

LEADING COEFFICIENTS OF ISOGENIES OF DEGREE p OVER \mathbb{Q}_p

MAYUMI KAWACHI

(Received November 26, 2008, revised March 1, 2010)

Abstract

Let E be an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q}_p which has potentially supersingular good reduction. Let L/\mathbb{Q}_p be a totally ramified extension such that E has good reduction over L and \tilde{E} be the reduction of $E \bmod \pi$, where π is a prime element of the ring of integers \mathcal{O}_L of L . Let \hat{E} be the formal group over \mathcal{O}_L associated to E/\mathcal{O}_L . The multiplication by p map $[p]: \hat{E} \rightarrow \hat{E}$ is written by power series $[p](x) = px + c_2x^2 + \cdots + c_px^p + \cdots + c_{p^2}x^{p^2} + \cdots \in \mathcal{O}_L[[x]]$. By using the liftings over \mathcal{O}_L of the Dieudonné module of p -divisible group $\tilde{E}(p)$ over \mathbb{F}_p , we determine the values of $v_L(c_p)$.

1. Introduction

Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime number and E be an elliptic curve over the p -adic number field \mathbb{Q}_p . We assume $v_p(j) \geq 0$, where v_p is the normalized additive p -adic valuation and j is the j -invariant of E . Then E has potentially good reduction over \mathbb{Q}_p . Let E/\mathbb{Z}_p be the minimal Weierstrass equation for E over the p -adic integer ring \mathbb{Z}_p . Put $e = 12/\gcd(v_p(\Delta), 12)$, where Δ is the discriminant of E/\mathbb{Z}_p . Let π be an element of the algebraic closure $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ of \mathbb{Q}_p such that $\pi^e + p = 0$. Then $L = \mathbb{Q}_p(\pi)$ is the unique totally ramified extension of degree e over \mathbb{Q}_p . Then E has good reduction over L . Let E/\mathcal{O}_L be the minimal Weierstrass equation for E over the ring of integers \mathcal{O}_L of L . Let \tilde{E}/\mathbb{F}_p be the reduction of $E/\mathcal{O}_L \bmod \pi$. Let \hat{E} be the formal group over \mathcal{O}_L associated to E/\mathcal{O}_L [7, IV, §1]. The multiplication by p map $[p]: \hat{E} \rightarrow \hat{E}$ is written by a power series $[p](x) = px + c_2x^2 + \cdots + c_px^p + \cdots + c_{p^2}x^{p^2} + \cdots \in \mathcal{O}_L[[x]]$. The purpose of this paper is to determine the valuation of a coefficient c_p of x^p , when \tilde{E}/\mathbb{F}_p is supersingular.

Theorem. *Assume that j is integral and \tilde{E}/\mathbb{F}_p is supersingular. If $c_p = 0$ or $v_L(c_p) < e$, we have the followings.*

- 1) For $e = 1$, $c_p = 0$.
- 2) For $e = 2$, $c_p = 0$.

- 3) For $e = 3$, $c_p = 0$ if and only if $j = 0$. If $j \neq 0$, then $v_L(c_p) = 1$ or 2 . More precisely, if $v_p(\Delta) = 4$ then $v_L(c_p) = 2$, and if $v_p(\Delta) = 8$ then $v_L(c_p) = 1$.
- 4) For $e = 4$, $c_p = 0$ if and only if $j = 1728$. If $j \neq 1728$, then $v_L(c_p) = 2$.
- 5) For $e = 6$, $c_p = 0$ if and only if $j = 0$. If $j \neq 0$, then $v_L(c_p) = 2$ or 4 . More precisely, if $v_p(\Delta) = 2$ then $v_L(c_p) = 2$, and if $v_p(\Delta) = 10$ then $v_L(c_p) = 4$.

Under the condition of Theorem, it follows that $v_p(\Delta) = 4$ or 8 for $e = 3$ and that $v_p(\Delta) = 2$ or 10 for $e = 6$.

If we assume that there exists an elliptic curve E' over \mathbb{Q}_p and an isogeny $\nu: E \rightarrow E'$ of degree p over \mathbb{Q}_p , then $v_L(c_p) < e$ by Lemma 4.1.1. Moreover since E and E' are isogenous, E' has good reduction over L . Let \hat{E}' be a formal group over \mathcal{O}_L associated to E'/\mathcal{O}_L . Then we can construct an isogeny $\hat{\nu}_L: \hat{E} \rightarrow \hat{E}'$ of height 1 over \mathcal{O}_L . Let $\hat{\nu}_L(x) = a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots$. We are interested in the valuation of the leading coefficient a_1 . Put $t = v_L(a_1)$. By Lemma 4.1.1, $t = e - v_L(c_p)$ if $e < p$. So we can determine the value of t by Theorem.

In [6], we determine the image of a local Kummer map $\delta: E'(K)/\nu_K E(K) \rightarrow H^1(K, \ker \nu_K)$, where K is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and $\nu_K: E \rightarrow E'$ is a p -isogeny over K . The image $\text{Im} \delta$ is the local image of the connecting homomorphism at a prime over p of the Selmer group of an isogeny of degree p over a number field. And the image is described using the filtration on the unit group of K and the valuation of the leading coefficient of the formal power series of ν_K . It is important to know the value of t in order to calculate the Selmer groups of isogenies of degree p over \mathbb{Q} .

Corollary. 1) For $e = 1$, E does not have isogenies of degree p over \mathbb{Q}_p .

2) For $e = 2$, E does not have isogenies of degree p over \mathbb{Q}_p .

3) For $e = 3$, if $j = 0$, then E does not have isogenies of degree p over \mathbb{Q}_p . If $j \neq 0$, then $t = 1$ or 2 . More precisely if $v_p(\Delta) = 4$ then $t = 1$, and if $v_p(\Delta) = 8$ then $t = 2$.

4) For $e = 4$, if $j = 1728$, then E does not have isogenies of degree p over \mathbb{Q}_p . If $j \neq 1728$, then $t = 2$.

5) For $e = 6$, if $j = 0$, then E does not have isogenies of degree p over \mathbb{Q}_p . If $j \neq 0$, then $t = 2$ or 4 . More precisely if $v_p(\Delta) = 2$ then $t = 4$, and if $v_p(\Delta) = 10$ then $t = 2$.

In order to prove Theorem, we must know the formal logarithm $\log_{\hat{E}}$ of \hat{E} , since $[p](x) = \log_{\hat{E}}^{-1} \circ p \circ \log_{\hat{E}}$. In §2, we obtained the power series expansion of $\log_{\hat{E}}$ in Proposition 2.2.1. If $e = 1$, $\log_{\hat{E}}$ is uniquely determined by the theory of Honda formal groups. When $e > 1$, we prove that $\log_{\hat{E}}$ corresponds to a generator of the lifting of the Deudonné module of the p -divisible group of \tilde{E} over \mathbb{F}_p and describe the power series of $\log_{\hat{E}}$ by using the parameter $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_L$ which appears in the lifting. In §3, we determine the value of $v_L(c_p)$ by using the proposition of Volkov [10] under the conditions that E is defined over \mathbb{Q}_p and \tilde{E} is supersingular. In §4, we consider isogenies

of degree p over \mathbb{Q}_p and prove Corollary. We give examples of elliptic curves whose values of t can be determined by Corollary.

2. Honda formal groups and Dieudonné modules

Let notations and assumptions be as in §1.

2.1. The case $e = 1$. For a commutative ring R , we write $R[[x]]_0 = \{f \in R[[x]] \mid f \equiv 0 \pmod{x}\}$. Let Γ be a formal group over \mathbb{Z}_p and $\log_\Gamma(x) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[x]]_0$ the formal logarithm of Γ/\mathbb{Z}_p [7, IV, §5]. It satisfies $\log_\Gamma(x) = x + \dots$ and $\log_\Gamma(x) + \log_\Gamma(y) = \log_\Gamma(\Gamma(x, y))$. For $\sum a_i x^i \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[x]]$, define the Frobenius endomorphism φ by

$$(2.1) \quad \varphi\left(\sum a_i x^i\right) = \sum a_i x^{pi}.$$

Assume that $\log_\Gamma(x)$ is of type $T^2 + p$, that is $(\varphi^2 + p)\log_\Gamma(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]_0}$ [5, §2].

Lemma 2.1.1 (cf. [3, 2.4, Lemma]). *We have*

$$\log_\Gamma(x) = \sum_{k=1, p^2 \nmid k}^\infty \sum_{m=0}^\infty \left\{ (-1)^m \frac{1}{p^m} b_k + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{p^{m-i}} a_i^{(k)} \right\} x^{kp^{2m}},$$

where $b_1 = 1$, $b_k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $a_i^{(k)} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Therefore let $\log_\Gamma(x) = x + b_2x^2 + \dots + b_px^p + \dots + b_{p^2}x^{p^2} + \dots$, then

$$\begin{cases} b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p & \text{for } p^2 \nmid i, \\ v_p(b_{p^{2m}}) = -m & \text{for } m = 1, 2, \dots, \\ v_p(b_{kp^{2m}}) \geq -m & \text{for } m = 1, 2, \dots \text{ and } p^2 \nmid k. \end{cases}$$

Epecially we can choose Γ over \mathbb{Z}_p in the strong isomorphism class such that

$$\log_\Gamma(x) = x - \frac{1}{p}x^{p^2} + \frac{1}{p^2}x^{p^4} + \dots + (-1)^m \frac{1}{p^m}x^{p^{2m}} + \dots.$$

Proof. Let $\log_\Gamma(x) = x + b_2x^2 + \dots + b_px^p + \dots + b_{p^2}x^{p^2} + \dots$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\varphi^2 + p)\log_\Gamma(x) \\ &= x^{p^2} + b_2x^{2p^2} + \dots + b_px^{p^3} + \dots + b_{p^2}x^{p^4} + \dots \\ & \quad + px + pb_2x^2 + \dots + pb_px^p + \dots + pb_{p^2}x^{p^2} + \dots + pb_{p^4}x^{p^4} + \dots \\ & \equiv 0 \pmod{p\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $p^2 \nmid k$, then $pb_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p\mathbb{Z}_p}$. So $b_k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Since $b_k + pb_{kp^2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p\mathbb{Z}_p}$,

$$b_{kp^2} = -\frac{1}{p}b_k + a_1^{(k)}, \quad a_1^{(k)} \in \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Since $b_{kp^{2(m-1)}} + pb_{kp^{2m}} \equiv 0 \pmod{p\mathbb{Z}_p}$,

$$b_{kp^{2m}} = (-1)^m \frac{1}{p^m}b_k + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{p^{m-i}}a_i^{(k)}, \quad \text{where } a_i^{(k)} \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \text{ for } m = 2, 3, \dots$$

We choose the Γ over \mathbb{Z}_p in the strong isomorphism class such that $(\varphi^2 + p)\log_\Gamma(x) = px$. Then $\log_\Gamma(x) = x - (1/p)x^{p^2} + (1/p^2)x^{p^4} + \dots + (-1)^m(1/p^m)x^{p^{2m}} + \dots$. \square

If $e = 1$, E has good reduction over \mathbb{Q}_p . Assume that the reduction \tilde{E}/\mathbb{F}_p of E/\mathbb{Z}_p is supersingular. Let \hat{E} be the formal group over \mathbb{Z}_p associated to E/\mathbb{Z}_p and $\log_{\hat{E}}(x)$ be the formal logarithm of \hat{E}/\mathbb{Z}_p .

Proposition 2.1.1. *For $e = 1$, if $c_p = 0$ or $v_p(c_p) < 1$, then $c_p = 0$.*

Proof. If $e = 1$, $\log_{\hat{E}}(x)$ is of type $T^2 + p$ ([4, Theorem 5], [5, Theorem 9]). So let $\log_{\hat{E}}(x) = x + b_2x^2 + \dots + b_px^p + \dots$, then $b_2, \dots, b_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Hence $\log_{\hat{E}}^{-1}(x) = x + d_2x^2 + \dots + d_px^p + \dots$, where $d_2, \dots, d_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [p](x) &= \log_{\hat{E}}^{-1} \circ p \circ \log_{\hat{E}}(x) \\ &= px + \dots + pb_px^p + \dots \\ &\quad + d_2(px + \dots + pb_px^p + \dots)^2 + \dots \\ &\quad + d_p(px + \dots + pb_px^p + \dots)^p + \dots \\ &= px + \dots + (pb_p + p^2s_2 + \dots + p^{p-1}s_{p-1} + p^pd_p)x^p + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where $s_2, \dots, s_{p-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. So $v_p(c_p) \geq v_p(p) = 1$, we have $c_p = 0$. \square

Let $\tilde{E}(p)$ be the p -divisible group of \tilde{E} over \mathbb{F}_p [8, §2] and $M = \mathbb{M}(\tilde{E}(p)) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p}}(\tilde{E}(p), \widehat{CW}_{\mathbb{F}_p})$ the Dieudonné module of $\tilde{E}(p)$ over \mathbb{F}_p [2, p. 126], where $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p} = \mathbb{Z}_p[F, V]$. For a commutative ring R , let $\Lambda(R) = R[[x]]$ and $\Lambda_0(R) = R[[x]]_0$. By Yoneda's lemma $M = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p}}(\tilde{E}(p), \widehat{CW}_{\mathbb{F}_p})$ is a $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ -submodule of $\widehat{CW}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\Lambda_0(\mathbb{F}_p))$, where CW is the group of Witt covectors [2, p. 74] and $\widehat{CW}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A) = CW(A)$ for a

profinite \mathbb{F}_p -ring A [2, p. 90, p. 93]. For $(\dots, a_{-n}, \dots, a_{-1}, a_0) \in \widehat{CW}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\Lambda_0(\mathbb{F}_p))$, let

$$F(\dots, a_{-n}, \dots, a_{-1}, a_0) = (\dots, a_{-n}^p, \dots, a_{-1}^p, a_0^p)$$

and

$$V(\dots, a_{-n}, \dots, a_{-1}, a_0) = (\dots, a_{-n-1}, \dots, a_{-2}, a_{-1}).$$

By [2, III, Proposition 6.1] the functor \mathbb{M} induces an anti-equivalence between the categories of p -divisible groups over \mathbb{F}_p and free \mathbb{Z}_p -modules of finite rank. Let $\phi: \tilde{E}(p) \rightarrow \tilde{E}(p)$ be the p -th power Frobenius endomorphism, then $F = \mathbb{M}(\phi)$. If \tilde{E} is supersingular, then M is a free \mathbb{Z}_p -module of rank 2 and F satisfies $F^2 + p = 0$. Let e_1 be a generator of the $\mathbb{Z}_p[F]$ -module M . Then (e_1, e_2) is a \mathbb{Z}_p -base of M and $e_2 = Fe_1$ [10, p. 86].

Let $P(\Lambda_0(\mathbb{Z}_p)) = \{f \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[x]] \mid df/dx \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]\} \cap \mathbb{Q}_p[[x]]_0$. Define

$$w: \widehat{CW}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\Lambda_0(\mathbb{F}_p)) \rightarrow P(\Lambda_0(\mathbb{Z}_p))/p\Lambda_0(\mathbb{Z}_p),$$

by

$$(\dots, a_{-n}, \dots, a_{-1}, a_0) \mapsto \sum p^{-n} \hat{a}_{-n}^{p^n}.$$

For $a = \sum b_i x^i \in \mathbb{F}_p[[x]]$, let $\hat{a} = \sum [b_i] x^i$, where $[\]: \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is the multiplicative system of representatives of $\mathbb{Z}_p = W(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Then $\varphi = w \circ F$. By abuse of language, we denote φ by F . So $P(\Lambda_0(\mathbb{Z}_p))$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p[[F]]$ -module and w is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_p[[F]]$ -modules [2, p. 240]. Let

$$\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\Gamma) = \{f \in P(\Lambda_0(\mathbb{Z}_p)) \mid f(x) + f(y) - f(\Gamma(x, y)) \in p\mathbb{Z}_p[[x, y]]_0\}$$

and

$$MH_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\Gamma)/p\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]_0.$$

By [2, III, Proposition 6.5], $w: M \simeq MH_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\Gamma)$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_p[[F]]$ -modules. Let

$$\mathcal{LH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\Gamma) = \{f \in P(\Lambda_0(\mathbb{Z}_p)) \mid f(x) + f(y) - f(\Gamma(x, y)) = 0\}$$

and

$$\rho: \mathcal{LH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} \mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\text{mod } p\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]_0} MH_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\Gamma) \simeq M.$$

Then $\mathcal{LH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\Gamma)/p\mathcal{LH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\Gamma) \simeq M/FM$ as \mathbb{F}_p -vector space by [2, IV, Proposition 1.1]. And $\mathcal{LH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\Gamma)$ is a free \mathbb{Z}_p -module of rank 1 generated by $\log_{\Gamma}(x)$.

Lemma 2.1.2. *For a generator e_1 of $\mathbb{Z}_p[F]$ -module M , there exists $\log_\Gamma(x)$ of type $T^2 + p$ such that $w(e_1) = u' \log_\Gamma(x)$, where $u' \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$.*

Proof. Let $M = \mathbb{Z}_p e_1 + \mathbb{Z}_p e_2 = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$ and $e_2 = Fe_1$. Then $Fe_2 = F^2 e_1 = -pe_1$. Since $\mathcal{LH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\Gamma)/p\mathcal{LH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\Gamma) \simeq M/FM$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \log_\Gamma(x) \rangle / p \langle \log_\Gamma(x) \rangle &\simeq \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle / \langle Fe_1, Fe_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle / \langle e_2, -pe_1 \rangle \\ &\simeq \langle e_1 \rangle / p \langle e_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore there exist $u_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ and $a' \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} w(e_1) &= u_1 \log_\Gamma(x) + pa' \log_\Gamma(x) \\ &= (u_1 + pa') \log_\Gamma(x). \end{aligned}$$

Putting $u = u_1 + pa'$, then $w(e_1) = u' \log_\Gamma(x)$, where $u' \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. □

2.2. The case $e > 1$. By [10, 4.1.3], if $e < p - 1$, we consider liftings over \mathcal{O}_L of M , that is \mathcal{O}_L -submodules \mathcal{L} of rank 1 of $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} M + p^{-1}\pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} FM$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}/\pi\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{M}/p^{-1}\pi \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} FM.$$

Because $M = \mathbb{Z}_p e_1 + \mathbb{Z}_p e_2$, $Fe_1 = e_2$ and $Fe_2 = -pe_1$, \mathcal{O}_L -module \mathcal{M} is written by

$$\mathcal{M} = \langle 1 \otimes e_1, 1 \otimes e_2, p^{-1}\pi \otimes e_2, \pi \otimes e_1 \rangle = \langle 1 \otimes e_1, p^{-1}\pi \otimes e_2 \rangle.$$

By [10, 4.2], liftings over \mathcal{O}_L of M correspond bijectively to

$$\mathcal{L}(\beta) = (1 \otimes e_1 + \beta p^{-1}\pi \otimes e_2)\mathcal{O}_L, \quad \beta \in \mathcal{O}_L.$$

Indeed, we define $\psi: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}/p^{-1}\pi \otimes FM$ by

$$1 \otimes e_1 + \beta p^{-1}\pi \otimes e_2 \mapsto 1 \otimes e_1 + \beta p^{-1}\pi \otimes e_2 \pmod{p^{-1}\pi \otimes FM}.$$

Then ψ is surjective because

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle 1 \otimes e_1 + \beta p^{-1}\pi \otimes e_2 \rangle + p^{-1}\pi \otimes FM \\ &= \langle 1 \otimes e_1 + \beta p^{-1}\pi \otimes e_2, p^{-1}\pi \otimes e_2, \pi \otimes e_1 \rangle \\ &= \langle 1 \otimes e_1, p^{-1}\pi \otimes e_2 \rangle \\ &= \mathcal{M}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_L$ such that $\alpha(1 \otimes e_1 + \beta p^{-1}\pi \otimes e_2) \in \langle p^{-1}\pi \otimes e_2, \pi \otimes e_1 \rangle$, then $\alpha \in \pi \mathcal{O}_L$. So $\mathcal{L}/\pi \mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{M}/p^{-1}\pi \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} FM$.

For \mathcal{O}_L and $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ -module \mathfrak{M} , we define $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{O}_L}$ as in [2, p.190]. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathfrak{M}^{(j)}$ is a $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ -submodule of \mathfrak{M} defined in [2, p.188] and $v: \mathfrak{M}^{(j)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^{(j+1)}$ (resp. $f: \mathfrak{M}^{(j)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^{(j-1)}$) is defined by $v(a) = Va$ (resp. $f(a) = Fa$). If f is injective $f^j: \mathfrak{M}^{(j)} \simeq F^j \mathfrak{M}$. If $1 < e \leq p - 1$, $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{O}_L}$ is defined by the inductive limit of the following diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes \mathfrak{M} & \xrightarrow{v_0} & p^{-1}\pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes \mathfrak{M}^{(1)} \\ \varphi_0 \downarrow & & \uparrow \varphi'_0 \\ \mathcal{O}_L \otimes \mathfrak{M} & \xleftarrow{f_0} & \mathcal{O}_L \otimes \mathfrak{M}^{(1)} \end{array}$$

where $\varphi_0(\lambda \otimes a) = \lambda \otimes a$, $f_0(\lambda \otimes a) = \lambda \otimes f(a)$, $\varphi'_0(\lambda \otimes a) = \lambda \otimes a$, $v_0(\lambda \otimes a) = p^{-1}\lambda \otimes v(a)$. Then $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{O}_L}$ is written by

$$\mathcal{O}_L \otimes \mathfrak{M} \oplus p^{-1}\pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes \mathfrak{M}^{(1)} / \langle \text{Im } \varphi_0, \text{Im } f_0, \text{Im } \varphi'_0, \text{Im } v_0 \rangle,$$

where $\text{Im } \varphi_0 = \pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes \mathfrak{M}$, $\text{Im } f_0 = \mathcal{O}_L \otimes f(\mathfrak{M}^{(1)}) = \mathcal{O}_L \otimes F\mathfrak{M}$, $\text{Im } \varphi'_0 = \mathcal{O}_L \otimes \mathfrak{M}^{(1)}$ and $\text{Im } v_0 = p^{-1}\pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes v(\mathfrak{M})$. If f is injective, $f: \text{Im } \varphi'_0 = \mathcal{O}_L \otimes \mathfrak{M}^{(1)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_L \otimes F\mathfrak{M}$ and $f: \text{Im } v_0 = p^{-1}\pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes v(\mathfrak{M}) \simeq p^{-1}\pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes FV\mathfrak{M} = p^{-1}\pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes p\mathfrak{M} = \pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes \mathfrak{M}$. Therefore if f is injective,

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{O}_L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_L \otimes \mathfrak{M} \oplus p^{-1}\pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes F\mathfrak{M} / \langle \pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes \mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{O}_L \otimes F\mathfrak{M} \rangle.$$

Denote the above isomorphism by f' .

Let $N = CW(\mathbb{F}_p[[x]])$. When $\mathfrak{M} = N$, f is injective and v is surjective [2, p.199, p.202].

Lemma 2.2.1. $f': N_{\mathcal{O}_L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_L \otimes N + p^{-1}\pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes FN$.

Proof. We must show $\mathcal{O}_L \otimes N \cap p^{-1}\pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes FN = \langle \pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes N, \mathcal{O}_L \otimes FN \rangle$. Since $VN = N$, $p^{-1}\pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes FN = \pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes p^{-1}FN = \pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes V^{-1}N = \pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes N$. So $\mathcal{O}_L \otimes N \cap p^{-1}\pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes FN = \pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes N \subset \langle \pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes N, \mathcal{O}_L \otimes FN \rangle$. Since F is injective, $\mathcal{O}_L \otimes FN \subset \mathcal{O}_L \otimes N$. So $\langle \pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes N, \mathcal{O}_L \otimes FN \rangle \subset \mathcal{O}_L \otimes N \cap p^{-1}\pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes FN$. \square

Let $P(\Lambda_0(\mathcal{O}_L)) = \{f \in L[[x]] \mid df/dx \in \mathcal{O}_L[[x]]\} \cap L[[x]]_0$. Since $VN = N$, $w'': N_{\mathcal{O}_L} \rightarrow P(\Lambda_0(\mathcal{O}_L))/\pi \mathcal{O}_L[[x]]_0$ is defined and an \mathcal{O}_L -isomorphism by [2, IV, Proposition 3.2]. Define $\varphi_1: p^{-1}\pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes N \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L \otimes N$ by $p^{-1}\lambda \otimes a \mapsto \lambda \otimes c$, where $c \in N \text{ mod } \ker V$ such that $v(c) = a$. So $\varphi: p^{-1}\pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes FN \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L \otimes N$ is defined by $p^{-1}\lambda \otimes f(a) \mapsto \lambda \otimes c$, where $pc = f \circ v(c) = f(a)$. Let $w' = w'' \circ (f')^{-1}$. Then $w': \mathcal{O}_L \otimes N + p^{-1}\pi \mathcal{O}_L \otimes FN \rightarrow P(\Lambda_0(\mathcal{O}_L))/\pi \mathcal{O}_L[[x]]_0$ is written by $w' = 1 \otimes w + (1 \otimes w) \circ \varphi$ [2, p.199].

Lemma 2.2.2. For $1 \otimes e_1 + \beta p^{-1}\pi \otimes e_2 \in \mathcal{O}_L \otimes N + p^{-1}\pi\mathcal{O}_L \otimes FN$,

$$w'(1 \otimes e_1 + \beta p^{-1}\pi \otimes e_2) = 1 \otimes w(e_1) + \beta\pi \otimes p^{-1}Fw(e_1).$$

Proof. For $e_1 \in N$, there exists $c \in N \bmod \ker V$ such that $v(c) = e_1$, so $pc = f(e_1)$. Since w is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_p[F, V]$ -modules, $pw(c) = f \circ v \circ w(c) = f \circ w(e_1)$. So $w(c) = p^{-1}f \circ w(e_1)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &w'(1 \otimes e_1 + \beta p^{-1}\pi \otimes Fe_1) \\ &= (1 \otimes w)(1 \otimes e_1) + (1 \otimes w) \circ \varphi(p^{-1}\beta\pi \otimes Fe_1) \\ &= (1 \otimes w)(1 \otimes e_1) + (1 \otimes w)(\beta\pi \otimes c) \\ &= 1 \otimes w(e_1) + \beta\pi \otimes w(c) \\ &= 1 \otimes w(e_1) + \beta\pi \otimes p^{-1}Fw(e_1). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

When $\mathfrak{M} = M$, f is injective. We put $M_0 = \mathcal{O}_L \otimes M$, $M'_0 = \pi\mathcal{O}_L \otimes M$, $M''_1 = \mathcal{O}_L \otimes FM$ and $M_1 = p^{-1}\pi\mathcal{O}_L \otimes FM$. Then $f': M_{\mathcal{O}_L} \simeq M_0 \oplus M_1 / \langle M'_0, M''_1 \rangle$.

Lemma 2.2.3. $M_{\mathcal{O}_L} \simeq \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. Since $M_0 \oplus M_1 / M_0 \cap M_1 = M_0 + M_1 = \mathcal{M}$, we must show $M_0 \cap M_1 = \langle M'_0, M''_1 \rangle$. Because

$$\begin{aligned} M_0 \cap M_1 &= \mathcal{O}_L \otimes M \cap p^{-1}\pi\mathcal{O}_L \otimes FM \\ &= \langle 1 \otimes e_1, 1 \otimes e_2 \rangle \cap \langle p^{-1}\pi \otimes e_2, \pi \otimes e_1 \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi \otimes e_1, 1 \otimes e_2 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M'_0, M''_1 \rangle &= \langle \pi\mathcal{O}_L \otimes M, \mathcal{O}_L \otimes FM \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi \otimes e_1, \pi \otimes e_2, 1 \otimes e_2, p \otimes e_1 \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi \otimes e_1, 1 \otimes e_2 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$M_0 \cap M_1 = \langle M'_0, M''_1 \rangle. \quad \square$$

For the formal group \hat{E} over \mathcal{O}_L , we define

$$\mathcal{MH}_{\mathcal{O}_L}(\hat{E}) = \{f \in P(\Lambda_0(\mathcal{O}_L)) \mid f(x) + f(y) - f(\hat{E}(x, y)) \in \pi\mathcal{O}_L[[x, y]]_0\}$$

and

$$MH_{\mathcal{O}_L}(\hat{E}) = \mathcal{MH}_{\mathcal{O}_L}(\hat{E}) / \pi\mathcal{O}_L[[x]]_0.$$

By [2, IV, Proposition 4.1], the \mathcal{O}_L -isomorphism $w'' : N_{\mathcal{O}_L} \rightarrow P(\Lambda_0(\mathcal{O}_L)/\pi\mathcal{O}_L[[x]])_0$ induces the \mathcal{O}_L -isomorphism $w'' : M_{\mathcal{O}_L} \simeq MH_{\mathcal{O}_L}(\hat{E})$. We define

$$\mathcal{LH}_{\mathcal{O}_L}(\hat{E}) = \{f \in P(\Lambda_0(\mathcal{O}_L)) \mid f(x) + f(y) - f(\hat{E}(x, y)) = 0\}$$

and

$$\rho' : \mathcal{LH}_{\mathcal{O}_L}(\hat{E}) \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} \mathcal{MH}_{\mathcal{O}_L}(\hat{E}) \xrightarrow{\text{mod } \pi\mathcal{O}_L[[x]]_0} MH_{\mathcal{O}_L}(\hat{E}) \simeq M_{\mathcal{O}_L} \simeq \mathcal{M}.$$

Then $\mathcal{LH}_{\mathcal{O}_L}(\hat{E})/\pi\mathcal{LH}_{\mathcal{O}_L}(\hat{E}) \simeq M_{\mathcal{O}_L}/p^{-1}\pi \otimes FM$ as \mathbb{F}_p -vector space by [2, IV, Proposition 4.2].

Lemma 2.2.4. *Let $\mathcal{L} = \rho'(\mathcal{LH}_{\mathcal{O}_L}(\hat{E}))$ and $l = 1 \otimes e_1 + \beta p^{-1}\pi \otimes e_2$ be a generator of $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\beta)$, then $\log_{\hat{E}}(x) = uw'(l)$, where $u \in \mathcal{O}_L^\times$.*

Proof. $\mathcal{LH}_{\mathcal{O}_L}(\hat{E})/\pi\mathcal{LH}_{\mathcal{O}_L}(\hat{E}) \simeq \mathcal{M}/p^{-1}\pi \otimes FM \simeq \mathcal{L}/\pi\mathcal{L}$ as \mathbb{F}_p -vector space. So $\log_{\hat{E}}(x) = u_2w'(l) + \pi a''w'(l)$, where $u_2 \in \mathcal{O}_L^\times$ and $a'' \in \mathcal{O}_L$. Put $u = u_2 + \pi a''$, $\log_{\hat{E}}(x) = uw'(l)$. □

Lemma 2.2.5. *If $v_L(c_p) < e$, then the value of $v_L(c_p)$ does not depend on the choice of a minimal model E/\mathcal{O}_L .*

Proof. Put $R = \mathcal{O}_L/(\pi^e)$. Then we have an isogeny $\overline{[p]}(x) = [p](x) \text{ mod } (\pi^e) = \bar{p}x + \dots + \bar{c}_p x^p + \dots$ over R . Since R is a ring of characteristic p , there exists an integer h such that $\overline{[p]}(x)$ is a power series of x^{p^h} [6, Lemma 2.1.1, Lemma 2.1.2]. If $v_L(c_p) < e$, then h must be 1. So $\overline{[p]}(x) = \bar{c}_p x^p + \dots$. Hence we have $v_L(c_j) > v_L(c_p)$ for $j = 2, \dots, p - 1$. Let E_1/\mathcal{O}_L be the other minimal model of E over \mathcal{O}_L and \hat{E}_1 be a formal group over \mathcal{O}_L associated to E_1/\mathcal{O}_L . Then there exists an isomorphism $\psi : \hat{E} \rightarrow \hat{E}_1$ written by $\psi(x) = x(b_0 + b_1x + \dots)$, where $b_0 \in \mathcal{O}_L^\times$ and $b_1, b_2, \dots \in \mathcal{O}_L$. Put $x' = \psi(x)$ then ψ^{-1} is written by $\psi^{-1}(x') = x'(b'_0 + b'_1x' + \dots)$, where $b'_0 \in \mathcal{O}_L^\times$ and $b'_1, b'_2, \dots \in \mathcal{O}_L$. Hence the coefficient of x'^p of $[p](x') = \psi([p](\psi^{-1}(x')))$ is $c_p b_0'^p b_0 + \text{higher valuation terms}$. So its valuation is equal to $v_L(c_p)$. □

Proposition 2.2.1. *We have*

$$\log_{\hat{E}}(x) = x + b_2x^2 + \dots + b_{p-1}x^{p-1} + \left(b_p + \frac{\beta\pi}{p}\right)x^p + \dots$$

and

$$[p](x) = px + \dots + (\beta\pi + pa)x^p + \dots, \quad a \in \mathcal{O}_L.$$

Therefore the value $v_L(c_p)$ does not depend on the choice of a generator e_1 of $\mathbb{Z}_p[F]$ -module M and $v_L(c_p) = v_L(\beta\pi)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2.4, $\log_{\hat{E}}(x) = uw'(1 \otimes e_1 + \beta p^{-1}\pi \otimes e_2)$. Let $\log_{\Gamma}(x) = x + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} b_i x^i$. Then by Lemma 2.2.2 and Lemma 2.1.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \log_{\hat{E}}(x) &= uw'(1 \otimes e_1 + \beta p^{-1}\pi \otimes e_2) \\ &= u\{1 \otimes w(e_1) + \beta\pi \otimes p^{-1}Fw(e_1)\} \\ &= u\{1 \otimes u' \log_{\Gamma}(x) + \beta\pi \otimes p^{-1}Fu' \log_{\Gamma}(x)\} \\ &= uu' \left\{ 1 \otimes \left(x + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} b_i x^i \right) + \beta\pi \otimes p^{-1} \left(x^p + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} b_i x^{pi} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of $\log_{\hat{E}}(x)$, $uu' = 1$. So

$$\log_{\hat{E}}(x) = x + \cdots + b_{p-1}x^{p-1} + \left(b_p + \frac{\beta\pi}{p} \right) x^p + \cdots.$$

Let $\log_{\hat{E}}^{-1}(x) = x + d_2x^2 + \cdots + d_{p-1}x^{p-1} + d_px^p + \cdots$. Then $x = \log_{\hat{E}}^{-1} \circ \log_{\hat{E}}(x) = x + (b_2 + d_2)x^2 + \cdots + (b_p + \beta\pi/p + \cdots + d_p)x^p + \cdots$. Since $b_k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ for $p^2 \nmid k$, $d_2, \dots, d_{p-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $d_p = -\beta\pi/p + d'_p$, where $d'_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} [p](x) &= \log_{\hat{E}}^{-1} \circ p \circ \log_{\hat{E}}(x) \\ &= px + \cdots + pb_{p-1}x^{p-1} + (pb_p + \beta\pi)x^p + \cdots \\ &\quad + d_2\{px + \cdots + pb_{p-1}x^{p-1} + (pb_p + \beta\pi)x^p + \cdots\}^2 + \cdots \\ &\quad + d_p\{px + \cdots + pb_{p-1}x^{p-1} + (pb_p + \beta\pi)x^p + \cdots\}^p + \cdots \\ &= px + \cdots \\ &\quad + \left\{ (pb_p + \beta\pi) + p^2s_2 + \cdots + p^{p-1}s_{p-1} + p^p \left(-\frac{\beta\pi}{p} + d'_p \right) \right\} x^p + \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

where $s_2, \dots, s_{p-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. So $c_p = \beta\pi + pa$, where $a \in \mathcal{O}_L$. Since $v_L(c_p) < e$, we have $v_L(c_p) = v_L(\beta\pi)$. \square

3. Proof of Theorem

Let notations and assumptions be as in §1 and §2.

Let K_e be the Galois closure of L in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. Since the order of $(\mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z})^\times$ is 1 or 2, $p \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$ or $p \equiv -1 \pmod{e}$. Let ζ_e be a primitive e -th root of 1. So the cases of K_e are as follows. If $e = 1$, $K_1 = \mathbb{Q}_p$. If $e = 2$, $K_2 = \mathbb{Q}_p(\pi)$ and $\text{Gal}(K_2/\mathbb{Q}_p) = \langle \tau \rangle$ ($\tau\pi = -\pi$). If $e = 3, 4, 6$ and $e \mid p-1$, $K_e = \mathbb{Q}_p(\pi)$ and $\text{Gal}(K_e/\mathbb{Q}_p) = \langle \tau \rangle$ ($\tau\pi = \zeta_e\pi$). If $e = 3, 4, 6$ and $e \mid p+1$, $K_e = \mathbb{Q}_{p^2}(\pi) = \mathbb{Q}_{p^2}(\pi, \zeta_e)$ and $\text{Gal}(K_e/\mathbb{Q}_p) = \langle \tau \rangle \rtimes \langle \omega \rangle$ ($\tau\pi = \zeta_e\pi$, $\tau\zeta_e = \zeta_e$, $\omega\pi = \pi$, $\omega\zeta_e = \zeta_e^{-1}$) [10, p. 74].

Let $e \in \{3, 4, 6\}$ and $e \mid p+1$. For $[\zeta_e] \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}}(\bar{E})$, put $\xi_e = \mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}}([\zeta_e](p))$. Then we can take the basis (e_1, e_2) of $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ such that $\xi_e e_1 = \zeta_e^e e_1$ and $\xi_e e_2 = \zeta_e^{-e} e_2$.

($\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$) [10, p. 87]. Moreover using the condition E is defined over \mathbb{Q}_p , τ acts on $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ rising from $\langle \tau \rangle \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}}(\tilde{E})$ and the action is preserved on $\mathcal{L}(\beta) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} \mathcal{O}_{K_e}$. Then $\tau e_1 = \xi_e e_1 = \zeta_e^\varepsilon e_1$ and $\tau e_2 = \xi_e e_2 = \zeta_e^{-\varepsilon} e_2$, ($\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$) [10, p. 94].

Proposition 3.1.1 ([10, Proof of Proposition 4.8]). *Let β be as in Lemma 2.2.4 and $e \in \{3, 4, 6\}$ such that $e \mid p + 1$ and $e < p - 1$. Assume that \tilde{E} is supersingular. Let j -invariant of \tilde{E} be 0 (for $e = 3, 6$) and 1728 (for $e = 4$). We choose a generator e_1 of $\mathbb{Z}_p[F]$ -module M and $e_2 = F(e_1)$ such that $\xi_e e_1 = \zeta_e^\varepsilon e_1$ and $\xi_e e_2 = \zeta_e^{-\varepsilon} e_2$, where $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$. Then*

1) *The j -invariant of E is 0 if and only if $\beta = 0$ for $e = 3, 6$. The j -invariant of E is 1728 if and only if $\beta = 0$ for $e = 4$.*

2) *E is defined over \mathbb{Q}_p if and only if*
$$\begin{cases} \beta \in \pi \mathbb{Z}_p & \text{for } \varepsilon = 1, \\ \beta \in \pi^{e-3} \mathbb{Z}_p & \text{for } \varepsilon = -1. \end{cases}$$

By the Tate algorithm [9], if $e = 3$ or 6 then $j(\tilde{E}) = 0$ and if $e = 4$ then $j(\tilde{E}) = 1728$.

Lemma 3.1.1. *If \tilde{E} is supersingular, $e \mid p + 1$.*

Proof. By [7, III, Theorem 10.1], if $e = 3, 6$ (resp. $e = 4$), $\text{Aut } \tilde{E} \simeq \mu_6$ (resp. $\text{Aut } \tilde{E} \simeq \mu_4$). So $\text{Aut } \tilde{E} \ni \zeta_e$. In order to prove $e \mid p + 1$, we must show that $\text{Aut } \tilde{E}$ is not defined over \mathbb{F}_p but over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} if \tilde{E} is supersingular.

We write \tilde{E} by the equation $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$, $A, B \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and the automorphism by the form $(x', y') \mapsto (u^2 x', u^3 y') = (x, y)$, where $u \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$.

1) The case $j = 0$

In this case, $\tilde{E}: y^2 = x^3 + B$ and $u^6 \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$. By [7, V, Example 4.4],

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 \text{ is supersingular} \\ \iff \text{the coefficient of } x^{p-1} \text{ in } (x^3 + B)^{(p-1)/2} \text{ is } 0 \\ \iff p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{aligned}$$

If $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then $(\mathbb{F}_p^\times)^3 = \mathbb{F}_p^\times$. So $u^2 \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$. Hence $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$. Since $6 \nmid p - 1$, u is not contained in \mathbb{F}_p .

2) The case $j = 1728$

In this case, $\tilde{E}: y^2 = x^3 + Ax$ and $u^4 \in \mathbb{F}_p$. By [7, V, Example 4.5],

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E} \text{ is supersingular} \\ \iff \text{the coefficient of } x^{p-1} \text{ in } (x^3 + Ax)^{(p-1)/2} \text{ is } 0 \\ \iff p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\#(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}^\times) = p^2 - 1 = (p-1)(p+1)$, $u^4 = c^{p+1}$, where $c \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$. So $u = c^{(p+1)/4} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$. Since $4 \nmid p-1$, u is not contained in \mathbb{F}_p . □

Lemma 3.1.2. *If $e = 3$ and $v_p(\Delta) = 4$, then $\tau(e_1) = \zeta_e e_1$.*

If $e = 3$ and $v_p(\Delta) = 8$, then $\tau(e_1) = \zeta_e^{-1} e_1$.

If $e = 4$ and $v_p(\Delta) = 3$, then $\tau(e_1) = \zeta_e e_1$.

If $e = 4$ and $v_p(\Delta) = 9$, then $\tau(e_1) = \zeta_e^{-1} e_1$.

If $e = 6$ and $v_p(\Delta) = 2$, then $\tau(e_1) = \zeta_e e_1$.

If $e = 6$ and $v_p(\Delta) = 10$, then $\tau(e_1) = \zeta_e^{-1} e_1$.

Proof. For a generator e_1 of $\mathbb{Z}_p[F]$ -module M , put $e_2 = F(e_1)$. Then there exists $\log_\Gamma(x)$ of type $T^2 + p$ such that $w(e_1) = u' \log_\Gamma(x)$, where $u' \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ by Lemma 2.1.2. By Lemma 2.1.1, we can choose Γ such that

$$\log_\Gamma(x) = x - \frac{1}{p}x^{p^2} + \frac{1}{p^2}x^{p^4} + \dots$$

We regard (e_1, e_2) as the basis of $M \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} = \mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}}(\tilde{E}(p)) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}}}(\tilde{E}(p), \widehat{C\tilde{W}}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}})$, where $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}} = \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}[F, V]$. And as in §2, let

$$w: \widehat{C\tilde{W}}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}}(\Lambda_0(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})) \rightarrow P(\Lambda_0(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}))/p\Lambda_0(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}).$$

Then w is $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}[F]$ -isomorphism. Moreover we regard e_1 as the element of $\mathcal{L}(\beta) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{K_e}$. For the parameter x , let $(w \circ \xi_\varepsilon)(x) = \zeta_e^\varepsilon x$, ($\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$). Then

$$\begin{aligned} w(\xi_\varepsilon(e_1)) &= u' \left(\zeta_e^\varepsilon x - \frac{1}{p}(\zeta_e^\varepsilon x)^{p^2} + \frac{1}{p^2}(\zeta_e^\varepsilon x)^{p^4} + \dots \right) \\ &= u' \left(\zeta_e^\varepsilon x - \frac{1}{p}\zeta_e^\varepsilon x^{p^2} + \frac{1}{p^2}\zeta_e^\varepsilon x^{p^4} + \dots \right) \end{aligned}$$

which by $(\zeta_e^\varepsilon)^{p^2} = \zeta_e^\varepsilon$, since $\zeta_e^{p+1} = 1$

$$= \zeta_e^\varepsilon e_1.$$

Since $F(x) = x^p$,

$$\begin{aligned} w(\xi_\varepsilon(e_2)) &= w(\xi_\varepsilon(F(e_1))) \\ &= u' \left((\zeta_e^\varepsilon x)^p - \frac{1}{p}(\zeta_e^\varepsilon x)^{p^3} + \frac{1}{p^2}(\zeta_e^\varepsilon x)^{p^5} + \dots \right) \\ &= u' \left(\zeta_e^{-\varepsilon} x^p - \frac{1}{p}\zeta_e^{-\varepsilon} x^{p^3} + \frac{1}{p^2}\zeta_e^{-\varepsilon} x^{p^5} + \dots \right) \end{aligned}$$

which by $(\zeta_e^\varepsilon)^p = \zeta_e^{-\varepsilon}$, since $\zeta_e^{p+1} = 1$

$$= \zeta_e^{-\varepsilon} e_2.$$

Hence e_1 satisfies the condition of Proposition 3.1.1.

Let \hat{E}/\mathbb{Z}_p be a formal group over \mathbb{Z}_p associated to E/\mathbb{Z}_p . Let z be a parameter of \hat{E}/\mathbb{Z}_p such that $x = uz$, where $u \in \mathcal{O}_L$. If $e = 3$ and $v_p(\Delta) = 4$, then we can take $u = \pi$. So

$$w(e_1) = u' \left(\pi z - \frac{1}{p}(\pi z)^{p^2} + \frac{1}{p^2}(\pi z)^{p^4} + \dots \right).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(w(e_1)) &= u' \left(\tau(\pi z) - \frac{1}{p}\tau((\pi z)^{p^2}) + \frac{1}{p^2}\tau((\pi z)^{p^4}) + \dots \right) \\ &= u' \left(\zeta_e \pi z - \frac{1}{p}(\zeta_e \pi z)^{p^2} + \frac{1}{p^2}(\zeta_e \pi z)^{p^4} + \dots \right) \\ &= u' \left(\zeta_e \pi z - \frac{1}{p}\zeta_e(\pi z)^{p^2} + \frac{1}{p^2}\zeta_e(\pi z)^{p^4} + \dots \right) \\ &= \zeta_e w(e_1). \end{aligned}$$

If $e = 3$ and $v_p(\Delta) = 8$, then we can take $u = \pi^2$. So $\tau(w(e_1)) = \zeta_e^{-1}w(e_1)$.

If $e = 4$ and $v_p(\Delta) = 3$, then we can take $u = \pi$. So $\tau(w(e_1)) = \zeta_e w(e_1)$.

If $e = 4$ and $v_p(\Delta) = 9$, then we can take $u = \pi^3$. So $\tau(w(e_1)) = \zeta_e^{-1}w(e_1)$.

If $e = 6$ and $v_p(\Delta) = 2$, then we can take $u = \pi$. So $\tau(w(e_1)) = \zeta_e w(e_1)$.

If $e = 6$ and $v_p(\Delta) = 10$, then we can take $u = \pi^5$. So $\tau(w(e_1)) = \zeta_e^{-1}w(e_1)$. \square

Proof of Theorem. The case $e \in \{3, 4, 6\}$. Except for the case $e = 6, p = 5$, the condition $e < p - 1$ is hold. Assume $v_p(j) \geq 0$ and \tilde{E} is supersingular. The assumptions about j -invariant are hold and $e \mid p + 1$ is hold by Lemma 3.1.1. By Proof of Proposition 2.2.1, $c_p = pb_p + \beta\pi + p^2s_2 + \dots + p^{p-1}s_{p-1} + p^p(-\beta\pi/p + d_p')$, where $b_p, s_2, \dots, s_{p-1}, d_p' \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. If $\beta = 0$, then $c_p \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$. Since $v_L(c_p) < e$, it must be $c_p = 0$. Conversely if $c_p = 0$, then $(1 - p^{p-1})\beta\pi \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$. So $\beta\pi/p \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then $\log_{\hat{E}}(x) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[x]]$ by Proof of Proposition 2.2.1. Since \tilde{E} is supersingular, \hat{E} is strongly isomorphic to Γ . Therefore $\beta = 0$. Hence for $e = 3, 6, c_p = 0$ if and only if $j = 0$ and for $e = 4, c_p = 0$ if and only if $j = 1728$ by Proposition 3.1.1, 1). Since E is defined over \mathbb{Q}_p , by Proposition 3.1.1, 2), $v_L(\beta) \equiv 1 \pmod e$ for $\varepsilon = 1$ and $v_L(\beta) \equiv e - 3 \pmod e$ for $\varepsilon = -1$. For $e = 3$, if $j \neq 0$ and $v_p(\Delta) = 4$, then $\varepsilon = 1$ by Lemma 3.1.2 so $v_L(c_p) = v_L(\beta\pi) = 2$ since $v_L(c_p) < e$. For $e = 3$, if $v_p(\Delta) = 8$, $v_L(c_p) = 3 - 3 + 1 = 1$. For $e = 4$, if $v_p(\Delta) = 3$, $v_L(c_p) = 1 + 1 = 2$ and if $v_L(\Delta) = 9$, $v_L(c_p) = 4 - 3 + 1 = 2$. For $e = 6$, if $v_p(\Delta) = 2$, $v_L(c_p) = 1 + 1 = 2$ and if $v_L(\Delta) = 10$, $v_L(c_p) = 6 - 3 + 1 = 4$.

If $e = 6$ and $p = 5$, there exists a quadratic twist E_D which is isomorphic to E over the quadratic extension $\mathbb{Q}_5(\sqrt{D})$, that is $E \ni (x_1, y_1) \rightarrow (x_1, \sqrt{D}y_1) \in E_D$. Let $\pi_m \in \tilde{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ such that $\pi_m^m + p = 0$, then $\sqrt{D} = \pi_2 u$, where u is a unit of the ring of

integers of $\mathbb{Q}_5(\sqrt{D})$. Let Δ_D be the discriminant of E_D . Then $v_5(\Delta_D) = v_5(\Delta) + 6 \equiv 4$ or $8 \pmod{12}$ for $e = 6$. Hence $e_D = 12/\gcd(v_5(\Delta_D), 12) = 3$. So E_D has good reduction over $L' = \mathbb{Q}_p(\pi_3)$ which is a totally ramified extension of degree 3 over \mathbb{Q}_p . Let $\hat{E}_D/\mathcal{O}_{L'}$ be the formal group over $\mathcal{O}_{L'}$ associated to $E_D/\mathcal{O}_{L'}$ and let x' be a parameter of $\hat{E}_D/\mathcal{O}_{L'}$. Let $[p](x') = px' + \dots + c_p'x'^p + \dots$. So if $v_5(\Delta_D) = 4$, $v_L(c_p') = 2$ and if $v_5(\Delta_D) = 8$, $v_L(c_p') = 1$. For the parameter $z = -x_1/y_1$ of \hat{E}/\mathbb{Z}_p , we take the parameter $z' = -x_1/(\pi_2 y_1)$ of \hat{E}_D/\mathbb{Z}_p . We choose the minimal model E_D/\mathcal{O}_L such that $x' = (\pi_3^2/\pi_2)z = \pi_6 z$, so $x = \pi_6 z = x'$. Hence we can take $c_p' = c_p$. Therefore if $v_5(\Delta) = 2$, $v_L(c_p) = 2$ and if $v_5(\Delta_D) = 10$, $v_L(c_p) = 4$.

The case $e = 2$. For $\tau \in \text{Gal}(K_e/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $\tau e_1 = -e_1$, $\tau e_2 = -e_2$ and $\tau\pi = -\pi$ [10, p. 78]. By the similar argument of the proof of [10, Proposition 4.8], τ acts to $\mathcal{L}(\beta)$. So

$$\tau(l) = (-1) \otimes e_1 + \tau(\beta) \frac{-\pi}{p} (-1) \otimes e_2.$$

Since $\mathcal{L}(\beta)$ is \mathcal{O}_L -module of rank 1,

$$\tau(l) = (-1) \left(1 \otimes e_1 + \beta \frac{\pi}{p} \otimes e_2 \right).$$

Hence $\tau(\beta) = -\beta$. So $\tau(\beta\pi) = \beta\pi$, that is $\beta\pi \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. If $\beta \neq 0$, $v_L(c_p) = 0$ by Proposition 2.2.1. Since \tilde{E} is supersingular, $\text{ht}([p]) = 2$. This is a contradiction. Hence $\beta = 0$. Therefore $c_p = 0$ since $v_L(c_p) < 2$. □

4. The isogenies of degree p over \mathbb{Q}_p

Let notations and assumptions be as in previous sections.

4.1. Leading coefficients of isogenies of degree p . Assume that there exist an elliptic curve E' over \mathbb{Q}_p and an isogeny $\nu: E \rightarrow E'$ of degree p over \mathbb{Q}_p . Since E and E' are isogenous, E' has good reduction over L . Let \hat{E}' be a formal group over \mathcal{O}_L associated to E'/\mathcal{O}_L . Then we can construct an isogeny $\hat{\nu}_L: \hat{E} \rightarrow \hat{E}'$ of height 1 over \mathcal{O}_L . Let $\hat{\nu}_L(z) = a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \dots$ and put $t = v_L(a_1)$.

Lemma 4.1.1. *If there exists an isogeny $\hat{\nu}_L: \hat{E} \rightarrow \hat{E}'$ of height 1 over \mathcal{O}_L and if $e < p$, then $v_L(c_p) = e - t < e$.*

Proof. Let $\hat{\nu}_L: \hat{E}/\mathcal{O}_L \rightarrow \hat{E}'/\mathcal{O}_L$ be an isogeny of height 1 over \mathcal{O}_L . Then there exists $\check{\nu}_L: \hat{E}'/\mathcal{O}_L \rightarrow \hat{E}/\mathcal{O}_L$ such that $\check{\nu}_L \circ \hat{\nu}_L = [p]$. Let $\hat{\nu}_L(x) = a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \dots + a_p x^p + \dots$ and $\check{\nu}_L(x) = a'_1 x + a'_2 x^2 + \dots + a'_p x^p + \dots$. Then $v_L(a_i) > 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, p-1$, $v_L(a_p) = 0$ and $a_1 \mid a_j$ for $j = 2, \dots, p-1$ [6, Lemma 2.1.2]. Similarly $v_L(a'_i) > 0$

for $i = 1, \dots, p - 1$, $v_L(a'_p) = 0$ and $a'_1 \mid a'_j$ for $j = 2, \dots, p - 1$ [6, Lemma 2.1.2].

$$\begin{aligned} [p](x) &= \check{v}_L \circ \hat{v}_L \\ &= a'_1(a_1x + \dots + a_px^p + \dots) + a'_2(a_1x + \dots)^2 + \dots + a'_p(a_1x + \dots)^p + \dots \\ &= a'_1a_1x + (a'_1a_2 + a'_2a_1^2)x^2 + \dots + \left(\sum_{k=1, \dots, p} a'_k \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_k = p} a_{i_1}a_{i_2} \dots a_{i_k} \right) x^p + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Put $v_L(a_1) = t$. Since $v_L(a'_1a_1) = v_L(p) = e$, $v_L(a'_1) = e - t$. Since $a'_1 \mid a'_j$ for $j = 2, \dots, p - 1$, $v_L(c_p) = \min\{v_L(a'_1a_p), v_L(a'_pa_1^p)\}$ if $v_L(a'_1a_p) \neq v_L(a'_pa_1^p)$. If $e < p$, $v_L(a'_1a_p) = v_L(a'_1) < e < p \leq pv_L(a_1) = v_L(a'_pa_1^p)$. Hence $v_L(c_p) = e - t < e$. \square

Proof of Corollary. By Lemma 4.1.1, if $c_p = 0$, \hat{E} does not have an isogeny of degree p over \mathcal{O}_L and if $c_p \neq 0$, $v_L(c_p) < e$. Therefore we can substitute the value of $v_L(c_p)$ in Theorem for $t = e - v_L(c_p)$. \square

4.2. Examples. We consider an elliptic curve E defined over \mathbb{Q} satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) There exist an elliptic curve E' and an isogeny $\nu: E \rightarrow E'$ of degree p defined over \mathbb{Q} .
- (ii) The curves E and E' have potentially supersingular reduction at p .

We can find the following examples of such elliptic curves in the table of [1]. We regard ν as an isogeny over \mathbb{Q}_p by the inclusion \mathbb{Q} to \mathbb{Q}_p . By Corollary, we can determine the value of t for each ν and the dual isogeny $\check{\nu}$ of ν .

We use the next notation in examples. Let N be the conductor of E , then E' has the same conductor [7, VII, 7.2]. The notation ‘CM’ implies that E has complex multiplication and ‘non-CM’ implies that E does not have complex multiplication. For E' , denote the discriminant by Δ' and $e' = 12/\gcd(v_p(\Delta'), 12)$. We define t' for $\check{\nu}$ by the same method as t for ν .

EXAMPLE 4.2.1. For $p = 5$ and $N = 50 = 2 \cdot 5^2$,

$$E: y^2 + xy + y = x^3 - x - 2$$

satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) and we have $v_p(\Delta) = 4$, $e = 3$ and non-CM. Then

$$E': y^2 + xy + y = x^3 - 76x + 298$$

and we have $v_p(\Delta') = 8$, $e' = 3$ and non-CM. There exists 5-isogeny $\nu: E \rightarrow E'$ over \mathbb{Q} . By Corollary, we have $t = 1$. The dual isogeny $\check{\nu}: E' \rightarrow E$ is 5-isogeny over \mathbb{Q} . By Corollary, we have $t' = 2$.

EXAMPLE 4.2.2. For $p = 7$ and $N = 49 = 7^2$,

$$E: y^2 + xy = x^3 - x^2 - 2x - 1$$

satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) and we have $v_p(\Delta) = 3$, $e = 4$ and CM. Then

$$E': y^2 + xy = x^3 - x^2 - 107x + 552$$

and we have $v_p(\Delta') = 9$, $e' = 4$ and CM. There exists 7-isogeny $v: E \rightarrow E'$ over \mathbb{Q} . By Corollary, we have $t = 2$. The dual isogeny $\check{v}: E' \rightarrow E$ is 7-isogeny over \mathbb{Q} . By Corollary, we have $t' = 2$.

EXAMPLE 4.2.3. For $p = 11$ and $N = 121 = 11^2$,

$$E: y^2 + xy = x^3 + x^2 - 2x - 7$$

satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) and we have $v_p(\Delta) = 4$, $e = 3$ and non-CM. Then

$$E': y^2 + xy = x^3 + x^2 - 3632x + 82757$$

and we have $v_p(\Delta') = 8$, $e' = 3$ and non-CM. There exists 11-isogeny $v: E \rightarrow E'$ over \mathbb{Q} . By Corollary, we have $t = 1$. The dual isogeny $\check{v}: E' \rightarrow E$ is 11-isogeny over \mathbb{Q} . By Corollary, we have $t' = 2$.

EXAMPLE 4.2.4. For $p = 11$ and $N = 121 = 11^2$,

$$E: y^2 + y = x^3 - x^2 - 7x - 10$$

satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) and we have $v_p(\Delta) = 3$, $e = 4$ and CM. Then

$$E': y^2 + y = x^3 - x^2 - 7x - 10143$$

and we have $v_p(\Delta') = 9$, $e' = 4$ and non-CM. There exists 11-isogeny $v: E \rightarrow E'$ over \mathbb{Q} . By Corollary, we have $t = 2$. The dual isogeny $\check{v}: E' \rightarrow E$ is 11-isogeny over \mathbb{Q} . By Corollary, we have $t' = 2$.

EXAMPLE 4.2.5. For $p = 17$ and $N = 14450 = 2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 17^2$,

$$E: y^2 + xy + y = x^3 - 3041x + 64278$$

satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) and we have $v_p(\Delta) = 4$, $e = 3$ and non-CM. Then

$$E': y^2 + xy + y = x^3 - 190891x - 36002922$$

and we have $v_p(\Delta') = 8$, $e' = 3$ and non-CM. There exists 17-isogeny $v: E \rightarrow E'$ over \mathbb{Q} . By Corollary, we have $t = 1$. The dual isogeny $\check{v}: E' \rightarrow E$ is 17-isogeny over \mathbb{Q} . By Corollary, we have $t' = 2$.

EXAMPLE 4.2.6. For $p = 19$ and $N = 361 = 19^2$,

$$E: y^2 + y = x^3 - 38x + 90$$

satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) and we have $v_p(\Delta) = 3$, $e = 4$ and CM. Then

$$E': y^2 + y = x^3 - 13718x - 619025$$

and we have $v_p(\Delta') = 9$, $e' = 4$ and CM. There exists 19-isogeny $v: E \rightarrow E'$ over \mathbb{Q} . By Corollary, we have $t = 2$. The dual isogeny $\check{v}: E' \rightarrow E$ is 19-isogeny over \mathbb{Q} . By Corollary, we have $t' = 2$.

Remark that Example 4.2.1 has been already known in [6, Example 5.2.1] by calculating the generator of $\ker v$. And if E has complex multiplication, $t = e/2$. So Example 4.2.2, 4.2.4, 4.2.6 have already known. We can determine the value of t in Example 4.2.3 and 4.2.5 for the first time by using our Corollary.

References

- [1] J.E. Cremona: *Algorithms for Modular Elliptic Curves*, second edition, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [2] J.-M. Fontaine: *Groupes p -Divisibles sur les Corps Locaux*, Astérisque **47–48**, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1977.
- [3] M. Hazewinkel: *On norm maps for one dimensional formal groups*, III, *Duke Math. J.* **44** (1977), 305–314.
- [4] T. Honda: *Formal groups and zeta-functions*, *Osaka J. Math.* **5** (1968), 199–213.
- [5] T. Honda: *On the theory of commutative formal groups*, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **22** (1970), 213–246.
- [6] M. Kawachi: *Isogenies of degree p of elliptic curves over local fields and Kummer theory*, *Tokyo J. Math.* **25** (2002), 247–259.
- [7] J.H. Silverman: *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **106**, Springer, New York, 1985.
- [8] J. Tate: *p -divisible groups*; in *Proc. Conf. Local Fields (Driebergen, 1966)*, Springer, Berlin, 158–183.
- [9] J. Tate: *Algorithm for determining the type of a singular fiber in an elliptic pencil*; in *Modular Functions of One Variable, IV (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972)*, Lecture Notes in Math. **476**, Springer, Berlin, 33–52, 1975.
- [10] M. Volkov: *Les représentations l -adiques associées aux courbes elliptiques sur \mathbb{Q}_p* , *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **535** (2001), 65–101.

Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences
Tokyo Metropolitan University
Mimami-Ohsawa, Hachioji-shi
Tokyo, 192-0397
Japan
e-mail: kawachi@tmu.ac.jp