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#### Abstract

In this paper, we note that the incidence cycles of a seminormal curve $X$ intervene in the calculation of the arithmetic genus $p_{a}(X)$, of the algebraic fundamental group $\pi_{1}^{\text {alg }}(X)$ and of the Picard group $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ of $X$. Really we do not consider only seminormal curves, but more generally varieties obtained from a smooth variety by glueing a finite set of points.


0. Introduction. By a curve we mean a dimension 1 quasi-projective scheme over an algebraically closed field $k$.

Let $X$ be a connected reduced seminormal curve (see $[\mathbf{T}],[\mathbf{P}]$ and [D] for the definition and the geometric meaning of seminormality).

Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be the irreducible components of $X$; the normalization $\bar{X}$ of $X$ is isomorphic to the disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n} \bar{X}_{i}$ of the normalizations $\bar{X}_{i}$ of the curves $X_{i}$. Let $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ denote the normalization morphism.

Let $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}$ be the singular points of $X$ and let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{M}$ be the branches of $X \quad(x \in \bar{X}$ is a branch of $X$ over a singular point $P$ of $X$ if $x \in \pi^{-1}(P)$ ).

We define $\nu(X)=M-m-n+1$. In $[\mathbf{R}]$ one can find a geometric characterization of the number $\nu(X)$ in terms of the incidence cycles of $X$. One associates to the curve $X$ the graph $\Gamma$ whose vertices are $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ and whose edges represent the $M$ branches of $X$ in this way: if $x_{r}$ is a branch over $P_{i}$ and $x_{r} \in \bar{X}_{j}$, an edge joining $P_{i}$ and $X_{j}$ is constructed. Any cycle of the graph $\Gamma$ associated to $X$ is said to be an incidence cycle of $X$.

In $[\mathbf{R}]$ it is proved that the graph $\Gamma$ associated to $X$ is connected, the number of the independent cycles of $\Gamma$ is $\nu(X)$ and $\Gamma$ contains cycles if and only if $X$ satisfies one of the following conditions:
(a) an irreducible component of $X$ is not locally unibranch,
(b) two irreducible components of $X$ meet in more than one point,
(c) $X$ contains polygons.

In the present paper we'll consider more generally a class of varieties $X$ of dimension $r \geq 1$ and we'll see that the number $\nu(X)$
intervenes in the calculus of the arithmetic genus $p_{a}(X)$, of the algebraic fundamental group $\pi_{1}^{\text {alg }}(X)$ and of the Picard group $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ of $X$.

By a variety we mean a reduced quasi-projective scheme over an algebraically closed field $k$.

Now we recall the definition of glueing of varieties and of $k$ algebras.

Definition 0.1. Let $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ be two varieties, let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{M}$ be closed points of $X^{\prime}$ and let $P$ be a closed point of $X$. We say that $X$ is obtained from $X^{\prime}$ by glueing $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{M}$ over $P$ if there exists a morphism $f: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$, called a glueing morphism, making cocartesian the following square:

where $k_{i}$ is the residue field of $x_{i}$, the residue fields of $x_{i}$ and $P$ are isomorphic to $k, \delta$ is induced by the diagonal morphism, $i$ and $j$ are the canonical injections.

Algebraically Definition 0.1 is equivalent to the following
Definition 0.2 (see [T] §1 and [P] §1). Let $A$ and $B$ be two finitely generated $k$-algebras, with $k$ an algebraically closed field, let $\mathfrak{m}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{m}_{M}$ be maximal ideals of $B$ and let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a maximal ideal of $A$. We say that $A$ is obtained from $B$ by glueing the maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{m}_{M}$ over $\mathfrak{m}$ if $A$ is the fibered product of $B$ and $k$ over $k_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus k_{M}$, i.e. if we have the following cartesian square:

where $\alpha$ is the canonical projection $A \rightarrow A / \mathfrak{m} \cong k, \beta$ is the canonical projection $B \rightarrow B / \mathfrak{m}_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus B / \mathfrak{m}_{M}=k_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus k_{M}, k_{i} \cong k$ and $\delta$ is the diagonal morphism.

We recall that a seminormal curve $X$ is obtained from the normalization $\bar{X}$ by a finite number of glueing morphisms (see [T], Theorem 2.1).

Note that Mestrano in [Me] used Severi curves, which are curves obtained from a finite (disjoint) union of projective lines by a finite number of glueing morphisms, to study the Picard group of the rational points of the Picard scheme of $C_{g}$, where $C_{g}$ is the universal curve over the function field of the coarse moduli space $M_{g}$ of the curves of genus $g$.

In what follows $X$ denotes a connected variety of pure dimension $r$ whose singular locus $\operatorname{Sing}(X)$ consists of a finite set of points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}$, such that the normalisation $\bar{X}$ of $X$ is a smooth variety having $n$ connected components, every one of them of dimension $r, \bar{X}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{X}_{n}$ and the normalisation morphism $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ is the composition of a finite number of glueing morphisms satisfying the conditions of Definition 0.1. Let $M$ be the number of points of $\pi^{-1}(\operatorname{Sing}(X))$; we define $\nu(X)=M-m-n+1$.

We'll prove the following results:

Theorem 0.3. If $X$ is projective, we have

$$
p_{a}(X)=p_{a}\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)+\cdots+p_{a}\left(\bar{X}_{n}\right)+(-1)^{r-1} \nu(X) .
$$

Theorem 0.4. We have

$$
\pi_{1}^{\mathrm{alg}}(X) \cong\left(\pi_{1}^{\mathrm{alg}}\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right) * \cdots * \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{alg}}\left(\bar{X}_{n}\right) * L_{\nu(X)}\right)^{\wedge}
$$

where $L_{\nu}$ denotes the free group with $\nu$ generators, $*$ denotes the free product of groups and $\wedge$ denotes the completion of the group.

Theorem 0.5. We have $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \cong \operatorname{Pic}\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \operatorname{Pic}\left(\bar{X}_{n}\right) \oplus$ $\nu(X) k^{*}$, where $k^{*}$ is the multiplicative group $k-\{0\}$ and $\nu k^{*}$ denotes the direct sum of $\nu$ copies of $k^{*}$.

Theorem 0.3 is an easy calculation.
Theorem 0.4 was obtained by Vistoli in [V] for $X$ irreducible or having a unique singular point. He proved his result by obtaining any étale covering of $X$ from an étale covering of the normalization $\bar{X}$ by glueing the fibres of the branches of $X$.

By generalizing Vistoli's constructions described in [V], one can prove that any étale covering of $X$ is obtained from the étale coverings of $\bar{X}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{X}_{n}$ by a finite number of glueing morphisms.

But in a shorter way we'll prove Theorem 0.4 by induction on $n$ and by using Vistoli's results on varieties having only one singular point.

Theorem 0.5 generalizes a result of Roberts contained in [Ro1] and in [Ro2]; by using the Mayer-Vietoris sequences, Roberts calculated the Picard group of an affine curve $X=\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ having the irreducible components $X_{i}$ rational, i.e. $\bar{X}_{i}=\operatorname{Spec}(k[t])$.

In order to calculate the $\operatorname{Picard} \operatorname{group} \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ of $X$, we construct the line bundles of $X$ by glueing line bundles of $\bar{X}$, by using a similar method as the one employed in [Mi] to construct the projective modules over a ring $A$ satisfying the conditions of Definition 0.2.

1. The arithmetic genus. The arithmetic genus of a projective variety $X$ of dimension $r$ is the number $p_{a}(X)=(-1)^{r}\left(\chi\left(O_{X}\right)-1\right)$, where $\chi\left(O_{X}\right)$ is the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of $O_{X}$.
1.1. Proof of Theorem 0.3 . There is the following exact sequence of sheaves on $X: 0 \rightarrow O_{X} \rightarrow \pi_{*} O_{\bar{X}} \rightarrow \sum_{P \in X} \bar{O}_{X, P} / O_{X, P} \rightarrow 0$, where $\bar{O}_{X, P}$ is the integral closure of $O_{X, P}$. Since $O_{X, P}$ is obtained from $\bar{O}_{X, P}$ by glueing a finite number of maximal ideals, we have length $\left(\bar{O}_{X, P} / O_{X, P}\right)=M_{P}-1$, where $M_{P}$ is the number of points $x$ of $X$ lying over $P\left(x \in \pi^{-1}(P)\right)$. Since the morphism $\pi$ is affine, then $\chi\left(\pi_{*} O_{\bar{X}}\right)=\chi\left(O_{\bar{X}}\right)$ and therefore $\chi\left(O_{X}\right)=\chi\left(O_{\bar{X}}\right)-\sum_{P \in X}\left(M_{P}^{-1}\right)$.

Let us suppose $r$ odd.
We prove first that $p_{a}(\bar{X})=p_{a}\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)+\cdots+p_{a}\left(\bar{X}_{n}\right)-n+1$. We proceed by induction on $n$. For $n=1$ it is true. Now we suppose that the statement is true for $n-1$ and we consider $Y=\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \bar{X}_{i}$; then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{a}(\bar{X}) & =1-\chi\left(O_{\bar{X}}\right)=1-\chi\left(O_{\bar{X}_{n}}\right)-\chi\left(O_{Y}\right)=p_{a}\left(\bar{X}_{n}\right)+p_{a}(Y)-1 \\
& =p_{a}\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)+\cdots+p_{a}\left(\bar{X}_{n}\right)-(n-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{a}(X) & =1-\chi\left(O_{X}\right)=1-\chi\left(O_{\bar{X}}\right)+\sum_{P \in X}\left(M_{P}-1\right)=p_{a}(\bar{X})+M-m \\
& =p_{a}\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)+\cdots+p_{a}\left(\bar{X}_{n}\right)+\nu(X) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $r$ is even, the calculation is similar.
2. The algebraic fundamental group. If $X$ is connected, there exists a profinite topological group $G$ such that the category $\operatorname{Et}(X)$ of the étale coverings of $X$ is equivalent to the category $\operatorname{Ac}(G)$ of the finite sets on which $G$ acts continuously. $G$ is unique up to unique isomorphism; it is denoted $\pi_{1}^{\mathrm{alg}}(X)$ and it is defined the algebraic fundamental group of $X$.

Vistoli proved in [V] the following propositions:

Proposition 2.1 (see [V], Teorema II.12). Let $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ be connected varieties and let $f: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ be a composition of a finite number of glueing morphisms; if $x \in X$, let $p(x)$ denote the cardinality of the fibre $f^{-1}(x)$.

We have $\pi_{1}^{\mathrm{alg}}(X)=\left(\pi_{1}^{\mathrm{alg}}\left(X^{\prime}\right) * L_{p}\right)^{\wedge}$, where $p=\sum_{x \in X}(p(x)-1)$.
Proposition 2.2 (see [V] Corollario II.11). Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be disjoint connected varieties, let $x_{1} \in X_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in X_{n}$ be $n$ closed points. Let $X$ denote the variety obtained by glueing the points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$.

Then we have $\pi_{1}^{\mathrm{alg}}(X)=\left(\pi_{1}^{\mathrm{alg}}\left(X_{1}\right) * \cdots * \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{alg}}\left(X_{n}\right)\right)^{\wedge}$.
2.3. Proof of Theorem 0.4 . We proceed by induction on the number $n$ of the irreducible components of $X$. If $n=1$, the claim follows from Proposition 2.1.

Now we suppose that the theorem is true for $n-1$.
Let $X^{\prime}$ be the variety $\pi\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \bar{X}_{i}\right)$; we can suppose that $X^{\prime}$ is connected.

Furthermore we can suppose $P_{1} \in X^{\prime} \cap X_{n}$, so there exist a point $a \in X^{\prime}$ and a point $b \in X_{n}$ such that $\pi(a)=\pi(b)=P_{1}$. Let $X^{\prime \prime}$ denote the variety obtained from $X^{\prime} \sqcup X_{n}$ by glueing $a$ and $b$ over $P_{1}$.

The variety $X$ can be obtained from $X^{\prime \prime}$ by a finite number of glueing morphisms.

Then we can factor the morphism $\pi$ as:

$$
\pi: \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n} \bar{X}_{i} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{1}} X^{\prime} \sqcup \bar{X}_{n} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{2}} X^{\prime} \sqcup X_{n} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{3}} X^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{4}} X .
$$

From the inductive hypothesis we have

$$
\pi_{1}^{\mathrm{alg}}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=\left(\pi_{1}^{\mathrm{alg}}\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right) * \cdots * \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{alg}}\left(\bar{X}_{n-1}\right) * L_{\nu\left(X^{\prime}\right)}\right)^{\wedge}
$$

From Proposition 2.2 we have $\pi_{1}^{\text {alg }}\left(X^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(\pi_{1}^{\text {alg }}\left(X^{\prime}\right) * \pi_{1}^{\text {alg }}\left(X_{n}\right)\right)^{\wedge}$ and from Proposition $2.1 \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{alg}}(X)=\left(\pi_{1}^{\mathrm{alg}}\left(X^{\prime \prime}\right) * L_{p}\right)^{\wedge}$, where $p=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{m} p\left(P_{i}\right)-m$ and $p\left(P_{i}\right)$ denotes the cardinality of the fibre $\varphi_{4}^{-1}\left(P_{i}\right)$.

We must prove $\nu(X)=\nu\left(X^{\prime}\right)+\nu\left(X_{n}\right)+p$.
If $\bar{Y}$ is a union of connected components of $\bar{X}$ and $Y=\pi(\bar{Y})$, we denote by $m_{Y}$ and $M_{Y}$ the number of the singular points of $Y$ and the number of the points of $\bar{Y}$ lying over the singular points of $Y$ respectively. We note that $\nu\left(X^{\prime}\right)=M_{X^{\prime}}-m_{X^{\prime}}-n+2$ and $\nu\left(X_{n}\right)=M_{X_{n}}-m_{X_{n}}$.

Let us consider the last morphism $\varphi_{4}$; we find

$$
M=M_{X^{\prime \prime}}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} p\left(P_{i}\right)-m_{X^{\prime \prime}}
$$

Moreover the glueing morphism $\varphi_{3}$ gives the equalities $M_{X^{\prime \prime}}=$ $M_{X^{\prime}}+M_{X_{n}}+2$ and $m_{X^{\prime \prime}}=m_{X^{\prime}}+m_{X_{n}}+1$.

So, after easy calculations, we can conclude.
3. Line bundles obtained by glueing. We begin with a lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let $X$ be a (connected) quasi-projective variety and let $F$ be a locally free sheaf on $X$ of rank $r$. If $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{M}$ are $M$ closed points of $X$, then there exists an affine open $U$ of $X$ containing $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{M}$ such that the $O_{X}(U)$-module $F(U)$ is free of rank $r$.

Proof. For any (standard) affine open $V=\operatorname{Spec} A$ of $X$ we have that the sheaf $F_{\mid V}$ is isomorphic to the sheaf $\widetilde{N}$ associated to the $A$-module $N=F(V)$ (see [H], Chapter II, §5).
$N$ is a projective $A$-module of rank $r$ (see [Bo], Chapter II, $\S 5$, Theorem 1).

Let us choose $V$ containing the points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{M} ;$ let $\mathfrak{m}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{m}_{M}$ be the maximal ideals of $A$ corresponding to the points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{M}$ respectively.

If $S=\bigcap_{i=1}^{M}\left(A-\mathfrak{m}_{i}\right)=A-\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{M} \mathfrak{m}_{i}\right)$, the ring $A_{S}$ is semi-local, then the $A_{S}$-module $N_{S}=N \otimes_{A} A_{S}$ is free of rank $r$ (see [Bo], Chapter II, $\S 5$, Proposition 5) and there exists $f \in S$ such that $N_{f}$ is a free $A_{f}$-module (see [Bo], Chapter II, $\S 2$, Corollary 2 and the proof of the Proposition 2 of Chapter II, $\S 5$ ). We take $U=\operatorname{Spec} A_{f}$.

Let $X$ be a connected variety obtained from a variety $X^{\prime}$ by glueing the points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{M}$ of $X^{\prime}$ over a point $P$ of $X$. The glueing morphism $f: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ induces a group homomorphism $f^{*}: \operatorname{Pic}(X) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Pic}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$. We want to see how a line bundle on $X$ originates from a line bundle on $X^{\prime}$.

In what follows we confuse a line bundle $L$ on $X$ with the locally free sheaf of rank 1 associated to it, but we denote by $L_{x}$ the fibre of the line bundle $L$ at the point $x \in X \quad\left(L_{x} \cong k\right)$ and by $L_{\mathrm{m}}$ the fibre of the locally free sheaf $L$ at the point $x$ if $\mathfrak{m}$ denotes the maximal ideal of the local ring $O_{X, x}\left(L_{\mathrm{m}} \cong O_{X, x}\right)$.

Proposition 3.2 (We use the notations of Definition 0.1). Let L be a line bundle on $X^{\prime}$. We have $j^{*}(L)=L_{x_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{x_{M}}, L_{x_{i}} \cong k_{i} \cong k$, and let $h: L_{x_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{x_{M}} \xrightarrow{\sim} k_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus k_{M}$ be an isomorphism of $\left(k_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus k_{M}\right)$-modules. Then the couple ( $L, h$ ) gives canonically a line bundle $L_{h}$ on $X$ such that $f^{*}\left(L_{h}\right)=L$.
$\operatorname{Proof}$ (see [Mi], §2). If $U$ is an affine open of $X$ containing $P$, we have $U=\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ and $f^{-1}(U)=\operatorname{Spec}(B), A$ and $B$ are two $k$-algebras satisfying the conditions of Definition 0.2.

Let $L_{h}(U)$ be the group fibred product of $k$ and $L\left(f^{-1}(U)\right)$ over $k_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus k_{M}$, making cartesian the following square of groups:

$L_{h}(U)$ is in a natural way an $A$-module and it is projective of rank 1.

If $U$ is an (affine) open of $X$ not containing $P$, we put $L_{h}(U)=$ $L\left(f^{-1}(U)\right)$. That defines a line bundle $L_{h}$ on $X$ (see [Bo], Chapter II, $\S 5$, Theorem 1) and we have $f^{*}\left(L_{h}\right)=L$.

Definition 3.3. (a) The couple ( $L, h$ ) of Proposition 3.2 is said to be the glueing of $L$ by $h$.
(b) Two glueings of line bundles $(L, h)$ and $\left(L^{\prime}, h^{\prime}\right)$ are said to be isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism $\lambda: L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ such that the following diagram

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{x_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{x_{M}} \xrightarrow{h} k_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus k_{M} \\
& \lambda \otimes 1_{k_{1} \oplus \cdots \not k_{M}} \downarrow \\
& L_{x_{1}}^{\prime} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{x_{M}}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{h^{\prime}} k_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus k_{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

is commutative.
(c) We define $(L, h) \cdot\left(L^{\prime}, h^{\prime}\right)=\left(L \otimes L^{\prime}, h \otimes h^{\prime}\right)$, where

$$
\left(h \otimes h^{\prime}\right)\left(u \otimes u^{\prime}\right)=h(u) h^{\prime}\left(u^{\prime}\right) .
$$

In this way the isomorphism classes of the couples $(L, h)$ form an abelian group $H_{f}$.

Theorem 3.4. The Picard group $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ of $X$ is isomorphic to the group $H_{f}$ defined as above.

Proof. We can define a natural group homomorphism $\Phi: H_{f} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ that to the class of $(L, h)$ associates the class of the line bundle $L_{h}$ constructed in the proof of Proposition 3.2, $\Phi$ is injective; in fact if $\Phi(L, h)=O_{X}$, we have that the couple $(L, h)$ is isomorphic to the couple ( $O_{X}, \mathrm{id}_{k_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus k_{M}}$ ).

Now let $F$ be a line bundle on $X$. Then $L=f^{*}(F)$ is a line bundle on $X^{\prime}$ and from the square of Definition 0.1, we see that $L_{x_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{x_{M}}=j^{*}\left(f^{*}(F)\right)=\delta^{*}\left(i^{*}(F)\right)=\delta^{*}\left(F_{P}\right), F_{P} \cong k$.
$F$ induces an isomorphism $h: \delta^{*}\left(F_{P}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} k_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus k_{M}$. The couple $\left(f^{*}(F), h\right)$ gives with the above construction a line bundle over $X$ isomorphic to $F$ (see [Mi], §2). Hence $\Phi$ is surjective.

## 4. The Picard group.

Proposition 4.1. Let $f: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ be a glueing morphism of $M$ points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{M}$ of a connected quasi-projective variety $X^{\prime}$ over a point $P$ of $X$. Then $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \cong \operatorname{Pic}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \oplus(M-1) k^{*}$.

Proof. It is sufficient to consider $M=2$. We'll prove the proposition by defining an isomorphism $\Psi$ from $H_{f}$ to $\operatorname{Pic}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \oplus k^{*}$ (cf. Theorem 3.4).

Let $L$ be a line bundle on $X^{\prime}$ and let $h$ be an isomorphism from $L_{x_{1}} \oplus L_{x_{2}}$ to $k_{1} \oplus k_{2}$. Let us consider an open affine $U$ of $X^{\prime}$ containing $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ such that there exists an isomorphism from $O_{X^{\prime}}(U)$ to $L(U)$ (see Lemma 3.1); let $e$ be the image of a unit $u$ of $O_{X^{\prime}}(U)$ satisfying the following condition: $u$ is such that $\beta(u)$ is contained in the image of the diagonal morphism $\delta$ (see Definition 0.2).
$e_{i}=e \otimes 1_{k_{t}}$ is a generator of the $k$-vector space $L_{x_{t}}, i=1,2$. If $h\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=(a, b)$, we define $\Psi((L, h))=\left(L, \frac{a}{b}\right)$.
We note that if $V$ and $e^{\prime}$ are an affine open of $X^{\prime}$ and a generator of $L(V)$ respectively satisfying the same conditions that $U$ and $e$ satisfy respectively, then we have $e^{\prime}=c e$, where $c$ is a unit of $O_{X^{\prime}}(U)$ satisfying the condition (*). Then $h\left(e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{2}^{\prime}\right)=h\left(\bar{c} e_{1}, \bar{c} e_{2}\right)=(\bar{c} a, \bar{c} b)$, $\bar{c} \in k^{*}$ and $\Psi((L, h))$ does not depend on the choice of $U$ and $e$.

If ( $L, h$ ) is isomorphic to ( $L^{\prime}, h^{\prime}$ ), there exists an isomorphism $\lambda$
from $L$ to $L^{\prime}$ such that $h\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=h^{\prime}\left(e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, where $e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{2}^{\prime}$ are the images in $L_{x_{1}}^{\prime}$ and $L_{x_{2}}^{\prime}$ respectively of $\lambda_{U}(e), \lambda_{U}$ is the isomorphism from $L(U)$ to $L^{\prime}(U)$ induced by $\lambda$. Then $\Psi((L, h))=\Psi\left(\left(L^{\prime}, h^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

It is easy to verify that the map $\Psi$ is a group isomorphism.
Proposition 4.2. Let $X^{\prime}$ be a quasi-projective variety having $n$ connected components $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$, let $x_{i} \in X_{i}$ for every $i=1, \ldots, n$. Let $f: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ be the glueing morphism of the points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. then $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \cong \operatorname{Pic}\left(X_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \operatorname{Pic}\left(X_{n}\right)$.

Proof. We may assume $n=2$. From Theorem 3.4 it is sufficient to prove that the group $H_{f}$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Pic}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \cong \operatorname{Pic}\left(X_{1}\right) \oplus \operatorname{Pic}\left(X_{2}\right)$.

Let $L=L_{1} \oplus L_{2}$ be a line bundle on $X^{\prime}$. Let $U_{i}$ be an affine open of $X_{i}$ containing $x_{i}$, such that there exists an isomorphism $O_{X_{t}}\left(U_{i}\right) \rightarrow L_{i}\left(U_{i}\right)$, let $e_{i}$ denote the image of 1 , we denote the element $e_{i} \otimes 1_{k_{i}} \in L_{x_{i}}$ by $e_{i}$ also, $i=1,2$.

Let $i_{L}:\left(L_{1}\right)_{x_{1}} \oplus\left(L_{2}\right)_{x_{2}} \xrightarrow{\sim} k_{1} \oplus k_{2}$ denote the isomorphism defined by $i_{L}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=(1,1)$.

Two couples $\left(L^{\prime}, h\right)$ and $\left(L, i_{L}\right)$ of $H_{f}$ are isomorphic if and only if $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are isomorphic; in fact, we can suppose $L^{\prime}=L$, if $h\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right), a_{i}$ determines an isomorphism of $L_{i}$ into itself, $i=1,2$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $f: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of connected quasiprojective varieties which is a composition of a finite number of glueing morphisms.

Let $\rho=\sum_{P \in X}(\rho(P)-1)$, where $\rho(P)$ is the cardinality of $f^{-1}(P)$. Then $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \cong \operatorname{Pic}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \oplus \rho k^{*}$.

Proof. Let $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}$ be the points of $X$ having $\rho(P)>1$. We proceed by induction on $m$. If $m=1$ the result follows from Proposition 4.1.

Now we suppose the lemma true for $m-1$. We can factor the morphism $f$ by $X^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f^{\prime}} X^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{f^{\prime \prime}} X$, where $f^{\prime}$ is the composition of the glueing morphisms over the points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m-1}$ only and $f^{\prime \prime}$ is the glueing over $P_{m}$.

By the induction hypothesis we have $\operatorname{Pic}\left(X^{\prime \prime}\right) \cong \operatorname{Pic}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \oplus \rho^{\prime} k^{*}$, $\rho^{\prime}=\sum_{P \in X^{\prime \prime}}\left(\rho^{\prime}(P)-1\right)$, where $\rho^{\prime}(P)$ is the cardinality of $f^{\prime-1}(P)$. By Proposition 4.1 we have $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \cong \operatorname{Pic}\left(X^{\prime \prime}\right) \oplus\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}\left(P_{m}\right)-1\right) k^{*}, \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(P_{m}\right)$ is the cardinality of $f^{\prime \prime-1}\left(P_{m}\right)$.
4.4. Proof of Theorem 0.5. By using Proposition 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, we can proceed by induction on the number $n$ of the irreducible components of $X$ as in the proof of Theorem 2.
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