_2(|t| + |\tau|)$ for all ρ . Thus, by (2.10), there exist $c_3 > 0$ and C_3 such that if $|t| + |\tau| \geq C_3$, then $|p'_{\rho, \zeta}(t, \tau)| \geq c_3 \Phi_{\rho, \zeta}(t, \tau)^m$ for all ρ . Also, by (2.11), it follows that given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $C_4(\varepsilon)$ such that if $|t| + |\tau| \geq C_4(\varepsilon)$, then for all ρ

$$|p_{\rho, \zeta}(t, \tau) - p'_{\rho, \zeta}(t, \tau)| < 1/2\varepsilon \Phi_{\rho, \zeta}(t, \tau)^m.$$

The lemma follows by taking $C = \max \{C_3, C_4(c_3)\}$.

Proof of Theorem 2. By the theorem of Helffer-Nourrigat-Rockland, to prove P^* hypoelliptic it suffices to show that $\ker \pi_{\rho,\zeta}(P^*) = 0$ for all $\zeta \in \mathcal{S}_2^*$ and all $\rho \in \mathbf{R}^{N-2d(\zeta)}$, except $\zeta = 0, \rho = 0$. (We consider $\pi_{\rho,\zeta}(P)$ and $\pi_{\rho,\zeta}(P^*)$ as bounded operators from $H_{\rho,\zeta}^m$ to $H_{\rho,\zeta}^0$). If $\zeta = 0$, then

$$(2.12) \quad \pi_{\rho,\zeta}(P^*) = \overline{\pi_{\rho,\zeta}(P)} \neq 0$$

for all $\rho \neq 0$. If $\zeta \neq 0$, then by Theorem 7.2 of [1] and the above lemma, $\pi_{\rho,\zeta}(P)$ is Fredholm for all ρ . Also by Remark 1.4 of [4] and the Helffer-Nourrigat-Rockland Theorem, $\ker \pi_{\rho,\zeta}(P) = \ker \pi_{\rho,\zeta}(P) \cap \mathcal{S}_\pi = 0$. Hence it suffices to prove that $\text{ind } \pi_{\rho,\zeta}(P) = 0$.

We consider first the case when $d = d(\zeta) < N/2$. Let $q_{\rho,\zeta} = \text{sym } \pi_{\rho,\zeta}(P^*)$. By (2.12) and the above lemma there is a $c > 0$ and a C such that $|q_{\rho,\zeta}(t, \tau)| \geq c\Phi_{\rho,\zeta}(t, \tau)^m$ for all $(t, \tau, \rho) \in \mathbf{R}^N$ with $|t| + |\tau| \geq C$. Choose $f \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ such that $f(t, \tau) \equiv 0$ if $|t| + |\tau| \leq C$, $f(t, \tau) \equiv 1$ if $|t| + |\tau| \geq 2C$. Let $a_{\rho,\zeta} = fq_{\rho,\zeta}^{-1}$. Then $a_{\rho,\zeta} \in S_{\rho,\zeta}^{-m}$ uniformly in ρ and $b_{\rho,\zeta} = 1 - a_{\rho,\zeta} \circ q_{\rho,\zeta} \in S_{\rho,\zeta}^{-1}$ uniformly in ρ , where $p \circ q$ denotes the symbol of $p(t, D)q(t, D)$. Let $\psi(\tau) = (1 + |\tau|^2)^{1/2m}$. There is a $C > 0$ (depending on ζ), such that $\psi(\tau) \leq C\Phi_{\rho,\zeta}(t, \tau)$ and, by (2.8), such that $|\rho|^\varepsilon \leq C\Phi_{\rho,\zeta}(t, \tau)$ for all $(t, \tau, \rho) \in \mathbf{R}^N$, where $\varepsilon = \min\{1/\mu_j; i \leq j \leq N\}$. Thus $a_{\rho,\zeta} \in S_{\psi}^0$ uniformly in ρ and $|\rho|^\varepsilon b_{\rho,\zeta} \in S_{\psi}^0$ uniformly in ρ . By the L^2 boundedness theorem for pseudodifferential operators there is a C_1 such that $\|a_{\rho,\zeta}(t, D)u\| \leq C_1\|u\|$ and $|\rho|^\varepsilon\|b_{\rho,\zeta}(t, D)u\| \leq C_1\|u\|$, for all $u \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and all ρ . Thus if $|\rho|^\varepsilon \geq 2C_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\| &\leq \|a_{\rho,\zeta}(t, D)\pi_{\rho,\zeta}(P^*)u\| + \|b_{\rho,\zeta}(t, D)u\| \\ &\leq C_1\|\pi_{\rho,\zeta}(P^*)u\| + 1/2\|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\pi_{\rho,\zeta}(P^*)$ is injective and thus $\text{ind } \pi_{\rho,\zeta}(P) = 0$ if $|\rho|^\varepsilon \geq 2C_1$. Since $\text{ind } \pi_{\rho,\zeta}(P)$ is independent of ρ , $\text{ind } \pi_{\rho,\zeta}(P) = 0$ for all $\rho \in \mathbf{R}^{N-2d}$.

If $d = d(\zeta) = N/2$, we write π_ζ for $\pi_{0,\zeta}$. Define $\varphi: \mathbf{R}_t^d \oplus \mathbf{R}_\tau^d \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_1^*$ by $\varphi(t, \tau) = \eta(t, \tau; 0, \zeta)$, as defined before (2.6). Let $\delta'_r = \varphi^{-1} \circ \delta_r \circ \varphi$. Then $\{\delta'_r\}$ is a family of dilations on \mathbf{R}^{2d} . Let $p'_\zeta(t, \tau) = \pi_{\eta(t,\tau;0,\zeta)}(P)$. It follows from (2.3) that p'_ζ is homogeneous of degree m with respect to $\{\delta'_r\}$ and by (2.12) p'_ζ is Φ_ζ -elliptic. Since $p'_\zeta - \text{sym } \pi_\zeta(P) \in S_\zeta^{m-1}$ we can apply Theorem 1 to find $\text{ind } \pi_\zeta(P)$. If $d > 1$, then $\text{ind } \pi_\zeta(P) = 0$.

If $d = 1$ and $\mathcal{B}(\zeta) = \{Y_1(\zeta), Y_2(\zeta)\}$, set $Y_1(-\zeta) = Y_2(\zeta)$, $Y_2(-\zeta) = Y_1(\zeta)$. Then $\mathcal{B}(-\zeta) = \{Y_1(-\zeta), Y_2(-\zeta)\}$ satisfies (2.4) for $-\zeta$. Also $\eta(t, \tau; -\zeta) = \eta(\tau, t; \zeta)$ and $p'_{-\zeta}(t, \tau) = p'_\zeta(\tau, t)$. By Theorem 1

$$2\pi \text{ind } \pi_{-\zeta}(P) = \Delta_r \arg p'_{-\zeta} = -\Delta_r \arg p'_\zeta = -2\pi \text{ind } \pi_\zeta(P).$$

But $\ker \pi_\zeta(P) = \ker \pi_{-\zeta}(P) = 0$ implies $\text{ind } \pi_\zeta(P) \geq 0$ and $\text{ind } \pi_{-\zeta}(P) \geq 0$. Thus $\text{ind } \pi_\zeta(P) = 0$.

REFERENCES

1. R. Beals, *A general calculus of pseudodifferential operators*, Duke Math. J., **42** (1975), 1-42.
2. V. Grushin, *On a class of hypoelliptic pseudodifferential operators degenerate on a submanifold*, Mat. Sb., **84** (1971), 111-134; Math. USSR-Sb., **13** (1971), 155-185.
3. B. Helffer and J. Nourrigat, *Caractérisation des opérateurs hypoelliptiques homogènes invariants à gauche sur un groupe de Lie nilpotent gradué*, Comm. P.D.E., **4** (1979), 899-958.
4. ———, *Théorèmes d'indice pour des opérateurs différentiels à coefficients polynomiaux*, (preprint).
5. L. Hormander, *The Weyl calculus of pseudo-differential operators*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **32** (1979), 359-443.
6. K. Miller, *Hypoellipticity on the Heisenberg group*, J. Functional Analysis, **31** (1979), 306-320.
7. ———, *Parametrices for hypoelliptic operators on step two nilpotent Lie groups*, Comm. P.D.E., **5** (1980), 1153-1184.
8. C. Rockland, *Hypoellipticity on the Heisenberg group: representation-theoretic criteria*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **240** (1978), 1-52.

Received November 20, 1980.

WICHITA STATE UNIVERSITY
WICHITA, KS 67208