

Note on cohomology algebras of symmetric groups

Dedicated to Professor K. Shoda on his sixtieth birthday

by Minoru NAKAOKA

(Received June 27, 1962)

This is a continuation of the paper [3], and deals with the mod p cohomology algebra $H^*(S(m); Z_p)$ of the symmetric group $S(m)$ of degree m , where $1 \leq m \leq \infty$ and p is a prime. The author gave a basis for the homology module $H_*(S(m); Z_p)$ in [3]. In the present paper, we try to describe the diagonal homomorphism

$$d_*: H_*(S(m); Z_p) \longrightarrow H_*(S(m); Z_p) \otimes H_*(S(m); Z_p)$$

in terms of the basis, and by its conversion we derive some results on the cohomology algebra $H^*(S(m); Z_p)$. Throughout this paper a prime p is fixed.

1. Recapitulation.

For the convenience of the reader, the results which are proved in [2] and [3] are recapitulated in this section.

(A) Denote by $\lambda_m^n: S(m) \longrightarrow S(n)$ the natural inclusion map, where $m \leq n$. Then, for any coefficient group G , the homomorphism $\lambda_{m*}^n: H_*(S(m); G) \longrightarrow H_*(S(n); G)$ induced by λ_m^n is a monomorphism and its image is a direct summand of $H_*(S(n); G)$; the homomorphism $\lambda_m^{n*}: H^*(S(n); G) \longrightarrow H^*(S(m); G)$ induced by λ_m^n is an epimorphism and its kernel is a direct summand of $H^*(S(n); G)$. If $q < (m+1)/2$ then $\lambda_{m*}^{m+1}: H_q(S(m); G) \longrightarrow H_q(S(m+1); G)$ and $\lambda_m^{m+1*}: H^q(S(m+1); G) \longrightarrow H^q(S(m); G)$ are isomorphisms.

(B) Let k be a field, and let $\mu: S(m) \times S(n) \longrightarrow S(m+n)$ denote a homomorphism defined by

$$\mu(\alpha \times \beta)(i) = \begin{cases} \alpha(i) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq m, \\ \beta(i-m) + m & \text{if } m < i \leq m+n, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha \in S(m)$ and $\beta \in S(n)$. Then, for elements $a \in H_i(S(m); k)$ and $b \in H_j(S(n); k)$ we define a product $ab \in H_{i+j}(S(m+n); k)$ by

$$ab = \mu_*(a \otimes b),$$

where $\mu_*: H_*(S(m); k) \otimes H_*(S(n); k) \longrightarrow H_*(S(m+n); k)$ is the homomorphism induced by μ . The product is bilinear, associative and (anti-) commutative. Denote by $S(\infty)$ the infinite symmetric group, *i.e.*, the direct limit of $\{S(m), \lambda_m^n\}$. Let $\lambda_m: S(m) \longrightarrow S(\infty)$ denote the natural inclusion. Then the rule

$$\lambda_{m*}(a) \lambda_{n*}(b) = \lambda_{m+n*}(ab)$$

defines on $H_*(S(\infty); k)$ a multiplicative structure, and it makes $H_*(S(\infty); k)$ together with the diagonal homomorphism d_* a commutative associative Hopf algebra. $H_*S(\infty); k$ is of finite type.

(C) A p -Sylow subgroup $S(p^f, p)$ of the symmetric group $S(p^f)$ is given by an iterated wreath product of π , where π is the group of cyclic permutations of order p and degree p : $S(p^f, p) = S(p^{f-1}, p) \wr \pi$. Denote by $e(i)$ the usual generator of $H_i(\pi; Z_p)$. We associate to each sequence (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_f) of non-negative integers an element

$$|k_1, k_2, \dots, k_f| \in H_*(S(p^f, p); Z_p)$$

of dimension $k_1 + pk_2 + \dots + p^{f-1}k_f$ defined by

$$|k_1, k_2, \dots, k_f| = |k_2, \dots, k_f| \wr e(k_1),$$

where \wr stands for the wreath product of homology classes. Using this we define for a sequence $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_f)$ satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad i_s - (p-1)(i_{s+1} + \dots + i_f) \geq 0$$

for $1 \leq s \leq f$ an element

$$a(I) = a(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_f) \in H_k(S(p^f); Z_p)$$

as $\varrho_* |k_1, k_2, \dots, k_f|$ with $k_s = i_s - (p-1)(i_{s+1} + \dots + i_f)$, where $\varrho_*: H_*(S(p^f, p); Z_p) \rightarrow H_*(S(p^f); Z_p)$ is the homomorphism induced by the inclusion. The dimension of $a(I)$ is $i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_f$.

Denote by $Q(p)$ the set of all sequences of (positive) integers $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_f)$, $f > 0$, satisfying

$$(1.2) \quad i_s \equiv 0 \text{ or } -1 \pmod{2(p-1)} \text{ for } 1 \leq s \leq f,$$

$$(1.3) \quad i_s \leq pi_{s+1} \text{ for } 1 \leq s < f,$$

$$(1.4) \quad i_1 > (p-1)(i_2 + \dots + i_f).$$

Then the homology algebra $H_*(S(\infty); Z_p)$ is a free associative commutative graded algebra generated by $\{A(I), I \in Q(p)\}$, where $A(I) = \lambda_{\xi(f)*}(a(I))^{1)}$ for I with length f .

(D) Consider on $Q(p)$ a linear order $<$. Then a basis for the homology module $H_q(S(m); Z_p)$ can be formed with all elements

$$\lambda_{r,*}^m (a(I_1)^{c(1)} a(I_2)^{c(2)} \dots a(I_t)^{c(t)}), \quad t \geq 0,$$

satisfying the following conditions:

$$(1.5) \quad I_1 < I_2 < \dots < I_t \text{ are elements of } Q(p),$$

$$(1.6) \quad c(k) \text{ is } > 0 \text{ or } = 1 \text{ according as } p \dim a(I_k) \text{ is even or odd,}$$

$$(1.7) \quad c(1) \dim a(I_1) + \dots + c(t) \dim a(I_t) = q \text{ and } c(1)p^{f(1)} + \dots + c(t)p^{f(t)} \leq m,$$

where $f(k)$ is the length of I_k .

(E) The height of any element of the cohomology algebra $H^*(S(m); Z_p)$, $1 \leq m \leq \infty$, is either ∞ or $\leq p$ if p is odd, and is ∞ if $p = 2$. The cohomology algebra

1) When p^f occurs as a (lower or upper) suffix, it will be denoted by $\xi(f)$.

$H^*(S(\infty); Z_p)$ is isomorphic as graded algebras to the homology algebra $H_*(S(\infty); Z_2)$ whose structure is known by (C).

(F) Let X be a complex²⁾ and let $u \in H^*(X; Z_p)$ be even dimensional. Then we have

$$u^{m+n}/ab = (-1)^{ij}(u^m/a)(u^n/b)$$

for $a \in H_i(S(m); Z_p)$ and $b \in H_j(S(n); Z_p)$;

$$u^{\xi(j)}/a(i_1, \dots, i_j) = c \text{St}^{j(1)} \dots \text{St}^{j(j)} u$$

where $c \equiv 0 \pmod p$, $i_k + j(k) = qp^{j-k}(p-1)$ for $1 \leq k \leq j$, and $\text{St}^j: H^q(X; Z_p) \rightarrow H^{q+j}(X; Z_p)$ is the cyclic reduced power. The latter implies that $a(i_1, \dots, i_j) = 0$ unless $i_k \equiv 0$ or $-1 \pmod{2(p-1)}$ for all k .

(G) Denote by $SP^m(S^q)$ the m -fold symmetric product of a q -sphere S^q . Let $u_0 \in H^q(SP^m(S^q); Z_p)$ denote a generator, and assume q is even. Then a homomorphism

$$\kappa_m: H_i(S(m); Z_p) \rightarrow H^{m-i}(SP^m(S^q); Z_p)$$

given by

$$\kappa_m(a) = u_0^m/a$$

is an isomorphism for $i < q$. This is known as Steenrod isomorphism [5].

2. Diagonal homomorphism

Let $\Gamma \subset S(m)$ be a subgroup, and denote by $d_*: H_*(\Gamma; Z_p) \rightarrow H_*(\Gamma; Z_p) \otimes H_*(\Gamma; Z_p)$ the diagonal homomorphism.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $a \in H_*(\Gamma; Z_p)$ and put*

$$(i) \quad d_*(a) = \sum_s a'_s \otimes a''_s.$$

Then, for any complex X and any even dimensional $u, v \in H^*(X; Z_p)$ we have

$$(ii) \quad (uv)^m/a = \sum_s (-1)^{a'(s)a''(s)} (u^m/a'_s) (v^m/a''_s)$$

with $d'(s) = \dim a'_s$ and $d''(s) = \dim a''_s$; if Γ is the finite symmetric group $S(m)$ the converse is also true.

Proof. The first part can be proved by the arguments used by Steenrod to prove the Cartan formula for the cyclic reduced powers (see pp. 219-221 of [4]). We will omit the proof.

We shall prove the second part. Put $Y = SP^m(S^q)$ with even q , and consider a homomorphism

$$\theta: H_i(S(m) \times S(m); Z_p) \rightarrow H^{2m-i}(Y \times Y; Z_p)$$

defined by

$$\theta(a' \otimes a'') = (-1)^{\dim a' \dim a''} \kappa_m(a') \otimes \kappa_m(a'').$$

Then it follows from (G) that θ is an isomorphism if $i < q$. Denote by $p_j^*: H^*(Y; Z_p) \rightarrow H^*(Y \times Y; Z_p)$ the homomorphism induced by the j -th projection $p_j: Y \times Y \rightarrow Y$ ($i = 1, 2$). We have

2) By a complex we mean always a finite regular cell complex.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varkappa_m(a') \otimes \varkappa_m(a'') = (\varkappa_m(a') \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \varkappa_m(a'')) \\
& = (p_1^* \varkappa_m(a'))(p_2^* \varkappa_m(a'')) = (p_1^* (u_0^m/a'))(p_2^* (u_0^m/a'')) \\
& = ((p_1^* u_0)^m/a) ((p_2^* u_0)^m/a) = ((u_0 \otimes 1)^m/a') ((1 \otimes u_0)^m/a'').
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, putting $X = Y \times Y$, $u = u_0 \otimes 1$ and $v = 1 \otimes u_0$ in (ii), we obtain

$$(u_0 \otimes u_0)^m/a = \sum_s (-1)^{a'(s)a''(s)} \varkappa_m(a_s') \otimes \varkappa_m(a_s'') = \theta \sum_s (a_s' \otimes a_s'').$$

Consequently the first part implies

$$(u_0 \otimes u_0)^m/a = \theta(d_*(a)).$$

Thus if we assume (ii) we have

$$\theta(d_*(a)) = \theta(\sum_s a_s' \otimes a_s'').$$

Taking a sufficiently large q , this establishes (1).

LEMMA 2.2. For any even dimensional $u, v \in H^*(X, Z_p)$ we have

$$(uv)^{\xi(\mathcal{J})} / |k_1, \dots, k_f| = \sum \varepsilon(u^{\xi(\mathcal{J})} / |m_1, \dots, m_f|) (v^{\xi(\mathcal{J})} / |n_1, \dots, n_f|)$$

where $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(m_1, \dots, m_f, n_1, \dots, n_f)$ is -1 to the exponent

$$\sum_{i>j} m_i n_j + \frac{1}{2} p(p-1) \sum_{i=2}^f (m_i + \dots + m_f)(n_i + \dots + n_f)$$

and the summation extends over all sequences $(m_1, \dots, m_f, n_1, \dots, n_f)$ such that

$$m_i + n_i = k_i, \quad pm_i n_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \quad (1 \leq i \leq f).$$

Proof. Note first that the arguments in p. 220 of [4] prove that

$$(uv)^p/e(k) = (-1)^{qr p(p-1)/2} \sum (-1)^{n(pq-m)} (u^p/e(m))(v^p/e(n))$$

where $q = \dim u$, $r = \dim v$ and the summation extends over all (m, n) such that $m+n = k$, $pmn \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Next note that

$$u^{\xi(\mathcal{J})} / |k_1, \dots, k_f| = \varepsilon'(u^{\xi(\mathcal{J}-1)} / |k_2, \dots, k_f|)^p / e(k_1)$$

with $\varepsilon' = -1$ to the exponent $(k_2 + \dots + k_f) p(p-1)/2$. Then the lemma can be proved easily by induction on f .

PROPOSITION 2.3. We have

$$d_* a(i_1, \dots, i_f) = \sum \varepsilon(t_1, \dots, t_f, s_1, \dots, s_f) a(s_1, \dots, s_f) \otimes a(t_1, \dots, t_f)$$

where the summation extends over all sequences $(s_1, \dots, s_f, t_1, \dots, t_f)$ such that

$$s_j + t_j = i_j, \quad ps_j t_j \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

$$s_j \geq (p-1)(s_{j+1} + \dots + s_f), \quad t_j \geq (p-1)(t_{j+1} + \dots + t_f)$$

for $j=1, 2, \dots, f$.

Proof. By a fundamental property of the reduced power, it follows from Lemma 2.2. that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (uv)^{\xi(\mathcal{J})} / \varrho_* |k_1, \dots, k_f| \\
& = \sum \varepsilon(m_1, \dots, m_f, n_1, \dots, n_f) (u^{\xi(\mathcal{J})} / \varrho_* |m_1, \dots, m_f|) (v^{\xi(\mathcal{J})} / \varrho_* |n_1, \dots, n_f|).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in virtue of Theorem 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varrho_* |k_1, \dots, k_f| \\
& = \sum \varepsilon(n_1, \dots, n_f, m_1, \dots, m_f) \varrho_* |m_1, \dots, m_f| \otimes \varrho_* |n_1, \dots, n_f|.
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$k_j = i_j - (p-1)(i_{j+1} + \dots + i_f)$$

$$i_j = k_j + (p-1)(k_{j+1} + pk_{j+2} + \dots + p^{f-j-1}k_f)$$

if $a(i_1, \dots, i_f) = \varrho_* | k_1, \dots, k_f |$, rewrite the above formula in terms of $a(i_1, \dots, i_f)$. Then the required theorem is obtained.

Remark. In the above proposition we may restrict ourselves to elements $a(i_1, \dots, i_f)$ such that $i_j \equiv 0$ or $-1 \pmod{2(p-1)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq f$ (see (F)). In this case the condition $ps_j t_j \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ is superfluous.

PROPOSITION 2.4. For $a \in H_*(S(m); Z_p)$ and $b \in H_*(S(n); Z_p)$, put

$$d_*(a) = \sum_s a_s' \otimes a_s'', \quad d_*(b) = \sum_t b_t' \otimes b_t''.$$

Then we have

$$d_*(ab) = \sum_{s,t} \varepsilon(s, t) a_s' b_t' \otimes a_s'' b_t''.$$

where $\varepsilon(s, t)$ is -1 to the exponent $\dim a_s'' \dim b_t''$.

Proof. The following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S(m) \times S(n) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & S(m+n) \\ \downarrow d \times d & & \downarrow d \\ S(m) \times S(m) \times S(n) \times S(n) & & \\ \downarrow 1 \times \tau \times 1 & \mu & \downarrow \\ S(m) \times S(n) \times S(m) \times S(n) & \rightarrow & S(m+n) \times S(m+n) \end{array}$$

where τ stands for the commutation of the second and the third factors. From this, by the definition of ab (see (B)), the proposition is proved easily.

Denote by $V_p(m)$ the basis for the module $H_*(S(m); Z_p)$ stated in (D). If

$$a = \lambda_{r_*}^m (a(I_1)^{e(1)} \dots a(I_t)^{e(t)}) \in V_p(m),$$

we write

$$M(a) = \text{Max}_{1 < j < t} f(j)$$

where $f(j)$ is the length of I_j .

LEMMA 2.5. Let $a \in V_p(m)$ and let $d_*(a) = \sum_s a_s' \otimes a_s''$ with $a_s', a_s'' \in V_p(m)$.

Then we have

$$M(a_s') \leq M(a), \quad M(a_s'') \leq M(a)$$

for all s .

Proof. Note that $d_* \lambda_{m_*}^n = (\lambda_{m_*}^n \otimes \lambda_{m_*}^n) d_*$, then the lemma is obvious by Propositions 2.3 and 2.4 and (D).

3. Representation in terms of the basis.

In Proposition 2.3, even if $a(i_1, \dots, i_f)$ is an element of $V_p(p^f)$, the elements $a(s_1, \dots, s_f)$ and $a(t_1, \dots, t_f)$ in the right hand are not necessarily in $V_p(p^f)$. Therefore the determination of the cohomology algebra $H^*(S(m); Z_p)$ from the coalgebra $(H_*(S(m); Z_p), d_*)$ will require to seek formulae to represent any $a(i_1, \dots, i_f)$ in terms of the basis $V_p(p^f)$. This is done in this section. For simplicity we shall explain it only for the case $p=2$.

PROPOSITION 3.1.³⁾ (I) If $a(i_2, \dots, i_j) = \sum a(s_2, \dots, s_j)$ then $a(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j) = \sum a(i_1, s_2, \dots, s_j)$ for any i_1 .

(II) If $i_1 > 2i_2$ then

$$a(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j) = \sum_s \binom{s-i_2-1}{2s-i_1} a(i_1+i_2-s, s, \dots, i_j)$$

with $i_1/2 \leq s \leq (i_1+i_2)/2$.

(III) If $i_1 = i_2 + \dots + i_j$ then $a(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j) = a(i_2, \dots, i_j)^2$

Proof. In view of (G), the proposition is a direct consequence of the following:

(I)' If $a(i_2, \dots, i_j) = \sum a(s_2, \dots, s_j)$ then

$$u^{\xi(f)}/a(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j) = \sum u^{\xi(f)}/a(i_1, s_2, \dots, s_j).$$

(II)' If $i_1 > 2i_2$ then

$$u^{\xi(f)}/a(i_1, \dots, i_j) = \sum_s \binom{s-i_2-1}{2s-i_1} u^{\xi(f)}/a(i_1+i_2-s, s, \dots, i_j).$$

(III)' If $i_1 = i_2 + \dots + i_j$ then

$$u^{\xi(f)}/a(i_1, \dots, i_j) = u^{\xi(f)}/a(i_2, \dots, i_j)^2,$$

where u is any q -dimensional mod 2 cohomology class of any complex (q : even), and $\xi(f) = 2^f$. Using (F) these are proved as follows.

If $a(i_2, \dots, i_j) = \sum a(s_2, \dots, s_j)$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sq}^{j(2)} \dots \text{Sq}^{j(f)} u &= u^{\xi(f-1)}/a(i_2, \dots, i_j) \\ &= \sum u^{\xi(f-1)}/a(s_2, \dots, s_j) = \sum \text{Sq}^{t(2)} \dots \text{Sq}^{t(f)} u \end{aligned}$$

with $i_k + j(k) = 2^{f-k}q$ and $s_k + t(k) = 2^{f-k}q$ for $1 \leq k \leq f$. Therefore, if we put $i_1 = 2^{f-1}q - j(1)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u^{\xi(f)}/a(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j) &= \text{Sq}^{j(1)} \text{Sq}^{j(2)} \dots \text{Sq}^{j(f)} u \\ &= \sum \text{Sq}^{t(1)} \text{Sq}^{t(2)} \dots \text{Sq}^{t(f)} u = \sum u^{\xi(f)}/a(i_1, s_2, \dots, s_j), \end{aligned}$$

which is (I)'. Assume $i_1 > 2i_2$ then $j(1) < 2j(2)$. Therefore, in virtue of the well-known Adem-Cartan relation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u^{\xi(f)}/a(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j) &= \text{Sq}^{j(1)} \text{Sq}^{j(2)} \dots \text{Sq}^{j(f)} u \\ &= \sum_t \binom{j(2)-t-1}{j(1)-2t} \text{Sq}^{j(1)+j(2)-t} \text{Sq}^t \text{Sq}^{j(3)} \dots \text{Sq}^{j(f)} u \\ &= \sum_s \binom{s-i_2-1}{2s-i_1} \text{Sq}^j \text{Sq}^t \text{Sq}^{j(s)} \dots \text{Sq}^{j(f)} u \\ &= \sum_s \binom{s-i_2-1}{2s-i_1} u^{\xi(f)}/a(i_1+i_2-s, s, i_3, \dots, i_j) \end{aligned}$$

with $s = 2^{f-1}q - t$ and $j = 2^{f-1}q - (i_1 + i_2 - s)$. Here we may assume that $2s - i_1 \geq 0$ and $i_1 + i_2 - s \geq s + i_3 + \dots + i_j \geq s$. Thus we obtain (II)'. Assume $i_1 = i_2 + \dots + i_j$, then we have

$\dim(\text{Sq}^{j(2)} \dots \text{Sq}^{j(f)} u) = 2^{f-1}q - (i_2 + \dots + i_j) = 2q^{f-1} - i_1 = j(1)$
in $u^{\xi(f)}/a(i_1, \dots, i_j) = \text{Sq}^{j(1)} \dots \text{Sq}^{j(f)} u$. Therefore we obtain

3) It is understood that $a(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j) = 0$ if $i_j < (i_{j+1} + \dots + i_j)$ for some j . It is easily seen that under this convention (F) is still true.

$$\begin{aligned} (u^{\xi(\mathcal{J})}/a(i_1, \dots, i_f)) &= (\text{Sq}^{j(2)} \dots \text{Sq}^{j(\mathcal{J})} u)^2 \\ &= (u^{\xi(\mathcal{J}-1)}/a(i_2, \dots, i_f))^2 = u^{\xi(\mathcal{J})}/a(i_2, \dots, i_f)^2, \end{aligned}$$

which is (III)'. This completes the proof of the proposition.

LEMMA 3.2. *Using the properties (I) and (II), any $a(i_1, \dots, i_f)$ can be transformed to a linear combination of elements $a(s_1, \dots, s_f)$ such that $s_j \leq 2s_{j+1}$ for $1 \leq j < f$.*

Proof. This is done by induction on the length f and the first term i_1 of (i_1, \dots, i_f) . By the hypothesis of induction, $a(i_2, \dots, i_f)$ is a linear combination of $a(s_2, \dots, s_f)$ such that $s_j \leq 2s_{j+1}$ for $2 \leq j < f$. Therefore, by (I), $a(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_f)$ is a linear combination of the elements $a(i_1, s_2, \dots, s_f)$. Thus we may assume $i_1 > 2i_2, i_2 \leq 2i_3, \dots, i_{f-1} \leq 2i_f$ for $a(i_1, \dots, i_f)$. In view of (II) we have

$$a(i_1, \dots, i_f) = \sum_s \binom{s-i_2-1}{2s-i_1} a(i_1+i_2-s, s, \dots, i_f)$$

where $i_1/2 \leq s \leq (i_1 + i_2)/2$. Since $s \geq i_1/2 > i_2$ we have $i_1 + i_2 - s < i_1$. Therefore, by the hypothesis of induction, $a(i_1 + i_2 - s, s, \dots, i_f)$ and so $a(i_1, \dots, i_f)$ can be transformed as claimed. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 3.3. *Using the properties (I) - (III), any $a(i_1, \dots, i_f)$ can be transformed to a linear combination of elements of $V_2(s^f)$.*

Proof. We use induction on f . In view of Lemma 3.2 we may assume that $a(i_1, \dots, i_f)$ satisfies $i_j \leq 2i_{j+1}$ for $1 \leq j < f$. Since $a(i_1, \dots, i_f) = 0$ if $i_1 < i_2 + \dots + i_f$, we may further assume that $i_1 = i_2 + \dots + i_f$. Then by (III) we have $a(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_f) = a(i_2, \dots, i_f)^2$. Therefore the hypothesis of induction proves the theorem.

A special case of (II) is that

$$(3.4) \quad a(2s + 1, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$$

if the length is > 1 . A corresponding result is obtained for $p > 2$, and this will be used later.

LEMMA 3.5. *Let $p > 2$. If the length is > 1 and $s \equiv 0 \pmod p$ then we have $a(2s(p-1), 0, \dots, 0) = 0$.*

Proof. If we write the formula corresponding to (II) in the case $p > 2$, the lemma will be its special case. However, we prefer to give a direct proof. In virtue of (G) it suffices to prove

$$u^{\xi(\mathcal{J})}/a(2s(p-1), 0, \dots, 0) = 0$$

for any even dimensional $u \in H^q(X; Z_p)$. Put

$$v = u^{\xi(\mathcal{J}-2)}, \quad r = \dim v = qp^{f-2}.$$

Then by (F) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &u^{\xi(\mathcal{J})}/a(2s(p-1), 0, \dots, 0) \\ &= c \text{St}^{q\xi(\mathcal{J}-1)-2s(p-1)} u^{\xi(\mathcal{J}-1)} \\ &= c \mathfrak{B}^{rp/2-s} v^p. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathfrak{B}^i v = 0$ if $i > r/2$, it follows from the Cartan formula that

$$\mathfrak{B}^{rp/2-s} v^p = \sum (\mathfrak{B}^{r/2-s(1)} v) \dots (\mathfrak{B}^{r/2-s(p)} v)$$

summed over all sequences of non-negative integers $(s(1), \dots, s(p))$ whose sum is s . If $s \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ this is clearly 0. Thus the lemma is proved.

4. Cohomology algebra $H^*(S(4); Z_2)$

We shall in this section prove

THEOREM 4.1. *The cohomology algebra $H^*(S(4); Z_2)$ is a commutative associative graded algebra generated by x_1, x_2, y subject to a relation $x_1y = 0$, where $\dim x_1 = 1$, $\dim x_2 = 2$ and $\dim y = 3$.*

By (D) it follows that a basis $V_2(4)$ for the module $H_*(S(4); Z_2)$ can be formed by elements of the following type:

$$\begin{aligned} a(i+j)a(j) & \quad (i \geq 0, j \geq 0), \\ a(i+j, j) & \quad (j \geq i > 0) \end{aligned}$$

where we regard $a(0)$ as the generator of $H_0(S(2); Z_2)$. Consider the dual basis $V_2^*(4) = \{a^*, a \in V_2(4)\}$ for the module $H^*(S(4); Z_2)$, and put

$$x_1 = (a(1)a(0))^*, \quad x_2 = (a(1)^2)^*, \quad y = a(2,1)^*.$$

For any q , order the subset of q -dimensional elements of $V_2(4)$ linearly as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a(i+j)a(j) &< a(s+t)a(t) & \text{if } j < t, \\ a(i+j, j) &< a(s+t, t) & \text{if } j < t, \\ a(i+j)a(j) &< a(s+t, t). \end{aligned}$$

Then the theorem is a direct consequence of the following.

LEMMA 4.2. *For $i \geq 0, j \geq 0, k > 0$ and $a \in V_2(4)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad \langle x_1^i x_2^j, a \rangle &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = a(i+j)a(mj), \\ 0 & \text{if } a < a(i+j)a(j); \end{cases} \\ \text{(ii)} \quad \langle x_2^j y^k, a \rangle &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = a(2k+j, k+j) \\ 0 & \text{if } a < a(2k+j, k+j); \end{cases} \\ \text{(iii)} \quad x_1 y &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from Propositions 2.3 and 2.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_1^i x_2^j, a(s+t)a(t) \rangle &= \langle x_1^i x_2^{j-1} \otimes x_2, d_*(a(s+t)a(t)) \rangle \\ &= \langle x_1^i x_2^{j-1}, a(s+t-1)a(t-1) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore induction on $i+j$ proves (i). In view of Lemma 2.5 we have

$$\langle x_2^j y^k, a(s+t)a(t) \rangle = \langle x_2^j y^{k-1} \otimes y, d_*(a(s+t)a(t)) \rangle = 0;$$

since $a(3,0) = 0$ by (3.4), it follows from Proposition 2.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_2^j y^k, a(s+t, t) \rangle &= \langle x_2^j y^{k-1} \otimes y, d_* a(s+t, t) \rangle \\ &= \langle x_2^j y^{k-1}, a(s+t-2, t-1) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

hence induction on $j+k$ proves (ii). The element of $V_2(4)$ with dimension 4 are $a(4)a(0)$, $a(3)a(1)$ and $a(2)^2$. Therefore we have $\langle x_1 y, a \rangle = 0$ for any $a \in V_2(4)$, hence $x_1 y = 0$. This completes the proof.

5. On the cohomology algebra $H^*(S(p^f); Z_p)$

Consider the dual basis $V_p^* = \{a^*, a \in V_p(p^f)\}$ for the module $H^*(S(p^f); Z_p)$ and put

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (\lambda_{\frac{p}{2}}^{\xi(f)}(a(1))^*, \quad y = (a(2^{f-1}, \dots, 2, 1))^* && \text{for } p = 2, \\ x &= (\lambda_{\frac{p}{2}}^{\xi(f)} a(2p - 2))^*, \quad y = (a(2p^{f-1}(p-1), \dots, 2p(p-1), 2(p-1)))^* && \text{for } p > 2. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

LEMMA 5.1. $xy = 0$ if $f > 1$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.5 that $\langle xy, a \rangle = 0$ for $a \in V_p(p^f)$ such that $M(a) < f$. If $a \in V_p(p^f)$ and $M(a) = f$ then $a = a(i_1, \dots, i_f)$. Therefore it suffices to prove that

$$\langle xy, a(i_1, \dots, i_f) \rangle = 0$$

for $a(i_1, \dots, i_f) \in Q(p)$. For this purpose we show that there is no element $a(I) = a(i_1, \dots, i_f) \in Q(p)$ such that $\dim a(I) = \dim xy = 2(p^f + p - 2)$. Since the proof for the case $p = 2$ is similar we assume $p > 2$. It follows from (1.3) and (1.4) that

$$p^{j-1} i_j + (p^{j-2} + \dots + 1) \leq \dim a(I) \leq (p^{j-1} + \dots + 1) i_j.$$

Therefore if $i_j \geq 4(p-1) - 1$ then $\dim a(I) < 2(p^f + p - 2)$, and if $i_j \leq 2(p-1)$ then $\dim a(I) < 2(p^f + p - 2)$.⁴⁾ By (1.2) this shows that if $a(i_1, \dots, i_f) \in Q(p)$ then its dimension is not $2(p^f + p - 2)$. Thus the proof is complete.

THEOREM 5.2. For $f > 1$ the cohomology algebra $H^*(S(p^f); Z_p)$ can not be a Hopf algebra.

Proof. Since the result for $p = 2$ is proved similarly, we assume $p > 2$. Suppose that $H^*(S(p^f); Z_p)$ is a Hopf algebra. Then, by Borel's theorem, $H^*(S(p^f); Z_p)$ is isomorphic as algebra to a tensor product of A_i ($i \in \mathbb{I}$), where A_i is a canonical Hopf algebra with one generator x_i (see [1]). Since it follows from (D) that $2p-2$ is the least of even j such that $H^j(S(p^f); Z_p) \cong 0$, we may regard that $x = x_i$ for some $i \in \mathbb{I}$. Therefore it is easily seen from Lemma 5.1 that there is $z \in H^*(S(p^f); Z_p)$ such that $y = xz$. It follows from Lemma 3.5 and (F) that if $a(i_1, \dots, i_f)$ has dimension $2p-2$ then $a(i_1, \dots, i_f) = 0$. Therefore by Proposition 2.3 we have

$$\langle y, a_0 \rangle = \langle xz, a_0 \rangle = \langle x \otimes z, d_* a_0 \rangle = 0$$

for $a_0 = a(2p^{f-1}(p-1), \dots, 2p(p-1), 2(p-1))$. This contradicts with the definition of y . Thus $H^*(S(p^f); Z_p)$ can not be a Hopf algebra if $f > 1$.

6. On the cohomology algebra $H^*(S(\infty); Z_p)$

By Borel's theorem it follows from (B) and (E) that if p is odd the algebra $H^*(S(\infty); Z_p)$ is isomorphic to a tensor product of

- (1) exterior algebras with one generator of odd dimension,

4) In these proofs the assumption $f > 1$ is needed.

(2) polynomial algebras with one generator of even dimension,

(3) truncated polynomial algebra with one generator of even dimension and height p .

(see [1]). Obviously the type (1) and (2) actually appear in the decomposition. In this section we shall prove that *the type (3) also actually appears*. Comparing this with (C) it follows that the algebra $H_*(S(\infty); Z_p)$ and $H^*(S(\infty); Z_p)$ are not isomorphic if p is odd. Recall that if $p = 2$ these are isomorphic (see (E)).

It is obvious that the above statement is a direct consequence of the following:

THEOREM 6.1. *If p is odd there exists an even dimensional element x in $H^*(S(\infty); Z_p)$ such that*

$$x^k \neq 0 \quad (1 \leq k < p), \quad x^p = 0$$

and x is not decomposable.

Proof. Let V_p denote the set of all elements

$$A = A(i_1)^{e(1)} \dots A(i_t)^{e(t)}$$

satisfying the conditions (1.5) and (1.6). Then it follows from (C) that V_p is a basis for the module $H_*(S(\infty); Z_p)$. Consider the dual basis V_p^* for the module $H^*(S(\infty); Z_p)$, then it will be proved that

$$(A(2(p-1)^2-1, 2(p-1)-1))^* \in H^*(S(\infty); Z_p)$$

has the properties requested for x .

For simplicity of notation, we put $A_0 = A(2(p-1)^2-1, 2(p-1)-1)$. The dimension of A is $2(p^2-p-1)$. We shall first prove that

$$A_0^{*k} = k! A_0^k \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq p,$$

namely, for $A \in V_p$, we have

$$(6.2) \quad \langle A_0^{*k}, A \rangle = \begin{cases} k! & \text{if } A = A_0^k, \\ 0 & \text{if } A \neq A_0^k. \end{cases}$$

Let $A(i_1, \dots, i_r) \in Q(p)$ and $f \geq 3$, then it follows from (1.2) and (1.4) that $i_1 + \dots + i_r \geq 2p^3 - 2p^2 - 3$, so that we have

$$\dim A(i_1, \dots, i_r) - \dim A_0^k \geq (2p^3 - 2p^2 - 3) - p(2p^2 - 2p - 2) = 2p - 3 > 0$$

because of $1 \leq k \leq p$. Consequently we may assume that the length of each I_j in A is ≤ 2 .

To prove (6.2) we use induction on k . Let

$$(6.3) \quad d_* A(I_j) = \dots + b_j A_0 \otimes B_j + \dots$$

be the representation of $d_* A(I)$ in terms of a basis $\{A' \otimes A'', A', A'' \in V_p\}$ for the module $H_*(S(\infty); Z_p) \otimes H_*(S(\infty); Z_p)$. Then it follows from (B) that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A_0^{*k}, A \rangle &= \langle A_0^* \otimes A_0^{*k-1}, d_* A \rangle \\ &= \langle A_0^{*k-1}, b_1 B_1 A(I_1)^{e(1)-1} A(I_2)^{e(2)} \dots A(I_t)^{e(t)} \rangle \\ &+ \dots \\ &+ \langle A_0^{*k-1}, b_t A(I_1)^{e(1)} A(I_2)^{e(2)} \dots A(I_t)^{e(t)-1} B_t \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Assume now $\langle A_0^{*k}, A \rangle \neq 0$. Then the hypothesis of induction implies that

$$(6.4) \quad A_0^{k-1} = cb_j B_j A(I_1)^{e(1)} \dots A_j(I_1)^{e(j)-1} \dots A(I_t)^{e(t)}$$

for some j , say $j(0)$, where $c \cong 0$. Since $A_0^{k-1} \cong 0$ by (C), we have $b_{j(0)} \cong 0$. Therefore we see from (6.3) that the length of $I_{j(0)}$ is 2. Multiplying $A(I_{j(0)})$ to (6.4) we have

$$(6.5) \quad A_0^{k-1} A(I_{j(0)}) = cb_{j(0)} B_{j(0)} A.$$

It is seen from (6.3) that $B_{j(0)} \cong A(I_{j(0)})$, consequently $B_{j(0)} = A_0^{m-1}$ for some m ($1 \leq m \leq k$). Now (6.3) implies

$$\dim A(I_{j(0)}) = \dim A_0 + \dim B_{j(0)} = m \dim A_0 = 2m(p^2 - p - 1).$$

However, since $I_{j(0)} \in Q(p)$ and its length is 2, it follows from (1.2)–(1.4) that

$$2(tp^2 - t - 1) \geq \dim A(I_{j(0)}) \geq 2(tp^2 - tp - 1) \quad (t=1, 2, \dots).$$

This shows that m must be 1. Hence $B_{j(0)} = 1$, and by (6.3) we have $A(I_{j(0)}) = A_0$. Thus by (6.5) we obtain $A = A_0^k$. Namely we have proved that $\langle A^{*k}, A \rangle = 0$ if $A \cong A_0^k$. On the other hand, since $d_*(A_0) = 1 \otimes A_0 + A_0 \otimes 1$, we have

$$d_*(A_0^k) = 1 \otimes A_0^k + k A_0 \otimes A_0^{k-1} + \dots + A_0^k \otimes 1,$$

and so

$$\langle A_0^{*k}, A_0^k \rangle = \langle A_0^* \otimes A_0^{*k-1}, d_* A_0^k \rangle = k \langle A_0^{*k-1}, A_0^{k-1} \rangle = k!$$

This ends the proof of (6.2), and we proved $A_0^{*k} \cong 0$ ($1 \leq k < p$) and $A_0^{*p} = 0$.

Next we must prove that A_0^* is not decomposable. Assume that $A_0^* = \sum_j y_j z_j$ with $\dim y_j > 0$ and $\dim z_j > 0$. Then, since $d_* A_0 = 1 \otimes A_0 + A_0 \otimes 1$, we have

$$1 = \langle A_0^k, A_0 \rangle = \sum_j \langle y_j z_j, A_0 \rangle = \sum_j \langle y_j \otimes z_j, d_* A_0 \rangle = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus A_0^* is not decomposable. This completes the proof.

References

- [1] J. MILNOR and J. MOORE, *On the structure of Hopf algebras* (mimeographed).
- [2] M. NAKAOKA, *Decomposition theorem for homology groups of symmetric groups*, Ann of Math., **71** (1960), 16-42.
- [3] M. NAKAOKA, *Homology of infinite symmetric group*, Ann. of Math., **73** (1961), 229-257.
- [4] N. STEENROD, *Cyclic reduced powers of cohomology classes*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U. S. A., **39** (1953), 210-223.
- [5] N. STEENROD, *Cohomology operations and obstructions to extending continuous functions*, Colloquium Lecture, Princeton University (1957).