ASYMPTOTICS OF EIGENVALUES OF THE LAPLACIAN WITH SMALL SPHERICAL ROBIN BOUNDARY

SUSUMU ROPPONGI

(Received June 8, 1992)

1. Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with C^{∞} boundary $\partial \Omega$. Let \tilde{w} be a fixed point in Ω and $B(\varepsilon, \tilde{w})$ be the ball of radius ε with the center \tilde{w} . We put $\Omega_{\varepsilon} = \Omega \setminus \overline{B(\varepsilon, \tilde{w})}$. Consider the following eigenvalue problem

(1.1)
$$-\Delta u(x) = \lambda u(x) \qquad x \in \Omega_{\epsilon}$$
$$u(x) = 0 \qquad x \in \partial \Omega$$
$$u(x) + k \varepsilon^{\sigma} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{x}}(x) = 0 \qquad x \in \partial B(\varepsilon, \tilde{w}).$$

Here k denotes a positive constant. And σ is a real number. Here $\partial/\partial \nu_x$ denotes the derivative along the exterior normal direction with respect to Ω_e .

Let $\mu_j(\varepsilon) > 0$ be the *j*-th eigenvalue of (1.1). Let μ_j be the *j*-th eigenvalue of the problem

(1.2)
$$-\Delta u(x) = \lambda u(x) \qquad x \in \Omega$$
$$u(x) = 0 \qquad x \in \partial \Omega.$$

Let G(x,y) (resp. $G_{\mathfrak{e}}(x,y)$) be the Green function of the Laplacian in Ω (resp. $\Omega_{\mathfrak{e}}$) associated with the boundary condition (1.2) (resp. (1.1)).

Main aim of this paper is to show the following Theorems. Let $\varphi_i(x)$ be the L^2 -normalized eigenfunction associated with μ_j . We have the following.

Theorem 1. Assume N=3. We fix j and $\sigma \ge 1$. Suppose that μ_j is simple. Then, for any fixed $s \in (0, 1)$,

(1.3)
$$\begin{split} \mu_{j}(\varepsilon) &= \mu_{j} + P_{j}\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^{2-s}) & (\sigma \geq 2) \\ \mu_{j}(\varepsilon) &= \mu_{j} + P_{j}\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^{\sigma}) & (1 < \sigma < 2) \\ \mu_{j}(\varepsilon) &= \mu_{j} + (1+k)^{-1}P_{j}\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^{2-s}) & (\sigma = 1) , \end{split}$$

where

$$P_j = 4\pi \varphi_j(\tilde{w})^2 \, .$$

S. ROPPONGI

Theorem 2. Assume N=3. We fix j and $\sigma < 1$. Suppose that μ_j is simple. Then,

$$\begin{split} \mu_{j}(\mathcal{E}) &= \mu_{j} + Q_{j} \mathcal{E}^{2-\sigma} + O(\mathcal{E}^{3-2\sigma}) & (0 \leq \sigma < 1) \\ \mu_{j}(\mathcal{E}) &= \mu_{j} + Q_{j} \mathcal{E}^{2-\sigma} + R_{j} \mathcal{E}^{3} + O(\mathcal{E}^{3-2\sigma}) & (-1/2 < \sigma < 0) \\ \mu_{j}(\mathcal{E}) &= \mu_{j} + Q_{j} \mathcal{E}^{2-\sigma} + R_{j} \mathcal{E}^{3} + O(\mathcal{E}^{4}) & (-2 < \sigma \leq -1/2) \\ \mu_{j}(\mathcal{E}) &= \mu_{j} + R_{j} \mathcal{E}^{3} + O(\mathcal{E}^{4}) & (\sigma \leq -2) , \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{j} &= (4\pi/k) \, \varphi_{j}(\tilde{w})^{2} \\ R_{j} &= -\pi (2 \mid \text{grad } \varphi_{j}(\tilde{w}) \mid^{2} - (4/3) \mu_{j} \, \varphi_{j}(\tilde{w})^{2}) \,. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK. The case N=2 is treated in Ozawa [10] and [11]. The singularity of G(x, y) near x=y in the case N=3 is stronger than that of the case N=2. When we use the Sobolev embedding; $W^{2,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C^{2-N/p}(\overline{\Omega})$, we must take p larger as N increases. Therefore we may need some change of the mehtod devloped in the above papers.

When $N \ge 4$, we do not know whether the method we have used can be applied or not.

For the related papers we have Besson [2], Chavel and Feldman [3], Ozawa [8], [9], Rauch and Taylor [12] and the references in the above papers.

For other related problems on singular variation of domains the readers may refer to Arrieta, Hale and Han [1], Jimbo [4], Jimbo and Morita [5]. The Poisson equation with many small Robin holes is discussed in Kaizu [6], [7].

2. Outline of proof of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2

Hereafter we assume N=3.

We introduce the following kernel $p_{e}(x, y)$.

$$(2.1) p_{\mathfrak{e}}(x, y) = G(x, y) + g(\mathfrak{E})G(x, \tilde{w})G(\tilde{w}, y) \\ + h(\mathfrak{E}) \langle \nabla_{w}G(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_{w}G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \\ + i(\mathfrak{E}) \langle H_{w}G(x, \tilde{w}), H_{w}G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle,$$

where

$$\langle \nabla_{w} u(\tilde{w}), \nabla_{w} v(\tilde{w}) \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u}{\partial w_{n}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial w_{n}} \Big|_{w=\tilde{w}}$$

$$\langle H_{w} u(\tilde{w}), H_{w} v(\tilde{w}) \rangle = \sum_{m,n=1}^{3} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial w_{m} \partial w_{n}} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial w_{m} \partial w_{n}} \Big|_{w=\tilde{w}}$$

when $w = (w_1, w_2, w_3)$ is an orthonormal frame of \mathbb{R}^3 . Here $g(\varepsilon)$, $h(\varepsilon)$, $i(\varepsilon)$ are determined so that

(2.2)
$$p_{\mathfrak{e}}(x,y) + k \varepsilon^{\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{x}} p_{\mathfrak{e}}(x,y) \qquad x \in \partial B(\varepsilon, \widetilde{w})$$

is small in some sense.

If we put

(2.3)
$$g(\varepsilon) = -(\gamma + (4\pi\varepsilon)^{-1} + k(4\pi)^{-1}\varepsilon^{\sigma-2})^{-1}$$

(2.4)
$$h(\varepsilon) = (k\varepsilon^{\sigma} - \varepsilon)/((4\pi)^{-1}\varepsilon^{-2} + k(2\pi)^{-1}\varepsilon^{\sigma-3}) \quad (\sigma < 1)$$
$$= 0 \qquad (\sigma \ge 1)$$

and

(2.5)
$$i(\varepsilon) = k\varepsilon^{\sigma+1}/(3(4\pi)^{-1}\varepsilon^{-3} + 9k(4\pi)^{-1}\varepsilon^{\sigma-4}) \quad (\sigma < 1)$$

= 0 $(\sigma \le 1)$,

the above aim for (2.2) to be small is attained. Here

$$\gamma = \lim_{x \to \widetilde{w}} \left(G(x, \widetilde{w}) - (4\pi)^{-1} | x - \widetilde{w} |^{-1} \right).$$

We put

$$(\mathbf{G}f)(x) = \int_{\Omega} G(x, y) f(y) dy$$
$$(\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{e}}f)(x) = \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{e}}} G_{\mathbf{e}}(x, y) f(y) dy$$

and

$$(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{e}}f)(x) = \int_{\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\boldsymbol{e}}} p_{\boldsymbol{e}}(x,y) f(y) dy$$

Let T and $T_{\mathfrak{e}}$ be operators on Ω and $\Omega_{\mathfrak{e}}$, respectively. Then, $||T||_{\mathfrak{p}}, ||T_{\mathfrak{e}}||_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{e}}$ denotes the operator norm on $L^{\mathfrak{p}}(\Omega)$, $L^{\mathfrak{p}}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{e}})$, respectively. Let f and $f_{\mathfrak{e}}$ be functions on Ω and $\Omega_{\mathfrak{e}}$, respectively. Then, $||f||_{\mathfrak{p}}, ||f_{\mathfrak{e}}||_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{e}}$ denotes the norm on $L^{\mathfrak{p}}(\Omega), L^{\mathfrak{p}}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{e}})$, respectively.

At first we outline the proof of Theorem 1. A crucial part of our proof of Theorem 1 is the following.

Theorem 3. Fix $\sigma \ge 1$ and $s \in (0, 1)$. Then there exists a constant C_s independent of ε such that

$$||(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{e}} - \boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{e}})f||_{2,\boldsymbol{e}} \leq C_{\boldsymbol{s}} \mathcal{E}^{\boldsymbol{2}-\boldsymbol{s}} ||f||_{\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{e}}$$

holds for any $f \in L^{p}(\Omega_{e})$ (p > 3).

We put

$$(2.7) \qquad \widetilde{p}_{\mathfrak{e}}(x, y) = G(x, y) + g(\varepsilon)G(x, \widetilde{w})G(\widetilde{w}, y)\chi_{\mathfrak{e}}(x)\chi_{\mathfrak{e}}(y) + h(\varepsilon) \langle \nabla_{w}G(x, \widetilde{w}), \nabla_{w}G(\widetilde{w}, y) \rangle \chi_{\mathfrak{e}}(x)\chi_{\mathfrak{e}}(y) + i(\varepsilon) \langle H_{w}G(x, \widetilde{w}), H_{w}G(\widetilde{w}, y) \rangle \chi_{\mathfrak{e}}(x)\chi_{\mathfrak{e}}(y)$$

for the characteristic function $\chi_{\mathfrak{e}}(x)$ of $\overline{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{e}}$.

And we put

$$(\tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}f)(x) = \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(x, y) f(y) dy$$
.

Since G_e is approximated by P_e and the difference between P_e and \tilde{P}_e is small in some sense, we know that everything reduces to our investigation of the perturbative analysis of $G \rightarrow \tilde{P}_e$.

Next we outline the proof of Theorem 2. One important part of our proof of Theorem 2 is the following.

Theorem 4. Fix $\sigma < 1$. Then, there exists a constant C such that

(2.8)
$$||(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{z}} - \boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{z}})(\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\boldsymbol{z}} \varphi_{j})||_{\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z}} \leq C \mathcal{E}^{4-\sigma} \qquad (0 \leq \sigma < 1) \\ \leq C \mathcal{E}^{4} \qquad (\sigma < 0)$$

hold.

We fix j and put

(2.9)
$$\begin{split} \bar{p}_{\mathfrak{e}}(x,y) &= G(x,y) - (4\pi/3)\mu_{j}\mathcal{E}^{3}G(x,\tilde{w})G(\tilde{w},y)\xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(x)\xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(y) \\ &+ g(\xi)G(x,\tilde{w})G(\tilde{w},y)\xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(x)\xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(y) \\ &+ h(\varepsilon) \langle \nabla_{w}G(x,\tilde{w}), \nabla_{w}G(\tilde{w},y) \rangle \xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(x)\xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(y) \\ &+ i(\varepsilon) \langle H_{w}G(x,\tilde{w}), H_{w}G(\tilde{w},y) \rangle \xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(x)\xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(y) \,, \end{split}$$

where $\xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(x) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfies $|\xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(x)| \leq 1, \xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(x) = 1$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B(\varepsilon, \widetilde{w})}, \xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(x) = 0$ for $x \in B(\varepsilon/2, \widetilde{w})$ and $\xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(x - \widetilde{w})$ is rotationary invariant. Furthermore we put

$$(\bar{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\boldsymbol{e}}f)(x) = \int_{\Omega} \bar{p}_{\boldsymbol{e}}(x,y)f(y)dy$$

The other important part of our proof of Theorem 2 is the following.

Theorem 5. Fix $\sigma < 1$. Then, there exists a constant C such that

(2.10)
$$||(\chi_{\varepsilon}\bar{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\varepsilon} - \boldsymbol{P}_{\varepsilon}\chi_{\varepsilon})\varphi_{j}||_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C\varepsilon^{4-\sigma} \quad (0 < \sigma < 1)$$
$$\leq C\varepsilon^{4} \quad (\sigma \leq 0)$$

hold.

Since (2.8) and (2.10) are both $o(\mathcal{E}^3 + \mathcal{E}^{2-\sigma})$, we know that everything reduces to our investigation of the perturbative analysis of $\mathbf{G} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{e}}$.

3. Estimation of L^p-norm

We write $B(\varepsilon, \tilde{w}) = B_{\varepsilon}$. In this section we show the following propositions.

Proposition 3.1. Fix $\sigma \ge 1$. Assume that $u_{\mathfrak{e}}(x) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{e}})$ satisfies

(3.1)
$$\Delta u_{\mathfrak{e}}(x) = 0 \qquad x \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{e}}$$
$$u_{\mathfrak{e}}(x) = 0 \qquad x \in \partial \Omega$$
$$u_{\mathfrak{e}}(x) + k \varepsilon^{\sigma} \frac{\partial u_{\mathfrak{e}}}{\partial \nu_{\mathfrak{e}}}(x) = M(\omega) \qquad x = \tilde{w} + \varepsilon \omega \in \partial B_{\mathfrak{e}} (\omega \in S^{2}).$$

We fix $s \in (0, 1)$. Then,

$$(3.2) ||u_{\mathfrak{e}}||_{2,\mathfrak{e}} \leq C_{\mathfrak{s}} \mathcal{E}^{1-\mathfrak{s}} \operatorname{Max}_{\omega} |M(\omega)|$$

holds for a constant C_s independent of ε .

Proposition 3.2. Fix $\sigma < 2$. Under the same assumptions of u_{i} in Proposition 3.1,

$$||u_{\mathfrak{e}}||_{2,\mathfrak{e}} \leq C \varepsilon^{2-\sigma} \operatorname{Max} |M(\omega)|$$

holds for a constant C independent of ε .

We take the same procedure as in Ozawa [9, section 1, pp. 260-262] to prove the above Propositions. But we need some change of the method developed in the above paper, since we put the Robin condition on ∂B_{e} and we assume that N=3.

At first we prepare two Lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. Fix $\alpha \in C^{\infty}(S^2)$ and q > 1. Then there exists at least one solution of

$$(3.4) \qquad \Delta v_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}(x) = 0 \qquad x \in \boldsymbol{R}^3 \setminus \boldsymbol{\bar{B}}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$$

(3.5)
$$v_{\mathfrak{e}}(x) + k \varepsilon^{\sigma} \frac{\partial v_{\mathfrak{e}}}{\partial \nu_{\mathfrak{e}}}(x) = \alpha(\omega) \quad x = \tilde{w} + \varepsilon \omega \in \partial B_{\mathfrak{e}}(\omega \in S^2)$$

satisfying

$$(3.6) |v_{\mathfrak{e}}(x)| \leq C \varepsilon^{2-\sigma} \operatorname{Max} |\alpha(\omega)| r^{-1} (\log(r/(r-\varepsilon)))^{1/2}$$

$$|v_{\varepsilon}(x)| \leq C_{q} \mathcal{E}^{1-\sigma/q} \max_{\omega} |\alpha(\omega)| (r-\varepsilon)^{-1/q'}$$

for
$$r = |x - \hat{w}| > \varepsilon$$
 and

$$(3.8) \qquad ||v_{\varepsilon}||_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C'_{q} \mathcal{E}^{1-(\sigma-1)/(2q)} \operatorname{Max}_{\omega} |\alpha(\omega)|,$$

where q' satisfies (1/q)+(1/q')=1.

Proof. We put
$$x = \hat{w} + r\omega$$
 ($\omega \in S^2$) and
 $\omega = (\sin\theta \cos\varphi, \sin\theta \sin\varphi, \cos\theta)$ ($0 \le \theta < \pi, 0 \le \varphi < 2\pi$).

Let $P_n(z)$ be the Legendre polynomial and $P_n^m(z)$ be the associated Legendre function, that is,

$$P_n^m(z) = (1 - z^2)^{m/2} \cdot d^m P_n(z) / dz^m \qquad (|z| < 1, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+).$$

It is well-known that $\{P_n^m(\cos\theta)\cos m\varphi, P_n^m(\cos\theta)\sin m\varphi; 0 \leq m \leq n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a complete orthogonal system of $L^2(S^2)$ consisting of eigenfunction of the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_{S^2} whose eigenvalue is -n(n+1).

Therefore we have the Fourier expansion

(3.9)
$$\alpha(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n(\theta, \varphi)$$

where

(3.10)
$$Y_n(\theta,\varphi) = \sum_{m=0}^n (a_{n,m} \cos m\varphi + b_{n,m} \sin m\varphi) P_n^m(\cos \theta).$$

By the Parseval relation, we see

(3.11)
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1)^{-1} (a_{n,0}^{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{n} ((n+m)!/2 \cdot (n-m)!) (a_{n,m}^{2} + b_{n,m}^{2})) = C ||\alpha||_{L^{2}(S^{2})}^{2} \leq C' (\max_{\omega} |\alpha(\omega)|)^{2}.$$

We put

$$v_{\mathfrak{g}}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{n} (s_{n,m} \cos m\varphi + t_{n,m} \sin m\varphi) P_n^m(\cos \theta) \right) r^{-(n+1)}$$

Then, it satisfies $\Delta v_{\mathfrak{e}}(x) = 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B}_{\mathfrak{e}}$. We see that

$$v_{\mathfrak{e}}(x) + k \mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \frac{\partial v_{\mathfrak{e}}}{\partial \nu_{\mathfrak{s}}}(x)_{|\mathfrak{s} \in \partial B_{\mathfrak{e}}} = \alpha(\omega)$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{n} (a_{n,m} \cos m\varphi + b_{n,m} \sin m\varphi) P_{\mathfrak{s}}^{m}(\cos \theta)\right)$$

implies

$$a_{n,m} = \varepsilon^{-(n+1)} (1 + (n+1)k\varepsilon^{\sigma-1}) s_{n,m}$$

$$b_{n,m} = \varepsilon^{-(n+1)} (1 + (n+1)k\varepsilon^{\sigma-1}) t_{n,m}$$

for $0 \leq m \leq n, n \geq 0$. Thus we have

(3.12)
$$v_{e}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_{n}(\theta, \varphi) (\varepsilon/r)^{n+1} (1 + (n+1)k\varepsilon^{\sigma-1})^{-1},$$

and

(3.13)
$$|v_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)|^2 \leq (\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n(\theta, \varphi)^2) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\varepsilon/r)^{2n+2} (1+(n+1)k\varepsilon^{\sigma-1})^{-2}.$$

Since (3.9) holds in $L^2(S^2)$, we see that

EIGENVALUES OF THE LAPALCIAN

$$\begin{split} & \int_{\omega \in S^2} |v_{\mathfrak{e}}(x)|^2 \, d\omega \\ & \leq ||\alpha||_{L^2(S^2)}^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{E}/r)^{2n+2} (1+(n+1)k\mathcal{E}^{\sigma-1})^{-2} \\ & \leq C(\max_{\omega} |\alpha(\omega)|)^2 (\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{E}/r)^{2(n+1)q'})^{1/q'} \\ & \times (\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1+(n+1)k\mathcal{E}^{\sigma-1})^{-2q})^{1/q} \\ & \leq C(\max_{\omega} |\alpha(\omega)|)^2 (\mathcal{E}/r)^2 (\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{E}/r)^n)^{1/q'} \\ & \times (\int_{0}^{\infty} (1+k\mathcal{E}^{\sigma-1}t)^{-2q} dt)^{1/q} \\ & = C(\max_{\omega} |\alpha(\omega)|)^2 (\mathcal{E}/r)^2 (r/(r-\mathcal{E}))^{1/q'} ((2q-1)k\mathcal{E}^{\sigma-1})^{-1/q} \, . \end{split}$$

Therefore, we have

$$||v_{\mathfrak{e}}||_{2,\mathfrak{e}}^{2} \leq \int_{\mathfrak{e}}^{R} \left(\int_{\omega \in S^{2}} |v_{\mathfrak{e}}(x)|^{2} d\omega \right) r^{2} dr$$
$$\leq C_{\mathfrak{e}} (\underset{\omega}{\operatorname{Max}} |\alpha(\omega)|)^{2} \mathcal{E}^{2+(1-\sigma)/\mathfrak{q}} d\omega$$

Thus we get (3.8).

Using the Schwarz inequality and the relation

$$P_{n}(\cos\theta)^{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{n} (2 \cdot (n-m)!/(n+m)!) P_{n}^{m}(\cos\theta)^{2} = 1,$$

we see

(3.13)
$$|Y_n(\theta, \varphi)|^2 \leq a_{n,0}^2 + \sum_{m=1}^n ((n+m)/!2 \cdot (n-m)!) (a_{n,m}^2 + b_{n,m}^2).$$

From (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13), we have

$$|v_{\varepsilon}(x)| \leq C \max_{\omega} |\alpha(\omega)| R(\varepsilon, \sigma, r)^{1/2}(\varepsilon/r)$$

where

$$R(\varepsilon, \sigma, r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\varepsilon/r)^{2n} (n+1) (1+(n+1)k\varepsilon^{\sigma-1})^{-2}$$

Since

$$R(\varepsilon, \sigma, r) \leq C \varepsilon^{2(1-\sigma)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^{-1} (\varepsilon/r)^{n+1}$$
$$\leq C \varepsilon^{2(1-\sigma)} \log(r/(r-\varepsilon))$$

and

$$R(\varepsilon, \sigma, r) \leq (\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) (\varepsilon/r)^{2nq'})^{1/q'} \times (\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) (1+(n+1)k\varepsilon^{\sigma-1})^{-2q})^{1/q}$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) \left(\mathcal{E}/r\right)^{n}\right)^{1/q'} \\ \times \left(k^{-1} \mathcal{E}^{1-\sigma} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1+(n+1)k \mathcal{E}^{\sigma-1})^{1-2q}\right)^{1/q} \\ \leq \left(r/(r-\mathcal{E})\right)^{2/q'} \left(k^{-1} \mathcal{E}^{1-\sigma} \int_{0}^{\infty} (1+k \mathcal{E}^{\sigma-1}t)^{1-2q} dt\right)^{1/q} \\ = \left(r/(r-\mathcal{E})\right)^{2/q'} (2(q-1)k^2 \mathcal{E}^{2(\sigma-1)})^{-1/q}$$

hold, we get (3.6) and (3.7).

Lemma 3.4. Fix $\beta \in C^{\infty}(\partial B_{\mathfrak{e}})$. Assume that $g \in H^2(\Omega_{\mathfrak{e}})$ satisfies

(3.14)
$$\Delta g(x) = 0 \qquad x \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}$$
$$g(x) = 0 \qquad x \in \partial \Omega$$
$$g(x) + k \varepsilon^{\sigma} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu_{x}}(x) = \beta(x) \qquad x \in \partial B_{\varepsilon}.$$

Then,

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla g(x)|^2 dx \leq 4\pi k^{-1} \varepsilon^{2-\sigma} (\operatorname{Max}_{\partial B_{\varepsilon}} |\beta(x)|)^2 dx$$

Proof. Since $g \in H^2(\Omega_{\epsilon})$, we have Green's formula:

$$\int_{\Omega_{\mathfrak{e}}} (g \cdot \Delta g + |\nabla g|^2) \, dx = \int_{\partial \Omega_{\mathfrak{e}}} g \, \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu_x} \, d\sigma_x \, .$$

By (3.14), we can see that

$$\int_{\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}} |\nabla g|^2 dx = \int_{\mathfrak{d}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{g}}}} g \, \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu_x} \, d\sigma_x = \int_{\mathfrak{d}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{g}}}} (\beta(x) - k \mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu_x}(x)) \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu_x}(x) d\sigma_x \, .$$

Therefore, we have

(3.15)
$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla g|^2 dx + k \varepsilon^{\sigma} \int_{\partial B_{\varepsilon}} |\frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu_x}|^2 d\sigma_x = \int_{\partial B_{\varepsilon}} \beta(x) \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu_x}(x) d\sigma_x.$$

Using the Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} k \mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \int_{\partial B_{\mathfrak{g}}} |\frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu_{x}}|^{2} d\sigma_{x} &\leq \int_{\partial B_{\mathfrak{g}}} \beta(x) \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu_{x}}(x) d\sigma_{x} \\ &\leq (\int_{\partial B_{\mathfrak{g}}} \beta(x)^{2} d\sigma_{x})^{1/2} (\int_{\partial B_{\mathfrak{g}}} |\frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu_{x}}|^{2} d\sigma_{x})^{1/2} \,. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

(3.16)
$$(\int_{\partial B_{\varepsilon}} |\frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu_{x}}|^{2} d\sigma_{x})^{1/2} \leq k^{-1} \varepsilon^{-\sigma} (\int_{\partial B_{\varepsilon}} \beta(x)^{2} d\sigma_{x})^{1/2} .$$

790

q.e.d.

From (3.15) and (3.16), we get

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}} |\nabla g|^2 \, dx &\leq \int_{\mathfrak{d}_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{g}}}} \beta(x) \, \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu_x}(x) \, d\sigma_x \\ &\leq (\int_{\mathfrak{d}_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{g}}}} \beta(x)^2 \, d\sigma_x)^{1/2} (\int_{\mathfrak{d}_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{g}}}} |\frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu_x}|^2 \, d\sigma_x)^{1/2} \\ &\leq k^{-1} \varepsilon^{-\sigma} \int_{\mathfrak{d}_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{g}}}} \beta(x)^2 \, d\sigma_x \\ &\leq 4\pi k^{-1} \varepsilon^{2-\sigma} (\max_{\mathfrak{d}_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{g}}}} |\beta(x)|)^2 \, . \end{split}$$

q.e.d.

Now we are in a position to prove Propositions 3.1 and 3.2.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let $u_{\mathfrak{e}}(x)$ be as in Proposition 3.1. We take an arbitrary $q > \sigma$. Firstly we put $\alpha(\omega) = M(\omega)$ and we take $v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(0)}$ so that it satisfies (3.4), (3.5), (3.7) and (3.8). Then $v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(0)}$ may not satisfy $v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(0)}(x) = 0$ for $x \in \partial \Omega$. Let $v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(1)}$ be the harmonic function in Ω satisfying $v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(1)}(x) = v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(0)}(x)$ for $x \in \partial \Omega$. Put

$$M_{\mathfrak{e}} = \operatorname{Max}_{\omega} |M(\omega)|.$$

Then from (3.7) we see that $\operatorname{Max} \{ | v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(1)}(x) | ; x \in \overline{\Omega} \} \leq \hat{C}_{q} \mathcal{E}^{1-\sigma/q} M_{\mathfrak{e}} \text{ and } \operatorname{Max} \{ | v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(1)}(x) + k \mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \partial v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(1)}(x) / \partial \nu_{x} | ; x \in \partial B_{\mathfrak{e}} \} \leq \hat{C}_{q} \mathcal{E}^{1-\sigma/q} M_{\mathfrak{e}}, \text{ where } \hat{C}_{q} \text{ is a constant independent of } \mathcal{E}.$ Secondly, we put $\alpha(\omega) = v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(1)}(x) + k \mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \partial v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(1)}(x) / \partial \nu_{x}$ for $x = \widetilde{w} + \mathcal{E} \omega \in \partial B_{\mathfrak{e}}$ and we take $v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(2)}$ so that it satisfies (3.4), (3.5), (3.7) and (3.8). Let $v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(3)}$ be the harmonic function in Ω satisfying $v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(3)}(x) = v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(2)}(x)$ for $x \in \partial \Omega$. Then, $\operatorname{Max} \{ | v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(3)}(x) | ; x \in \overline{\Omega} \} \leq (\hat{C}_{q} \mathcal{E}^{1-\sigma/q})^{2} M_{\mathfrak{e}}$ and $\operatorname{Max} \{ | v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(3)}(x) + k \mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \partial v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(3)}(x) / \partial \nu_{x} | ; x \in \partial B_{\mathfrak{e}} \} \leq (\hat{C}_{q} \mathcal{E}^{1-\sigma/q})^{2} M_{\mathfrak{e}}.$

By repeating this procedure we have

$$\Delta v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(2n+1)}(x) = 0$$
 $x \in \Omega$
 $v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(2n+1)}(x) = v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(2n)}(x)$ $x \in \partial \Omega$

and

$$\Delta v_{\varepsilon}^{(2n+2)}(x) = 0 \qquad x \in \mathbf{R}^{3} \setminus \overline{B}_{\varepsilon}$$
$$v_{\varepsilon}^{(2n+2)}(x) + k \varepsilon^{\sigma} \frac{\partial v_{\varepsilon}^{(2n+2)}}{\partial \nu_{x}}(x) = v_{\varepsilon}^{(2n+1)}(x) + k \varepsilon^{\sigma} \frac{\partial v_{\varepsilon}^{(2n+1)}}{\partial \nu_{x}}(x) \qquad x \in \partial B_{\varepsilon}$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Then, by induction,

(3.17)
$$\operatorname{Max}_{\overline{\alpha}} |v_{\varepsilon}^{(2n+1)}(x)| \leq (\hat{C}_{q} \varepsilon^{1-\sigma/q})^{n+1} M_{\varepsilon}$$

(3.18)
$$\operatorname{Max}_{\partial B_{\varepsilon}} | v_{\varepsilon}^{(2n+1)}(x) + k \varepsilon^{\sigma} \frac{\partial v_{\varepsilon}^{(2n+1)}}{\partial \nu_{x}}(x) | \leq (\hat{C}_{q} \varepsilon^{1-\sigma/q})^{n+1} M_{\varepsilon}$$

$$(3.19) |v_{\varepsilon}^{(2n)}(x)| \leq (\hat{C}_{q} \varepsilon^{1-\sigma/q})^{n+1} M_{\varepsilon}(r-\varepsilon)^{-1/q'} (r > \varepsilon)$$

(3.20)
$$||v_{e}^{(2n)}||_{2,e} \leq C_{q}^{\prime} \mathcal{E}^{1-(\sigma-1)/(2q)} (\hat{C}_{q} \mathcal{E}^{1-\sigma/q})^{n} M_{e}$$

hold for $n \ge 0$.

Since $q > \sigma$, we can take ε so that $\hat{C}_q \varepsilon^{1-\sigma/q} < 1/2$. We put

(3.21)
$$w_{\mathfrak{e}}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(n)}(x) \, .$$

From (3.17) and (3.19), we can see that the right hand side of (3.21) is uniformly convergent on $\overline{\Omega} \setminus B_{\eta}$ for any $\eta > \varepsilon$. Since $v_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}$ is harmonic in Ω_{ε} , we see that $w_{\varepsilon}(x)$ is harmonic in Ω_{ε} , $w_{\varepsilon}(x)=0$ for $x \in \partial \Omega$ and

$$\frac{\partial w_{\mathfrak{e}}}{\partial x_{j}}(x) = \sum_{\mathfrak{n}=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{\mathfrak{n}} \frac{\partial v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(\mathfrak{n})}}{\partial x_{j}}(x) \qquad x \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{e}}, \qquad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

We put

$$g_{e}^{(n)}(x) = u_{e}(x) - \sum_{i=0}^{2n+1} (-1)^{i} v_{e}^{(i)}(x)$$

Then,

(3.22)
$$\nabla g_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(n)}(x) \rightarrow \nabla (u_{\mathfrak{e}} - w_{\mathfrak{e}})(x) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{e}}.$$

It is easy to see that $g_{e}^{(n)}$ is harmonic in Ω_{e} , $g_{e}^{(n)}(x)=0$ for $x\in\partial\Omega$ and

$$g_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(n)}(x)+k\mathfrak{E}^{\sigma}\frac{\partial g_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(n)}}{\partial \nu_{x}}(x)=v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(2n+1)}(x)+k\mathfrak{E}^{\sigma}\frac{\partial v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(2n+1)}}{\partial \nu_{x}}(x) \qquad x\in\partial B_{\mathfrak{e}}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.4 and (3.18), we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega_{\mathfrak{e}}} |\nabla g_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(n)}|^2 \, dx &\leq 4\pi k^{-1} \mathcal{E}^{2-\sigma} \max_{\partial B_{\mathfrak{e}}} |v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(2n+1)}(x) + k \mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \frac{\partial v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(2n+1)}}{\partial \nu_x}(x)| \\ &\leq 4\pi k^{-1} \mathcal{E}^{2-\sigma} (\hat{C}_q \mathcal{E}^{1-\sigma/q})^n M_{\mathfrak{e}} \, . \end{split}$$

Using Fatou's Lemma and (3.22), we see that

$$\int_{\Omega_{\mathfrak{e}}} |\nabla(u_{\mathfrak{e}} - w_{\mathfrak{e}})|^2 dx \leq \liminf_{\mathfrak{n} \neq \infty} \int_{\Omega_{\mathfrak{e}}} |\nabla g^{(\mathfrak{n})}|^2 dx \leq 0.$$

Thus, $u_e - w_e = \text{constant}$ a.e. Ω_e . Since $u_e(x) = w_e(x) = 0$ for $x \in \partial \Omega$, $u_e = w_e$ a.e. Ω_e . Therefore,

(3.23)
$$u_{\mathfrak{g}}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n v_{\mathfrak{g}}^{(n)}(x) \qquad x \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

From (3.17) and (3.20), we have

$$\begin{split} ||\sum_{n=0}^{2n'+1} (-1)^n v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(n)}||_{2,\mathfrak{e}} &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{n'} (||v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(2n)}||_{2,\mathfrak{e}} + ||v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(2n+1)}||_{2,\mathfrak{e}}) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{n'} (C_q' \mathcal{E}^{1-(\sigma-1)/(2q)} + \hat{C}_q \mathcal{E}^{1-\sigma/q}) (1/2)^n M_{\mathfrak{e}} \\ &\leq C_q \mathcal{E}^{1-\sigma/q} M_{\mathfrak{e}} \,. \end{split}$$

Using Fatou's Lemma and (3.23), we see that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega_{\mathfrak{e}}} |u_{\mathfrak{e}}(x)|^2 \, dx &\leq \liminf_{u' \to \infty} \int_{\Omega_{\mathfrak{e}}} |\sum_{n=0}^{2n'+1} (-1)^n v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(u)}(x)|^2 \, dx \\ &\leq (C_q \mathcal{E}^{1-\sigma/q} M_{\mathfrak{e}})^2 \, . \end{split}$$

Thus we get (3.2).

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Let $\{v_e^{(n)}(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the sequence of functions as in the proof of Proposition 3.1. Then, by using (3.6), we can get

(3.24)
$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\overline{\alpha}} |v_{\varepsilon}^{(2n+1)}(x)| &\leq (\hat{C}\varepsilon^{2-\sigma})^{n+1}M_{\varepsilon} \\ \max_{\partial B_{\varepsilon}} |v_{\varepsilon}^{(2n+1)}(x) + k\varepsilon^{\sigma}\frac{\partial v_{\varepsilon}^{(2n+1)}}{\partial \nu_{x}}(x)| &\leq (\hat{C}\varepsilon^{2-\sigma})^{n+1}M_{\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.25) \qquad |v_{\varepsilon}^{(2n)}(x)| \leq (\hat{C}\varepsilon^{2-\sigma})^{n+1}M_{\varepsilon}r^{-1}(\log(r/(r-\varepsilon)))^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

for $n \ge 0$. Here \hat{C} is a constant independent of ε and $M_{\varepsilon} = \operatorname{Max} |M(\omega)|$.

Since $\sigma < 2$, we can take ε so that $\hat{C}\varepsilon^{2-\sigma} < 1/2$. Then, by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.1, we can see that

(3.26)
$$u_{\mathfrak{e}}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(n)}(x) \qquad x \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{e}}.$$

From (3.24), (3.25) and (3.26), we have

(3.27)
$$|u_{\mathfrak{e}}(x)| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(|v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(2n)}(x)| + |v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{(2n+1)}(x)| \right) \\ \leq C \mathcal{E}^{2-\sigma} M_{\mathfrak{e}} r^{-1} \left(\log(r/(r-\mathcal{E})) \right)^{1/2} \qquad (r > \mathcal{E}) \,.$$

Now (3.3) easily follows from (3.27).

4. Proof of Theorem 3

From this section to section 7, we assume $\sigma \ge 1$. By (2.3) we see that

(4.1)
$$g(\varepsilon) = -4\pi\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^{\sigma}) \qquad (\sigma > 1)$$
$$= -4\pi(1 + k)^{-1}\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2) \qquad (\sigma = 1).$$

We take an arbitrary fixed point $x \in \partial B_{\epsilon}$. Without loss of generality we

793

q.e.d.

q.e.d.

may assume $\tilde{w}=0$ and $x=\varepsilon e_1$. Here we put $e_1=(1,0,0)$. We put $p_{\varepsilon}(x,y)$ as before and

$$S(x, y) = G(x, y) - (4\pi)^{-1} |x-y|^{-1}.$$

Then, $S(x, y) \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega)$ and

$$p_{\mathfrak{e}}(x, y) - k \mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} p_{\mathfrak{e}}(x, y)|_{x = \mathfrak{e}_{e_{1}}}$$

$$= G(x, y) - k \mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} G(x, y) - g(\mathcal{E}) k \mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} S(x, \tilde{w}) G(\tilde{w}, y)$$

$$+ g(\mathcal{E}) \left((4\pi)^{-1} \mathcal{E}^{-1} + S(x, \tilde{w}) + k(4\pi)^{-1} \mathcal{E}^{\sigma-2} \right) G(\tilde{w}, y)$$

for $\tilde{w}=0$, $x=\varepsilon e_1$. Since $\gamma=S(\tilde{w}, \tilde{w})$, $S(x, \tilde{w})=\gamma+O(\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. By (4.1),

(4.2)
$$p_{\mathfrak{e}}(x, y) - k \mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} p_{\mathfrak{e}}(x, y)|_{x = \mathfrak{e}_{1}}$$
$$= G(x, y) - G(\mathfrak{W}, y) + O(\mathcal{E}^{2}) G(\mathfrak{W}, y) - k \mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} G(x, y)$$

for $\tilde{w}=0$, $x=\varepsilon e_1$.

We take an arbitrary $f \in L^p(\Omega_{\epsilon})$ and let \tilde{f} be the extension of f to Ω defined by 0 on B_{ϵ} . Then we have

(4.3)
$$(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{e}}f)(\boldsymbol{x}) - k\mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} (\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{e}}f)(\boldsymbol{x})|_{\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{e}_{1}}$$
$$= (\boldsymbol{G}\hat{f})(\boldsymbol{x}) - (\boldsymbol{G}\hat{f})(\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}) + O(\mathcal{E}^{2})(\boldsymbol{G}\hat{f})(\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}) - k\mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} (\boldsymbol{G}\hat{f})(\boldsymbol{x})$$

for $\tilde{w} = 0$, $x = \varepsilon e_1$.

By the Sobolev embedding theorem and a priori estimate

$$(4.4) \qquad \qquad ||\boldsymbol{G}\tilde{f}||_{\mathcal{C}^{1+\tau}(\overline{\Omega})} \leq C||\tilde{f}||_{p} \leq C||f||_{p,\epsilon}$$

hold for $\tau = 1 - 3/p$ (p > 3). Therefore we have

$$|(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}f)(\boldsymbol{x})-k\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}f)(\boldsymbol{x})|_{\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{e}_{1}}\leq C\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||f||_{\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}.$$

We put $u_{\varepsilon} = (P_{\varepsilon} - G_{\varepsilon})f$. Then u_{ε} satisfies (3.1) because $G_{\varepsilon}f$ satisfies the given Robin condition on ∂B_{ε} . By Proposition 3.1, we have (2.6).

5. Convergence of eigenvalues for $\sigma \ge 1$

We put $\tilde{p}_{\varepsilon}(x, y)$, \tilde{P}_{ε} as before. Then,

EIGENVALUES OF THE LAPLACIAN

(5.1)
$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\boldsymbol{e}} = A_0 + g(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})A_1,$$

where $A_0 = G$ and

(5.2)
$$(A_1f)(x) = G(x, \tilde{w})\chi_{\mathfrak{e}}(x)(G\chi_{\mathfrak{e}}f)(\tilde{w}).$$

Since

$$|(A_1f)(x)| \leq C |x - \tilde{w}|^{-1} \chi_{e}(x)||f||_{p} \quad (p > 3/2),$$

we have

(5.3)
$$||A_1f||_{p} \leq C||f||_{p} \quad (3/2 3).$$

From (4.1), (5.1) and (5.3) we have

$$||(\tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\varepsilon} - \boldsymbol{G})f||_{2} \leq |g(\varepsilon)| ||A_{1}f||_{2} \leq C\varepsilon||f||_{2}$$

for any $f \in L^2(\Omega)$. Therefore we get the following.

Lemma 5.1. There exists a constant C independent of ε such that

$$(5.4) \qquad \qquad ||\tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} - \boldsymbol{G}||_2 \leq C \varepsilon$$

holds.

Next we want to estimate $||\chi_{e}\tilde{P}_{e}\chi_{e}-\tilde{P}_{e}||_{2}$. It does not exceed

(5.5)
$$||(1-\chi_{\epsilon})\tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\epsilon}\chi_{\epsilon}||_{2}+||\tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\epsilon}(1-\chi_{\epsilon})||_{2}.$$

Notice that $(1-\chi_e)\chi_e=0$ in $g(\varepsilon)$ term. By (5.1),

$$||(1 - \chi_{\mathbf{e}})\tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\mathbf{e}} v||_2 \leq C |\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathbf{e}}|^{1/2} ||\boldsymbol{G} v||_2 \leq C \varepsilon^{3/2} ||v||_2$$

hold for any $v \in L^2(\Omega)$. Therefore we get

(5.6)
$$||(1-\chi_{\mathfrak{e}})\tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\mathfrak{e}}||_{2} \leq C \varepsilon^{3/2}$$
$$||(1-\chi_{\mathfrak{e}})\tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\mathfrak{e}}\chi_{\mathfrak{e}}||_{2} \leq C \varepsilon^{3/2}$$

Since we have the duality

$$((1-\chi_{\mathfrak{e}})\tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\mathfrak{e}})^* = \tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\mathfrak{e}}(1-\chi_{\mathfrak{e}}),$$

we get

$$(5.7) \qquad ||\mathbf{P}_{\varepsilon}(1-\chi_{\varepsilon})||_{2} \leq C \varepsilon^{3/2}.$$

Summing up these facts, we get the following.

Lemma 5.2. There exists a constant C independent of ε such that

S. ROPPONGI

$$\|\chi_{\mathbf{t}}\tilde{P}_{\mathbf{t}}\chi_{\mathbf{t}}-\tilde{P}_{\mathbf{t}}\|_{2}\leq C\varepsilon^{3/2}$$

holds.

Notice that the *j*-the eigenvalue of P_{ϵ} is equal to the *j*-th eigenvalue of $\chi_{\epsilon}\tilde{P}_{\epsilon}\chi_{\epsilon}$. By virtue of Theorem 3, Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2, we see that there exists a constant C independent of ϵ such that

(5.8)
$$|\mu_{j}(\varepsilon)^{-1} - \mu_{j}^{-1}| \leq C(\varepsilon^{2-s} + \varepsilon + \varepsilon^{3/2}) \leq C \cdot \varepsilon$$

hold.

For the later convenience we estimate $||(\chi_{e}\tilde{P}_{e} - P_{e}\chi_{e})f||_{2,e}$. We put $v_{e} = (\chi_{e}\tilde{P}_{e} - P_{e}\chi_{e})f$. Then, $v_{e} = (G\hat{\chi}_{e}f)$ on Ω_{e} . Here $\hat{\chi}_{e}$ is the characteristic function on B_{e} . Thus v_{e} satisfies $\Delta v_{e}(x) = 0$ for $x \in \Omega_{e}$, $v_{e}(x) = 0$ for $x \in \partial \Omega$ and

(5.9)
$$|v_{\mathfrak{e}}(x)| \leq C(\int_{B_{\mathfrak{e}}} |x-y|^{-\mathfrak{p}'} dy)^{1/\mathfrak{p}'} ||f||_{\mathfrak{p}}$$
$$\leq \begin{cases} C \mathcal{E}^{2-3/\mathfrak{p}} ||f||_{\mathfrak{p}} & (3/2 < \mathfrak{p} < \infty) \\ C \mathcal{E}^{2} ||f||_{\infty} & (\mathfrak{p} = \infty) \end{cases}$$

for $x \in \partial B_{\mathbf{e}}$.

By the maximum principle, we get the following.

Lemma 5.3. There exists a constant C independent of ε such that

(5.10)
$$||(\chi_{\varepsilon}\tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\varepsilon} - \boldsymbol{P}_{\varepsilon}\chi_{\varepsilon})f||_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C\varepsilon^{2-3/p} ||f||_{p} \quad (3/2
$$\leq C\varepsilon^{2} ||f||_{\infty} \quad (p = \infty)$$$$

hold for any $f \in L^p(\Omega)$.

6. Perturbational calculus for \tilde{P}_{t}

In this section we consider the behaviour of eigenvalues of \tilde{P}_{ϵ} as ε tends to 0. We put

$$\lambda(\mathcal{E}) = \lambda_0 + g(\mathcal{E})\lambda_1$$

 $\psi(\mathcal{E}) = \psi_0 + g(\mathcal{E})\psi_1$

so that $\lambda(\mathcal{E})$ and $\psi(\mathcal{E})$ is an approximate eigenvalue of \tilde{P}_{e} and an approximate eigenfunction of \tilde{P}_{e} , respectively.

Let λ_0 be a simple eigenvalue of A_0 and ψ_0 be a solution of

(6.1)
$$(A_0 - \lambda_0)\psi_0 = 0, \qquad ||\psi_0||_2 = 1.$$

Next we solve the following equations:

$$(6.2) \qquad (A_0 - \lambda_0)\psi_1 = (\lambda_1 - A_1)\psi_0$$

EIGENVALUES OF THE LAPLACIAN

$$(6.3) (\psi_0, \psi_1)_2 = 0,$$

where $(,)_2$ denotes the inner product on $L^2(\Omega)$.

By the Fredholm alternative theory, we see that

$$(6.4) \qquad \qquad \lambda_1 = (A_1 \psi_0, \psi_0)_2$$

is the condition such that the unique solution of ψ_1 of (6.2) and (6.3) exists.

Hereafter we put $\lambda_0 = \mu_j^{-1}$. Then $\psi_0 = \varphi_j$. It is easy to see

(6.5)
$$\lambda_1 = |(\boldsymbol{G}\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\boldsymbol{e}}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\boldsymbol{j}})(\boldsymbol{\tilde{w}})|^2 = \mu_{\boldsymbol{j}}^{-2}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\boldsymbol{j}}(\boldsymbol{\tilde{w}})^2 + O(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2)$$

(6.6)
$$(\tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\boldsymbol{e}} - \lambda(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}))\psi(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = g(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})^2 (A_1 - \lambda_1)\psi_1.$$

From (5.3), (6.2), (6.4) and (6.6), we have the following.

Lemma 6.1. There exists a constant C independent of E such that

$$(6.7) \qquad ||(\tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} - \lambda(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}))\psi(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})||_{\boldsymbol{2},\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \leq Cg(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})^2 \leq C\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2$$

hold.

By (5.3), (6.2) and (6.4), we have

(6.8)
$$\|\psi_1\|_p, \|A_1\|_p \leq C \varepsilon^{3/p-1} \quad (p>3).$$

Now we have the following.

Lemma 6.2. Fix $s \in (0, 1)$. Then, there exist constants C, C_s independent of ε such that

$$(6.9) \qquad ||(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} - \boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}})(\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}))||_{2,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \leq C_{\boldsymbol{s}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{2-\boldsymbol{s}}$$

$$(6.10) \qquad \qquad ||(\chi_{\mathfrak{e}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\mathfrak{e}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{\mathfrak{e}} \chi_{\mathfrak{e}}) \psi(\varepsilon)||_{2,\mathfrak{e}} \leq C \varepsilon^{2}$$

hold.

Proof. By (6.8), Theorem 3 and Lemma 5.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &||(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{e}} - \boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{e}}) \left(\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\boldsymbol{e}} \boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \right)||_{\boldsymbol{2},\boldsymbol{e}} \\ &\leq C_{\boldsymbol{s}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\boldsymbol{2}-\boldsymbol{s}} (1 + |g(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})| \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\boldsymbol{3}/\boldsymbol{p}-1}) \leq C_{\boldsymbol{s}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\boldsymbol{2}-\boldsymbol{s}} \qquad (\boldsymbol{p} > 3) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &||(\chi_{\varepsilon}\tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\varepsilon}-\boldsymbol{P}_{\varepsilon}\chi_{\varepsilon})\psi(\varepsilon)||_{2,\varepsilon}\\ &\leq C(\varepsilon^{2}+|g(\varepsilon)|\varepsilon^{2-3/p}\varepsilon^{3/p-1})\leq C\varepsilon^{2} \qquad (p>3). \end{aligned}$$

q.e.d.

7. Proof of Theorem 1

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1. We fix $s \in (0, 1)$. Then,

by Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2, we have

$$\begin{split} &||(\boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})) \left(\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\right)||_{2,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \\ &\leq ||(\boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}) \left(\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\right)||_{2,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} + ||(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{\chi}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} - \boldsymbol{\chi}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}) \boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})||_{2,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \\ &+ ||\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} (\tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})) \boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})||_{2,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \\ &\leq C_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{2-s} \,. \end{split}$$

Since $\|\psi(\varepsilon)\|_{2,\epsilon} \in (1/2, 2)$ for small ε , there exists at least one eigenvalue $\lambda^*(\varepsilon)$ of G_{ϵ} satisfying

(7.1)
$$|\lambda^*(\varepsilon) - \lambda(\varepsilon)| \leq C_s \varepsilon^{2-s}.$$

We here represent $\lambda(\mathcal{E})$ explicitly as follows:

(7.2)
$$\lambda(\varepsilon) = \mu_j^{-1} + g(\varepsilon) \left(\mu_j^{-2} \varphi_j(\tilde{w})^2 + 0(\varepsilon^2) \right) \\ = \begin{cases} \mu_j^{-1} - 4\pi \mu_j^{-2} \varphi_j(\tilde{w})^2 \varepsilon + 0(\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^{\sigma}) & (\sigma > 1) \\ \mu_j^{-1} - 4\pi (1 + k)^{-1} \mu_j^{-2} \varphi_j(\tilde{w})^2 \varepsilon + 0(\varepsilon^2) & (\sigma = 1) \end{cases}$$

By (7.1), (7.2) and the fact (5.8), we see that $\lambda^*(\mathcal{E})$ must be $\mu_j(\mathcal{E})^{-1}$. Then, (1.3) easily follows from (7.1) and (7.2). Therefore we get the desired Theorem 1.

8. Proof of Theorem 4

From this section we assume $\sigma < 1$. By (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5), we see that (8.1) $g(\varepsilon) = -(4\pi/k)\varepsilon^{2-\sigma} + O(\varepsilon^{3-2\sigma})$ $h(\varepsilon) = 2\pi\varepsilon^3 + O(\varepsilon^{4-\sigma})$ $i(\varepsilon) = (4\pi/9)\varepsilon^5 + O(\varepsilon^{6-\sigma}).$

We take an arbitrary $x \in \partial B_{\varepsilon}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\tilde{w}=0$ and $x=\varepsilon e_1$. We put S(x, y) as before. Then, the same calculation as in p. 263 of Ozawa [9] yields

(8.2)
$$\langle \nabla_w G(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle$$

= $(4\pi)^{-1} \mathcal{E}^{-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} G(\tilde{w}, y) + \langle \nabla_w S(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle$

(8.3)
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} \langle \nabla_{w} G(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_{w} G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle$$
$$= -(2\pi)^{-1} \varepsilon^{-3} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}} G(\tilde{w}, y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} \langle \nabla_{w} S(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_{w} G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle$$
$$\langle H_{w} G(x, \tilde{w}), H_{w} G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle - \langle H_{w} S(x, \tilde{w}), H_{w} G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle$$
$$= 3(4\pi)^{-1} \varepsilon^{-3} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial w_{1}^{2}} G(\tilde{w}, y) - (4\pi)^{-1} \varepsilon^{-3} \Delta_{w} G(\tilde{w}, y)$$

EIGENVALUES OF THE LAPLACIAN

(8.5)
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \langle H_w G(x, \tilde{w}), H_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \langle H_w S(x, \tilde{w}), H_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle$$
$$= -9(4\pi)^{-1} \varepsilon^{-4} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2} G(\tilde{w}, y) + 3(4\pi)^{-1} \varepsilon^{-4} \Delta_w G(\tilde{w}, y)$$

for $x = \varepsilon e_1$, $\tilde{w} = 0$. We recall that

(8.6)
$$\Delta_{w}G(\tilde{w}, y) = 0$$
 for $y \in \Omega_{e}$.

We put $p_{e}(x, y)$ as before. By (8.2), (8.3), (8.4), (8.5) and (8.6), we have

(8.7)
$$p_{\mathfrak{e}}(x,y) - k \mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} p_{\mathfrak{e}}(x,y)|_{x=\mathfrak{e}_{\mathfrak{e}_1}} = \sum_{j=1}^7 L_j,$$

where

$$\begin{split} L_{1} &= G(x, y) \\ L_{2} &= g(\varepsilon) \left((4\pi\varepsilon)^{-1} + \gamma + (4\pi)^{-1}k\varepsilon^{\sigma-2} \right) G(\tilde{w}, y) \\ L_{3} &= g(\varepsilon)O(\varepsilon^{\sigma})G(\tilde{w}, y) \\ L_{4} &= (4\pi)^{-1}(\varepsilon^{-2} + 2k\varepsilon^{\sigma-3})h(\varepsilon)\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}}G(\tilde{w}, y) - k\varepsilon^{\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}G(x, y) \\ L_{5} &= 3(4\pi)^{-1}(\varepsilon^{-3} + 3k\varepsilon^{\sigma-4})i(\varepsilon)\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial w_{1}^{2}}G(\tilde{w}, y) \\ L_{6} &= h(\varepsilon) \langle \nabla_{w}S(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_{w}G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \\ &\quad -k\varepsilon^{\sigma}h(\varepsilon)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} \langle \nabla_{w}S(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_{w}G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \\ L_{7} &= i(\varepsilon) \langle H_{w}S(x, \tilde{w}), H_{w}G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \\ &\quad -k\varepsilon^{\sigma}i(\varepsilon)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} \langle H_{w}S(x, \tilde{w}), H_{w}G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \end{split}$$

for $\tilde{w}=0$, $x=\varepsilon e_1$. Here we used the fact that

$$S(x, \tilde{w}) = \gamma + O(\varepsilon)$$
 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

By (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (8.6), we get the following.

(8.8)
$$p_{\mathfrak{e}}(x,y) - k \mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} p_{\mathfrak{e}}(x,y)|_{x=\mathfrak{e}_{1}}$$
$$= G(x,y) - G(\tilde{w},y) - \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}} G(\tilde{w},y) + L_{3} + L_{6} + L_{7}$$
$$-k \mathcal{E}^{\sigma} (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} G(x,y) - \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}} G(\tilde{w},y) - \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial w_{1}^{2}} G(\tilde{w},y))$$

for $\tilde{w} = 0$, $x = \varepsilon e_1$.

We take an arbitrary $f \in L^{p}(\Omega_{e})$ and let \tilde{f} be the extension of f to Ω defined by 0 on B_{e} . By (8.8),

(8.9)
$$(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}f)(\boldsymbol{x}) - k\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} (\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}f)(\boldsymbol{x})_{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}|_{1}}$$
$$= (\boldsymbol{G}\tilde{f})(\boldsymbol{x}) - (\boldsymbol{G}\tilde{f})(\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}) - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}} (\boldsymbol{G}\tilde{f})(\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}) + I_{0}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},\tilde{f})$$
$$-k\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\sigma} (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} (\boldsymbol{G}\tilde{f})(\boldsymbol{x}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}} (\boldsymbol{G}\tilde{f})(\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}) - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial w_{1}^{2}} (\boldsymbol{G}\tilde{f})(\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}})),$$

where

$$\begin{split} I_{0}(\varepsilon,\tilde{f}) &= g(\varepsilon)O(\varepsilon^{\sigma})(G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \\ &+h(\varepsilon) \langle \nabla_{w}S(x,\tilde{w}), \nabla_{w}(G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \rangle \\ &-k\varepsilon^{\sigma}h(\varepsilon)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} \langle \nabla_{w}S(x,\tilde{w}), \nabla_{w}(G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \rangle \\ &+i(\varepsilon) \langle H_{w}S(x,\tilde{w}), H_{w}(G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \rangle \\ &-k\varepsilon^{\sigma}i(\varepsilon)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} \langle H_{w}S(x,\tilde{w}), H_{w}(G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \rangle \end{split}$$

for $\tilde{w} = 0$, $x = \varepsilon e_1$.

By (4.4), we have

(8.10)
$$|(G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w})| \leq C||f||_{p,\epsilon}$$
$$|(G\tilde{f})(x) - (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) - \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w})| \leq C\varepsilon^{2-3/p} ||f||_{p,\epsilon}$$
$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (G\tilde{f})(x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w})\right| \leq C\varepsilon^{1-3/p} ||f||_{p,\epsilon}$$

for $\hat{w}=0$, $x=\varepsilon e_1$, p>3. Furthermore,

(8.11)
$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial w_n} (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \right| \leq C(\int_{\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}} |y - \tilde{w}|^{-2p'} dy)^{1/p'} ||\tilde{f}||_p \\ \leq \begin{cases} C \mathcal{E}^{1-3/p} ||f||_{p,\mathfrak{p}} & (1 3) \end{cases}$$

for $1 \leq n \leq 3$, where p' satisfies (1/p)+(1/p')=1. Also,

(8.12)
$$\left|\frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_m \partial w_n} (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w})\right| \leq C (\int_{\Omega_{\mathfrak{c}}} |y - \tilde{w}|^{-3p'} dy)^{1/p'} ||\tilde{f}||_p$$
$$\leq C \varepsilon^{-3/p} ||f||_{p,\mathfrak{c}} \qquad (p>1)$$

for $1 \leq m, n \leq 3$.

Summing up these facts, we get

$$|(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{e}}f)(\boldsymbol{x}) - k \varepsilon^{\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} (\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{e}}f)(\boldsymbol{x})|_{\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{e}_{0}} \leq C \varepsilon^{1 + \sigma - 3/p} ||f||_{p, \boldsymbol{e}}$$

for p > 3.

Therefore we have the following by Proposition 3.2.

Lemma 8.1. For a constant C independent of ε ,

$$||(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{e}} - \boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{e}})f||_{2,\boldsymbol{e}} \leq C \mathcal{E}^{3-3/\boldsymbol{p}} ||f||_{\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{e}}$$

holds for any $f \in L^p(\Omega_{\mathfrak{e}})$ $(\mathfrak{p} > 3)$.

The right hand side of (8.13) is not $O(\mathcal{E}^3)$. On the other hand, the right hand side of (2.8) is $o(\mathcal{E}^3)$. Therefore we need some sharper estimate to get Theorem 4.

We put $v_{\boldsymbol{e}}(x) = ((\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{e}} - \boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{e}})(\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\boldsymbol{e}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\boldsymbol{j}}))(x)$. As we get (8.9),

(8.14)
$$v_{\mathfrak{e}}(x) - k \mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} v_{\mathfrak{e}}(x)|_{\mathfrak{s}=\mathfrak{e}_1}$$
$$= I_1(\mathcal{E}) - I_2(\mathcal{E}) - k \mathcal{E}^{\sigma}(I_3(\mathcal{E}) - I_4(\mathcal{E})) + I_5(\mathcal{E}),$$

where

$$\begin{split} I_{1}(\varepsilon) &= (\mathbf{G}\varphi_{j})(x) - (\mathbf{G}\varphi_{j})(\tilde{w}) - \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}}(\mathbf{G}\varphi_{j})(\tilde{w}) \\ I_{2}(\varepsilon) &= (\mathbf{G}\hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{e}}\varphi_{j})(x) - (\mathbf{G}\hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{e}}\varphi_{j})(\tilde{w}) - \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}}(\mathbf{G}\hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{e}}\varphi_{j})(\tilde{w}) \\ I_{3}(\varepsilon) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}(\mathbf{G}\varphi_{j})(x) - (\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}} + \varepsilon \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial w_{1}^{2}})(\mathbf{G}\varphi_{j})(\tilde{w}) \\ I_{4}(\varepsilon) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}(\mathbf{G}\hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{e}}\varphi_{j})(x) - (\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}} + \varepsilon \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial w_{1}^{2}})(\mathbf{G}\hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{e}}\varphi_{j})(\tilde{w}) \end{split}$$

for $\tilde{w}=0$, $x=\varepsilon e_1$, and $I_5(\varepsilon)$ is given by replacing f with $\chi_{\varepsilon}\varphi_j$ in the term $I_0(\varepsilon, \tilde{f})$ of (8.9). Since $G\varphi_j = \mu_j^{-1}\varphi_j$,

 $(8.15) |I_1(\mathcal{E})| \leq C \mathcal{E}^2, |I_3(\mathcal{E})| \leq C \mathcal{E}^2.$

Using (8.11), (8.12) with $f = \chi_{e} \varphi_{j}$, we have

$$(8.16) |I_5(\mathcal{E})| \leq C(\mathcal{E}^2 + \mathcal{E}^{3+\sigma}).$$

Furthermore,

(8.17)
$$|I_2(\varepsilon)| \leq C \varepsilon^{2-3/p} ||\hat{\chi}_{\varepsilon} \varphi_j||_p \qquad (p>3)$$
$$\leq C \varepsilon^{2-3/p} |B_{\varepsilon}|^{1/p} \leq C \varepsilon^2.$$

Now we want to estimate $I_4(\mathcal{E})$. We put $L(x, y) = (4\pi)^{-1} |x-y|^{-1}$. Then, we have

$$(8.18) I_4(\varepsilon) = I_6(\varepsilon) + I_7(\varepsilon) + I_8(\varepsilon) ,$$

where

$$\begin{split} I_{6}(\mathcal{E}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} (\int_{B_{\pi}} L(x, y)(\varphi_{j}(y) - \varphi_{j}(x)) dy)_{|x=\epsilon_{0}} \\ &\quad -\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}} \int_{B_{\pi}} L(w, y)(\varphi_{j}(y) - \varphi_{j}(w)) dy \\ &\quad -\varepsilon \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial w_{1}^{2}} \int_{B_{\pi}} L(w, y)(\varphi_{j}(y) - \varphi_{j}(w) - \sum_{n=1}^{3} (y_{n} - w_{n}) \frac{\partial \varphi_{j}}{\partial w_{n}}(w)) dy \\ I_{7}(\mathcal{E}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} (\varphi_{j}(x)F(x))_{|x=\epsilon_{0}} - (\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}} + \varepsilon \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial w_{1}^{2}})(\varphi_{j}(w)F(w)) \\ &\quad -\varepsilon \sum_{n=1}^{3} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial w_{1}^{2}} (\frac{\partial \varphi_{j}}{\partial w_{n}}(w)K_{n}(w)) \\ I_{8}(\mathcal{E}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} (\mathbf{S}\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}\varphi_{j})(x)_{|x=\epsilon_{0}} - (\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}} + \varepsilon \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial w_{1}^{2}})(\mathbf{S}\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}\varphi_{j})(w) \end{split}$$

for w=0. Here we put operator **S** and functions F, K_n as follows:

$$(\mathbf{S}f)(x) = \int_{\Omega} S(x, y) f(y) dy$$
$$F(x) = \int_{B_{\mathfrak{g}}} L(x, y) dy$$

and

$$K_n(w) = \int_{B_e} L(w, y)(y_n - w_n) dy \qquad (n = 1, 2, 3).$$

It is easy to see that

 $(8.19) |I_8(\mathcal{E})| \leq C \mathcal{E}^2$

(8.20)
$$|I_{6}(\varepsilon)| \leq C \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} |x-y|^{-1} dy_{|x-\varepsilon_{0}|} + C \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} |\widetilde{w}-y|^{-1} dy$$
$$+ C \varepsilon \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} |\widetilde{w}-y|^{-1} dy$$
$$\leq C \varepsilon^{2} .$$

The simple calculation yields

EIGENVALUES OF THE LAPLACIAN

(8.21)
$$F(x) = (\mathcal{E}^3/3) |x|^{-1} \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B}_{\mathfrak{e}}$$
$$= \mathcal{E}^2/2 - |x|^2/6 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in B_{\mathfrak{e}},$$

(8.22)
$$K_n(w) = w_n(|w|^2/5 - \varepsilon^2)/3 \quad \text{for} \quad w \in B_\varepsilon.$$

Therefore, we see that

(8.23)
$$F(x) = \varepsilon^2/3, \quad \partial F(x)/\partial x_1 = -\varepsilon/3$$

for $x = \mathcal{E}e_1$, and

(8.24)
$$F(w) = \varepsilon^2/2, \quad \partial F(w)/\partial w_1 = 0, \quad \partial^2 F(w)/\partial w_1^2 = -1/3$$

$$(8.25) K_n(w) = \partial^2 K_n(w) / \partial w_1^2 = 0, \quad \partial K_n(w) / \partial w_1 = -\delta_{1,n}(\mathcal{E}^2/3)$$

for w=0, where $\delta_{1,n}$ is the Kronecker delta. Summing up these facts, we have

(8.26)
$$I_{7}(\varepsilon) = -\varepsilon(\varphi_{j}(\varepsilon e_{1}) - \varphi_{j}(0))/3 + O(\varepsilon^{2}) = O(\varepsilon^{2}).$$

From (8.14), (8.15), (8.16), (8.17), (8.18), (8.19), (8.20) and (8.26), we see that

$$|v_{\mathfrak{e}}(x)-k\varepsilon^{\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}v_{\mathfrak{e}}(x)|_{x=\mathfrak{e}_{0}}\leq C(\varepsilon^{2}+\varepsilon^{2+\sigma}).$$

By Proposition 3.2, we have

$$||v_{\varepsilon}||_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C \mathcal{E}^{4}(1+\mathcal{E}^{-\sigma}).$$

Therefore, we get the desired Theorem 4.

9. Convergence of eigenvalues for $\sigma < 1$

We put A_0 , A_1 as before. Then,

(9.1)
$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\boldsymbol{e}} = A_0 + g(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})A_1 + h(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})A_2 + i(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})A_3,$$

where

(9.2)
$$(A_2f)(x) = \langle \nabla_w G(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w (G \chi_{\mathfrak{e}} f)(\tilde{w}) \rangle \chi_{\mathfrak{e}}(x)$$

(9.3)
$$(A_3f)(x) = \langle H_w G(x, \tilde{w}), H_w (G \chi_{\mathfrak{e}} f)(\tilde{w}) \rangle \chi_{\mathfrak{e}}(x) .$$

Using (8.11) and (8.12), we have

(9.4)
$$||A_{2}f||_{p} \leq C(\int_{\Omega_{e}} |x - \tilde{w}|^{-2p} dx)^{1/p} ||\nabla_{w}(G \chi_{e} f)||_{\alpha}$$
$$\leq \begin{cases} C \varepsilon^{-1} ||f||_{p} & (3/2 3) \end{cases},$$

and

(9.5) $||A_{3}f||_{p} \leq C(\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |x-\tilde{w}|^{-3p} dx)^{1/p} ||H_{w}(G\chi_{\varepsilon}f)||_{\infty}$ $\leq C\varepsilon^{-3} ||f||_{p} \qquad (p>1) .$

Here we put

$$(H_w v)(w) = \sum_{m,n=1}^{3} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial w_m \partial w_n}(w) \,.$$

From (5.3), (8.1), (9.1), (9.4) and (9.5),

$$\begin{aligned} ||(\mathbf{P}_{\varepsilon}-\mathbf{G})f||_{2} &\leq C(|g(\varepsilon)|+|h(\varepsilon)|\varepsilon^{-1}+|i(\varepsilon)|\varepsilon^{-3})||f||_{2} \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon^{2}+\varepsilon^{2-\sigma})||f||_{2} \end{aligned}$$

hold for any $f \in L^2(\Omega)$.

Therefore we get the following.

Lemma 9.1. There exists a constant C independent of E such that

(9.6) $||\tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} - \boldsymbol{G}||_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \leq C(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2 + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{2-\sigma})$

holds.

Notice that Lemma 5.2 is valid for $\sigma < 1$ because $(1 - \chi_e)\chi_e = 0$. As we get (5.8),

(9.7)
$$|\mu_{j}(\mathcal{E})^{-1} - \mu_{j}^{-1}| \leq C(\mathcal{E}^{3-3/p} + \mathcal{E}^{2} + \mathcal{E}^{2-\sigma} + \mathcal{E}^{3/2}) \leq C(\mathcal{E}^{3/2} + \mathcal{E}^{2-\sigma})$$

hold for a constant C independent of ε .

10. Perturbational calculus for \bar{P}_{e}

We recall (2.9). Then,

(10.1)
$$\vec{P}_{e} = A_{0} + \vec{g}(\varepsilon) \vec{A}_{1} + h(\varepsilon) \vec{A}_{2} + i(\varepsilon) \vec{A}_{3},$$

where

(10.2)
$$\overline{g}(\varepsilon) = g(\varepsilon) - (4\pi/3)\mu_i \varepsilon^3$$

and \bar{A}_1 , \bar{A}_2 , \bar{A}_3 is given by replacing χ_e with ξ_e in (5.2), (9.2), (9.3), respectively.

Furthermore we put $\lambda_0 = \mu_j^{-1}$, $\psi_0 = \varphi_j$ and

$$egin{aligned} \lambda(arepsilon) &= \lambda_0 + ar{g}(arepsilon) \lambda_1 + h(arepsilon) \lambda_2 + i(arepsilon) \lambda_3 \ \psi(arepsilon) &= \psi_0 + ar{g}(arepsilon) \psi_1 + h(arepsilon) \psi_2 + i(arepsilon) \psi_3 \,. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

EIGENVALUES OF THE LAPLCAIAN

(10.3)
$$(A_0 - \lambda_0)\psi_0 = 0, \quad ||\psi_0||_2 = 1.$$

Next we consider the following equations:

(10.4)
$$(A_0 - \lambda_0)\psi_n = (\lambda_n - \bar{A}_n)\psi_0, \quad (\psi_0, \psi_n)_2 = 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, 3).$$

By the Fredholm alternative theory, we see that

(10.5)
$$\lambda_n = (\bar{A}_n \psi_0, \psi_0)_2 \quad (n=1, 2, 3)$$

is the condition such that the unique solution ψ_n of (10.4) exists.

Since $\xi_e = 0$ on $B_{e/2}$, \bar{A}_1 , \bar{A}_2 , \bar{A}_3 satisfies the same inequality as in (5.3), (9.4), (9.5), respectively. Then, by the Fredholm theory and the estimate of the $L^p(\Omega)$ norm of the right hand side of (10.4), we get the following.

Lemma 10.1. For a constant C independent of ε ,

$$\begin{aligned} ||\psi_1||_p, ||\bar{A}_1||_p &\leq C \quad (3/2 3) \\ ||\psi_2||_p, ||\bar{A}_2||_p &\leq C \varepsilon^{-1} \quad (3/2 3) \\ &||\psi_3||_p, ||\bar{A}_3||_p &\leq C \varepsilon^{-3} \quad (p > 1) \end{aligned}$$

hold.

In view of (10.1), (10.3) and (10.4), we have

$$(10.6) \qquad (\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} - \lambda(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}))\psi(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ = \boldsymbol{\bar{g}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})^{2}(\bar{A}_{1} - \lambda_{1})\psi_{1} + h(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})^{2}(\bar{A}_{2} - \lambda_{2})\psi_{2} + i(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})^{2}(\bar{A}_{3} - \lambda_{3})\psi_{3} \\ + \boldsymbol{\bar{g}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})h(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\left((\bar{A}_{1} - \lambda_{1})\psi_{2} + (\bar{A}_{2} - \lambda_{2})\psi_{1}\right) \\ + h(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})i(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\left((\bar{A}_{2} - \lambda_{2})\psi_{3} + (\bar{A}_{3} - \lambda_{3})\psi_{2}\right) \\ + i(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\boldsymbol{\bar{g}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\left((\bar{A}_{3} - \lambda_{3})\psi_{1} + (\bar{A}_{1} - \lambda_{1})\psi_{3}\right). \end{cases}$$

By (10.5), (10.6) and Lemma 10.1, we see that

$$||(\bar{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\boldsymbol{z}} - \lambda(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}))\psi(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})||_{\boldsymbol{z}} \leq C(\bar{\boldsymbol{g}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})^2 + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^4) \leq C \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^4 (1 + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{-2\sigma}) .$$

Therefore we get the following.

Lemma 10.2. For a constant C independent of ε ,

(10.7)
$$||(\bar{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\boldsymbol{e}} - \lambda(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}))\psi(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})||_{2} \leq C \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{4} (1 + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{-2\sigma})$$

holds.

On the other hand, by Lemmas 8.1, 10.1 and Theorem 4, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &||(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} - \boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}) \left(\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \right)||_{\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \\ &\leq C(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{4}(1 + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{-\sigma}) + | \, \boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) | \, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{2} + | \, \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) | \, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} + | \, \boldsymbol{i}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) | \, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{-3/p}) \qquad (\boldsymbol{p} > 3) \\ &\leq C \, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{4}(1 + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{-\sigma}) \,. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get the following.

Lemma 10.3. For a constant C independent of ε ,

(10.8)
$$||(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} - \boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}) (\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}))||_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \leq C \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{4} (1 + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{-\sigma})$$

holds.

11. Proof of Theorem 5

We put

(11.1)
$$\boldsymbol{J}_{\boldsymbol{e}}(x;v) = (\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\boldsymbol{e}} \boldsymbol{\bar{P}}_{\boldsymbol{e}} v - \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{e}} \boldsymbol{\chi}_{\boldsymbol{e}} v)(x)$$

for $v \in L^{p}(\Omega)$. Then, we see that

(11.2)
$$\Delta J_{\mathfrak{e}}(x;v) = 0 \qquad x \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{e}}$$
$$J_{\mathfrak{e}}(x;v) = 0 \qquad x \in \partial \Omega.$$

As we get (8.9), we have

(11.3)
$$J_{\mathfrak{e}}(x;v) - k \mathfrak{E}^{\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} J_{\mathfrak{e}}(x;v)_{|x=\mathfrak{e}_{1}}$$
$$= \sum_{n=9}^{11} I_{n}(\mathfrak{E};v) - k \mathfrak{E}^{\sigma}(I_{12}(\mathfrak{E};v) + I_{13}(\mathfrak{E};v)),$$

where $I_{9}(\varepsilon; v)$ is given by $I_{0}(\varepsilon; \tilde{f})$ in (8.9) with $f = \xi_{e} \hat{\chi}_{e} v = (\xi_{e} - \chi_{e})v$ and

$$I_{10}(\varepsilon; v) = (G\hat{\chi}_{\varepsilon}v)(x) - (G\xi_{\varepsilon}\hat{\chi}_{\varepsilon}v)(\tilde{w}) - \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}}(G\xi_{\varepsilon}\hat{\chi}_{\varepsilon}v)(\tilde{w})$$

$$I_{11}(\varepsilon; v) = -(4\pi/3)\mu_{j}\varepsilon^{3}G(x, \tilde{w})(G\xi_{\varepsilon}v)(\tilde{w})$$

$$I_{12}(\varepsilon; v) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}(G\hat{\chi}_{\varepsilon}v)(x) - (\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}} + \varepsilon \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial w_{1}^{2}})(G\xi_{\varepsilon}\hat{\chi}_{\varepsilon}v)(\tilde{w})$$

$$I_{13}(\varepsilon; v) = -(4\pi/3)\mu_{j}\varepsilon^{3}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}G(x, \tilde{w})(G\xi_{\varepsilon}v)(\tilde{w})$$

for $\tilde{w} = 0$, $x = \varepsilon e_1$.

It is easy to see that

(11.4)
$$|I_{9}(\varepsilon; v)| \leq C(\varepsilon^{2} + \varepsilon^{3+\sigma}) ||v||_{p} \quad (p > 3)$$

(11.5)
$$|I_{11}(\varepsilon; v)| \leq C \varepsilon^2 ||v||_p \quad (p > 3/2)$$

EIGENVALUES OF THE LAPLACIAN

(11.6)
$$|I_{13}(\varepsilon; v)| \leq C \varepsilon ||v||_p \qquad (p > 3/2).$$

We have

(11.7)
$$|I_{10}(\mathcal{E}; v)| \leq C(\int_{B_{\mathcal{E}}} |x-y|^{-p'} dy)^{1/p'}_{|x-ve_1|} ||v||_{p} + C(\int_{B_{\mathcal{E}}} |\tilde{w}-y|^{-p'} dy)^{1/p'} ||v||_{p} + C\mathcal{E}(\int_{B_{\mathcal{E}}} |\tilde{w}-y|^{-2p'} dy)^{1/p'} ||v||_{p} \leq \begin{cases} C\mathcal{E}^{2-3/p}_{p} ||v||_{p} & (3/2$$

and

(11.8)
$$|I_{12}(\varepsilon; v)| \leq C(\int_{B_{\varepsilon}} |x-y|^{-2p'} dy)_{|x-\varepsilon_{\varepsilon_{1}}}^{1/p'} ||v||_{p} + C(\int_{B_{\varepsilon}} |\tilde{w}-y|^{-2p'} dy)^{1/p'} ||v||_{p} + C\varepsilon(\int_{B_{\varepsilon}} |\tilde{w}-y|^{-3p'} dy)^{1/p'} ||v||_{p} \leq C\varepsilon^{1-3/p} ||v||_{p} \quad (3$$

Summing up these facts, we have

$$|J_{\mathfrak{e}}(x;v)-k\mathcal{E}^{\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}J_{\mathfrak{e}}(x;v)|_{x=\mathfrak{e}_{1}} \leq C\mathcal{E}^{1+\sigma-3/\mathfrak{p}}||v||_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

for p > 3. By Proposition 3.2, we get the following.

Lemma 11.1. There exists a constant C independent of ε such that

(11.9)
$$||J_{\mathfrak{e}}(\cdot; v)||_{2,\mathfrak{e}} \leq C \mathcal{E}^{3-3/\mathfrak{p}} ||v||_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

holds for any $v \in L^p(\Omega)$ (p>3).

Next we estimate $||J_{\mathfrak{e}}(\cdot;\varphi_j)||_{2,\mathfrak{e}}$. We see that

(11.10)
$$I_{12}(\varepsilon; \varphi_j) = I_4(\varepsilon) + \sum_{n=14}^{16} I_n(\varepsilon),$$

where

$$\begin{split} I_{14}(\varepsilon) &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} + \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2}\right) (S(1 - \xi_{\epsilon}) \hat{X}_{\epsilon} \varphi_j)(\tilde{w}) \\ I_{15}(\varepsilon) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} \int_{B_{\epsilon}} L(w, y) (1 - \xi_{\epsilon}(y)) \varphi_j(y) dy_{|w=0} \\ I_{16}(\varepsilon) &= \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2} \int_{B_{\epsilon}} L(w, y) (1 - \xi_{\epsilon}(y)) \varphi_j(y) dy_{|w=0} . \end{split}$$

Since $S(x, y) \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega)$,

$$(11.11) |I_{14}(\mathcal{E})| \leq C \mathcal{E}^2.$$

In section 8, we have already showed the following.

$$(11.12) |I_4(\mathcal{E})| \leq C \mathcal{E}^2$$

(11.13)
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} \int_{B_{\mathfrak{g}}} L(w, y) \varphi_j(y) dy = O(\mathcal{E}^2)$$

(11.14)
$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} L(w, y) \varphi_j(y) dy = -(1/3) \varphi_j(\tilde{w}) + O(\varepsilon^2)$$

for $w = \hat{w} = 0$.

On the other hand, we see that

$$\Delta_w \int_{B_{\mathbf{e}}} L(w, y) \xi_{\mathbf{e}}(y) dy = -(\hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{e}} \xi_{\mathbf{e}})(w) .$$

Since $\xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(w)=0$ for $w\in B_{\mathfrak{e}/2}$ and $\xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(w)$ is rotationary invariant, we have

(11.15)
$$\int_{B_{\mathfrak{e}}} L(w, y) \xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(y) dy = \text{Constant} = O(\mathcal{E}^2) \quad \text{for} \quad w \in B_{\mathfrak{e}/2}$$

and

(11.16)
$$|\xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(y)| = |\xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(y) - \xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(w)| \leq C \mathcal{E}^{-1} |y - w|$$

for $w \in B_{\epsilon/2}$, $y \in B_{\epsilon}$.

Therefore, we have the following.

(11.16)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}} \int_{B_{\mathfrak{g}}} L(w, y) \xi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y) \varphi_{j}(y) dy_{|w=0}$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}} \int_{B_{\mathfrak{g}}} L(w, y) \xi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y) (\varphi_{j}(y) - \varphi_{j}(w)) dy_{|w=0}$$

$$+ \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}} (\varphi_{j}(w) \int_{B_{\mathfrak{g}}} L(w, y) \xi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y) dy_{|w=0}$$

$$= O(\mathcal{E}^{2})$$
(11.17)

$$\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \mathfrak{E}} \int_{\mathbb{E}^{2}} L(w, y) \xi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y) dy_{|w=0} dy_{|w=0}$$

(11.17)

$$\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial w_{1}^{2}} \int_{B_{\mathfrak{e}}} L(w, y) \xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(y) \varphi_{j}(y) dy_{|w=0}$$

$$= \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial w_{1}^{2}} \int_{B_{\mathfrak{e}}} L(w, y) \xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(y) (\varphi_{j}(y) - \varphi_{j}(w)) dy_{|w=0}$$

$$+ \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial w_{1}^{2}} (\varphi_{j}(w) \int_{B_{\mathfrak{e}}} L(w, y) \xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(y) dy_{|w=0}$$

$$= O(\varepsilon)$$

Summing up these facts, we have

(11.18)
$$I_{12}(\varepsilon; \varphi_j) = -(\varepsilon/3)\varphi_j(\tilde{w}) + O(\varepsilon^2) .$$

It is easy to see that

(11.19)
$$I_{13}(\varepsilon; \varphi_j) = (\varepsilon/3)\varphi_j(\tilde{w}) + O(\varepsilon^2).$$

Thus, by (11.3), (11.4), (11.5), (11.7), (11.18) and (11.19), we have

$$|J_{\mathfrak{e}}(x;\varphi_{j})-k\mathcal{E}^{\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}J_{\mathfrak{e}}(x;\varphi_{j})|_{x=\mathfrak{e}_{1}}\leq C(\mathcal{E}^{2}+\mathcal{E}^{2+\sigma}).$$

By Proposition 3.2, we get the desired Theorem 5.

Furthermore, we have the following.

Lemma 11.2. There exists a constant C independent of ε such that

(11.20)
$$||J_{\mathfrak{e}}(\cdot;\psi(\mathfrak{E}))||_{2,\mathfrak{e}} \leq C \mathfrak{E}^{4}(1+\mathfrak{E}^{-\sigma})$$

holds.

Proof. We recall that $\psi(\varepsilon) = \varphi_j + \overline{g}(\varepsilon)\psi_1 + h(\varepsilon)\psi_2 + i(\varepsilon)\psi_3$. We put p > 3 in Lemma 10.1. Then, (11.20) easily follows from Lemmas 10.1, 11.1 and Theorem 5. q.e.d.

REMARK. By neglecting $I_{11}(\mathcal{E}; v)$ and $I_{13}(\mathcal{E}; v)$ in (11.13), we have

(11.21)
$$||(\chi_{\varepsilon} \hat{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\varepsilon} - \boldsymbol{P}_{\varepsilon} \chi_{\varepsilon}) \varphi_{j}||_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C \varepsilon^{3},$$

where

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\boldsymbol{e}} = ar{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\boldsymbol{e}} + (4\pi/3)\mu_{\boldsymbol{j}}\mathcal{E}^{3}ar{A}_{1}$$
 .

Since the remainder term of an asymptotic formula (1.4) is $O(\mathcal{E}^4)$ for $\sigma \leq -2$, the estimate (11.21) is weak in the sense that the right hand side is $O(\mathcal{E}^3)$. Therefore, the existence of the term $(4\pi/3)\mu_j \mathcal{E}^3 G(x, \tilde{w})G(\tilde{w}, y)\xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(x)\xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(y)$ in (2.9) is essential to get Theorem 2.

12. Proof of Theorem 2

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 2. As in section 7, by Lemmas 10.2, 10.3 and 11.2, we have

$$\| (\boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} - \lambda(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})) (\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})) \|_{\boldsymbol{2}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \leq C \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{4} (1 + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{-2\sigma}) .$$

Since $\|\psi(\varepsilon)\|_{2,\epsilon} \in (1/2, 2)$ for small ε , there exists at least one eigenvalue $\lambda^*(\varepsilon)$ of G_{ϵ} satisfying

(12.1)
$$|\lambda^*(\mathcal{E}) - \lambda(\mathcal{E})| \leq C \mathcal{E}^4(1 + \mathcal{E}^{-2\sigma}).$$

We here represent λ_1 , λ_2 , λ_3 explicitly as follows.

(12.2)
$$\lambda_1 = \left(\int_{\Omega} G(w, y) \xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(y) \varphi_j(y) dy\right)_{\mathbb{I}_w = \widetilde{w}}^2$$
$$= \mu_j^{-2} \varphi_j(\widetilde{w})^2 + O(\mathcal{E}^2)$$

(12.3)
$$\lambda_2 = \sum_{n=1}^{3} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w_n} \int_{\Omega} G(w, y) \xi_{\varepsilon}(y) \varphi_j(y) dy \right)_{1w=\widetilde{w}}^2$$

(12.4)
$$\lambda_3 = \sum_{m,n=1}^{3} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_m \partial w_n} \int_{\Omega} G(w, y) \xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(y) \varphi_j(y) dy \right)_{\mathbb{I}_w = \widetilde{w}}^2$$

Since $\xi_{\epsilon}(y) = 0$ for $y \in B_{\epsilon/2}$,

(12.5)
$$|\lambda_3| \leq C(\int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\varepsilon/2}} |y - \tilde{w}|^{-3} dy)^2 \leq C |\log \varepsilon|^2.$$

On the other hand, by (8.25) and (11.15), we see that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w_n} \int_{\Omega} G(w, y) (1 - \xi_{\epsilon}(y)) \varphi_j(y) dy$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial w_n} \int_{\Omega} S(w, y) (1 - \xi_{\epsilon}(y)) \varphi_j(y) dy$$

$$+ \frac{\partial}{\partial w_n} \int_{\Omega} L(w, y) (1 - \xi_{\epsilon}(y)) (\varphi_j(y) - \varphi_j(w)) dy$$

$$+ \frac{\partial}{\partial w_n} (\varphi_j(w) \int_{\Omega} L(w, y) (1 - \xi_{\epsilon}(y)) dy)$$

$$= O(\xi) \qquad (n = 1, 2, 3)$$

for $w = \tilde{w} = 0$.

Thus, we have

(12.6)
$$\lambda_2 = \mu_j^{-2} |\operatorname{grad} \varphi_j(\widetilde{w})|^2 + O(\varepsilon)$$

From (12.2), (12.5) and (12.6),

(12.7)
$$\lambda(\varepsilon) = \mu_j^{-1} - \mu_j^{-2}(Q_j \varepsilon^{2-\sigma} + R_j \varepsilon^3) + O(\varepsilon^{3-2\sigma} + \varepsilon^{4-\sigma} + \varepsilon^4),$$

where Q_j , R_j are as mentioned before.

By (12.1), (12.7) and the fact (9.7), we see that $\lambda^*(\varepsilon)$ must be $\mu_i(\varepsilon)^{-1}$. Then,

(12.8)
$$|\mu_{j}(\varepsilon)^{-1} - \mu_{j}^{-1}(1 - \mu_{j}^{-1}(Q_{j}\varepsilon^{2-\sigma} + R_{j}\varepsilon^{3}))|$$
$$\leq C(\varepsilon^{3-2\sigma} + \varepsilon^{4})$$

holds.

Theorem 2 easily follows from (12.8).

References

- [1] J.M. Arrieta, J. Hale and Q. Han: Eigenvalue problems for nonsmoothly perturbed domains, J. Diff. Equations, 91 (1991), 24-52.
- [2] G. Besson: Comportement asymptotique des valeurs propres du laplacien dans un domaine avec un trou, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 113 (1985), 211–239.
- [3] I. Chavel and E.A. Feldman: Spectra of manifolds less a small domain, Duke Math. J., 56 (1988), 399-414.
- [4] S. Jimbo: The singularly perturbed domain and the characterization for the eigenfunctions with Neumann boundary condition, J. Diff. Equations, 77 (1989), 322– 350.
- [5] S. Jimbo and Y. Morita: Remarks on the behavior of certain eigenvalues on a singularly perturbed domain with several thin channels, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 17 (1992), 523-552.
- [6] S. Kaizu: The Robin problems on domains with many tiny holes, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A, 61 (1985), 39-42.
- [7] S. Kaizu: The Poisson equation with non-autonomous semilinear boundary conditions in domains with many tiny holes, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 22 (1991), 1222– 1245.
- [8] S. Ozawa: Electrostatic capacity and eigenvalues of the Laplacian, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sec IA, 30 (1983), 53-62.
- [9] S. Ozawa: Spectra of domains with small spherical Neumann boundary, Ibid., 30 (1983), 259–277.
- [10] S. Ozawa: Singular variation of domain and spectra of the Laplacian with small Robin conditional boundary I, to appear in Osaka J. Math.
- [11] S. Ozawa, S. Roppongi: Singular variation of domain and spectra of the Laplacian with small Robin conditional boundary II, Kodai Math. J., 15 (1992), 403–429.
- J. Rauch, M. Taylor: Potential and scattering theory on wildly perturbed domains, J. Funct. Anal., 18 (1975), 27-59.

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Sciences Tokyo Institute of Technology O-okayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152 Japan