

IRREDUCIBILITY OF UNITARY PRINCIPAL SERIES FOR COVERING GROUPS OF $SL(2, k)$

COURTNEY MOEN

This paper establishes the irreducibility of certain unitary principal series representations of covering groups of $SL(2, k)$, where k is a p -adic field, with p odd.

0.1. The theory of automorphic forms on covering groups of reductive groups over number fields has been shown to have important arithmetical applications [5], [3]. It is thus natural to study the representation theory of covering groups over p -adic fields. The representation-theoretic results which seem to be most applicable to automorphic forms are those concerning the reducibility of non-unitary principal series. The main results concern $GL(n)$ and have been established by Kazhdan and Patterson [3]. In this paper we undertake the study of the unitary principal series by establishing complete reducibility results for n -sheeted covering groups of $SL(2, k)$, where k is a p -adic field containing the n th roots of unity. For ease of exposition, we assume p is odd. The proof uses a detailed analysis in the Fourier transform realization. This procedure is well known, but carrying out the details in the general case is rather involved. In particular, a careful study of matrix-valued Bessel functions is necessary.

The main result of the paper states that when n is even, all unitary principal series are irreducible, and that when n is odd, the only reducible ones are those induced from non-trivial characters of order 2 of k^\times . The reducibility results in the case of n odd follow from [6]; the proofs here deal with the irreducibility. These results can easily be applied to establish the reducibility of certain unitary principal series of covering groups of p -adic Chevalley groups. A more complete study, however, requires a completeness theorem like that proved by Harish-Chandra for reductive p -adic groups.

1.1. Let k be a p -adic field. Let n be a positive integer and assume k contains the n th roots of unity. Let (\cdot, \cdot) be the norm residue symbol of degree n . Let $G = SL(2, k)$. There is a covering group \tilde{G} defined as

follows [4]: if $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G$, put

$$x(\sigma) = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } c \neq 0, \\ d & \text{if } c = 0. \end{cases}$$

For $\sigma, \tau \in G$, put $\beta(\sigma, \tau) = (x(\sigma), x(\tau))(-x(\sigma)^{-1}x(\tau), x(\sigma\tau))$. \tilde{G} is the set $\{(\sigma, \gamma) \mid \sigma \in G, \gamma \in Z/nZ\}$, with multiplication defined by $(\sigma_1, \gamma_1)(\sigma_2, \gamma_2) = (\sigma_1\sigma_2, \gamma_1\gamma_2\beta(\sigma_1, \sigma_2))$.

We will assume in this paper that $p \neq 2$ and that p does not divide n . Let \mathcal{O} be the ring of integers in k , P the prime ideal, and U the units in \mathcal{O} . Let $U^m = \{u^m \mid u \in U\}$. Let q be the order of the residue class field, τ a prime element of k , and ε a $(q-1)$ st root of unity in k . Let χ be a character of k^+ with conductor \mathcal{O} . We take $\{1, \varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon^{n-1}\}$ to be representatives for U/U^n . Let $\zeta = (\varepsilon, \tau)$, $|x|$ the absolute value on k , and ν the additive valuation. Once we fix n , we will let $(\cdot, \cdot)_m$ be the norm residue symbol of degree m , where $m \neq n$, whenever the symbol is defined.

Let $N = \{(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}) \mid x \in k\}$, $A = \{(\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}) \mid a \in k^\times\}$, and $B = NA$. Let $\tilde{N}, \tilde{A}, \tilde{B}$ be the inverse images of N, A, B in \tilde{G} with respect to the canonical surjection $\tilde{G} \rightarrow G$.

1.2. Let μ be a character of k^\times , and let θ be a character of Z/nZ of order n . We will write $\theta(\gamma) = \gamma^t$, with t and n relatively prime. Let $\tilde{A}_0 = \{((\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}), \gamma) \in \tilde{A} \mid \nu(a) \equiv O(n)\}$. Put $k_0^\times = \{x \in k^\times \mid \nu(x) \equiv O(n)\}$. Then $\tilde{A}_0 \cong k_0^\times \times Z/nZ$. Characters of \tilde{A}_0 are thus of the form $\tilde{\mu}_0((\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}), \gamma) = \theta(\gamma)\mu(a)$.

Suppose first that n is odd. Then the induced representations $\tilde{\mu} = \text{Ind}_{\tilde{A}_0}^{\tilde{A}} \tilde{\mu}_0$ are irreducible n -dimensional representations. We will use the explicit matrix realization of $\tilde{\mu}$ obtained by choosing as representatives for \tilde{A}/\tilde{A}_0 the set $\{1, r^{-1}, \dots, r^{-(n-1)}\}$, where $r = ((\begin{smallmatrix} \tau & 0 \\ 0 & \tau^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}), 1)$. If $\tilde{x} = ((\begin{smallmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}), \gamma) \in \tilde{A}$, with $\nu(x) \equiv j(n)$, $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, the matrix $\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{x})$ is of the form $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & C \\ D & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, where C and D are respectively $j \times j$ and $(n-j) \times (n-j)$ diagonal matrices. In the (i, k) th place of $\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{x})$, where $i - k = -j$ or $n - j$, we have $\tilde{\mu}_0(r^{i-1}\tilde{x}r^{-k+1})$.

Now assume that n is even. Let $\tilde{A}^1 = \{((\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}), \gamma) \in \tilde{A} \mid \nu(a) \equiv O(n/2)\}$. Each character of \tilde{A}_0 can be extended to \tilde{A}^1 in two ways. Choose $\tilde{x} = ((\begin{smallmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}), \gamma) \in \tilde{A}^1$. The two extensions of a character of \tilde{A}_0 defined by θ and μ are:

$$\tilde{\mu}^1(\tilde{x}) = \begin{cases} \theta(\gamma)\mu(x) & \text{if } \tilde{x} \in \tilde{A}_0, \\ \theta(\gamma)\theta((x, \tau))^{n/2}\theta((\tau, \tau))^{n/2}\alpha\mu(x) & \text{if } x \in \tilde{A}^1 - \tilde{A}_0, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha^2 = \theta((\tau, \tau))^{n^2/4}$.

We obtain irreducible representations $\tilde{\mu} = \text{Ind}_{\tilde{A}^1}^{\tilde{A}} \tilde{\mu}^1$ of dimension $n/2$, and we will use the matrix realization corresponding to the representatives $\{1, r^{-1}, \dots, r^{-(n/2-1)}\}$ of \tilde{A}^1 in \tilde{A} .

For each n , whether odd or even, we obtain in this way all finite dimensional representations of \tilde{A} . We extend these to \tilde{B} and form the principal series $(T_{\tilde{\mu}}, H_{\tilde{\mu}}) = \text{Ind}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{G}} \tilde{\mu}$. $H_{\tilde{\mu}}$ consists of all locally constant functions $\phi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow C^{\dim \tilde{\mu}}$ satisfying $\phi(\tilde{n}\tilde{x}\tilde{g}) = |x|\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{x})\phi(\tilde{g})$, where $\tilde{n} \in \tilde{N}$ and $\tilde{x} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right), \gamma \in \tilde{A}$. Each function ϕ in $H_{\tilde{\mu}}$ is determined by the function $x \rightarrow \phi\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), 1$. These are functions on k , so we take Fourier transforms and obtain a realization of $T_{\tilde{\mu}}$ in a space of functions we denote by $\hat{k}_{\tilde{\mu}}$ (for details see [6]). The action $\hat{T}_{\tilde{\mu}}$ of \tilde{G} on $\hat{k}_{\tilde{\mu}}$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}_{\tilde{\mu}} \left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{smallmatrix} \right), \gamma \right) f(t) &= |a|^{-1} \tilde{\mu} \left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{smallmatrix} \right), \gamma \right) f(a^{-2}t), \\ \hat{T}_{\tilde{\mu}} \left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ v & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right), 1 \right) f(t) &= \chi(-vt) f(t), \\ \hat{T}_{\tilde{\mu}} \left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right), 1 \right) f(t) \\ &= \int \int \tilde{\mu} \left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{smallmatrix} \right), 1 \right) \chi \left(ux + \frac{t}{x} \right) f(u) du \frac{dx}{|x|}. \end{aligned}$$

1.3. In this paper we will study only the principal series $T_{\tilde{\mu}}$ coming from unitary characters μ of k^x . We will determine which of these are irreducible. The element $\tilde{w} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), 1 = (w, 1)$ of \tilde{G} acts on representations $\tilde{\mu}$ of \tilde{A} by $\tilde{\mu}^{\tilde{w}}(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{\mu}(\tilde{w}\tilde{x}\tilde{w}^{-1})$. An application of Bruhat theory [1] shows that if $\tilde{\mu}$ and $\tilde{\mu}^{\tilde{w}}$ are not equivalent, then $T_{\tilde{\mu}}$ is irreducible. We will now determine which $\tilde{\mu}$ satisfy $\tilde{\mu}^{\tilde{w}} \approx \tilde{\mu}$.

Suppose first that n is odd and θ is fixed. Then

$$\text{trace } \tilde{\mu} \left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{smallmatrix} \right), \gamma \right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \notin (k^x)^n, \\ \frac{n}{2} \theta(\gamma) \mu(x) & \text{if } x \in (k^x)^n. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, $\tilde{\mu}_1 \approx \tilde{\mu}_2 \Leftrightarrow \mu_1(x) = \mu_2(x)$ for all x in $(k^x)^n$. Also,

$$\text{trace } \tilde{\mu}^{\tilde{w}} \left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{smallmatrix} \right), \gamma \right) = \text{trace } \tilde{\mu} \left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{smallmatrix} \right), \gamma \right),$$

so $\tilde{\mu} \approx \tilde{\mu}^w \Leftrightarrow \mu^2(x) = 1$ for all x in $(k^x)^n$. The characters μ which satisfy this property are those of the form $\mu(x) = (\alpha, x)_2(e^i \tau^j, x)_{2n}$ for $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. But there are only four inequivalent $\tilde{\mu}$ coming from these characters. They are the ones coming from the characters $\mu(x) = (\alpha, x)_2$, for $\alpha \in \{1, \varepsilon, \tau, \varepsilon\tau\}$. It thus suffices to consider these four characters.

Suppose now that n is even and θ is fixed. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace } \tilde{\mu} \left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \gamma \right) \\ = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \notin (k^x)^{n/2}, \\ \frac{n}{2} \alpha \theta(\gamma) \theta((x, \tau))^{n/2} (\tau, \tau)^{n/2} \mu(x) & \text{if } x \in (k^x)^{n/2}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\tilde{\mu}_1 \approx \tilde{\mu}_2 \Leftrightarrow \mu_1(x) = \mu_2(x)$ for all x in $(k^x)^{n/2}$, and $\tilde{\mu}^w \approx \tilde{\mu} \Leftrightarrow \mu^2(x) = 1$ for all $x \in (k^x)^{n/2}$. The characters μ for which this is true are those of the form $\mu(x) = (\varepsilon^i \tau^j, x)$ for $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. If $\mu_1(x) = (\varepsilon^k \tau^l, x)$ is another of these, then $\tilde{\mu} \approx \tilde{\mu}_1 \Leftrightarrow i \equiv k \pmod{2}$ and $j \equiv l \pmod{2}$. It thus suffices to consider the four characters $\mu(x) = (\alpha, x)$ for $\alpha \in \{1, \varepsilon, \tau^t, \varepsilon\tau^t\}$. It will prove more convenient to consider (τ^t, x) than (τ, x) .

We can now state the main result of this paper.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\mu(x) = (\alpha, x)_2$ if n is odd, and let $\mu(x) = (\alpha, x)$ if n is even, where $\alpha \in \{1, \varepsilon, \tau^t, \varepsilon\tau^t\}$. Then*

- (a) *If n is odd, $T_{\tilde{\mu}}$ is irreducible if $\alpha = 1$.*
- (b) *If n is even, $T_{\tilde{\mu}}$ is irreducible for each α .*

REMARKS. (a) It is also true that if n is odd and $\mu(x) = (\alpha, x)_2$ for $\alpha \in \{\varepsilon, \tau^t, \varepsilon\tau^t\}$, then $T_{\tilde{\mu}}$ splits into a direct sum of two irreducible representations. This follows from the results of [6].

(b) Since the result is well known when $n = 1$ or 2 , we assume in the rest of this paper that $n > 2$.

1.4. We will assume in the rest of this paper that if n is odd, $\mu = 1$ and if n is even, $\mu(x) = (\alpha, x)$, $\alpha \in \{1, \varepsilon, \tau^t, \varepsilon\tau^t\}$.

Suppose that I is an intertwining operator for $\hat{T}_{\tilde{\mu}}$. Since I commutes with all the operators $\hat{T}_{\tilde{\mu}}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ v & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1\right)$, I is given by an $\text{End}(C^{\dim \tilde{\mu}})$ -valued

function $a(x)$ on k^x . Since I commutes with all $\hat{T}_{\tilde{\mu}}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1\right)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(I\hat{T}_{\tilde{\mu}}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1\right)f\right)(t) &= \left(\hat{T}_{\tilde{\mu}}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1\right)If\right)(t) \\ &\Rightarrow a(t)|x|^{-1}\tilde{\mu}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1\right)f(x^{-2}t) \\ &= |x|^{-1}\tilde{\mu}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1\right)a(x^{-2}t)f(x^{-2}t) \\ &\Rightarrow a(x^{-2}t) = \tilde{\mu}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1\right)^{-1}a(t)\tilde{\mu}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since I commutes with $\hat{T}_{\tilde{\mu}}(w, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (I\hat{T}_{\tilde{\mu}}(w, 1)f)(t) &= (\hat{T}_{\tilde{\mu}}(w, 1)If)(t) \\ &\Rightarrow a(t) \int \int \tilde{\mu}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1\right) \chi\left(ux + \frac{t}{x}\right) f(u) du \frac{du}{|x|} \\ &= \int \int \tilde{\mu}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1\right) \chi\left(ux + \frac{t}{x}\right) a(u)f(u) du \frac{dx}{|x|} \\ &\Rightarrow J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u, v)a(u) = a(v)J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u, v) \quad \text{for all } u, v \in k^x, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u, v) = \int \tilde{\mu}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1\right) \chi\left(ux + \frac{v}{x}\right) \frac{dx}{|x|}.$$

1.5. We will now establish some results for later use. Any $\Pi \in \hat{k}^x$ has associated to it a p -adic gamma function $\Gamma(\Pi)$ and a p -adic Bessel function $J_{\Pi}(u, v)$ [7]. For $y \in k^x$, $\Gamma(y)$ will denote $\Gamma(\Pi)$, where $\Pi(x) = (y, x)$. If $y \in k^x$ and $\mu \in \hat{k}^x$, $J_y^{\mu}(u, v)$ will denote $J_{\Pi}(u, v)$, where $\Pi(x) = (y, x)\mu(x)$. If $\mu = 1$, we will simply write $J_y(u, v)$.

LEMMA 2. Let $U_s = (1/n) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} J_{g^k}(u\tau^m, v)$, where $u, v \in U$.

- (a) If $m = -1$, $U_0 = U_1 = -q^{-1}$, $U_2 = \cdots = U_{n-1} = 0$.
- (b) If $m = 0$, $U_0 = U_{n-1} = 1 - q^{-1}$, $U_2 = \cdots = U_{n-2} = 0$, $U_1 = -q^{-1}$.
- (c) If $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-3\}$, $U_1 = U_{n-m-1} = -q^{-1}$, $U_0 = U_{n-m} = U_{n-m+1} = \cdots = U_{n-1} = 1 - q^{-1}$, $U_2 = U_3 = \cdots = U_{n-m-2} = 0$.
- (d) If $m = n-2$, $U_1 = -2q^{-1}$, $U_0 = U_2 = U_3 = \cdots = U_{n-1} = 1 - q^{-1}$.
- (e) If $m = n-1$, $U_0 = U_1 = 1 - 2q^{-1}$, $U_2 = \cdots = U_{n-1} = 1 - q^{-1}$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1(u\tau^m, v) &+ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} J_{\varepsilon^k}(u\tau^m, v) \\
&= (m+1) - q^{-1}(m+3) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} [(\varepsilon^k, v)\Gamma(\varepsilon^{-k}) + (\varepsilon^{-k}, u\tau^m)\Gamma(\varepsilon^k)] \quad [4, \text{p. 69}], \\
&= (m+1) - q^{-1}(m+3) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} \left[\frac{1 - q^{-1}\zeta^k}{1 - \zeta^{-k}} + \zeta^{-mk} \frac{1 - q^{-1}\zeta^{-k}}{1 - \zeta^k} \right] \\
&= (m+1) - q^{-1}(m+3) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{\zeta^{ks}}{1 - \zeta^k} - q^{-1} \frac{\zeta^{k(s-1)}}{1 - \zeta^k} + \frac{\zeta^{k(-s-m)}}{1 - \zeta^k} - q^{-1} \frac{\zeta^{k(-s-m-1)}}{1 - \zeta^k} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\zeta^{kj}}{1 - \zeta^k} = \begin{cases} \frac{-(n-2j+1)}{2} & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } j = 0, \end{cases}$$

we obtain the result.

Recall that each $\Pi \in \hat{k}^x$ can be written $\Pi(x) = \Pi^*(x)|x|^\alpha$. If Π is ramified of degree $h \geq 1$, then $\Gamma(\Pi) = c_{\Pi^*} q^{h(\alpha-1/2)}$ [7]. Suppose $\mu(x) = (\varepsilon^i \tau^j, x)|x|^\alpha$ is a ramified character. Then

$$\Gamma(\mu) = \zeta^{-i} C(\tau^j) q^{\alpha-1/2},$$

where $C(\tau^j) = (\tau^j, \tau) c_{\mu^*}$.

LEMMA 3. Let $R_s = (1/n) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} J_{\varepsilon^k \tau^j}(u\tau^m, v)$, where $u, v \in U$ and $j \not\equiv O(n)$.

(a) If $s = 1$ and $m+2 \not\equiv O(n)$, $R_s = C(\tau^{-j}) q^{-1/2}$.

(b) If $s = 1$ and $m+2 \equiv O(n)$, $R_s = q^{-1/2} C(\tau^{-j}) + (\tau^{-j}, u\tau^m) C(\tau^j)$.

(c) If $s \neq 1$, then $R_s = 0$ unless $s+m+1 \equiv O(n)$, in which case it equals $(\tau^{-j}, u\tau^m) C(\tau^j) q^{-1/2}$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} J_{\varepsilon^k \tau^j}(u \tau^m, v) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} [(\varepsilon^k \tau^j, v) \Gamma(\varepsilon^{-k} \tau^{-j}) + (\varepsilon^{-k} \tau^{-j}, u \tau^m) \Gamma(\varepsilon^k \tau^j)] \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} [\zeta^k(\tau^j, v) C(\tau^{-j}) q^{-1/2} \\
&\quad + \zeta^{-km}(\tau^{-j}, u \tau^m) \zeta^{-k} C(\tau^j) q^{-1/2}] \\
&= (\tau^j, v) C(\tau^{-j}) q^{-1/2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-k(s-1)} \\
&\quad + (\tau^{-j}, u \tau^m) C(\tau^j) q^{-1/2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-k(s+m+1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

2.1. In Part 2 of this paper we assume that n is odd and $\mu = 1$. We will prove that $T_{\tilde{\mu}}$ is irreducible. The first step is to construct the matrix $J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u, v)$, for $u, v \in U$. If $x \in k^x$ and $\nu(x) \equiv s(n)$, for $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, then $\tilde{\mu}(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1)$ is a matrix with non-zero entries only in places (i, j) , where $i - j = -s$ or $i - j = n - s$. The (i, j) th entry is $(x, \tau)^{t(i+j-2)}$. We thus obtain

$$J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u, v) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \int \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} (\varepsilon^k, y) M_s(y) \chi \left(uy + \frac{v}{y} \right) \frac{dy}{|y|},$$

where $M_s(y)$ is the $n \times n$ matrix with $(y, \tau)^{t(i+j-2)}$ in place (i, j) , for $i - j = -s$ or $n - s$, and zeros elsewhere. Given i, j , and the corresponding s , we thus obtain in the (i, j) th place of $J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u, v)$ the term

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n} \int \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} (\varepsilon^k, x) (x, \tau)^{t(i+j-2)} \chi \left(ux + \frac{v}{x} \right) \frac{dx}{|x|} \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} J_{\varepsilon^k \tau^{t(2-i-j)}}(u, v) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} J_{\varepsilon^k \tau^{-t(2i+s-2)}}(u, v).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2 shows that for $2i + s - 2 \equiv O(n)$, $(1/n) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} J_{\varepsilon^k}(u, v)$ is non-zero only if $s = 0, 1$, or $n - 1$. The contributions to $J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u, v)$ in this case are thus $1 - q^{-1}$ in $(1, 1)$, $-q^{-1}$ in $((n+1)/2, (n+3)/2)$, and $-q^{-1}$ in $((n+3)/2, (n+1)/2)$. Lemma 3 shows that if $2i + s - 2 \not\equiv O(n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} J_{\varepsilon^k \tau^{-(2i+s-2)}}(u, v) \\ &= \begin{cases} \alpha_i = (\tau^{-t(2i-1)}, v) C(\tau^{t(2i-1)}) q^{-1/2} & \text{if } s = 1, i \neq \frac{n+1}{2}, \\ \beta_i = (\tau^{t(2i-3)}, u) C(\tau^{-t(2i-3)}) q^{-1/2} & \text{if } s = n-1, \\ & i \neq \frac{n+3}{2}, \\ 0 & \text{in all other cases.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We set $\alpha_{(n+1)/2} = \beta_{(n+3)/2} = -q^{-1}$. We have thus shown

LEMMA 4. For $u, v \in U$,

$$J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - q^{-1} & \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 & & \beta_1 \\ \beta_1 & 0 & \alpha_2 & 0 & & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_2 & 0 & \alpha_3 & & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_3 & 0 & & \\ & & & & 0 & \alpha_n - 1 \\ \alpha_n & & & & \beta_n & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with α_i and β_i given above.

2.2. In this section we begin the proof that if n is odd and $\mu = 1$, any intertwining operator of T_μ is scalar.

PROPOSITION 5. $a(1)$ is scalar.

Proof. Using the relations established in §1.4, we have that

$$a(\varepsilon^{-2k}) = \tilde{\mu} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon^k & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon^{-k} \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right)^{-1} a(1) \tilde{\mu} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon^k & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon^{-k} \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right)$$

and that

$$J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\varepsilon^{-2k}, 1) a(\varepsilon^{-2k}) = a(1) J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\varepsilon^{-2k}, 1).$$

Combining these equations, we see that $a(1)$ commutes with $J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\varepsilon^{-2k}, 1)\tilde{\mu}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon^k & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon^{-k} \end{pmatrix}, 1\right)^{-1}$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. $a(1)$ thus commutes with

$$M = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\varepsilon^{-2k}, 1)\tilde{\mu}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon^k & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon^{-k} \end{pmatrix}, 1\right)^{-1}.$$

Using the formulas for $J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\varepsilon^{-2k}, 1)$ we derived above, a calculation shows that the matrix M has only three non-zero entries. They are: $M_{11} = 1 - q^{-1}$, $M_{1n} = C(\tau^t)q^{-1/2}$, and $M_{n1} = C(\tau^{-t})q^{-1/2}$.

Writing $a(1) = (a_{ij})$, the equation $Ma(1) = a(1)M$ implies that for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, we have $a_{1i}a_{ni} = a_{in} = a_{i1} = 0$.

We now use the equation $a(1)J_{\tilde{\mu}}(1, 1) = J_{\tilde{\mu}}(1, 1)a(1)$. Notice that $\beta_i = \bar{\alpha}_{i-1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$ and that $\beta_1 = \bar{\alpha}_n$. Also, $\bar{\alpha}_i = \alpha_{n-i+1}$ and $\alpha_i\alpha_{n-i+1} = q^{-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Equating the first rows gives:

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & a_{11} = a_{22}, \\ (2) \quad & \bar{\alpha}_n a_{n1} = a_{1n} \alpha_n, \\ (3) \quad & a_{2j} = 0 \quad \text{for } 3 \leq j \leq n-2, \\ (4) \quad & \alpha_1 a_{2,n-1} = \bar{\alpha}_{n-1} a_{1n}, \\ (5) \quad & (1 - q^{-1})a_{1n} + \bar{\alpha}_n a_{nn} = a_{11} \bar{\alpha}_n. \end{aligned}$$

Equating the i th rows, for $2 \leq i \leq (n-3)/2$ gives:

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad & a_{ii} = a_{i+1,i+1}, \\ (7) \quad & \alpha_i a_{i+1,n-i} = a_{i,n-i} \bar{\alpha}_{n-i}, \\ (8) \quad & a_{i+1,j} = 0 \quad \text{for } j \neq i+1, n-i. \end{aligned}$$

An inductive step is necessary here.

Equating the $(n-1)/2$ st rows gives:

$$(9) \quad a_{(n+1)/2,j} = 0 \quad \text{for } j \neq \frac{n+1}{2}.$$

Equating the $(n+1)/2$ st rows gives:

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \bar{\alpha}_n a_{(n-1)/2,(n-1)/2} + \alpha_{(n+1)/2} a_{(n+3)/2,(n-1)/2} \\ & = a_{(n+1)/2,(n+1)/2} \bar{\alpha}_{(n-1)/2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \bar{\alpha}_{(n-1)/2} a_{(n-1)/2,(n+3)/2} + \alpha_{(n+1)/2} a_{(n+3)/2,(n+3)/2} \\ & = a_{(n+1)/2,(n+1)/2} \alpha_{(n+1)/2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(12) \quad a_{(n+3)/2,j} = 0 \quad \text{if } j \neq \frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n+3}{2}.$$

Now we start at the bottom row and proceed upwards. Equating the n th rows gives:

$$\begin{aligned} (13) \quad & a_{nn} = a_{n-1,n-1}, \\ (14) \quad & a_{n-1,j} = 0 \quad \text{for } j \neq 2, n-1, \\ (15) \quad & \bar{\alpha}_{n-1} a_{n-1,2} = a_{n1} \alpha_1. \end{aligned}$$

Equating the i th rows, for $n-1 \geq i \geq (n+5)/2$ gives:

$$\begin{aligned} (16) \quad & a_{i-1,j} = 0 \quad \text{for } j \neq i-1, n-i+2, \\ (17) \quad & \bar{\alpha}_{i-1} a_{i-1,n-i+2} = \alpha_{n-i+1} a_{i,n-i+1}, \\ (18) \quad & a_{ii} = a_{i-1,i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

An inductive step is also necessary here.

Using (1), (6), (13), and (18), we obtain

$$(19) \quad a_n = a_{22} = \cdots = a_{(n-1)/2,(n-1)/2} \quad \text{and} \\ a_{(n+3)/2,(n+3)/2} = \cdots = a_{nn}.$$

We also have

$$(20) \quad a_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{unless } j = i \text{ or } j = n - i + 1.$$

Using (15) and (17) gives

$$(21) \quad a_{n1} = a_{(n+3)/2,(n-1)/2} \bar{\alpha}_{(n+3)/2} (\alpha_1)^{-1}.$$

Using (14) and (17) gives

$$(22) \quad a_{1n} = a_{(n-1)/2,(n+3)/2} \alpha_1 (\bar{\alpha}_{(n+3)/2})^{-1}.$$

But (2) implies

$$\begin{aligned} (23) \quad a_{(n-1)/2,(n+3)/2} &= \frac{a_{1n} \bar{\alpha}_{(n+3)/2}}{\alpha_1} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{(n+3)/2} \bar{\alpha}_n}{\alpha_1 \alpha_n} a_{n1} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{(n+3)/2}}{\alpha_n} a_{n1} \\ &= \frac{(\bar{\alpha}_{(n+3)/2})^2}{\alpha_n \alpha_1} a_{(n+3)/2,(n-1)/2} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{(n+3)/2}}{\alpha_{(n+3)/2}} a_{(n+3)/2,(n-1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $a_{11} = a_{(n-1)/2,(n-1)/2}$, (10) implies that

$$(24) \quad a_{11} - a_{(n+1)/2,(n+1)/2} = -\frac{\alpha_{(n+1)/2}}{\bar{\alpha}_{(n-1)/2}} a_{(n+3)/2,(n-1)/2}.$$

Recalling that $a_{(n+3)/2,(n+3)/2} = a_{nn}$, (11) implies that

$$(25) \quad a_{(n+1)/2,(n+1)/2} - a_{nn} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{(n-1)/2}}{\alpha_{(n+1)/2}} a_{(n-1)/2,(n+3)/2}.$$

Adding (24) and (25) and employing (23), we get

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} a_{11} - a_{nn} &= \left[\frac{-\alpha_{(n+1)/2}}{\alpha_{(n-1)/2}} + \frac{\alpha_{(n-1)/2}\alpha_{(n+3)/2}}{\alpha_{(n+1)/2}\alpha_{(n+3)/2}} \right] a_{(n+3)/2,(n-1)/2} \\ &= \left[\frac{q^{-1}(-q^{-1}) + q^{-1}}{(-q^{-1})\alpha_{(n+3)/2}} \right] a_{(n+3)/2,(n-1)/2} \\ &= \frac{(q^{-1} - 1)}{\alpha_{(n+3)/2}} a_{(n+3)/2,(n-1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (5) we find that

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} a_{11} - a_{nn} &= (1 - q^{-1}) \frac{a_{1n}}{\bar{\alpha}_n} = \frac{(1 - q^{-1})}{\bar{\alpha}_n} \frac{\alpha_1}{\bar{\alpha}_{(n+3)/2}} a_{(n-1)/2,(n+3)/2} \\ &= \frac{1 - q^{-1}}{\alpha_{(n+3)/2}} a_{(n+3)/2,(n-1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (26) and (27), we see that $a_{(n+3)/2,(n-1)/2} = 0$; implying that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n1} &= a_{n-1,2} = \cdots = a_{(n+3)/2,(n-1)/2} \\ &= a_{(n-1)/2,(n+3)/2} = \cdots = a_{2,n-1} = a_{1n} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies also that $a_{11} = a_{nn}$. Recalling (19) and (20), we see that $a(1)$ is scalar.

REMARK. For small values of n , of course, the above proof is not precisely true, but the same method applies to these special cases.

2.3. In this section we complete the proof that $T_{\bar{\mu}}$ is irreducible. It suffices to show $a(x) = a(1)$ for all $x \in k^x$. Since $a(x^{-2}t) = \bar{\mu}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1\right)^{-1} a(t) \bar{\mu}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1\right)$, it suffices to show $a(\alpha) = a(1)$ for $\alpha \in \{\varepsilon, \tau^{-1}, \varepsilon\tau^{-1}\}$. If $J_{\bar{\mu}}(\alpha, 1)$ is invertible, then we have $a(\alpha) = J_{\bar{\mu}}(\alpha, 1)^{-1} a(1) J_{\bar{\mu}}(\alpha, 1) = a(1)$. We therefore proceed to calculate the determinants of the $J_{\bar{\mu}}(\alpha, 1)$.

in $(1,1)$ and $((n+1)/2, (n+3)/2)$. If $2i + s - 2 \neq O(n)$, Lemma 3 shows that the sum is non-zero only if $s = 0$ or 1 . If $s = 0$, it equals $\beta_i = (\tau^{t(2i-2)}, u)C(\tau^{t(2i-2)})q^{-1/2}$ for $i \neq 1$. If $s = 1$, it equals $\alpha_i = C(\tau^{t(2i-1)})q^{-1/2}$ for $i \neq (n+1)/2$. We set $\alpha_{(n+1)/2} = \beta_1 = -q^{-1}$. The α_i occur in places (i, j) , for $i - j = -1$ or $n - 1$, and the β_i occur in places (i, i) . We have thus shown:

LEMMA 7. For $u \in U$,

$$J_{\bar{\mu}}(u\tau^{-1}, 1) = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 & \alpha_1 & 0 & & & \\ 0 & \beta_2 & \alpha_2 & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_3 & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \beta_{n-1} & \alpha_{n-1} \\ \alpha_n & & & & 0 & \beta_n \end{bmatrix}$$

with α_i and β_i given above.

LEMMA 8. $\det J_{\bar{\mu}}(u\tau^{-1}, 1) = -2q^{(-n-1)/2} \neq 0$.

Proof. A calculation shows $\det J_{\bar{\mu}}(u\tau^{-1}, 1) = \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i + \prod_{i=1}^n \beta_i$. Substituting the values for α_i and β_i , we obtain the result.

Letting $u = 1$ and ε , we see that $a(\tau^{-1}) = a(\varepsilon\tau^{-1}) = a(1)$. This completes the proof of the first part of our main result.

THEOREM 1(a). If n is odd and $\mu = 1$, $T_{\bar{\mu}}$ is irreducible.

REMARK. Let $J_1(x, y)$ denote the Bessel function attached to the trivial character of the field. It seems likely that for $m \geq -1$ and $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ($2m + 4$), $\det J_{\bar{\mu}}(u\tau^m, 1) = q^{(-n+1)/2} J_1(u\tau^m, 1)$, where $u \in U$. Lemmas 6 and 8 show this to be true when $m = -1$ and 0 . Additional calculations show this is so for $m = 1$ and 2 and for some cases when $m = 3$ and 4 . The restriction on n is necessary, as the following results show.

(a) If $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ and $n > 3$,

$$\det J_{\bar{\mu}}(u\tau, 1) = 2q^{(-n-3)/2} [q^2 + 1 - 6q - q(z + \bar{z})],$$

where

$$z = \prod_{l=0}^{n-3/3} [C(\tau^{t(6l+1)})C(\tau^{-t(6l+2)})(\tau^{t(6l+2)}, u)];$$

(b) If $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, $\det J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u\tau, 1) = -2q^{(-n-1)/2}$.

(c) If $n \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, $\det J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u\tau^2, 1) = q^{(-n+1)/2}(4 - 12q^{-1} + 7q^{-2} - q^{-3})$.

3.1. In Part 3 of this paper we assume that n is even and that $\mu(x) = (\alpha, x)$ for $\alpha \in \{1, \varepsilon, \tau^t, \varepsilon\tau^t\}$. We will show $T_{\tilde{\mu}}$ is irreducible for each μ . Since n is even, we write, letting $m = n/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u, v) &= \int \tilde{\mu} \left(\begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & y^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right) \chi \left(uy + \frac{v}{y} \right) \frac{dy}{|y|} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^1 \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} \int_{A_{r,s}} \tilde{\mu} \left(\begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & y^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right) \chi \left(uy + \frac{v}{y} \right) \frac{dy}{|y|}, \end{aligned}$$

where $A_{r,s} = \{y \in k^x \mid \nu(y) \equiv mr + s(n)\}$.

For $y \in A_{r,s}$, $\tilde{\mu}(\begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & y^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1)$ has non-zero entries only in places (i, j) , where $i-j = -s$ if $1 \leq i \leq m-s$, and $i-j = m-s$ if $m-s+1 \leq i \leq m$. The (i, j) th entry of $\tilde{\mu}(\begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & y^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1)$ is

$$\tilde{\mu}^1 \left(\begin{pmatrix} \tau^{i-j}y & 0 \\ 0 & \tau^{j-i}y^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, (y, \tau)^{i+j-2}(\tau, \tau)^{j(1-i)} \right).$$

Using the formula for $\tilde{\mu}^1$ in §1.2, we obtain the following result.

LEMMA 9. *Given $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, choose the unique $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$ for which $i-j = -s$ or $i-j = m-s$. Then the (i, j) th entry of $J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u, v)$ is*

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=0}^1 a(i, r, s) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-k(mr+s)} J_{\varepsilon^k \tau^{-i}}^{\mu}(2i+s-2+mr)(u, v),$$

where for $1 \leq i \leq m-s$, $a(i, r, s) = \mu(\tau^{-s})\theta((\tau, \tau))^{s(1-i)+rm(s+1)}$, and for $m-s+1 \leq i \leq m$, $a(i, r, s) = \mu(\tau^{m-s})\theta((\tau, \tau))^{s(1-i)+m(i+1+rs)}$.

3.2. In this section we assume first that $n/2$ is even. In this case, we may take the value of α to be one in the definition of μ^1 . We also take $\mu \equiv 1$. Consider the sum

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-k(mr+s)} J_{\varepsilon^k \tau^{-(2i+s-2+mr)}}(u, v), \quad \text{for } u, v \in U.$$

If $r = 0$ and $2i + s - 2 \equiv O(n)$, Lemma 2 shows that the sum is non-zero only if $s = 0$ or 1 , when it equals $1 - q^{-1}$ and $-q^{-1}$ respectively. If $r = 1$ and $2i + s - 2 + m \equiv O(n)$, the sum is non-zero only if $s = m - 1$, when it equals $-q^{-1}$. The only contribution to $J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u, v)$ in this case is $1 - q^{-1}$ in $(1, 1)$, since $2i + s - 2 \equiv O(n)$ cannot be solved for i if $s = 1$, and $2i + s - 2 + m \equiv O(n)$ cannot be solved for i if $s = m - 1$. If $r = 0$ and $2i + s - 2 \not\equiv O(n)$, Lemma 3 shows that the sum is non-zero only if $s = 1$, in which case it equals $a_i = (\tau^{-t(2i-1)}, v)C(\tau^{t(2i-1)})q^{-1/2}$. If $r = 1$ and $2i + s - 2 + m \not\equiv O(n)$, the sum is non-zero only if $s = m - 1$, when it equals $b_i = (\tau^{t(2i-3)}, u)C(\tau^{-t(2i-3)})q^{-1/2}$. We have thus shown:

LEMMA 10. For $\mu = 1$ and $u, v \in U$,

$$J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - q^{-1} & \alpha_1 & 0 & & & \beta_1 \\ & \beta_2 & 0 & \alpha_2 & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & 0 & \alpha_{m-1} \\ \alpha_m & & & & \beta_m & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\alpha_i = (\tau, \tau)^{i-1}a_i$, and $\beta_i = (\tau, \tau)^{i-1}b_i$.

Letting $a(x)$ denote the function on k^x determined by an intertwining operator of $T_{\tilde{\mu}}$, we have:

PROPOSITION 11. $a(1)$ is scalar.

Proof. As in the case of n odd, $a(1)$ commutes with

$$N = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\varepsilon^{-2k}, 1)\tilde{\mu} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon^k & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon^{-k} \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right)^{-1}.$$

The only non-zero entries of N are

$$N_{11} = 1 - q^{-1}, \quad N_{1m} = C(\tau^t)q^{-1/2}(\tau, \tau)^m,$$

and

$$N_{m1} = C(\tau^{-t})q^{-1/2}(\tau, \tau).$$

This condition implies that for $2 \leq i \leq m - 1$, we have $a_{1i} = a_{i1} = a_{mi} = a_{im}$.

We next use the relation $a(1)J_{\bar{\mu}}(1, 1) = J_{\bar{\mu}}(1, 1)a(1)$. Equating the first rows gives:

$$(28) \quad a_{11} = a_{22},$$

$$(29) \quad a_{2j} = 0 \quad \text{for } 3 \leq j \leq m-2,$$

$$(30) \quad a_{1m}\beta_m = \alpha_1 a_{2,m-1},$$

$$(31) \quad a_{11}\beta_1 = (1 - q^{-1})a_{1m} + \beta_1 a_{mm}.$$

Equating the second rows gives

$$(32) \quad a_{22} = a_{33},$$

$$(33) \quad a_{3j} = 0 \quad \text{for } j \neq 3, m-2,$$

$$(34) \quad a_{2,m-1}\beta_{m-1} = \alpha_2 a_{3,m-2}.$$

Equating the i th rows for $3 \leq i \leq n/4 - 1$ and using an inductive step gives:

$$(35) \quad a_{ii} = a_{i+1,i+1},$$

$$(36) \quad a_{i,m-i+1}\beta_{m-i+1} = \alpha_i a_{i+1,m-i},$$

$$(37) \quad a_{i+1,j} = 0 \quad \text{for } j \neq i+1, m-i.$$

Equating the $n/4$ th rows gives:

$$(38) \quad a_{n/4,(n/4)+1}\beta_{(n/4)+1} = \alpha_{n/4} a_{(n/4)+1,n/4},$$

$$(39) \quad a_{n/4,n/4} = a_{(n/4)+1,(n/4)+1},$$

$$(40) \quad a_{(n/4)+1,j} = 0 \quad \text{for } j \neq \frac{n}{4}, \frac{n}{4} + 1.$$

Equating the i th rows for $n/4 + 1 \leq i \leq m-2$ and using an inductive step also gives (35), (36), and (37) for these values of i .

Equating the $(m-1)$ st rows gives

$$(41) \quad a_{m-1,2}\beta_2 = \alpha_{m-1} a_{m1}$$

$$(42) \quad a_{m-1,m-1} = a_{mm}.$$

We now have $a_{11} = a_{22} = \dots = a_{mm}$. By (31), $a_{1m} = 0$. Using (30), (34), (36), (38), and (41), we see that each of the elements $a_{2,m-1}, a_{3,m-2}, \dots, a_{m1}$ is a non-zero constant times a_{1m} and is thus zero. We conclude that $a(1)$ is scalar.

We now proceed to show $a(x) = a(1)$ for each $x \in k^x$. As in the case of n odd, we will calculate $\det J_{\bar{\mu}}(\alpha, 1)$, for $\alpha \in \{\varepsilon, \tau^{-1}, \varepsilon\tau^{-1}\}$.

If $r = 0$ and $2i + s - 3 \equiv O(m)$, Lemma 2 shows that the sum is non-zero only if $s = 0$, when it equals $1 - q^{-1}$, and if $s = 1$, when it equals $-q^{-1}$. If $r = 1$ and $2i + s - 3 + m \equiv O(n)$, the sum is non-zero only if $s = m - 1$, when it equals $-q^{-1}$. If $r = s = 0$, there is no contribution to $J_{\bar{\mu}}(u, 1)$, since $2i - 3 \equiv O(n)$ is not solvable. The only contributions in these cases are therefore $r = 0, s = 1$, which gives $-q^{-1}$ in (1, 2), and $r = 1, s = m - 1$, which gives $-q^{-1}$ in (2, 1). For the cases when $2i + s - 3 + mr \equiv O(n)$, the sum is non-zero only when $r = 0, s = 1$, in which case it equals $a_i = C(\tau^{t(2i-2)})q^{-1/2}$, for $i \neq 1$; or when $r = 1, s = m - 1$, in which case it equals $b_i = (\tau^{t(2i-4)}, u)C(\tau^{-t(2i-4)})q^{-1/2}$, for $i \neq 2$. We have thus shown:

LEMMA 14. For $u \in U$,

$$J_{\bar{\mu}}(u, 1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha_1 & 0 & & & & \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 & 0 & \alpha_2 & & & & \\ 0 & \beta_3 & 0 & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ \alpha_m & & & & 0 & \alpha_{m-1} & \\ & & & & \beta_m & 0 & \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\alpha_1 = -(\tau, \tau)q^{-1}$, $\alpha_i = (\tau, \tau)^i a_i$ for $i \neq 1$, $\beta_2 = -q^{-1}$, and $\beta_i = (\tau, \tau)^i b_i$ for $i \neq 2$.

Similar calculations yield the following two lemmas:

LEMMA 15. For $u \in U$,

$$J_{\bar{u}}(u^{-2}\tau^2, 1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha_1 & 0 & \beta_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 - q^{-1} & 0 & \alpha_2 & & \beta_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & & & \beta_3 \\ \beta_4 & 0 & 0 & & & \\ 0 & \beta_5 & 0 & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_6 & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ \alpha_m & & & & & \alpha_{m-1} \\ & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\alpha_1 = \beta_3 = -(\tau, \tau)q^{-1}$, $\alpha_i = (\tau, \tau)^i C(\tau^{t(2i-2)})q^{-1/2}$ for $i > 1$, and $\beta_i = (\tau, \tau)^i (\tau^{t(2i-6)}, u^{-2})C(\tau^{-t(2i-6)})q^{-1/2}$ for $i \neq 3$.

LEMMA 16. For $u \in U$,

$$J_{\tilde{u}}(u^{-2}\tau^6, 1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha_1 & 0 & \beta_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma & 0 & \alpha_2 & & \beta_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & \beta_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma & 0 \\ & & & & & & \beta_4 & 0 & \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & & & \beta_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & \beta_6 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & & \beta_7 & 0 \\ = & \beta_8 & & & & & & & & & \alpha_{m-1} \\ & & \cdot & & & & & & & & \\ & & & \cdot & & & & & & & \\ & & & & \beta_m & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\gamma = 1 - q^{-1}$, $\alpha_1 = \beta_5 = -(\tau, \tau)q^{-1}$, $\alpha_i = C(\tau^{t(2i-2)})q^{-1/2}$ if $i > 1$, and $\beta_i = (\tau^{t(2i-10)}, u^{-2})C(\tau^{-t(2i-10)})q^{-1/2}$ if $i \neq 5$.

PROPOSITION 17. $a(1)$ is scalar.

Proof. Using Lemma 15, a calculation shows that

$$A_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\varepsilon^{-2k}\tau^2, 1)\tilde{\mu} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon^k\tau^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon^{-k}\tau \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right)^{-1}$$

is a matrix with all zero entries except for places $(2,2)$, $(2, m)$, and $(m, 2)$, which are occupied by distinct non-zero constants. The relation $a(1) A_1 = A_1 a(1)$ implies that for $i \neq 2, m$, we have $a_{i2} = a_{im} = a_{2i} = a_{mi} = 0$.

Using Lemma 16, we see that

$$A_2 = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\varepsilon^{-2k}\tau^6, 1)\mu \left(\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon^k\tau^{-3} & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon^{-k}\tau^3 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right)^{-1}$$

is a matrix with all zero entries except for places $(3, 3)$, $(3, m - 1)$, and $(m - 1, 3)$, which are occupied by distinct non-zero constants.

The relation $a(1) A_2 = A_2 a(1)$ implies that for $i \neq 3, m-1$, we have $a_{3i} = a_{i3} = a_{m-1,i} = a_{i,m-1} = 0$.

Now we use the relation $a(1) J_{\bar{\mu}}(1, 1) = J_{\bar{\mu}}(1, 1)a(1)$. Equating the first rows gives:

$$(43) \quad a_{11}\alpha_1 = \alpha_1 a_{22} + \beta_1 a_{m2},$$

$$(44) \quad a_{1j} = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 4, 5, \dots, m-2,$$

$$(45) \quad a_{11}\beta_1 = \beta_1 a_{mm} + \alpha_1 a_{2m}.$$

Equating the second rows gives:

$$(46) \quad a_{11} = a_{22} = a_{33},$$

$$(47) \quad a_{2m} = 0.$$

Note that (46) and (43) imply that $a_{m2} = 0$. Equating the i th rows, for $3 \leq i \leq m-2$, gives:

$$(48) \quad a_{i+1,j} = 0 \quad \text{for } j \neq i+1,$$

$$(49) \quad a_{ii} = a_{i+1,i+1}.$$

Equating the $(m-1)$ st rows gives:

$$(50) \quad a_{m-1,m-1} = a_{mm},$$

$$(51) \quad a_{m-1,3} = 0.$$

Employing all these identities yields the result that $a(1)$ is scalar.

The next step is to prove that $J_{\bar{\mu}}(\alpha, 1)$ is invertible for $\alpha \in \{\varepsilon, \tau^{-1}, \varepsilon\tau^{-1}\}$.

LEMMA 18. $\det J_{\bar{\mu}}(\varepsilon, 1) \neq 0$.

Proof. Lemma 14 gives the form of $J_{\bar{\mu}}(\varepsilon, 1)$. A calculation shows that

$$\det J_{\bar{\mu}}(\varepsilon, 1) = (-1)^{m/2} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{m/2} \alpha_{2i-1} \beta_{2i} + \prod_{i=1}^{m/2} \beta_{2i-1} \alpha_{2i} \right] - \prod_{i=1}^m \alpha_i - \prod_{i=1}^m \beta_i.$$

Using the values of α_i and β_i from Lemma 14, we obtain $\det J_{\bar{\mu}}(\varepsilon, 1) = (\tau, \tau)^{m/2} q^{-m/2} [1 - q^{-1}] \neq 0$.

LEMMA 19. $\det J_{\bar{\mu}}(u\tau^{-1}, 1) \neq 0$ for $u \in U$.

Proof. The usual calculations show that

$$J_{\bar{\mu}}(u\tau^{-1}, 1) = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 & \alpha_1 & 0 & & & \\ 0 & \beta_2 & \alpha_2 & & & \\ & & & \cdot & & \\ & & & & \cdot & \\ & & & & & \cdot \\ & & & & & & \alpha_{m-1} \\ \alpha_m & & & & & & \beta_m \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where}$$

$\alpha_1 = -(\tau, \tau)q^{-1}$, $\alpha_i = (\tau, \tau)^i C(\tau^{t(2i-2)})q^{-1/2}$ for $i > 1$, and $\beta_i = (\tau, \tau)(\tau^{t(2i-3)}, u)C(\tau^{-t(2i-3)})q^{-1/2}$. We therefore have

$$\det J_{\bar{\mu}}(u\tau^{-1}, 1) = \prod_{i=1}^m \alpha_i + \prod_{i=1}^m \beta_i = q^{-m/2}(\tau, \tau)^{m/2}[1 - q^{-1/2}] \neq 0.$$

Letting $u = 1$ and ε , we see that $a(\tau^{-1}) = a(\varepsilon\tau^{-1}) = a(1)$. This completes the proof that $T_{\bar{\mu}}$ is irreducible if $\mu(x) = (\tau^t, x)$ and $n/2$ is even.

Assume now that $\mu(x) = (\varepsilon\tau^t, x)$ and $n/2$ is even. The proof that $T_{\bar{\mu}}$ is irreducible is virtually identical to the case when $\mu(x) = (\tau^t, x)$, so we omit the details.

3.4. In §§3.2 and 3.3, the proofs are not precisely as given if n is small, but the same methods apply and the results still hold, so we omit the details.

As for the cases when n is even and $n/2$ is odd, there is nothing new here. If $\mu(x) = (\alpha, x)$, where $\alpha = 1$ or ε , the proof is generally the same as for n odd, $\mu = 1$. If $\mu(x) = (\alpha, x)$, where $\alpha = \tau^t$ or $\varepsilon\tau^t$, we proceed as we did for n divisible by four.

Combining these remarks with the results of §§3.2 and 3.3, we obtain:

THEOREM 1(b). *If n is even and $\mu(x) = (\alpha, x)$ for $\alpha \in \{1, \varepsilon, \tau^t, \varepsilon\tau^t\}$, $T_{\bar{\mu}}$ is irreducible.*

REFERENCES

[1] F. Bruhat, *Distributions sur un groupe localement compact et applications à l'étude des représentations des groupes p-adiques*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **89** (1961), 43-75.
 [2] S. Gelbart and P. J. Sally, *Intertwining operators and automorphic forms for the metaplectic group*, P.N.A.S., U.S.A., **72** (1975), 1406-1410.

- [3] D. A. Kazhdan and S. J. Patterson, *Metaplectic forms*, Publ. Math. IHES, **59** (1984), 35–142.
- [4] T. Kubota, *Automorphic forms and the reciprocity law in a number field*, Kyoto University, 1969.
- [5] ———, *Some results concerning reciprocity and functional analysis*, Actes du Congr. Int. Math a Nice, 1970, t.I, 395–399.
- [6] C. Moen, *Intertwining operators for covering groups of $SL(2)$ over a local field*, preprint.
- [7] M. Taibleson, *Fourier analysis on local fields*, Princeton University Press, 1974.

Received February 12, 1987..

US NAVAL ACADEMY
ANNAPOLIS, MD 21402