

ON SMOOTH $SL(2, C)$ ACTIONS ON 3-MANIFOLDS

Dedicated to Professor Masahiro Sugawara on his 60th birthday

TOHL ASOH

(Received January 27, 1986)

1. Introduction

Real analytic actions of the special linear group $SL(n, F)$ on analytic manifolds M are classified by C.R. Schneider [6] in case that $n=2$, $F=R$ and M is any closed surface, and by F. Uchida [7-8] in case that M is the m -sphere S^m with $5 \leq n \leq m \leq 2n-2$ if $F=R$ and $14 \leq 2n \leq m \leq 4n-2$ if $F=C$.

In this paper we are concerned with smooth $SL(2, C)$ -actions on closed 3-manifolds M^3 . Note that $SL(2, C)$ is simple and contains $SU(2)$ as a maximal compact subgroup. Then we have the following (cf. [1; Th.1.3])

(1.1) *If $SL(2, C)$ acts non-trivially on M^3 , then so does $SU(2)$ and M^3 is a quotient space of S^3 or $S^2 \times S^1$; S^3/Z_n or $(S^2 \times S^1)/Z_2$ (Z_n is a cyclic group of order n).*

By this reason we are concerned mainly for the case $M^3=S^3$, and we study the equivariant homeomorphism classes of such actions.

In case of transitive actions, we see the following

Theorem 1.2. *There are real analytic $SL(2, C)$ -actions ϕ_r on S^3 for $r \in R$, which are not equivariantly homeomorphic to each other, and any transitive $SL(2, C)$ -action on S^3 is equivariantly diffeomorphic to some ϕ_r (see (4.1) for the definition of ϕ_r).*

In case of non-transitive actions, the classification of $SL(2, C)$ -action on S^3 can be reduced to that of pairs of a one-parameter transformation group on $S^1(\subset C)$ and a real valued smooth function on $S^1 - \{\pm 1\}$; and further to that of triads of subsets A and B_i ($i=1, 2$) of S^1 satisfying

(1.3) (A1) *$A(\neq \emptyset)$ is a finite union of closed intervals, $A \cap J(A) = \emptyset$ and the components of A alternate with those of $J(A)$, where J is the reflections on S^1 in the real line.*

(A2) *B_i ($i=1, 2$) are open in S^1 and $B_1 \cup B_2 \subset A - \partial A$.*

Such triads (A, B_i) and (A', B'_i) are called A -equivalent if there is an orientation preserving homeomorphism Φ of S^1 onto itself such that $\Phi J = J \Phi$ and

- (1) $\Phi(A) = A', \Phi(B_i) = B'_i$ or (2) $\Phi(A) = J(A'), \Phi(B_i) = J(B'_{3-i})$
 ($i = 1, 2$).

Theorem 1.4. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between the equivariant homeomorphism classes of non-transitive smooth $SL(2, C)$ -actions on S^3 and the A-equivalence classes of triads with (A1-2).*

As the corollary to these theorems, we see the following

Corollary 1.5. (i) *Any smooth $SL(2, C)$ -actions on S^3 has no fixed points, and it is transitive if and only if so is its restricted $SU(2)$ -action.*

(ii) *There are infinitely many (non-equivalent) smooth $SL(2, C)$ -actions on S^3 which are not equivariantly homeomorphic to any real analytic one.*

(iii) *Any real analytic $SL(2, C)$ -action on S^3 has a finite (odd) number of orbits, and non-transitive real analytic ones are determined by the number of their orbits; among them the unique linear action has five orbits.*

After preparing some results on subalgebras of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, C)$ in §2 and subgroups of $SL(2, C)$ in §3, we prove Theorem 1.2 in §4. In §5 we recall some basic facts on smooth actions. The proof of Theorem 1.4 consists of two parts: We first study in §§6-8 the relation between the equivariant homeomorphism classes of our non-transitive actions and B-equivalence classes defined in (6.8) (see Theorem 8.1), and secondly that between A- and B-equivalence ones in §§9-11 (see Theorem 11.1).

2. Subalgebras of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, C)$

Let \mathfrak{g} be a semi-simple Lie algebra over R , and let $\text{ad}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow GL(\mathfrak{g})$ and $B: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow R$ denote respectively the adjoint representation and the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} , i.e. $\text{ad}(X)Y = [X, Y]$ and $B(X, Y) = \text{Trace}(\text{ad}(X) \text{ad}(Y))$ for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then we have a direct sum decomposition (a Cartan decomposition)

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p} \quad (\mathfrak{k} \text{ is a subalgebra, and } \mathfrak{p} \text{ is a subspace})$$

satisfying the following conditions (cf. [3; Ch. III, Prop. 7.4]).

- (2.1) *Let $s: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ and $B_s: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow R$ be defined by*

$$s(X+Y) = X - Y (X \in \mathfrak{k}, Y \in \mathfrak{p}), B_s(X, Y) = -B(X, s(Y)) (X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}).$$

Then s is an involutive automorphism of \mathfrak{g} , and B_s is a positive definite symmetric bilinear form of \mathfrak{g} . Therefore

- (i) $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{p}] = \mathfrak{p}, [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] = \mathfrak{k}$ and
 (ii) $B_s(X, Y) = B_s(s(X), s(Y)), B_s(X, \text{ad}(Y)Z) = -B_s(\text{ad}(sY)X, Z)$ ($X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$).

In the followings, we consider the orthogonality with respect to B_s in (2.1).

- Lemma 2.2.** (i) \mathfrak{k} is orthogonal to \mathfrak{p} .
(ii) Any $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ is orthogonal to $\text{ad}(X)Y$ if $X \in \mathfrak{k}$.

Proof. The lemma follows immediately from (2.1) (ii). *q.e.d.*

Let \mathfrak{u} be a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , and set

$$(2.3) \quad \mathfrak{k}' = \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{u} \text{ and } \mathfrak{m} = \text{the orthogonal complement of } \mathfrak{k}' + \mathfrak{p}' \text{ in } \mathfrak{u}.$$

Hence $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{k}' + \mathfrak{p}' + \mathfrak{m}$, where \mathfrak{k}' and $\mathfrak{k}' + \mathfrak{p}'$ are s -invariant subalgebras of \mathfrak{u} .

- Lemma 2.4.** (i) The projections $p_1: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}$ and $p_2: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ are injective on \mathfrak{m} .
(ii) $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{m}_2$ for $\mathfrak{m}_i = p_i(\mathfrak{m})$ ($i=1, 2$), and \mathfrak{k}' (resp. \mathfrak{p}') is orthogonal to \mathfrak{m}_1 (resp. \mathfrak{m}_2).
(iii) \mathfrak{m} is $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{k}' + \mathfrak{p}')$ -invariant, and \mathfrak{m}_i are $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{k}')$ -invariant.

Proof. (i) follows from $\text{Ker } p_i \cap \mathfrak{m} = \{0\}$ ($i=1, 2$). (ii) $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{m}_2$ is clear, and the latter half follows from Lemma 2.2 (i).

(iii) $\text{ad}(sX)Y \in \mathfrak{k}' + \mathfrak{p}'$ holds for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{k}' + \mathfrak{p}'$, since $\mathfrak{k}' + \mathfrak{p}'$ is an s -invariant subalgebra. Then by (2.1) (ii)

$$B_s(Y, \text{ad}(X)Z) = -B_s(\text{ad}(sX)Y, Z) = 0 \quad \text{for any } Z \in \mathfrak{m}.$$

This shows $\text{ad}(X)Z \in \mathfrak{m}$, and hence $\text{ad}(X)\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m}$. Since $\text{ad}(X)Z = \text{ad}(X)Z_1 + \text{ad}(X)Z_2$ ($Z_i = p_i(Z)$), we see that $\text{ad}(X)Z_i \in \mathfrak{m}_i$ if $X \in \mathfrak{k}'$ by (2.1) (i), and thus \mathfrak{m}_i are $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{k}')$ -invariant. *q.e.d.*

In the rest of this section we consider the case that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, C) = \{X \in M(2, C); \text{Trace } X = 0\}$$

with the bracket operation $[X, Y] = XY - YX$, which is the Lie algebra of $G = SL(2, C)$. This has a R -basis

$$K_1 = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, K_2 = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_3 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, H_j = iK_j (j = 1, 2, 3).$$

These satisfy the following relations:

$$(2.5) \quad -[K_a, K_b] = K_c = [H_a, H_b], -[K_a, H_b] = H_c = [K_b, H_a] \quad \text{and} \\ [K_a, H_a] = 0 \quad \text{for } (a, b, c) = (1, 2, 3), (2, 3, 1) \text{ and } (3, 1, 2).$$

Now we have a Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ by setting

$$(2.6) \quad \mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{su}(2) = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}; X + X^* = 0\} = \langle K_1, K_2, K_3 \rangle \quad \text{and} \\ \mathfrak{p} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}; X = X^*\} = \langle H_1, H_2, H_3 \rangle,$$

where $\langle \rangle$ denotes a R -vector space spanned by the elements in the angle

bracket. By (2.5–6) we see immediately the followings

$$(2.7) \text{ (i) } [\sum_{i=1}^3 a_i H_i, \sum_{i=1}^3 b_i H_i] = -[\sum_{i=1}^3 a_i K_i, \sum_{i=1}^3 b_i K_i] \\ = (a_2 b_3 - a_3 b_2) K_1 + (a_3 b_1 - a_1 b_3) K_2 + (a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1) K_3. \\ \text{(ii) } \{K_i, H_i; 1 \leq i \leq 3\} \text{ is an orthogonal basis of } \mathfrak{g}.$$

Let Ad be the adjoint representation of G ,

$$\text{Ad: } G \rightarrow GL(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ given by } \text{Ad}(g)X = gXg^{-1} \quad (g \in G, X \in \mathfrak{g}).$$

We say that subalgebras \mathfrak{u} and \mathfrak{u}' are conjugate in G if $\text{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{u}'$ for some $g \in G$. We notice the following

$$(2.8) \text{ For any } X \in \mathfrak{k} \text{ (resp. } X \in \mathfrak{p}), \text{ there exists } g \in K \text{ such that } \text{Ad}(g)X \in \langle K_1 \rangle \text{ (resp. } \text{Ad}(g)X \in \langle H_1 \rangle).$$

We prove the following Lemmas 2.9–11 under the condition:

(C1) \mathfrak{u} is a proper subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} with $\dim \mathfrak{u} \geq 3$, and \mathfrak{k}' , \mathfrak{p}' and \mathfrak{m} are given by (2.3) for \mathfrak{k} and \mathfrak{p} in (2.6).

- Lemma 2.9.** (i) $\dim \mathfrak{k}' \neq 2$. If $\dim \mathfrak{k}' = 3$, then $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{k}$.
 (ii) $\dim \mathfrak{p}' \leq 2$. If $\dim \mathfrak{p}' = 2$, then $\dim \mathfrak{k}' = 1$.
 (iii) $1 \neq \dim \mathfrak{m} \leq 3$. If $\dim \mathfrak{m} = 3$, then $\mathfrak{k}' + \mathfrak{p}' = \{0\}$.

Proof. (i) The first half follows from (2.7) (i). Assume that $\dim \mathfrak{k}' = 3$, i.e. $\mathfrak{k}' = \mathfrak{k}$. By (2.5) we see that $[\mathfrak{k}, X] = \mathfrak{p}$ for $0 \neq X \in \mathfrak{p}$, whence $\mathfrak{p}' = \{0\}$ by (C1). Furthermore $\mathfrak{m} = \{0\}$ follows from $\mathfrak{m}_1 = \{0\}$ and Lemma 2.4 (i). Therefore $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{k}$.

(ii) Assume that $\dim \mathfrak{p}' = 3$, i.e. $\mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{p}$. Then (2.7) (i) implies $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{g}$, and this is contrary to (C1). Thus $\dim \mathfrak{p}' \leq 2$.

If $\dim \mathfrak{p}' = 2$, then $\dim \mathfrak{k}' \geq 1$ by (2.7) (i), and the desired result follows from (i).

(iii) By Lemma 2.4 (i)–(ii), $\mathfrak{k}' + \mathfrak{p}' + \mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{m}_2 (\subset \mathfrak{g})$ is a direct sum with $\dim \mathfrak{m}_i = \dim \mathfrak{m} (i = 1, 2)$. Hence $\dim \mathfrak{m} \leq 3$, and $\mathfrak{k}' + \mathfrak{g}' = \{0\}$ if $\dim \mathfrak{m} = 3$.

Assume that $\dim \mathfrak{m} = 1$, and set $\mathfrak{m} = \langle X \rangle$. Let $Y \in \mathfrak{k}'$. Then $\text{ad}(Y)X \in \mathfrak{m}$ is orthogonal to X by Lemmas 2.2 (ii) and 2.4 (iii), whence $\text{ad}(Y)X = 0$. So

$$0 = \text{ad}(Y)X = \text{ad}(Y)X_1 + \text{ad}(Y)X_2 \quad \text{for } 0 \neq X_i = p_i(X) \in \mathfrak{m}_i \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Here $\text{ad}(Y)X_i \in \mathfrak{m}_i$ by Lemma 2.4 (iii). Hence $[Y, X_i] = 0$, and further Y is orthogonal to $X_1 \neq 0$ by Lemma 2.4 (ii). These imply $Y = 0$ by (2.7) (i), and thus $\mathfrak{k}' = \{0\}$. From (ii) we see that $\dim \mathfrak{p}' \leq 1$, and so $\dim \mathfrak{u} = \dim \mathfrak{p}' + \dim \mathfrak{m} \leq 2$. This is contrary to (C1), and thus we obtain $\dim \mathfrak{m} \neq 1$. *q.e.d.*

Lemma 2.10. If $\dim \mathfrak{m} = 3$, then \mathfrak{u} is conjugate to $\langle K_1 + rH_1, K_2 - H_3, K_3 + H_2 \rangle$ for some $0 \neq r \in R$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.9 (iii) and $\mathfrak{m}_1 = \mathfrak{k}$, we may set

$$\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{m} = \langle X_1, X_2, X_3 \rangle \quad \text{for} \quad X_j = K_j + \sum_{i=1}^3 a_{ij} H_i \quad (j = 1, 2, 3).$$

Put $A = (a_{ij})$. Then $a = \det A \neq 0$, because $\mathfrak{k}' = \{0\}$. Also $\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} E & -E + \Delta \\ A & {}^t A - rE \end{pmatrix} = 3$ by (2.5) and (2.7) (i), where $r = \text{Trace } A$ and Δ is the matrix with ${}^t \Delta A = A {}^t \Delta = aE$. Then

$$(*) \quad B + rE = A + {}^t A \quad \text{and} \quad B = {}^t B \quad \text{for} \quad B = A\Delta.$$

Thus we get

$$(r-a)(\Delta - {}^t \Delta) = (B + rE) \Delta - {}^t \Delta (B + rE) = (A + {}^t A) \Delta - {}^t \Delta (A + {}^t A) = 0.$$

If $\Delta = {}^t \Delta$, then $A = {}^t A$ and so $(a+r)E = 2A$ by (*), which is contrary to $r = \text{Trace } A$ and $0 \neq a = \det A$. Therefore $r = a$.

Also by (*), it holds

$$r\Delta + r {}^t \Delta = {}^t \Delta (B + rE) = rE + B \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Trace } B = -r.$$

Then $\text{Trace } \Delta = 1$, and so the eigen-polynomial of A is

$$(**) \quad \det(A - xE) = -x^3 + (\text{Trace } A)x^2 - (\text{Trace } \Delta)x + \det A \\ = (-x+r)(x^2+1).$$

Put $C = \Delta A$. Then $BC = r^2 E$ and $\text{Trace } C = -r$. Similarly to (**), the eigen-polynomial of B is $\det(B - xE) = (-x+r)(x+r)^2$. This implies $B = C$, because $BC = r^2 E$ and B is symmetric. Therefore $A\Delta = \Delta A$, and hence $A {}^t A = {}^t A A$.

Since A is normal with eigen-polynomial (**), we have $PA {}^t P = \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & J \end{pmatrix}$ ($J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$) for some $P \in SO(3)$. Further $\text{Ad}(g) = P \in GL(\mathfrak{p})$ for some $g \in K$, because the adjoint representation $\text{Ad}: K \rightarrow SO(3) (\subset GL(\mathfrak{p}))$ is epimorphic; and so $\text{Ad}(g) \langle X_1, X_2, X_3 \rangle = \langle K_1 + rH_1, K_2 - H_3, K_3 + H_2 \rangle$ as desired. *q.e.d.*

Lemma 2.11. *If $\dim \mathfrak{k}' = 0$, $\dim \mathfrak{p}' = 1$ and $\dim \mathfrak{m} = 2$, then \mathfrak{u} is conjugate to $\langle H_1, K_2 - H_3, K_3 + H_2 \rangle$.*

Proof. We may assume $\mathfrak{p}' = \langle H_1 \rangle$ by (2.8). Then $\mathfrak{m}_2 = \langle H_2, H_3 \rangle$ by Lemma 2.4 (ii) and (2.7) (ii), and we set

$$\mathfrak{m} = \langle X_1, X_2 \rangle \quad \text{for} \quad X_j = \sum_{i=1}^3 a_{ij} K_i + H_{j+1} \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

Put $Y_j = [H_1, X_j]$ ($j = 1, 2$). Then by (2.5)

$$Y_j = a_{3j} H_2 - a_{2j} H_3 - (-1)^j K_{4-j} \quad \text{and} \\ [H_1, Y_j] = \sum_{i=2}^3 a_{ij} K_i + H_{j+1} \quad (j = 1, 2),$$

which are in \mathfrak{m} by Lemma 2.4 (iii). Then $a_{1j}=0$ by $X_j - [H_1, Y_j] \in \mathfrak{f}' = \{0\}$.

Put $A=(a_{i+1j}) (i, j=1, 2)$, and $a=\det A, r=\text{Trace } A$. Then $a=1$, because $u \ni [Y_1, Y_2] = (a-1)K_1 + rH_1$. Also we see $\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A & E \\ E & -{}^tA^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = 2$. Thus $E + A{}^tA^{-1} = 0$, and hence $r=0$. Therefore the eigen-polynomial of A is $\det(A - xE) = x^2 + 1$, and this implies the lemma by the same proof as that of the above lemma. q.e.d.

Proposition 2.12. *Let u be a proper subalgebra with $\dim u \geq 3$. If $\mathfrak{f}' = \{0\}$, then u is conjugate to*

$$u_r = \langle rK_1 + H_1, K_2 - H_3, K_3 + H_2 \rangle \text{ for some } r \in R.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.9 (ii) the assumption implies $\dim \mathfrak{p}' \leq 1$ and $\dim \mathfrak{m} = \dim u - \dim \mathfrak{p}' \geq 2$. Then, by Lemma 2.9 (iii) we see $\dim \mathfrak{m} = 3$ or $\dim \mathfrak{m} = 2, \dim \mathfrak{p}' = 1$. Thus the proposition follows from Lemmas 2.10-11. q.e.d.

In the next place we prove the following lemma, when

(C2) u in (C1) satisfies $\mathfrak{f}' = \langle K_1 \rangle$.

Lemma 2.13. (i) $u = \langle K_1, H_2, H_3 \rangle$ if $\dim \mathfrak{p}' = 2$.

(ii) $u = u_r$ for some $0 \neq r \in R$ if $\dim \mathfrak{p}' = 0$, and u_ε for some $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ if $\dim \mathfrak{p}' = 1$, where

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} u_r &= \langle K_1, rK_2 - H_3, rK_3 + H_2 \rangle \text{ and} \\ u_\varepsilon &= \langle K_1, H_1, K_2 - \varepsilon H_3, K_3 + \varepsilon H_2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (i) We see $\mathfrak{m} = \{0\}$ by Lemmas 2.4 (i) and 2.9 (iii). Put $\mathfrak{p}' = \langle X, Y \rangle$. Then $0 \neq [X, Y] \in \mathfrak{f}' = \langle K_1 \rangle$ by (2.7) (i). Also from (2.7) (i), this implies $X, Y \in \langle H_2, H_3 \rangle$. Thus $\mathfrak{p}' = \langle H_2, H_3 \rangle$, and (i) holds.

(ii) Assume $\dim \mathfrak{p}' \leq 1$. Then $\dim \mathfrak{m} = \dim u - (\dim \mathfrak{f}' + \dim \mathfrak{p}') \geq 1$, and Lemma 2.9 (iii) shows $\dim \mathfrak{m} = 2$. Hence $\mathfrak{m}_1 = \langle K_2, K_3 \rangle$ by Lemma 2.4 (ii) and (2.7) (ii), and we set

$$\mathfrak{m} = \langle X, Y \rangle \text{ for } X = K_2 + X', Y = K_3 + Y' \quad (0 \neq X', Y' \in \mathfrak{m}_2 \subset \mathfrak{p}).$$

Here we have $X' = [K_1, Y']$ and $Y' = -[K_1, X']$, since $[K_1, X] = -K_3 + [K_1, X']$, $[K_1, Y] = K_2 + [K_1, Y'] \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $[K_1, X'], [K_1, Y'] \in \mathfrak{m}_2$ by Lemma 2.4 (iii). By (2.5) these imply

$$X' = aH_2 + bH_3 \text{ and } Y' = -bH_2 + aH_3 \text{ for some } a, b \in R,$$

which are orthogonal by Lemma 2.2 (ii).

If $\dim \mathfrak{p}' = 0$, then $aH_1 \in \mathfrak{p}' = \{0\}$ from $[X, Y] = (a^2 + b^2 - 1)K_1 - 2aH_1 \in u$, and hence $a=0$.

If $\dim \mathfrak{p}'=1$, then $\mathfrak{m}_2=\langle H_2, H_3 \rangle$ because X' and Y' are orthogonal in $\langle H_2, H_3 \rangle$. Hence $\mathfrak{p}'=\langle H_1 \rangle$ by Lemma 2.4 (ii) and (2.7) (ii). Thus $\langle X, Y \rangle = \mathfrak{m} \ni [H_1, X] = -bK_2 + aK_3 - H_3$, and so $-bX' + aY' = -H_3$. This shows $a=0$ and $b^2=1$, as desired. q.e.d.

Proposition 2.15. *Let \mathfrak{u} be a proper subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} with $\dim \mathfrak{u} \geq 3$. If $K_1 \in \mathfrak{u}$, then \mathfrak{u} is $\mathfrak{k}=\langle K_1, K_2, K_3 \rangle$, \mathfrak{u}_r ($r \in R$) or \mathfrak{v}_ε ($\varepsilon = \pm 1$) given in (2.14). Here \mathfrak{u}_r is conjugate to \mathfrak{k} , \mathfrak{u}_0 and \mathfrak{u}_1 if $|r| > 1$, $|r| < 1$ and $|r|=1$, respectively, and further \mathfrak{v}_1 is conjugate to \mathfrak{v}_{-1} .*

Proof. The first half follows from Lemmas 2.9 (i) and 2.13. By the elements $h = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $g_r = \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & 1/z \end{pmatrix}$ ($z^4 = (1+r)^2 / |1-r^2|$, $r^2 \neq 1$) in G , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}(h)(\mathfrak{u}_1) &= \mathfrak{u}_{-1}, \quad \text{Ad}(h)(\mathfrak{v}_1) = \mathfrak{v}_{-1} \quad \text{and} \\ \text{Ad}(g_r)(\mathfrak{u}_r) &= \mathfrak{k} \quad \text{if } |r| > 1, \quad \text{and } \mathfrak{u}_0 \quad \text{if } |r| < 1. \end{aligned} \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

Corollary 2.16. *Any proper subalgebra \mathfrak{u} of \mathfrak{g} with $\dim \mathfrak{u} \geq 3$ is conjugate to one of*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{v}_1 &= \langle K_1, H_1, K_2 - H_3, K_3 + H_2 \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{w}_r = \langle rK_1 + H_1, K_2 - H_3, K_3 + H_2 \rangle \quad (r \in R), \\ \mathfrak{k} &= \langle K_1, K_2, K_3 \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{u}_0 = \langle K_1, H_2, H_3 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{u}_1 = \langle K_1, K_2 - H_3, K_3 + H_2 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and these subalgebras are not conjugate to each other in G .

Proof. The first half follows from Propositions 2.12 and 2.15. Consider the map $d: \mathfrak{g} \ni X \rightarrow \det X \in C$, which is $\text{Ad}(G)$ -invariant. By routine calculations we have

$$d(\mathfrak{w}_r) = R^+ \langle -(1-ri)^2 \rangle, \quad d(\mathfrak{k}) = d(\mathfrak{u}_1) = R^+ \quad \text{and} \quad d(\mathfrak{u}_0) = R.$$

Furthermore the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} , which is also $\text{Ad}(G)$ -invariant, is negative definite on \mathfrak{k} and positive definite on \mathfrak{p} . These observations show the second half. q.e.d.

3. Subgroups and coset spaces of $SL(2, C)$

In this section we prepare some results on connected subgroups and coset spaces of $SL(2, C)$.

Consider the following subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, C)$ and connected subgroups of $G = SL(2, C)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{v}(a) &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} ax & 0 \\ z & -ax \end{pmatrix}; x \in R, z \in C \right\} \subset \mathfrak{g} \quad \text{and} \\ V(a) &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \exp(ax) & 0 \\ z & \exp(-ax) \end{pmatrix}; x \in R, z \in C \right\} \subset G \quad \text{for } a \in C. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathfrak{b}(a)$ is the Lie algebra of $V(a)$. Now we set

(3.1) $W_r = V(r\mathfrak{i} - 1)$ and $U_r = \{g \in G; gI_r, g^* = I_r\}$ for $r \in \mathbb{R}$, where $I_r = \begin{pmatrix} r-1 & 0 \\ 0 & r+1 \end{pmatrix}$. Here $U_r = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z & (r-1)w \\ -(r+1)\bar{w} & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix}; |z|^2 + (r^2-1)|w|^2 = 1 \right\}$ is connected, and $U_1 = V(\mathfrak{i})$, $U_{-1} = {}^tV(\mathfrak{i})$.

Lemma 3.2. *The subalgebras \mathfrak{w}_r in Proposition 2.12 and \mathfrak{u}_r in (2.14) are the Lie algebras of W_r and U_r , respectively.*

Proof. The lemma holds for $\mathfrak{w}_r = \mathfrak{b}(r\mathfrak{i} - 1)$, $\mathfrak{u}_1 = \mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{i})$ and $\mathfrak{u}_{-1} = {}^t\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{i})$. By definition we see that $X \in \mathfrak{u}_r$ if and only if $\text{Trace } X = 0$ and $XI_r + I_rX^* = 0$. When $r^2 \neq 1$, these are equivalent to

$$\det(\exp tX) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \exp(-tX^*) = I_r^{-1}(\exp tX)I_r \quad \text{for any } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This shows $\exp tX \in U_r$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and thus the lemma for $\mathfrak{u}_r (r^2 \neq 1)$ also holds. *q.e.d.*

Lemma 3.3. *The coset space G/U_r is homeomorphic to*

$$\mathbb{R}^3 \text{ if } |r| > 1, \text{ and } S^2 \times \mathbb{R} \text{ if } |r| \leq 1.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.15 and Lemma 3.2, we see that U_r is conjugate to $K = SU(2)$ if $|r| > 1$, U_0 if $|r| < 1$, and U_1 if $|r| = 1$. Thus it is sufficient to show

$$(i) \ G/K \approx \mathbb{R}^3 \quad \text{and} \quad (ii) \ G/U_r \approx S^2 \times \mathbb{R} \ (r = 0, 1).$$

(i) holds, because K is a maximal compact subgroup of G with $\dim G - \dim K = 3$ (cf. [3; Ch. VI, Th. 2.2 (iii)]).

(ii) Consider the quotient space $N_1 = C^2 - \{0\} / S^1 (S^1 \subset C)$ and the G -action

$$\phi_1: G \times N_1 \rightarrow N_1, \quad \phi_1(X, [P]) = [XP] \ (X \in G, P \in C^2 - \{0\}).$$

Then this action is transitive, and U_1 is the isotropy subgroup at $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \in N_1$. Hence $G/U_1 \approx N_1 \approx S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$.

Consider the quotient space $N_2 = H^- / R^+$ of $H^- = \{P \in M(2, C); 0 \neq P = P^*, \det P < 0\}$ and the G -action

$$\phi_2: G \times N_2 \rightarrow N_2, \quad \phi_2(X, [P]) = [XPX^*] \ (X \in G, P \in H^-).$$

Then we see that ϕ_2 is transitive, and U_0 is the isotropy subgroup at $[I_0] \in N_2 (I_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix})$. Hence $G/U_0 \approx N_2$. Moreover the mapping $N_2 \rightarrow N_3 = ((\mathbb{R} \times C) - \{0\} / R^+) \times \mathbb{R} \approx S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, sending $\begin{bmatrix} x & z \\ \bar{z} & y \end{bmatrix} \in N_2$ to $([(x-y)/2, z], (x+y)/2s) \in N_3 (s = (|z|^2 - xy)^{1/2})$, is homeomorphic. Thus $G/U_0 \approx S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. *q.e.d.*

Lemma 3.4. $G=KLU_r=K L K$ ($r \in R$) for $L = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1/x \end{pmatrix}; x > 0 \right\}$.

Proof. $G=KLU_{\pm 1}$ is obtained by the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization process.

Assume $r^2 \neq 1$. Then $gI_r g^*$ ($g \in G$) is a Hermitian matrix, and its eigenvalues are positive if $r > 1$, negative if $r < -1$, and their product is negative if $r^2 < 1$. Hence we can find $k \in K$ such that $kgI_r g^* k^*$ is diagonal and $I_r^{-1} kgI_r g^* k^* \in L$. Put

$$I_r^{-1} kgI_r g^* k^* = l^{-2} \quad \text{for } l \in L, \text{ and } u = lkg.$$

Then $u \in U_r$, and so the decomposition $G=KLU_r$ holds.

Also we have $G=K L K$ by setting $I_r=E$ in the above proof. q.e.d.

4. Transitive actions

In this section we state an immediate consequence of the previous sections, and prove Theorem 1.2.

For each $r \in R$, consider the analytic $G=SL(2, C)$ -action on $S^3=C^2 - \{0\}/R^+$ defined by

$$(4.1) \quad \phi_r(X, [P]) = [\exp(ir \log(\|XP\|/\|P\|)) XP] \quad (X \in G, P \in C^2 - \{0\}).$$

Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *The action ϕ_r is transitive, and its isotropy subgroup is conjugate to W_r of (3.1).*

Proof. The restricted $SU(2)$ -action of ϕ_r is transitive, and hence so is ϕ_r . Further W_r is the isotropy subgroup of ϕ_r at $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \in S^3$. Thus the lemma holds. q.e.d.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The equivariant homeomorphism classes of transitive G -actions on S^3 is classified by the conjugacy classes of connected subgroups U of G with $G/U \approx S^3$. Therefore the theorem follows from Corollary 2.16 and Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 and 4.2. q.e.d.

5. Smooth actions

In this section let G be a Lie group, M be a smooth manifold, and assume that there is a smooth G -action $\phi: G \times M \rightarrow M$ on M . Denote by \mathfrak{g} and $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ the Lie algebras of G and smooth vector fields on M , respectively. The following result is known (cf. [5; Ch. II, Th. II]).

$$(5.1) \quad \text{The map } \phi^+: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M), \text{ given by}$$

$$\phi^+(X)_p h = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \{h(\phi(\exp(-tX), p)) - h(p)\} / t \quad (X \in \mathfrak{g})$$

for any smooth function h around $p \in M$, is a Lie algebra homomorphism.

In case that \mathfrak{g} is simple and ϕ is non-trivial, ϕ^+ is monomorphic, and so \mathfrak{g} may be regarded as a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ by identifying $X = \phi^+(X)$.

We call $\mathfrak{g}_p = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}; \phi^+(X)_p = 0\}$ the isotropy subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} at $p \in M$. Clearly $p \in M$ is fixed under the subgroup $\{\exp tX; t \in R\}$ ($X \in \mathfrak{g}$) if and only if $\phi^+(X)_p = 0$. Therefore

(5.2) *The isotropy subalgebra \mathfrak{g}_p is the Lie algebra of the isotropy subgroup G_p of G at p .*

6. Non-transitive actions

In the rest of this paper, we shall classify non-transitive smooth $SL(2, C)$ -actions on S^3 , and set

$$\begin{aligned} G &= SL(2, C), \quad K = SU(2), \quad T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix} \in K; |z| = 1 \right\} (\cong U(1)), \\ \mathfrak{g} &= \mathfrak{sl}(2, C) = \langle K_i, H_i; i = 1, 2, 3 \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{su}(2) \quad \text{and} \\ S^3 &= H/R^+ \quad \text{for} \quad H = \{P \in M(2, C); 0 \neq P = P^*\}. \end{aligned}$$

To begin with we prepare some results on K -actions on S^3 . The following (6.1) is known (cf. [1; Th. 1.3]).

(6.1) *Any non-transitive (and non-trivial) smooth K -action on S^3 is equivariantly diffeomorphic to*

$$\psi_0: K \times S^3 \rightarrow S^3, \quad \psi_0(X, [P]) = [XPX^*] \quad (X \in K, P \in H).$$

The fixed point sets of ψ_0 under T and K are

$$(6.2) \quad (C \supset) S^1 = F(T, S^3) \supset F(K, S^3) = \{\pm 1\},$$

by the diffeomorphism $S^1 \ni x + iy \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x+y & 0 \\ 0 & x-y \end{bmatrix} \in F(T, S^3)$ ($x, y \in R$). Then the reflection J of S^1 is given by

$$(6.3) \quad J(z) = \psi_0(j, z) \quad \text{for} \quad z \in S^1 \quad \text{and} \quad j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in K.$$

By Theorem 1.2, any smooth G -action on S^3 is transitive iff so is its restricted K -action (see Corollary 1.5 (i)). Thus, to classify non-transitive ones, we assume by (6.1)

(6.4) *ϕ is a smooth G -action on S^3 such that its restricted K -action coincides with ψ_0 , i.e. $\phi|_{K \times S^3} = \psi_0$.*

Under this condition we prove the following lemmas.

Lemma 6.5. (i) *The map $\varphi: R \times F(T, S^3) \rightarrow F(T, S^3)$, given by*

$$(6.6) \quad \varphi(t, z) = \phi(\exp(-tH_1), z) \quad (t \in R, z \in F(T, S^3)) \quad \text{for } H_1 \in \mathfrak{g},$$

is a one-parameter transformation group on $F(T, S^3)$.

(ii) *There exists uniquely a real valued smooth function f on $F(T, S^3) - F(K, S^3)$ such that*

$$(6.7) \quad f(z)(K_2)_z = (H_3)_z \quad (z \in F(T, S^3) - F(K, S^3)) \quad \text{for } K_2, H_3 \in \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{X}(S^3).$$

Proof. (i) is clear, because $\exp(-tH_1) \in N(T, G)$. (ii) The isotropy sub-algebra \mathfrak{g}_z at $z \in F(T, S^3) - F(K, S^3)$ satisfies

$$\dim \mathfrak{g}_z \geq 3, \quad K_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_z \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{k} \not\subset \mathfrak{g}_z.$$

By Proposition 2.15 we can find $f(z) \in R$ such that $f(z)K_2 - H_3 \in \mathfrak{g}_z$, and hence $f(z)(K_2)_z = (H_3)_z$. Choose a Riemannian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on S^3 . Then $f(z)\langle K_2, K_2 \rangle_z = \langle K_2, H_3 \rangle_z$ and $\langle K_2, K_2 \rangle_z \neq 0$. These show that f is unique and smooth on $F(T, S^3) - F(K, S^3)$. *q.e.d.*

(6.8) *Let (φ, f) be a pair of one-parameter transformation group $\varphi: R \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ and a smooth function $f: S^1 - \{\pm 1\} \rightarrow R$, and consider the following conditions: For $t \in R$ and $z = x + iy \in S^1$ ($x, y \in R$),*

(B1) $\varphi(t, J(z)) = J\varphi(-t, z)$,

(B2) $f(\varphi(t, z)) = (f(z) - \tanh t) / (1 - f(z) \tanh t)$ for $z, \varphi(t, z) \neq \pm 1$,

(B3) $f(z) = -f(J(z))$, and there is a smooth function $F: S^1 \rightarrow R$ satisfying $F(z) = yf(z)$ ($z \neq \pm 1$) and $F(\pm 1) \neq 0$.

We say that pairs (φ, f) and (φ', f') with (B1-3) are B-equivalent if there is a homeomorphism Ψ of S^1 onto itself such that $\Psi J = J\Psi$ and the following diagram commutes,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R \times S^1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & S^1 \supset S^1 - \{\pm 1\} & \xrightarrow{f} & R \\ 1 \times \Psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi & & \downarrow \Psi \\ R \times S^1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi'} & S^1 \supset S^1 - \{\pm 1\} & \xrightarrow{f'} & R \end{array}$$

where $\Psi(\{\pm 1\}) = \{\pm 1\}$ follows from $\Psi J = J\Psi$.

Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 6.9. *The pair (φ, f) in Lemma 6.5 satisfies (B1-3) under (6.2).*

Proof. (B1) follows from (6.3) and (6.6). Since $f(z)K_2 - H_3 \in \mathfrak{g}_z$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_{\varphi(t,z)} &= \text{Ad}(\exp(-tH_1)) \mathfrak{g}_z \ni \text{Ad}(\exp(-tH_1))(f(z)K_2 - H_3) \\ &= (f(z) \cosh t - \sinh t)K_2 - (\cosh t - f(z) \sinh t)H_3 \quad \text{and} \\ \mathfrak{g}_{J(z)} &= \text{Ad}(J) \mathfrak{g}_z \ni \text{Ad}(J)(f(z)K_2 - H_3) = -f(z)K_2 - H_3. \end{aligned}$$

These show (B2) and the first half of (B3), respectively.

Consider the smooth function $h: S^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $h([P]) = \text{Trace}(JP/\sqrt{2}\|P\|i)$ ($P \in H$). Then $h(\phi(\exp(-tK_2), z)) = y \sin t$ for $z = x + iy \in S^1$, and hence

$$(K_2)_z h = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \{h(\phi(\exp(-tK_2), z)) - h(z)\} / t = y.$$

Thus $F(z) = (H_3)_z h$ is smooth on S^1 , and $F(z) = yf(z)$ if $z \neq \pm 1$.

Assume $F(a) = 0$ for $a = 1$ or -1 . Then $\lim_{z \rightarrow a} f(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow a} F(z)/y = 0$, since F is smooth and $F = FJ$. Hence we can find an open interval U in S^1 such that $a \in U$ and $|f| < 1/2$ on $U - \{a\}$. Moreover $H_3 \in \mathfrak{g}_a(\supset \mathfrak{k})$ by (6.7), and so $\mathfrak{g}_a = \mathfrak{g}$ by Lemma 2.9 (i). Thus a is stationary under ϕ , and hence $\lim_{t \rightarrow s} \varphi(t, z) \in U$ ($z \in U - \{a\}$) for $s = \infty$ or $-\infty$. Therefore $1/2 \geq |\lim_{t \rightarrow s} f(\varphi(t, z))| = 1$ by (B2), and this leads a contradiction. *q.e.d.*

Proposition 6.10. *Let ϕ and ϕ' be smooth G -actions on S^3 with (6.4). Then the corresponding pairs with (B1-3), given by (6.6-7), are B-equivalent if ϕ is equivariantly homeomorphic to ϕ' .*

Proof. Denote by (φ, f) and (φ', f') the corresponding pairs of ϕ and ϕ' , respectively. Let $\Phi: S^3 \rightarrow S^3$ be an equivariant homeomorphism between ϕ and ϕ' , i.e. $\Phi(\phi(g, p)) = \phi'(g, \Phi(p))$ ($g \in G, p \in S^3$), and set $\Psi = \Phi|_{S^1}$ for $S^1 = F(T, S^3)$ by (6.2). Then

$$\Psi(\phi(J, z)) = \phi'(J, \Psi(z)), \quad \Psi(\phi(\exp(-tH_1), z)) = \phi'(\exp(-tH_1), \Psi(z))$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}, z \in S^1$. These imply $\Psi J = J \Psi$ and $\Psi \varphi = \varphi'(1 \times \Psi)$.

For a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{X}(S^3)$ and a smooth function h around $\Phi(z)$ ($z \in S^1$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \quad X_{\Phi(z)} h &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \{h(\phi'(\exp(-tX), \Phi(z))) - h(\Phi(z))\} / t \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \{h\Phi(\phi(\exp(-tX), z)) - h\Phi(z)\} / t. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $(H_1)_z \neq 0$, i.e. $H_1 \notin \mathfrak{g}_z$. Then $\dim \mathfrak{g}_z = 3$ by Proposition 2.15, and the orbit Gz is of dimension 3. Hence Φ is locally diffeomorphic at z , and so (*) shows $X_{\Phi(z)} h = X_z(h\Phi)$. Applying this for $X = K_2$ and H_3 , we get $f(z)(K_2)_{\Phi(z)} = (H_3)_{\Phi(z)}$ ($z \neq \pm 1$) by (6.7). Thus $f'(\Phi(z)) = f(z)$. Next suppose $(H_1)_z = 0$. Then $\varphi(t, z) = z$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and thus $f(z) = \pm 1$ by (B2). Further (*) for $X = H_1$ shows $(H_1)_{\Phi(z)} = 0$, whence $f'(\Phi(z)) = \pm 1$. Therefore $f = f' \Phi$ follows

from the continuity of f and f' .

q.e.d.

7. Construction of $SL(2, C)$ -actions

In this section we construct a smooth $G=SL(2, C)$ -action on S^3 from a pair with (B1-3) so that the corresponding pair is the given one itself.

Let (φ, f) be a pair with (B1-3), and set

$$I(z) = \begin{pmatrix} F(z)-y & 0 \\ 0 & F(z)+y \end{pmatrix} \neq 0 \quad (z = x+iy \in S^1)$$

for the smooth function F in (B3). Consider the subgroup of G

$$U(z) = \{X \in G; XI(z)X^* = I(z)\},$$

which coincides with K if $z=\pm 1$ and $U_{f(z)}$ of (3.1) otherwise. Then $G=KLU(z)$ for any $z \in S^1$ by Lemma 3.4.

Take $(X, p) \in G \times S^3$. Let us choose

$$g \in K, z \in S^1 = F(T, S^3) \text{ (by (6.2)) with } \psi_0(g, z) = p \text{ and} \\ k \in K, t \in R, u \in U(z) \text{ with } Xg = kl, u (l_t = \exp(-tH_t) \in L),$$

and set

$$(7.1) \quad \phi(X, p) = \psi_0(k, \varphi(t, z)) \in S^3.$$

Then we have the following proposition.

Proposition 7.2. $\phi: G \times S^3 \rightarrow S^3$ of (7.1) is a smooth G -action with (6.4), and the given pair (φ, f) satisfies (6.6-7) under (6.2).

To prove this proposition we state some results on the pair (φ, f) with (B1-3). By (B2-3) we get

$$(7.3) \quad F(\varphi(t, z)) (y - F(z) \tanh t) = y_t (F(z) - y \tanh t)$$

when $z=x+iy \neq \pm 1$ and $\varphi(t, z)=x_t+iy_t \neq \pm 1$. This also holds for any $(t, z) \in R \times S^1$, because F is continuous and $\{(t, z) \in R \times S^1; z \neq \pm 1, \varphi(t, z) \neq \pm 1\}$ is open dense in $R \times S^1$.

Lemma 7.4. *There is uniquely a smooth function $\alpha: R \times S^1 \rightarrow R$ satisfying*

$$(7.5) \quad F(\varphi(t, z)) = \alpha(t, z) (F(z) \cosh t - y \sinh t) \text{ and} \\ y_t = \alpha(t, z) (y \cosh t - F(z) \sinh t)$$

for $t \in R, z=x+iy \in S^1$ and $\varphi(t, z)=x_t+iy_t$, i.e.

$$(7.6) \quad I(\varphi(t, z)) = \alpha(t, z) l_{2t} I(z).$$

Furthermore the following conditions are satisfied;

$$(7.7) \quad \alpha(0, z) = 1, \alpha(t+s, z) = \alpha(t, z) \alpha(s, \varphi(t, z)), \\ \alpha(t, z) = \alpha(-t, J(z)), \alpha(t, z) \alpha(-t, \varphi(t, z)) = 1, \alpha(t, z) > 0.$$

Proof. From $F(\pm 1) \neq 0$ it follows that $y - F(z) \tanh t$ and $F(z) - y \tanh t$ are not simultaneously equal to zero in (7.3). Then we obtain uniquely a smooth function α satisfying (7.5).

By (7.6) we get

$$I(z) = \alpha(0, z) I(z), \alpha(t+s, z) l_{2(t+s)} I(z) = I(\varphi(s, \varphi(t, z))) = \\ \alpha(s, \varphi(t, z)) l_{2s} I(\varphi(t, z)) = \alpha(s, \varphi(t, z)) \alpha(t, z) l_{2(t+s)} I(z) \text{ and} \\ \alpha(t, z) l_{2t} I(z) = \mathbf{j} I(J\varphi(t, z)) \mathbf{j}^* = \mathbf{j} I(\varphi(-t, J(z))) \mathbf{j}^* = \\ \alpha(-t, J(z)) \mathbf{j} l_{-2t} I(J(z)) \mathbf{j}^* = \alpha(-t, J(z)) l_{2t} I(z).$$

These show the first three equalities of (7.7) by $I(z) \neq 0$. Thus $\alpha(t, z) \alpha(-t, \varphi(t, z)) = \alpha(0, z) = 1$, and hence $\alpha(t, z) > 0$ because α is continuous. q.e.d.

Lemma 7.8. *If $kl_{2t} I(z) k^* = l_{2s} I(z)$ for some $k \in K$, then $t=s$ or $\varphi(t, z) = J\varphi(s, z)$, and further $\alpha(t, z) = \alpha(s, z)$.*

Proof. From $\text{Trace } l_{2t} I(z) = 2(F(z) \cosh t - y \sinh t)$, the assumption implies (1) $t=s$ or (2) $F(z) \tanh(t+s)/2 = y$.

In case (1) the lemma is clear. In case (2), $\varphi((t+s)/2, z) = \pm 1$ by (7.5). Then $\varphi((t+s)/2, z) = J\varphi((t+s)/2, z) = \varphi(-(t+s)/2, J(z))$, and hence $\varphi(t, z) = \varphi(-s, J(z)) = J\varphi(s, z)$. Furthermore, by (7.7),

$$\alpha(t+s, z) / \alpha(t, z) = \alpha(s, \varphi(t, z)) = \alpha(s, J\varphi(s, z)) = 1 / \alpha(s, z),$$

and similarly $\alpha(t+s, z) / \alpha(s, z) = 1 / \alpha(t, z)$. These show $\alpha(s, z) = \alpha(t, z) (> 0)$. q.e.d.

Consider the smooth function $\beta: S^1 \rightarrow R, \beta(z) = F(z) + x(z = x + iy \in S^1)$. Then we get

$$(7.9) \quad \beta(z) = \beta(J(z)) \text{ and } z = [\beta(z) E - I(z)] \in S^1 = F(T, S^3).$$

Lemma 7.10. *Let $k \in K$ and $z \in S^1$. Then*

- (i) $\psi_0(k, z) = w \in S^1$ if and only if $kI(z)k^* = I(w)$ and $w = z$ or $J(z)$.
- (ii) If $kl_{2t} I(z) k^* = l_{2s} I(w)$ for $w = z$ or $J(z)$, then $\psi_0(k, \varphi(t, z)) = \varphi(s, w)$.

Proof. (i) Assume $\psi_0(k, z) = w$. When $z = \pm 1 \in F(K, S^3)$, the result is clear. Suppose $z \neq \pm 1$. Then $k \in N(T, K) = T \cup \mathbf{j}T$, because T is the isotropy subgroup of ψ_0 at $S^1 - \{\pm 1\}$. Therefore $w = z, kI(z)k^* = I(z)$ if $k \in T$, and $w = J(z), kI(z)k^* = I(J(z))$ if $k \in \mathbf{j}T$.

Conversely, $\psi_0(k, z) = [\beta(z) E - kI(z)k^*] = w$ by (7.9).

(ii) When $w = z$, we see that $\alpha(t, z) = \alpha(s, z)$ and $\varphi(t, z) = \varphi(s, z)$ or $J\varphi(s, z)$ by Lemma 7.8. Thus $kI(\varphi(t, z))k^* = I(\varphi(s, z))$, and so the desired result follows from (i). In case $w = J(z)$, it holds $\mathbf{j}^*kl_{2t}I(z)k^*\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}^*l_{2s}I(J(z))\mathbf{j} = l_{-2s}I(z)$, and the above result implies

$$\psi_0(k, \varphi(t, z)) = \psi_0(\mathbf{j}, \varphi(-s, z)) = \varphi(s, J(z)). \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

In (7.1) we obtain the following by (7.6).

$$(7.11) \quad XgI(z)g^*X^* = kl_{2t}I(z)k^* = kI(\varphi(t, z))k^*/\alpha(t, z).$$

Lemma 7.12. ϕ of (7.1) defines a G -action on S^3 such that $\phi|K \times S^3 = \psi_0$ and $\phi|L \times S^1 = \varphi$.

Proof. For $(X, p) \in G \times S^3$, let us choose as in (7.1);

$$(*) \quad \psi_0(g, z) = p, Xg = kl_t u \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_0(g', z') = p, Xg' = k' l'_t u'.$$

Then $gI(z)g^* = g'I(z')g'^*$ and $z = z'$ or $J(z')$ by Lemma 7.10 (i). Hence $kl_{2t}I(z)k^* = k'l'_{2t'}I(z')k'^*$ by (7.11). Thus $\psi_0(k, \varphi(t, z)) = \psi_0(k', \varphi(t', z'))$ by Lemma 7.10 (ii), and this shows that ϕ is a mapping from $G \times S^3$ to S^3 .

When $(X, p) \in K \times S^3$ (resp. $(X, p) \in L \times S^1$), we can choose $\psi_0(g, z) = p$, $Xg = k$ (resp. $z = p$, $X = l_t$) in (*). Thus

$$\phi(X, p) = \psi_0(k, z) = \psi_0(X, p) \quad (\text{resp. } \phi(X, p) = \varphi(t, z)).$$

Therefore $\phi|K \times S^3 = \psi_0$ (resp. $\phi|L \times S^1 = \varphi$), and further $\phi(E, p) = p$.

Let $Y \in G$, and choose $m \in K$, $s \in R$, $v \in U(\varphi(t, z))$ with $Yk = ml_s v$. Then

$$\phi(Y, \phi(X, p)) = \psi_0(m, \varphi(s, \varphi(t, z))) = \psi_0(m, \varphi(t+s, z)).$$

On the other hand $YXg = ml_{t+s}wu$ for $w = l_{-t}vl_t$, where $w \in U(z)$ by $wI(z)w^* = l_{-2t}I(\varphi(t, z))/\alpha(t, z) = I(z)/\alpha(t, z)$ $\alpha(-t, \varphi(t, z)) = I(z)$. Therefore

$$\phi(YX, p) = \psi_0(m, \varphi(t+s, z)) = \phi(Y, \phi(X, p)). \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

(7.13) (The standard G -action) Let $\phi_0: G \times S^3 \rightarrow S^3$,

$$\phi_0(X, [P]) = [XPX^*] \quad (X \in G, P \in H).$$

Then ϕ_0 is an analytic G -action on S^3 with $\phi_0|K \times S^3 = \psi_0$. Denote by φ_0 the one-parameter transformation group on $S^1 = F(T, S^3)$ induced from ϕ_0 ; $\varphi_0(t, z) = \phi_0(l_t, z)$ ($t \in R, z \in S^1$).

Lemma 7.14. Let $v: S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ be a smooth map given by $v(z) = [I(z)]$ ($z \in S^1$). Then v is locally diffeomorphic at $z \in S^1$ if $\det I(z) \neq 0$.

Proof. For each $z \in S^1$ we put

$$\varphi_0^{v(z)}(t) = \varphi_0(t, v(z)) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi^z(t) = \varphi(t, z) \quad (t \in R).$$

Assume $\varphi_0^{v(z)}(t) = v(z)$ (resp. $\varphi^z(t) = z$). Then $l_{2t} I(z) = \lambda I(z)$ for some $\lambda > 0$ (resp. $I(z) = \alpha(t, z) l_{2t} I(z)$ by (7.6)). If $\det I(z) \neq 0$, then $t = 0$, whence $\varphi_0^{v(z)}$ and φ^z are locally diffeomorphic at $0 \in R$. Therefore v is also locally diffeomorphic at z , because $\varphi_0^{v(z)} = v\varphi^z$ by (7.6). *q.e.d.*

By using the Taylor developments, we see the following (cf. [2; Ch. VIII, §14, Problem 6-c]).

(7.15) *Let h be a smooth even function around $0 \in R$ ($h(t) = h(-t)$). Then $h(\|x\|)$ ($x \in R^n$) is also smooth at the origin in R^n .*

Put $\psi_{\pm} = \psi_0|_{K \times S_{\pm}}: K \times S_{\pm} \rightarrow S_0$ and its induced map $\tilde{\psi}_{\pm}: K/T \times S_{\pm} \rightarrow S_0$ for S_+ (resp. S_-) = $\{x + iy \in S^1; y > 0$ (resp. $y < 0\})$ and $S_0 = S^3 - \{\pm 1\}$. Then $\tilde{\psi}_{\pm}$ are diffeomorphic and so ψ_{\pm} are submersions.

Lemma 7.16. (i) *For each $p \in S^3$, let us choose $g \in K, z \in S^1$ with $p = \psi_0(g, z)$, and set $\xi(p) = gI(z)g^*$. Then $\xi: S^3 \rightarrow H(\subset M(2, C))$ is a smooth mapping.*

(ii) *The composition $\tilde{\xi}: S^3 \xrightarrow{\xi} H \xrightarrow{\text{pr.}} S^3$ is locally diffeomorphic at $p \in S^3$ if $\det \xi(p) \neq 0$, and is equivariant between ϕ and ϕ_0 , i.e. $\tilde{\xi}\phi(X, p) = \phi_0(X, \tilde{\xi}(p))$ ($X \in G, p \in S^3$).*

Proof. (i) In the proof of Lemma 7.12, we have already seen that ξ gives a mapping from S^3 to H . By the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_0 \subset S^3 & \xrightarrow{\xi} & H \\ \psi_+ \uparrow & \nearrow & \\ K \times S_+ & \xrightarrow{\xi_1} & \end{array} \quad \text{for } \xi_1(g, z) = gI(z)g^*,$$

we see that ξ is smooth on S_0 because ψ_+ is a submersion.

Put $p_{\varepsilon} = [\varepsilon E], N_{\varepsilon} = \{[P] \in S^3; \varepsilon \text{ Trace } P > 0\}$ for $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, and denote by $D \subset R \times C$ an open unit disk. Let $\rho_{\varepsilon}: D \rightarrow N_{\varepsilon}$,

$$\rho_{\varepsilon}(x, a) = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon(1-s^2)^{1/2} + x & a \\ a & \varepsilon(1-s^2)^{1/2} - x \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for } (x, a) \in D,$$

where $s^2 = x^2 + |a|^2 < 1$ ($s > 0$). Then $(N_{\varepsilon}, \rho_{\varepsilon})$ is a local chart at p_{ε} , and

$$\xi \rho_{\varepsilon}(x, a) = \begin{pmatrix} F(z) - x & -a \\ -a & F(z) + x \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } z = \varepsilon(1-s^2)^{1/2} + is.$$

Consider a smooth function $h(t) = F(\varepsilon(1-t^2)^{1/2} + it)$ ($|t| < 1$), which is an even

function since $F=FJ$. From (7.15) it follows that $h(s)=F(z)$ is smooth on D , and hence so is $\xi\rho_e$. Therefore ξ is also smooth at p_e .

(ii) Let $\phi(X, p)=\psi_0(k, \varphi(t, z))$ for $p=\psi_0(g, z)$ as in (7.1). Then, by (7.11),

$$\tilde{\xi}\phi(X, p) = [kI(\varphi(t, z))k^*] = [XgI(z)g^*X^*] = \phi_0(X, \tilde{\xi}(p)).$$

By Lemma 7.14 and the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K/T \times S_+ & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}_+} & S_0 \\ 1 \times (\nu|S_+) \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\xi}|S_0 \\ K/T \times S_- & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}_-} & S_0 \end{array},$$

we see that $\tilde{\xi}$ is locally diffeomorphic at $p \in S_0$ if $\det \xi(p) \neq 0$, because $\det \xi(p) = \det I(z)$ for $p = \psi_0(g, z)$ ($g \in K, z \in S_+$). Furthermore, by using the local chart (N_e, ρ_e) at p_e , the routine calculation shows that the Jacobian of $\tilde{\xi}$ at p_e is non-zero. Thus $\tilde{\xi}$ is also locally diffeomorphic at p_e . *q.e.d.*

Consider the subsets

$$\begin{aligned} U &= (G \times S_0) \cap \phi^{-1}(S_0), \quad V = (R \times S_+) \cap \varphi^{-1}(S_+) \quad \text{and} \\ W &= (1 \times \tilde{\psi}_+)^{-1}(U) \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \times \tilde{\psi}_+ : G \times (K/T) \times S_+ \rightarrow G \times S_0. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly V is open in $R \times S^1$. Also, since $S_0 = \tilde{\xi}^{-1}(S_0)$ and $\tilde{\xi}$ is equivariant by Lemma 7.16, we see that U is open in $G \times S^3$, and hence so is W in $G \times (K/T) \times S^1$.

Lemma 7.17. *For any $w=(X, gT, z) \in W$, there exists uniquely $t \in R$ such that*

$$(*) \quad (t, z) \in V \text{ and } Xg = kl_t u \text{ for some } k \in K, u \in U(z).$$

Furthermore $\delta : W \ni w \rightarrow (t, z) \in V$ is smooth.

Proof. (i) Choose $m \in K, s \in R$ and $v \in U(z)$ with $Xg = ml_s v$. If $\varphi(s, z) \in S_+$, then (*) is clear. Suppose $\varphi(s, z) \notin S_+$, whence $\varphi(s, z) \in S_-$ by $w \in W$. Then $(0 <) y < F(z) \tanh s$ ($z = x + iy$) by (7.5) and (7.7), and we can find $t \in R$ satisfying $y = F(z) \tanh((t+s)/2)$. By easy calculations this implies $l_{2(t+s)} I(z) = \mathbf{j}^* I(z) \mathbf{j}$, and hence $\mathbf{j} l_{t+s} \in U(z)$. Also $\varphi((t+s)/2, z) = \pm 1 \in F(K, S^3)$ by (7.5). Thus $\varphi((t+s)/2, z) = J\varphi((t+s)/2, z) = \varphi(-(t+s)/2, J(z))$, and so $\varphi(t, z) = \varphi(-s, J(z)) = J\varphi(s, z) \in S_+$. This shows $(t, z) \in V$. Now we set

$$k = m \mathbf{j}^* \in K \quad \text{and} \quad u = \mathbf{j} l_{t+s} v \in U(z).$$

Then $kl_t u = m \mathbf{j}^* l_t \mathbf{j} l_{t+s} v = ml_s v = Xg$. Therefore (*) holds.

Assume $(s, z) \in V$ and $Xg = ml_s v$ for some $m \in K, v \in U(z)$. Then $kl_{2s} I(z)$

$k^* = ml_{2s} I(z)m^*$ by (7.11), and $t=s$ follows from Lemma 7.8.

(ii) Consider the smooth mappings

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1: W &\rightarrow R \times S_+, & \delta_1(X, gT, z) &= ((\text{Trace } XgI(z)g^*X^*)/2, z) \quad \text{and} \\ \delta_2: V &\rightarrow R \times S_+, & \delta_2(t, z) &= (F(\varphi(t, z))/\alpha(t, z), z). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\delta_1 = \delta_2 \delta$ by (7.11). By (7.5), the routine calculation shows that the Jacobian of δ_2 is non-zero, and so δ_2 is locally diffeomorphic. Therefore δ is smooth. *q.e.d.*

Proof of Proposition 7.2. By Lemma 7.12, it is sufficient to show that ϕ of (7.1) is smooth and satisfies (6.7).

Let us set as in (7.1);

$$\phi(X, p) = \psi_0(k, \varphi(t, z)) \quad \text{for } p = \psi_0(g, z) \quad \text{and} \quad Xg = kl_t u.$$

Assume p or $\phi(X, p) = \pm 1 \in F(X, S^3)$. Then $\det \xi(\phi(X, p)) \neq 0$, because $\xi(\pm 1) = F(\pm 1)E$ and $\xi(\phi(X, p)) = \alpha(t, z)kl_{2t}I(z)k^*$ by (7.6). From Lemma 7.16 (ii) it follows that ϕ is smooth at (X, p) . Next assume $(X, p) \in (G \times S_0) \cap \phi^{-1}(S_0) = U$. Consider the map

$$\phi_1: U \rightarrow H, \quad \phi_1(X, p) = (\beta(\varphi(t, z))/\alpha(t, z))E - X\xi(p)X^*.$$

Then ϕ_1 is smooth, since $\xi(p)$ and $(t, z) = \delta(1 \times \tilde{\psi}_+)^{-1}(X, p)$ are smooth by Lemmas 7.16 (i) and 7.17. Further the composition $(G \times S^3 \supset) U \xrightarrow{\phi_1} H \xrightarrow{\text{pr.}} S^3$ coincides with $\phi|U$ by (7.9) and (7.11). Thus ϕ is also smooth at $(X, p) \in U$.

Put $A = f(z)K_2 - H_3 \in \mathfrak{u}_{f(z)}(\subset \mathfrak{g})$ for $z \in S^1 - \{\pm 1\}$. Hence $\phi(\exp(-tA), z) = z$, and this implies $0 = \phi^+(A)_z = f(z)(K_2)_z - (H_3)_z$. Therefore (6.7) holds.

The proof of the proposition is thus completed. *q.e.d.*

8. B-equivalence classes

In this section we show the following theorem.

Theorem 8.1. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between the equivariant homeomorphism classes of non-transitive smooth G -actions on S^3 and the B-equivalence classes of pairs with (B1-3).*

To prove this theorem we prepare the following Lemmas 8.2-3.

Lemma 8.2. *If pairs with (B1-3) are B-equivalent, then the corresponding G -actions on S^3 , constructed by (7.1), are equivariantly homeomorphic to each other.*

Proof. Assume that pairs (φ, f) and (φ', f') are B-equivalent by a homeomorphism Ψ of S^1 onto itself, and let ϕ and ϕ' be respectively the G -

actions on S^3 by (7.1). Then there is a K -equivariant homeomorphism Φ of S^3 onto itself satisfying $\Phi(\psi_0(g, z)) = \psi_0(g, \Psi(z))$ ($g \in K, z \in S^1$), since $\Psi J = J\Psi$ and $\psi_0|K \times S^1: K \times S^1 \rightarrow S^3$ is closed and surjective. Let $(X, p) \in G \times S^3$, and set as in (7.1); $\phi(X, p) = \psi_0(k, \varphi(t, z))$ for $p = \psi_0(g, z)$, $Xg = kl_t u$ ($u \in U(z)$), where $U(z) = K$ if $z = \pm 1$, and $U_{f(z)} = U_{f'(\Psi(z))}$ if $z \neq \pm 1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'(X, \Phi(p)) &= \phi'(X, \psi_0(g, \Psi(z))) = \psi_0(k, \varphi'(t, \Psi(z))) \\ &= \psi_0(k, \Psi\varphi(t, z)) = \Phi\psi_0(k, \varphi(t, z)) = \Phi\phi(X, p). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that Φ is G -equivariant, and hence the lemma holds. *q.e.d.*

Lemma 8.3. *Let (φ, f) be a pair with (B1-3) defined from ϕ of (6.4) by (6.6-7). Then the G -action on S^3 , constructed from (φ, f) by (7.1), coincides with the given one ϕ .*

Proof. Let $(X, p) \in G \times S^3$, and set $\phi'(X, p) = \psi_0(k, \varphi(t, z))$ for $p = \psi_0(g, z)$ and $Xg = kl_t u$ as in (7.1).

Now we show $\phi(u, z) = z$. Clearly this holds when $z = \pm 1$, since $u \in U(z) = K$ and $z \in F(K, S^3)$. Suppose $z \neq \pm 1$. Then $f(z)K_2 - H_3 \in \mathfrak{g}_z$ by (6.7), $K_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_z$, and so $f(z)K_3 + H_2 = [f(z)K_2 - H_3, K_1] \in \mathfrak{g}_z$. These show $u_{f(z)} \subset \mathfrak{g}_z$ by (2.14). Thus $U(z) = U_{f(z)} \subset G_z$, and hence $\phi(u, z) = z$ holds. Therefore

$$\phi(X, p) = \phi(kl_t u g^{-1}, \psi_0(g, z)) = \phi(kl_t, z) = \psi_0(k, \varphi(t, z)) = \phi'(X, p).$$

q.e.d.

Proof of Theorem 8.1. By Propositions 6.10, 7.2 and Lemmas 8.2-3, we see that the correspondence defined by (6.6-7) and (7.1) is one-to-one between the equivariant homeomorphism classes of (6.4) and the B-equivalence classes. Moreover, by (6.1), the former coincides with the equivariant homeomorphism classes of non-transitive smooth G -actions on S^3 . *q.e.d.*

9. Pairs with (B1-3)

In this section we restate pairs with (B1-3), and show that a triad of subsets with (A1-2) in (1.3) is obtained from a pair with (B1-3).

It is well-known that a one-parameter transformation group φ on S^1 is regarded as a vector field on S^1 , and so a smooth function g on S^1 as follows;

$$(9.1) \quad g(z)L_z h = [dh\varphi(t, z)/dt]_{t=0} \text{ for any smooth function } h \text{ around } z \in S^1.$$

Here L is the unit vector field on S^1 , $L_z = -y(\partial/\partial x)_z + x(\partial/\partial y)_z$ ($z = x + iy \in S^1 \subset C$).

(9.2) *Let g and f be respectively smooth functions on S^1 and $S^1 - \{\pm 1\}$, and consider the following conditions ;*

$$(B1)' \quad g(z) = g(J(z)), \quad (B2)' \quad g(z)L_z f = f(z)^2 - 1 \quad (z \neq \pm 1).$$

Then, for φ and g in (9.1), we have the following lemma.

- Lemma 9.3.** (i) (B1)' is equivalent to (B1).
 (ii) If f satisfies (B3), then (B2)' is equivalent to (B2).

Proof. (i) By definition we get

$$(*) \quad L_z h = -L_{J(z)}(hJ) \quad \text{for any smooth function } h \text{ around } z \in S^1.$$

If φ satisfies (B1), then (B1)' follows from

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) L_z h &= [dh \varphi(t, z)/dt]_{t=0} = [dh J\varphi(-t, J(z))/dt]_{t=0} \\ &= -g(J(z)) L_{J(z)}(hJ) = g(J(z)) L_z h. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that g satisfies (B1)'. Then $J_*(gL) = -gL$, because $J_*(gL)_z h = g(J(z)) L_{J(z)}(hJ) = -g(z) L_z h$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} J\varphi(t, J(z)) &= J(\text{Exp } t(gL)) J(z) = (\text{Exp } tJ_*(gL))(z) \\ &= (\text{Exp } (-t)(gL))(z) = \varphi(-t, z). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) By routine calculations, (B2)' follows immediately from (B2). To see the converse, let us fix $z \in S^1 - \{\pm 1\}$ and set

$$H(t) = f(\varphi(t, z)) \quad \text{for any } t \in R \quad \text{with } \varphi(t, z) \neq \pm 1.$$

Then $H(t)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$(**) \quad dH(t)/dt = H(t)^2 - 1 \quad \text{by (B2)'}$$

and the initial condition $H(0) = f(z)$. Clearly $H_c(t) = (c - \tanh t)/(1 - c \tanh t)$ ($c \in R$) are solutions of (**), and their maximal interval of existence are

$$R \quad \text{if } |c| \leq 1, \quad (-\infty, a) \quad \text{if } c > 1, \quad \text{and } (a, \infty) \quad \text{if } c < -1,$$

where $c \tanh a = 1$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow a} |H_c(t)| = \infty$.

Let $N = \{t \in R; \varphi(t, z) = \pm 1\}$. Then $H(t)$ is smooth on $R - N$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow s} |H(t)| = \infty$ for $s \in N$ by (B3), and N is discrete. Therefore $H(t) = H_{f(z)}(t)$ follows from the initial condition, and thus (B2) holds. *q.e.d.*

In the rest of this section we assume that a pair (φ, f) with (B1-3) is given, and hence so is a smooth function g with (B1-2)'. Set

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &= \{z \in S^1; f(z) = (-1)^{i-1}\} \quad (i = 1, 2), \quad A_0 = A_1 \cup A_2, \\ C_i &= \{z \in A_0; (-1)^{i-1} g(z) > 0\} \quad (i = 1, 2) \quad \text{and} \quad C_0 = A_0 - (C_1 \cup C_2). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the following Lemmas 9.4-6.

Lemma 9.4. (i) A_i ($i=1, 2$) and C_0 are φ -invariant closed subsets of S^1 . In particular C_0 is the fixed point set of φ .

(ii) $J(A_i)=A_{3-i}$ ($i=1, 2$) and $J(C_j)=C_j$ ($j=1, 2, 3$).

Proof. (i) If $f(z)=\pm 1$, then (B2) shows that $f(\varphi(t, z))=\pm 1$ for any $t \in R$. Hence A_i ($i=1, 2$) are φ -invariant and closed in S^1 .

The fixed point set of φ is given by $F=\{z \in S^1; g(z)=0\}$. Then $C_0=A_0 \cap F$, and further $F \subset A_0$ by (B2)'. Thus $C_0=F$.

(ii) follows immediately from $fJ=-f$ and $gJ=g$. *q.e.d.*

Lemma 9.5. (i) $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$, $A_0 \cap \{\pm 1\} = \emptyset$ and $A_0 \neq \emptyset$.

(ii) A_0 is a finite union of closed intervals.

(iii) The components of A_1 alternate with those of A_2 .

Proof. (i) The first result is clear, and the second follows from (B3). From (7.5) it follows that ± 1 are not in the same orbit of φ , and hence φ is not transitive on S^1 . Then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t, z) = a \in S^1$ ($z \in S^1$), and $\pm 1 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(\varphi(t, z)) = f(a)$ ($z \neq \pm 1$) by (B2). These imply $A_0 \neq \emptyset$.

(ii) Regard $S_+ = \{x + iy \in S^1; y > 0\}$ as a bounded open interval. Since $A_0 \cap \{\pm 1\} = \emptyset$ and A_0 is closed, we see that $A_0 \cap S_+$ is also closed in S^1 . Thus, by $J(A_0) = A_0$, it is sufficient to show that the components of $A_0 \cap S_+$ is finite.

Assume the contrary. Then we can find a monotone increasing sequence $\{z_n\}$ of $A_0 \cap S_+$ such that z_n and z_m ($n \neq m$) are not in the same component. Moreover, let us choose $v_n \in S_+$ satisfying $v_n \notin A_0 \cap S_+$ and $z_n < v_n < z_{n+1}$. Then $|f(v_n)| < 1$ by (B2), and so $f(v_n) = \tanh s$ for some $s \in R$. Put $w_n = \varphi(s, v_n)$. Then

$$f(w_n) = 0 \text{ by (B2), and } z_n < w_n < z_{n+1}.$$

Hence $z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n \in A_0 \cap S_+$, since $A_0 \cap S_+$ is closed and bounded.

Therefore $f(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(z_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(w_n)$, and this leads a contradiction.

(iii) By (B2) we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(\varphi(t, z)) = -1$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} f(\varphi(t, z)) = 1$ for any $z \in S^1 - (A_0 \cup \{\pm 1\})$, whence $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t, z) \in A_2$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \varphi(t, z) \in A_1$. Clearly these show our desired result. *q.e.d.*

Lemma 9.6. C_i ($i=1, 2$) are open in S^1 , and $C_0 \supset \partial A_0$.

Proof. By Lemmas 9.4 (i) and 9.5 (ii), ∂A_0 is a φ -invariant finite subset, whence is fixed by φ . Thus $\partial A_0 \subset C_0$ follows from Lemma 9.4 (i). Since C_i ($i=1, 2$) are open in A_0 and $C_i \subset A_0 - \partial A_0$, they are also open in S^1 . *q.e.d.*

Proposition 9.7. Let (φ, f) be a pair with (B1-3), and set

$$(9.8) \quad A = A_1 \text{ and } B_i = A_1 \cap C_i \text{ (} i=1, 2 \text{)}.$$

Then the triad (A, B_i) satisfies (A1-2). If pairs with (B1-3) are B-equivalent, then the corresponding triads with (A1-2) are A-equivalent.

Proof. The first half follows from Lemmas 9.4-6.

Let Ψ be a homeomorphism of S^1 onto itself, which gives B-equivalence between (φ, f) and (φ', f') , i.e.

$$\Psi J = J\Psi, f = f' \Psi \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi\varphi(t, z) = \varphi'(t, \Psi(z)) \quad (t \in R, z \in S^1),$$

and let (A, B_i) and (A', B'_i) be the corresponding triads with (A1-2) respectively. Then the above last two equalities imply

$$\Psi(A) = A', \Psi(C_0) = C'_0 \quad \text{and hence} \quad \Psi(B_1 \cup B_2) = B'_1 \cup B'_2,$$

where C_0 and C'_0 are the fixed point sets of φ and φ' respectively.

Fix $z \in B_1 \cup B_2$. Then $g(z) \neq 0$ and $g'(\Psi(z)) \neq 0$ for the smooth functions g and g' of (9.1) by φ and φ' respectively. Hence $\varphi^z(t) = \varphi(t, z)$ and $\varphi'^{\Psi(z)}(t) = \varphi'(t, \Psi(z))$ are locally diffeomorphic at $t=0$, whence so is Ψ at z . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} g'(\Psi(z)) L_{\Psi(z)} h &= [dh \varphi'(t, \Psi(z)) / dt]_{t=0} = [dh \Psi\varphi(t, z) / dt]_{t=0} = g(z) L_z(h\Psi) \\ &= g(z) \Psi_*(L_z) h \quad \text{for any smooth function } h \text{ around } \Psi(z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $g(z)g'(\Psi(z)) > 0$ (resp. < 0) if Ψ is orientation preserving (resp. reversing), and hence $\Psi(B_i) = B'_i$ (resp. $\Psi(B_i) = B'_{2-i}$) ($i=1, 2$). Thus, by Lemma 9.4 (ii),

$$\Phi = \begin{cases} \Psi & \text{if } \Psi \text{ is orientation preserving,} \\ \Psi J & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

gives the A-equivalence between (A, B_i) and (A', B'_i) . q.e.d.

10. Construction of smooth functions

In this section we construct smooth functions with (B1-2)' and (B3) from a triad of subsets of S^1 with (A1-2).

Lemma 10.1. *There exist smooth functions α and β on R satisfying the following conditions ;*

- (1) $\beta(x) (d\alpha(x)/dx) = \alpha(x)^2 - 1$.
- (2) $|\alpha(x)| < 1$ and $d\alpha(x)/dx > 0$ if $|x| < 1$, $\alpha(x) = -1$ if $x \leq -1$, $\alpha(x) = 1$ if $x \geq 1$, and α is an odd function.
- (3) $(\sin x)/\alpha(x)$ ($x \neq 0$) can be extended to a smooth function $\tilde{\alpha}$ on R with $\tilde{\alpha}(0) \neq 0$.
- (4) $\beta(x) = 0$ if $|x| \geq 1$, and β is an even function.

Proof. Put

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} \exp(-1/x^2) & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{and } \eta(x) = \rho(\rho(x)).$$

Then, by routine calculations,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x) &= (\eta(x_1) - \eta(x_2)) / (\eta(x_1) + \eta(x_2)) \quad \text{and} \\ \beta(x) &= -x_1^3 x_2^3 \rho(x_1)^2 \rho(x_2)^2 / (x_1^3 \rho(x_1)^2 + x_2^3 \rho(x_2)^2) \end{aligned}$$

for $x_1 = (1+x)/2$ and $x_2 = (1-x)/2$, satisfy (1)–(4). q.e.d.

Lemma 10.2. *Let α and β be as in the above lemma, and set $\gamma(x) = 1/\alpha(x)$ ($x \neq 0$). Then*

- (1) $\beta(x) (d\gamma(x)/dx) = \gamma(x)^2 - 1$ ($x \neq 0$).
- (2) $|\gamma(x)| > 1$ and $d\gamma(x)/dx < 0$ if $0 < |x| < 1$, $\gamma(x) = -1$ if $x \leq -1$, $\gamma(x) = 1$ if $x \geq 1$, and γ is an odd function.
- (3) $\gamma(x) \sin x$ can be extended to a smooth function $\tilde{\gamma}(x)$ on R with $\tilde{\gamma}(0) \neq 0$.

Proof. The lemma follows from Lemma 10.1. q.e.d.

Lemma 10.3. *Let N_i ($i=1, 2$) be disjoint open subsets of R . Then there exists a smooth function μ on R satisfying $N_i = \{x \in R; (-1)^{i-1} \mu(x) > 0\}$ ($i=1, 2$).*

Proof. It is well-known that there are smooth functions μ_i ($i=1, 2$) on R such that $R - N_i = \{x \in R; \mu_i(x) = 0\}$ (cf. [4; Ch. 1, Th. 1.5]). Then the desired function is obtained by setting $\mu(x) = \mu_1(x)^2 - \mu_2(x)^2$. q.e.d.

Let (A, B_i) be a triad of subsets of S^1 with (A1–2), and put

$$S_+ \cap A_0 = \cup_{i=1}^k [r_i, s_i] \quad (0 < r_i \leq s_i < r_{i+1} < \pi) \quad \text{for } A_0 = A \cup J(A).$$

Here S_+ is regarded as the open interval $(0, \pi)$ by sending $e^{i\theta} \in S_+$ to $\theta \in (0, \pi)$. Also put $u_i = (r_{i+1} + s_i)/2$, $v_i = (r_{i+1} - s_i)/2$ and $\omega_i(\theta) = (\theta - u_i)/v_i$ ($0 \leq i \leq k$) for $s_0 = -r_1$ and $r_{k+1} = 2\pi - s_k$. By using α , β and γ in Lemmas 10.1–2, consider the smooth functions

$$\begin{aligned} a(\theta) &= \varepsilon \gamma(\omega_0(\theta)) \gamma(\omega_k(\theta)) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \alpha(\omega_i(\theta)) \quad (0 < \theta < \pi) \quad \text{and} \\ b(\theta) &= \varepsilon \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k+i} v_i \beta(\omega_i(\theta)) \quad (0 \leq \theta \leq \pi), \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon = -1$ if $[r_k, s_k] \subset A$, $= 1$ if $[r_k, s_k] \subset J(A)$. Then

$$(10.4) \quad \begin{aligned} S_+ \cap A &= \{e^{i\theta}; a(\theta) = 1\}, \quad S_+ \cap J(A) = \{e^{i\theta}; a(\theta) = -1\}, \\ S_+ \cap A_0 &= \{e^{i\theta}; b(\theta) = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad b(\theta) (da/d\theta) = a(\theta)^2 - 1 \quad (0 < \theta < \pi). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 10.3 there is a smooth function c on $[0, \pi]$ such that

$$(10.5) \quad S_+ \cap C_i = \{e^{i\theta}; (-1)^{i-1} c(\theta) > 0\} \quad (i = 1, 2) \quad \text{for } C_i = B_i \cup J(B_i).$$

Then $c=0$ on a neighbourhood of $\{0, \pi\}$, since $A_0 \cap \{\pm 1\} = \emptyset$ and $C_1 \cup C_2 \subset A_0 - \partial A_0$.

(10.6) *Let us set*

$$f(e^{i\theta}) = \begin{cases} a(\theta) & \text{if } 0 < \theta < \pi, \\ -a(-\theta) & \text{if } -\pi < \theta < 0, \end{cases}$$

$$g(e^{i\theta}) = \begin{cases} b(\theta) + c(\theta) & \text{if } 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi, \\ b(-\theta) + c(-\theta) & \text{if } -\pi < \theta < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then we have the following proposition.

Proposition 10.7. *Let (A, B_i) be a triad with (A1-2). Then the smooth functions f and g of (10.6) satisfy (B1-2)' and (B3). Furthermore the triad of (9.8) by f and g coincides with the given one (A, B_i) .*

Proof. We notice that there is a smooth function F on S^1 satisfying (B3) by Lemma 10.2(3). Therefore (B1)' and (B3) follows immediately from definition (10.6).

For $z=e^{i\theta} \in S_+$, $a(\theta)=f(z)$ and $da/d\theta=L_z f$, whence $b(\theta)L_z f=f(z)^2-1$ by (10.4). Here $f(z)=\pm 1$ if $z \in C_1 \cup C_2 (C_i=B_i \cup J(B_i))$ by (10.4) (hence $L_z f=0$), and $g(z)=b(\theta)$ if $z \notin C_1 \cup C_2$ by (10.5). Thus

$$g(z)L_z f = f(z)^2 - 1 \quad \text{for any } z \in S_+.$$

Also, by (*) in the proof of Lemma 9.3, we get

$$g(z)L_z f = -g(z)L_{J(z)}(fJ) = g(J(z))L_{J(z)}(f) = f(J(z))^2 - 1 = f(z)^2 - 1 \quad \text{for any } z \in J(S_+).$$

Therefore (B2)' holds.

The latter half of the proposition follows from (10.4-6). *q.e.d.*

11. A-equivalence classes

In this section we show the following theorem, and prove Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 11.1. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between A- and B-equivalence classes, induced from (9.8) and (10.6).*

Let φ be a one-parameter transformation group on a closed interval I , and regard this as a smooth function $g(x)=[d\varphi(t, x)/dt]_{t=0} (x \in I)$. Consider the subsets of I ,

$$C_i(\varphi) = \{x \in I; (-1)^{i-1} g(x) > 0\} \quad (i = 1, 2) \quad \text{and}$$

$$C_0(\varphi) = I - (C_1(\varphi) \cup C_2(\varphi)).$$

Then $C_0(\varphi) (\supset \partial I)$ is the fixed point set of φ . For each $x \in C_i(\varphi)$ ($i=1, 2$), the mapping $\varphi^x: R \rightarrow I$, $\varphi^x(t) = \varphi(t, x)$ ($t \in R$), is diffeomorphic onto the component of $C_i(\varphi)$ containing x .

Also, let φ' be a one-parameter transformation group on a closed interval I' , and assume that

(11.2) *There is an increasing homeomorphism $\Phi: I \rightarrow I'$ such that $\Phi(C_i(\varphi)) = C_i(\varphi')$ ($i=1, 2$).*

Then we have the following Lemmas 11.3-4.

Lemma 11.3. *Suppose $I - \partial I = C_i(\varphi)$ ($i=1$ or 2).*

(i) *Choose base points $m \in I - \partial I$ and $m' \in I' - \partial I'$. Then there exists an equivariant map $\Psi: I \rightarrow I'$ such that $\Psi(m) = m'$ and $\Psi = \Phi$ on ∂I .*

(ii) *Any equivariant map $\Psi: I \rightarrow I'$ is an increasing homeomorphism if $\Psi = \Phi$ on ∂I .*

Proof. (i) Since φ^m is diffeomorphic onto $I - \partial I$, the desired equivariant map is obtained by

$$\Psi(x) = \begin{cases} \Phi(x) & \text{if } x \in \partial I, \\ \varphi'(t, m') & \text{if } x = \varphi(t, m) \in I - \partial I. \end{cases}$$

(ii) Fix $m \in I - \partial I$, and put $m' = \Psi(m) \in I' - \partial I'$. Since Ψ is equivariant, it follows that Ψ is diffeomorphic on $I - \partial I = C_i(\varphi)$, and

$$g'(\Psi(x)) = g(x) (d\Psi(x)/dx) \quad \text{for } x \in I - \partial I,$$

where g and g' are the corresponding smooth functions of φ and φ' respectively. Then $d\Psi(x)/dx > 0$ by (11.2). Thus Ψ is an increasing homeomorphism on $I - \partial I$, whence so on I because $\Psi = \Phi$ on ∂I and Φ is an increasing homeomorphism. *q.e.d.*

Lemma 11.4. *There exists an equivariant homeomorphism*

$$\Psi: I \rightarrow I' \quad \text{such that } \Psi = \Phi \quad \text{on } C_0(\varphi).$$

Proof. Put $C_1(\varphi) \cup C_2(\varphi) = \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$, the disjoint union of open intervals I_k , and let $m_k \in I_k$ and $m'_k \in \Phi(I_k)$ be the middle points. Then, by the same method as in the above lemma, we obtain an increasing equivariant bijection Ψ with $\Psi = \Phi$ on $C_0(\varphi) \cup \{m_k\}$.

We show that

(*) Ψ is right continuous at $a \in I$.

If $I_\varepsilon(a) \cap C_0(\varphi) = \emptyset$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, where $I_\varepsilon(a) = \{x \in I; a < x < a + \varepsilon\}$, then $I_\varepsilon(a)$

$\subset I_k$ for some k , and thus (*) follows from Lemma 11.3 (ii). Suppose $I_\varepsilon(a) \cap C_0(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $a \in C_0(\varphi)$ and $\Psi(a) = \Phi(a)$. Choose $y_\varepsilon \in I_\varepsilon(a) \cap C_0(\varphi)$. Then, for any $a < x < y_\varepsilon$, $\Psi(x) = \Phi(x) < \Phi(y_\varepsilon)$ if $x \in C_0(\varphi)$ and $= \varphi'(t, m'_k) < \Phi(y_\varepsilon)$ if $x = \varphi(t, m_k) \in I_k$. Thus

$$0 < \Psi(x) - \Psi(a) < \Phi(y_\varepsilon) - \Phi(a) < \Phi(a + \varepsilon) - \Phi(a),$$

and so (*) holds.

By the same method as above, we see that Ψ is left continuous. Therefore Ψ is continuous on I , and similarly so is Ψ^{-1} on I' . *q.e.d.*

Let (φ, f) be a pair with (B1-3), and (A, B_i) be the corresponding triad with (A1-2). By (B1), put $S^1 - A_0 = \cup_{i=1}^k N_i$ the disjoint union of φ -invariant open intervals with $(-1)^{j-1} \in N_j$ ($j=1, 2$) for $A_0 = A \cup J(A)$. Hence, for the middle points $m_i \in N_i$,

$$(11.5) \quad J(\{m_i\}) = \{m_i\} \quad \text{and} \quad m_j = (-1)^{j-1} \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

Let g be the smooth function by φ , and set

$$C_i(\varphi) = \{z \in S^1; (-1)^{i-1} g(z) > 0\} \quad \text{and so} \quad B_i = A \cap C_i(\varphi) \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Also, let (φ', f') be a pair with (B1-3), (A', B'_i) be the corresponding triad with (A1-2), and assume that

(11.6) *There is an orientation preserving homeomorphism Φ of S^1 onto itself such that*

$$\Phi J = J \Phi, \quad \Phi(A) = A' \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(B_i) = B'_i \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Then we have the following Lemmas 11.7-8.

Lemma 11.7. (i) *For each $l \geq 3$, there exists $m'_i \in \Phi(N_i)$ such that $f(m_i) = f'(m'_i)$. Furthermore $J(m_i)' = J(m'_i)$ for $1 \leq l \leq k$, where $m'_j = \Phi(m_j)$ ($j=1, 2$).*

(ii) $\Phi(C_i(\varphi)) = C_i(\varphi')$ ($i=1, 2$).

Proof. (i) If $l \geq 3$, then $f(m_i) \tanh t \neq 1$ and $f'(\Phi(m_i)) \tanh t \neq 1$ for any $t \in R$ by (B2). Thus $|f(m_i)| < 1$ and $|f'(\Phi(m_i))| < 1$, whence we can find $s \in R$ such that $\tanh s = \{f(m_i) - f'(\Phi(m_i))\} / \{f(m_i)f'(\Phi(m_i)) - 1\}$. Set $m'_i = \varphi'(s, \Phi(m_i))$. Then

$$m'_i \in \Phi(N_i) \quad \text{and} \quad f(m_i) = f'(m'_i) \quad \text{by (B2)}.$$

The latter half is clear for $l=1, 2$, and

$$J(m_i)' = \varphi'(-s, \Phi J(m_i)) = J\varphi'(s, \Phi(m_i)) = J(m'_i) \quad \text{for} \quad l \geq 3.$$

(ii) The sign of the smooth function g (resp. g') by φ (resp. φ') is invariant

on each orbit. Then, by (11.6), it is sufficient to show $g(z)g'(w) > 0$ for some $z \in N_l$ and $w \in \Phi(N_l)$. Put $z = m_l, w = m'_l$ if $l \geq 3$, and $z = \varphi(t, m_l), w = \varphi'(t, m'_l)$ for some $t \neq 0$ if $l = 1, 2$. Then $f(z) = f'(w)$, and hence

$$g(z) L_z f = g'(w) L_w f' \quad \text{by (B2)' .}$$

Here $(L_z f)(L_w f') > 0$ follows from $\Phi(A) = A'$. Therefore (ii) holds. *q.e.d.*

Lemma 11.8. (φ, f) is B-equivalent to (φ', f') .

Proof. By Lemma 11.4, there is an orientation preserving equivariant homeomorphism

$$\Psi_1: A \rightarrow A' \quad \text{such that} \quad \Psi_1 = \Phi \quad \text{on} \quad \partial A .$$

Also, by Lemmas 11.3 and 11.7 (ii), there is an orientation preserving equivariant homeomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_2: S^1 - (A_0 - \partial A_0) &\rightarrow S^1 - (A'_0 - \partial A'_0) \quad \text{such that} \quad \Psi_2(m_l) = m'_l \quad \text{and} \\ \Psi_2 = \Phi &\quad \text{on} \quad \partial A_0, \quad \text{for} \quad A_0 = A \cup J(A) \quad \text{and} \quad A'_0 = A' \cup J(A') . \end{aligned}$$

Then, for $z = \varphi(t, m_l) \in N_l \subset S^1 - A_0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} J\Psi_2(z) &= J\varphi'(t, \Psi_2(m_l)) = \varphi'(-t, J(m'_l)) = \varphi'(-t, J(m_l))' \\ &= \varphi'(-t, \Psi_2 J(m_l)) = \Psi_2 \varphi(-t, J(m_l)) = \Psi_2 J(z) \quad \text{and} \\ f(z) &= f'(\varphi'(t, m_l)) = f' \Psi_2(t, m_l) = f' \Psi_2(z) \quad \text{when} \quad z \neq \pm 1 . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the homeomorphism Ψ of S^1 onto itself,

$$\Psi = \Psi_1 \quad \text{on} \quad A, \quad = J\Psi_1 J \quad \text{on} \quad J(A) \quad \text{and} \quad = \Psi_2 \quad \text{on} \quad S^1 - A_0,$$

gives a B-equivalence between (φ, f) and (φ', f') . *q.e.d.*

Proposition 11.9. Pairs with (B1-3) are B-equivalent if the corresponding triads with (A1-2) are A-equivalent.

Proof. Let (φ, f) and (φ', f') be pairs with (B1-3), and assume that the corresponding triads (A, B_i) and (A', B'_i) are A-equivalent by an orientation preserving homeomorphism Φ of S^1 onto itself; $\Phi J = J\Phi$ and

$$(1) \quad \Phi(A) = A', \quad \Phi(B_i) = B'_i \quad \text{or} \quad (2) \quad \Phi(A) = J(A'), \quad \Phi(B_i) = J(B'_{3-i}) \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

For the case (1), the proposition follows from Lemma 11.8.

In case (2), consider the one-parameter transformation group on $S^1, \varphi''(t, z) = \varphi'(-t, z) (t \in \mathbb{R}, z \in S^1)$. Then $(\varphi'', -f')$ satisfies (B1-3), and is B-equivalent to (φ', f') by the reflection J . Furthermore its corresponding triad is given by $(J(A'), J(B'_{3-i}))$. Therefore $(\varphi'', -f')$ is B-equivalent to (φ, f) by Lemma 11.8,

and hence so is (φ', f') .

q.e.d.

Proof of Theorem 11.1. By Proposition 9.7, there is a mapping from B-equivalence classes to A-equivalence ones, which is surjective by Proposition 10.7 and injective by Proposition 11.9. Therefore the theorem holds. *q.e.d.*

Proof of Theorem 1.4. The theorem follows from Theorems 8.1 and 11.1. *q.e.d.*

Proof of Corollary 1.5. (i) Since the $G=SL(2, C)$ -action of (7.1) has no fixed points by (7.3), the first half holds. The latter half follows from Theorem 1.2.

(ii–iii) For a non-transitive smooth G -action ϕ on S^3 , there corresponds a pair (φ, f) with (B1–3), and so a triad (A, B_i) with (A1–2). If ϕ is real analytic, then so is f , and hence A is finite and $B_i = \phi(i=1, 2)$. Thus Theorem 1.4 shows that the equivariant homeomorphism class of ϕ is determined by the order $|A|$ of A . Moreover, the action of (7.1) constructed from (φ, f) has precisely $2|A|+1$ orbits. These imply (ii) and (iii). *q.e.d.*

References

- [1] T. Asoh: *Smooth S^3 -actions on n manifolds for $n \leq 4$* , Hiroshima Math. J. **6** (1976), 619–634.
- [2] J. Dieudonné: *Foundations of modern analysis*, Pure and Applied Math. 10, Academic Press, 1960.
- [3] S. Helgason: *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, Pure and Applied Math. 80, Academic Press, 1978.
- [4] D.W. Kahn: *Introduction to global analysis*, Pure and Applied Math. 91, Academic Press, 1980.
- [5] R.S. Palais: *A Global formulation of the Lie theory of transformation groups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 22, 1957.
- [6] C.R. Schneider: *$SL(2, R)$ actions on surfaces*, Amer. J. Math. **96** (1974), 511–528.
- [7] F. Uchida: *Real analytic $SL(n, C)$ actions*, Bull. Yamagata Univ. Natur. Sci. **10** (1980), 1–14.
- [8] ———: *Real analytic $SL(n, R)$ actions on spheres*, Tôhoku Math. J. **33** (1981), 145–175.

Department of Mathematics
College of General Education
Tôhoku University
Kawauchi, Sendai 980
Japan