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R.H. Fox introduced the notion of congruence classes of knots in [3], and he gave a necessary condition for congruence in terms of Alexander matrices and polynomials. In this note we will improve his condition and discuss some of its consequences.

## 1. Congruence classes of knots

In this note we only consider 1-dimensional tame oriented knots $k$ in an oriented 3 -sphere $S^{3}$. Two knots $k$ and $k^{\prime}$ are said to be equivalent, iff there is an orientation preserving homeomorphism from ( $S^{3}, k$ ) onto ( $S^{3}, k^{\prime}$ ), and each equivalence class of knots is called a knot type. A knot $k$ is called trivial (or unknotted) iff there exists a disk $D$ in $S^{3}$ with $\partial D=k$.

Definition (Fox [3]). Let $n$ and $q$ be non-negative integers. The knot types $\kappa$ and $\lambda$ are said to be congruent modulo $n, q$, written $\kappa \equiv \lambda(\bmod n, q)$, iff there are knots $k_{0}, k_{1}, k_{2}, \cdots, k_{l}$, integers $c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots, c_{l}$, and trivial knots $m_{1}, m_{2}, \cdots, m_{l}$ such that
(1) $k_{i-1}$ and $m_{i}$ are disjoint,
(2) $k_{i}$ is obtained from $k_{i-1}$ by $1 / c_{i} n$-surgery along $m_{i}$ (see $[9,10]$ for $a / b$-surgery),
(3) the linking number $l k\left(k_{i-1}, m_{i}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod q)$, and
(4) $k_{0}$ represents $\kappa$, and $k_{l}$ represents $\lambda$.

Definition. Two knot types $\kappa$ and $\lambda$ are said to be $q$-congruent modulo $n$, written $\kappa \overline{\bar{q}} \lambda(\bmod n)$, iff they satisfy the conditions (1), (2), (4) in the above and the following condition ( $3^{\prime}$ ):
(3') $\quad l k\left(k_{i-1}, m_{i}\right)=q$.
We note that these relations are equivalence relations.
Fox [3] pointed out that congruence modulo $0, q$ is just the knot equivalence, and that any two knot types are congruent modulo $1, q$, because if a knot is obtained from another by changing an overpass to underpass, they belong to the same congruence class modulo $1, q$ (see Fig. 1).
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First we give a necessary condition for congruence modulo $n, q$. This condition, rather effective if $n>1$, uses the Alexander polynomial $\Delta_{\kappa}(t)$ of a knot type $\kappa$. An Alexander matrix of $\kappa$ is denoted by $A_{\kappa}(t)$ and $\left(1-t^{*}\right)$ / $(1-t)=1+t+t^{2}+\cdots+t^{n-1}$ by $\sigma_{n}(t)$.

Theorem 1. If $\kappa \overline{\bar{q}} \bar{\lambda}(\bmod n)$, then, for properly chosen $A_{\kappa}(t)$ and $A_{\lambda}(t)$, we have

$$
A_{\kappa}(t) \equiv A_{\lambda}(t) \bmod (1-t) \sigma_{n}\left(t^{q}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\Delta_{\kappa}(t) \equiv \pm t^{r} \Delta_{\lambda}(t) \bmod (1-t) \sigma_{n}\left(t^{q}\right) .
$$

Further, woe have similar statements for the elementary ideals of deficiency greater than 1.

Theorem 2. If $\kappa \equiv \lambda(\bmod n, q)$, then, for properly chosen $A_{\kappa}(t)$ and $A_{\lambda}(t)$, we have

$$
A_{\kappa}(t) \equiv \quad A_{\lambda}(t) \bmod \left\{\begin{array}{l}
n(1-t)=(1-t) \sigma_{n}\left(t^{0 \times q}\right), \quad(1-t) \sigma_{n}\left(t^{1 \times q}\right), \\
(1-t) \sigma_{n}\left(t^{i_{1} \times q}\right), \cdots,(1-t) \sigma_{n}\left(t^{i_{*} \times q}\right),
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and hence

$$
\Delta_{\kappa}(t) \equiv \pm t^{r} \Delta_{\lambda}(t) \bmod \left\{\begin{array}{l}
n(1-t)=(1-t) \sigma_{n}\left(t^{0 \times q}\right), \quad(1-t) \sigma_{n}\left(t^{1 \times q}\right), \\
(1-t) \sigma_{n}\left(t^{i_{1} \times q}\right), \cdots,(1-t) \sigma_{n}\left(t^{i * q}\right),
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $i_{1}, \cdots, i_{*}$ are all divisors of $n$ and $1<i_{1}<\cdots<i_{*}<n$. Further, we have similar statements for the elementary ideals of deficiency greater than 1.

In the above, $f(t) \equiv g(t) \bmod \left\{h_{1}(t), h_{2}(t), \cdots, h_{j}(t)\right\}$ means that $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ are in the same class of the quotient $\boldsymbol{Z}\langle t\rangle /\left(h_{1}(t), h_{2}(t), \cdots, h_{j}(t)\right)$, where $\left(h_{1}(t)\right.$, $\left.h_{2}(t), \cdots, h_{j}(t)\right)$ is the ideal generated by $h_{1}(t), h_{2}(t), \cdots, h_{j}(t)$ in $\boldsymbol{Z}(t)$.

We will prove Theorems 1 and 2 in the next section.
Remark. In [6], Kinoshita proved some theorems similar to Theorem 1,
but in a more special setting.
Corollary. If $n$ or $q$ is even, and $\kappa \equiv \lambda(\bmod n, q)$, then woe have

$$
\Delta_{\kappa}(-1) \equiv \Delta_{\lambda}(-1) \quad(\bmod 2 n)
$$

Proof of Corollary. When $q$ is even, $\left[\sigma_{n}\left(t^{i \times q}\right)\right]_{t=-1}$ is equal to $n$. When $q$ is odd, and $n$ is even, $\left[\sigma_{n}\left(t^{i \times q}\right)\right]_{t=-1}$ is equal to 0 . Since each $\left[(1-t) \sigma_{n}\left(t^{i \times q}\right)\right]_{t=-1}$ is equal to $2 n$ or 0 , Theorem 2 implies Corollary.

Applying Theorems 1 and 2, we can find infinitely many knot types that are incongruent modulo $n, q$.

Theorem 3. Let $n$ be an integer greater than 1 and $q$ a non-negative integer such that $(n, q) \neq(2,1)$ nor $(2,2)$. For congruence modulo $n, q$, there exist infinitely many distinct classes.

The proof of Theorem 3 will be given in $\S 3$.
For the remaining two cases $(n, q)=(2,1)$ or $(2,2)$, we show the following.
Theorem 4. For any knot type $\kappa$, woe have

$$
\Delta_{\kappa}(t) \equiv \pm t^{r} \cdot 1 \bmod \left\{2(1-t),(1-t) \sigma_{2}\left(t^{2}\right)\right\}
$$

and hence

$$
\Delta_{\kappa}(t) \equiv \pm t^{r} \cdot 1 \bmod \left\{2(1-t),(1-t) \sigma_{2}(t)\right\}
$$

Proof. It is well-known that the Alexander polynomial $\Delta_{\kappa}(t)$ of a knot type is characterized by the conditions (1) $\Delta_{\kappa}(t)=t^{2 s} \Delta_{\kappa}\left(t^{-1}\right)$ for some integer $s$ and (2) $\Delta_{\kappa}(1)= \pm 1[7,9,11]$. So, we can assume that

$$
\Delta_{\kappa}(t)=c_{s} t^{s}+c_{s-1} t^{s-1}+\cdots+c_{1} t+c_{0}+c_{1} t^{-1}+\cdots+c_{s-1} t^{s+1}+c_{s} t^{-s}
$$

Deforming $\Delta_{\kappa}(t)$ symmetrically by $(1-t) \sigma_{2}\left(t^{2}\right)=1-t+t^{2}-t^{3}$, we have $\Delta_{\kappa}(t) \equiv$ $c t+(2 c \pm 1)+c t^{-1} \bmod (1-t) \sigma_{2}\left(t^{2}\right)$. When $c$ is even, $c t+(2 c \pm 1)+c t^{-1}+(c / 2)$ $\times\left(1-t^{-1}\right) \times(2(1-t))=\mp 1$. When $c$ is odd, $c t+(2 c \pm 1)+c t^{-1}+((c \pm 1) / 2)\left(1-t^{-1}\right)$ $\times(2(1-t)) \pm t^{-1} \times\left(1-t+t^{2}-t^{3}\right)= \pm t^{2}$. Therefore, we have $\Delta_{k}(t) \equiv \pm t^{r} \cdot 1 \bmod$ $\left\{2(1-t),(1-t) \sigma_{2}\left(t^{2}\right)\right\} . \quad$ By $(1-t) \sigma_{2}\left(t^{2}\right)=(1+t) \times(1-t) \sigma_{2}(t)-t \times 2(1-t)$, the ideal $\left(2(1-t),(1-t) \sigma_{2}\left(t^{2}\right)\right)$ is contained in $\left(\left(2(1-t),(1-t) \sigma_{2}(t)\right)\right.$. So we have $\Delta_{\mathrm{k}}(t) \equiv \pm t^{r} \cdot 1 \bmod \left\{2(1-t),(1-t) \sigma_{2}(t)\right\}$. Hence, the proof is complete.

By our experiments, we could not find distinct knot types that are incongruent modulo 2,1 or 2,2 . Hence, we raise the following conjectures:

Conjecture C: All knots are congruent modulo 2, 1.
Conjecture B: All knots are congruent modulo 2, 2.

Conjecture A: All knots are deformable to a trivial knot by a finite sequence of operations $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{2}$ 's, which are shown in Fig. 2.
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Note. If Conjecture A is true, then Conjecture B is true. If Conjecture B is true, then Conjecture $\mathbf{C}$ is true.

Conjectures A and B are true for all (at most) 10 crossing knots, all Montesinos knots (which contain all 2-bridge knots and all pretzel knots), many closed 3-braid knots $\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}^{-1}\right)^{3 n \pm 1}$, and so on. Conjecture C is true for all (at most) 10 crossing knots, all Montesions knots, all torus knots, all closed 3-braid knots, and so on. (See [12].)

Question. For any Alexander polynomial $\Delta(t)$, does there exist a knot type $\kappa$ such that the Alexander polynomial of $\kappa$ is $\Delta(t)$, and $\kappa$ is congruent to a trivial knot type modulo 2,1 or 2,2 ?

## 2. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2

To prove Theorem 1, it is sufficient to show the following Lemma.
Lemma. Let $n$ and $q$ be non-negative integers, and $k$ and $k^{\prime}$ knots. Let $m$ be a trivial knot disjoint from $k$ such that $l k(k, m)=q$. Suppose that $k^{\prime}$ is obtained from $k$ by $1 / n$-surgery along $m$. Then, for properly chosen Alexander matrices $A_{k}(t)$ and $A_{k^{\prime}}(t)$ of $k$ and $k^{\prime}$, we have

$$
A_{k}(t) \equiv A_{k^{\prime}}(t) \bmod (1-t) \sigma_{n}\left(t^{q}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\Delta_{k}(t) \equiv \pm t^{r} \Delta_{k^{\prime}}(t) \bmod (1-t) \sigma_{n}\left(t^{q}\right)
$$

Further, we have similar statements for the elementary ideals of deficiency greater than 1.

Proof. We prove this Lemma after Fox [3].
Fig. 3 illustrates the neighbourhood of $m$ in $\left(S^{3}, k\right)$.
We can choose generators $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{2 l}, A, B$ of the fundamental group $\pi_{1}\left(S^{3}-k-m\right)$ as shown in Fig. 3, and further we choose other generators
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$x_{2 l+1}, \cdots$ as usual. Then, we have a group presentation of $\pi_{1}\left(S^{3}-k-m\right)$ :

$$
\left|\begin{array}{l|l}
A, B, & A=w\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{l}\right), \\
x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{2 l}, & x_{l+i}=B^{-1} x_{i} B \quad(i=1,2, \cdots, l), \\
x_{2 l+1}, \cdots & r_{j} \text { (relations corresponding to other crossings) }
\end{array}\right| .
$$

Hence, we have a group presentation of $\pi_{1}\left(S^{3}-k\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\begin{array}{l|l}
A, B, & \begin{array}{l}
A=w\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{l}\right), \quad B=1, \\
x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{2 l},
\end{array} \\
x_{l+i}=B^{-1} x_{i} B \quad(i=1,2, \cdots, l), \\
x_{2 l+1}, \cdots & r_{j}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
A, & \begin{array}{ll}
A=w\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{l}\right), \\
x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{2 l}, & x_{l+i}=x_{i} \\
x_{2 l+1}, \cdots & (i=1,2, \cdots, l), \\
r_{j}
\end{array}
\end{array}\right.,
\end{aligned}
$$

and a group presentation of $\pi_{1}\left(S^{3}-k^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l|l}
A, B, & A=v\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{l}\right), \quad B A^{n}=1, \\
x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{2 l}, \\
x_{2 l+1}, \cdots & \begin{array}{l}
x_{l+i}=B^{-1} x_{i} B \quad(i=1,2, \cdots, l), \\
r_{j}
\end{array} \\
=\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
A, \\
x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{2 l}, \\
x_{2 l+1}, \cdots
\end{array}\right. & \begin{array}{l}
A=w\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{l}\right), \\
x_{l+i}=A^{n} x_{i} A^{-n} \quad(i=1,2, \cdots, l), \\
r_{j}
\end{array}
\end{array} . .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

We use Fox's free differential calculus [2]. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{a} \phi\left(\partial A^{n} x_{i} A^{-n} \partial A\right) & =\mathfrak{a} \phi\left(\left(1+A+\cdots+A^{n-1}\right)-A^{n} x_{i}\left(A^{-1}+A^{-2}+\cdots+A^{-n}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(1+t^{q}+\cdots+\left(t^{q}\right)^{n-1}\right)-t\left(\left(t^{q}\right)^{n-1}+\left(t^{q}\right)^{n-2}+\cdots+1\right) \\
& =(1-t) \sigma_{n}\left(t^{q}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

we have the Alexander matrices $A_{k}(t)$ and $A_{k^{\prime}}(t)$ of $k$ and $k^{\prime}$, respectively, as follows:

and


From $1-t^{q n}=\left(1-t^{q}\right) \sigma_{n}\left(t^{q}\right)=\sigma_{q}(t)(1-t) \sigma_{n}\left(t^{q}\right)$, it follows that $A_{k}(t) \equiv A_{k^{\prime}}(t)$ $\bmod (1-t) \sigma_{n}\left(t^{q}\right)$ and $\Delta_{k}(t) \equiv \pm t^{t} \Delta_{k^{\prime}}(t) \bmod (1-t) \sigma_{n}\left(t^{q}\right)$. Hence, the proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 2. For the case $l k(k, m)=s q$, let $d$ be the greatest common divisor of $s$ and $n$. Notice that the collection of integers $\{0 \times s q, 1 \times s q$, $2 \times s q, \cdots,(n-1) s q\}$ is equal to the collection of integers $\{0 \times d q, 1 \times d q, 2 \times d q$, $\cdots,(n-1) d q\}$ modulo $n q$. So, the following two polynomials coincide modulo $1-t^{n q}=\left(1-t^{q}\right) \sigma_{n}\left(t^{q}\right):$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{n}\left(t^{s q}\right)=1+t^{s q}+t^{2 s q}+\cdots+t^{(n-1) s q}, \quad \text { and } \\
& \sigma_{n}\left(t^{d q}\right)=1+t^{d q}+t^{2 d q}+\cdots+t^{(n-1) d q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $(1-t) \sigma_{n}\left(t^{s q}\right)$ is contained in the ideal

$$
\left((1-t) \sigma_{n}\left(t^{0 \times q}\right),(1-t) \sigma_{n}\left(t^{1 \times q}\right),(1-t) \sigma_{n}\left(t^{i_{1} \times q}\right), \cdots,(1-t) \sigma_{n}(t)^{i * \times q}\right),
$$

and the proof is complete.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 3

We divide the proof of Theorem 3 into three lemmas.
Lemma 1. For congruence modulo 2,0, there exist infinitely many distinct classes.

Proof. For a non-negative integer $n \in \boldsymbol{N}_{0}$, let $\kappa_{n}$ be the ( $2 n+1,2$ )-torus knot. Then the Alexander polynomial $\Delta_{\kappa_{n}}(t)$ of $\kappa_{n}$ is

$$
\Delta_{\kappa_{n}}(t)=t^{2 n}-t^{2 n-1}+t^{2 n-1}-\cdots-t+1 .
$$

The quotient $\boldsymbol{Z}\langle t\rangle /\left(2(1-t), \Delta_{\kappa_{n}}(t)\right)$ has an abelian group presentation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|t^{i}\right| 2(1-t) t^{i}=0, t^{i} \Delta_{\kappa_{n}}(t)=0(i=\cdots,-1,0,, 12, \cdots) \mid \\
& \quad \cong\left|(1),(1-t), \cdots,\left(1-t^{2 n-1}\right)\right| 2\left(1-t^{i}\right)=0(i=1,2, \cdots, 2 n-1) \mid \\
& \quad \cong Z \oplus\left(Z_{2}\right)^{2 n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\Delta_{\kappa_{n}}(t)$ and $\pm t^{r} \Delta_{\kappa_{n}{ }^{\prime}}(t)$ are in distinct classes of $\boldsymbol{Z}\langle t\rangle /(2(1-t))$ if $n \neq n^{\prime}$. Hence, the congruence classes modulo 2,0 of $\kappa_{n}\left(n \in \boldsymbol{N}_{0}\right)$ are mutually distinct. Hence, the proof is complete.

For a non-negative integer $n \in \boldsymbol{N}_{0}$, let $\lambda_{n}$ be the connected sum of $n$ copies of a trefoil knot. (If it is desired, by a theorem in [8], we can choose a prime knot $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}$ whose Alexander matrix is same to that of $\lambda_{n}$.) Then, the $i$ th elementary ideal $E_{i}(t)$ of $\lambda_{n}$ is $\left(\left(t^{2}-t+1\right)^{n+1-i}\right)$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq n$ and is (1) for $i \geqq n+1$.

From now on, we consider the congruence classes of $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$. (Of course, their classes modulo 2,0 are mutually distinct by the proof of Lemma 1 and Theorem 2.)

Lemma 2. For congruence modulo $2, q$ with $q \geqq 3$, there exist infinitely many distinct classes.

Proof. If we show that $\left(t^{2}-t+1\right)$ and $\pm t^{r} \cdot 1$ are in distinct classes of the quotient $\boldsymbol{Z}\langle t\rangle /\left(2(1-t),(1-t) \sigma_{2}\left(t^{q}\right)=1-t+t^{q}-t^{q+1}\right)$, then the sequences of the elementary ideals of $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}\left(n \in N_{0}\right)$ are mutually distinct $\bmod \left\{2(1-t),(1-t) \sigma_{2}\left(t^{q}\right)\right\}$. Hence, by Theorem 2, there exist infinitely many distinct classes for congruence $\bmod 2, q(q \geqq 3)$. Now we show that $\left(t^{2}-t+1\right)$ and $\pm t^{r} \cdot 1$ are in distinct classes of the quotient $\boldsymbol{Z}\langle t\rangle /\left(2(1-t), \sigma_{2}\left(t^{q}\right)=1+t^{q}\right)$. The quotient $\boldsymbol{Z}\langle t\rangle /\left(2(1-t), 1+t^{q}\right)$ has an abelian group presentation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|t^{i}\right| 2(1-t) t^{i}=0,\left(1+t^{9}\right) t^{i}=0(i=\cdots,-1,0,1,2, \cdots) \mid \\
& \quad \cong\left|(1),(1-t), \cdots,\left(1-t^{q-1}\right)\right| 4(1)=0,2\left(1-t^{i}\right)=0(i=1,2, \cdots, q-1) \mid \\
& \quad \cong \boldsymbol{Z}_{4} \oplus\left(\boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)^{q-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left(t^{2}-t+1\right) \pm t^{r} \cdot 1=\left(t^{2}-1\right)-(t-1) \pm\left(t^{r}-1\right)+(1 \pm 1)$ is of order 2, $\left(t^{2}-t+1\right)$ and $\pm t^{r} \cdot 1$ are in distinct classes of $\boldsymbol{Z}\langle t\rangle /\left(2(1-t), 1+t^{q}\right)$. Therefore, they are in distinct classes of $\boldsymbol{Z}\langle t\rangle /\left(2(1-t),(1-t) \sigma_{2}\left(t^{q}\right)\right)$. Hence, the proof is complete.

Lemma 3. For congruence modulo $n$, $q$ woith $n \geqq 3$, there exist infinitely many distinct classes.

Proof. By the definition, $\kappa \equiv \lambda(\bmod n, q)$ implies $\kappa \equiv \lambda(\bmod n, 1)$, and $\kappa \equiv \lambda(\bmod n, q)$ implies $\kappa \equiv \lambda(\bmod p, q)$ if $p$ is a divisor of $n$. We have, therefore, only to verify the lemma for the case ( $n$ is an odd prime integer) or ( $n$ is an even integer greater than or equal to 4 ), and $q=1$.

For the case that $n$ is an odd prime integer, we will show that $\left(t^{2}-t+1\right) \equiv$ $\pm t^{r} \cdot 1 \bmod \left\{n(1-t),(1-t) \sigma_{n}(t)=1-t^{n}\right\}$. The quotient $\boldsymbol{Z}\langle t\rangle\left(n(1-t), 1-t^{n}\right)$ has an abelian group presentation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|t^{i}\right| n(1-t) t^{i}=0,\left(1-t^{n}\right) t^{i}=0(i=\cdots,-1,0,1,2, \cdots) \mid \\
& \quad \cong\left|(1),(1-t), \cdots,\left(1-t^{n-1}\right)\right| n\left(1-t^{i}\right)=0(i=1,2, \cdots, n-1) \mid \\
& \quad \cong \boldsymbol{Z} \oplus\left(\boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)^{n-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\left(t^{2}-t+1\right) \pm t^{r} \cdot 1=\left(t^{2}-1\right)-(t-1) \pm\left(t^{r}-1\right)+(1 \pm 1)$ is of order 2 or of infinite order. Hence, $\left(t^{2}-t+1\right)$ and $\pm t^{r} \cdot 1$ are in distinct classes of $\boldsymbol{Z}\langle t\rangle\left(n(1-t), 1-t^{n}\right)$.

For the case that $n$ is an even integer greater than or equal to 4 , we see $\left[t^{2}-t+1\right]_{t=-1}=3 \equiv 1(\bmod 2 n)$. So, it is clear that $\left(t^{2}-t+1\right) \equiv \pm t^{r} \cdot 1 \mathrm{mod}$ $\left\{n(1-t),(1-t) \sigma_{n}(t)\right\}$ (cf. the proof of Corollary). Hence, the proof is complete.

## 4. Remarks

4.1. There is an error in Fox [3]. He confused "congruence modulo $n$, $q$ " with " $q$-congruence modulo $n$ " in the sense of this note, and "(and $B$ into 1)" ([3], p. 38, the bottom line) should be read as "(and $B$ into 1 or $\left.t^{n q}\right)$ ". So, we should read each phrase "congruence modulo $n, q$ " in his paper as " $q$-congruence modulo $n$ ".
4.2. A proof of a theorem in Kinoshita [5] following the same pattern as Fox [3] is also in error (mentioned in [6]). Here we give a counter-example to the theorem in [5].


Fig. 4
We consider the knot $9_{46}$ and a trivial knot $m$ as in Fig. 4. By $-1 / 1$-surgery along $m$, we obtain a trivial knot. So, we have $\overline{\bar{s}}\left(9_{46}\right)=1$ in the sense of [5]. The 2-fold branched covering space $\Sigma_{2}$ of $9_{46}$ has the first integral homology group $H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{2}\right) \cong \boldsymbol{Z}_{3} \oplus \boldsymbol{Z}_{3}$, so $e_{2}=2$ in the sense of [5]. This is a contradiction to the formula $e_{g} \leqq(g-1) \cdot \overline{\bar{s}}(k)$ in [5].
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