

## ON MIXED BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR PARABOLIC EQUATIONS IN SINGULAR DOMAINS

ALI AZZAM

(Received February 28, 1984)

**1. Introduction.** In this paper we continue our investigation on boundary value problems for elliptic and parabolic equations in singular domains. The problem is thoroughly investigated if the boundary is smooth. See [1] for general boundary value problems for elliptic equations and [8] and [11] for the parabolic case.

Elliptic boundary value problems in singular domains have been studied by many authors using different approaches. See [10], [12]–[15]. Comparatively little is known in the case of parabolic equations. One of the reasons for that is the fact that the methods used in the elliptic case do not extend completely to the parabolic case. In [3] we have introduced a method for investigating the Dirichlet problem for elliptic equations in plane domains with corners. This method was then modified to study different boundary value problems for elliptic equations in  $n$ -dimensional domains with edges (cf. [4], [5]) and initial-Dirichlet problem for parabolic equations (cf. [6]). The method is based on obtaining a bound for the solution near the singular part of the boundary. This is done by constructing a suitable barrier function. Then using a Schauder-type estimate we obtain bounds for the derivatives of the solution and then its smoothness properties. In [7], we applied this method to investigate the smoothness properties of solutions of initial-mixed boundary value problems for parabolic equations and obtained  $C^\nu$  statements for these solutions,  $1 < \nu \leq 2$ . In this paper, we study the same problem, and give conditions sufficient for the solution to belong to  $C^{m+2+\alpha}$ ,  $m \geq 0$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

**2. The problem.** Consider a simply connected bounded domain  $G \subset R^2$  with boundary consisting of finite number of  $C^{m+2+\alpha}$  curves  $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_q$ . Here  $m \geq 0$  is an integer and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .  $\Gamma_k, \Gamma_{k+1}$  meet at the point  $x^{(k)} = (x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)})$  forming there an interior angle  $\gamma_k$ ;  $0 < \gamma_k < 2\pi$ ,  $k=1, \dots, q$ ,  $\Gamma_{q+1} = \Gamma_1$ . In  $\Omega = G \times J$  where  $J = \{t: 0 \leq t < T\}$  consider the parabolic operator  $Lu \equiv a_{ij}(x, t)u_{ij} + a_i(x, t)u_i + a(x, t)u - u_t$ . Here  $x = (x_1, x_2)$ ,  $u_i = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$ ,  $u_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$ ,  $i, j=1, 2$  and we use the summation convention. Consider in  $\Omega$  the initial mixed boundary value

problem

$$Lu = f(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \tag{1}$$

$$u(x, 0) = 0 \quad \text{on } \bar{G} \tag{2}$$

$$\eta_k u + (1 - \eta_k) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_k \times J \tag{3}$$

The coefficients on  $L$  and  $f$  belong to  $C^{m+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$  and  $\eta_k$  in (3) is either 0 or 1 and  $\eta_k + \eta_{k+1} \neq 0, k=1, \dots, q; \eta_{q+1} = \eta_1$ . Under these assumptions, it is known [9] that

$$u \in C^{m+2+\alpha}(\Omega_1) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega}) \tag{4}$$

where  $\Omega_1 = G_1 \times J$  and  $G_1$  is any compact subregion of  $\bar{G}$  with positive distance from the corner points. To investigate the smoothness of the solutions near the edges, consider a fixed point  $(x^{(k)}, t_0)$ , on the edge;  $x^{(k)} = \Gamma_k \cap \Gamma_{k+1}, t_0 \in J$ . Transforming the equation  $a_{ij}(x^{(k)}, t_0) u_{ij} = 0$  to canonical form, the angle  $\gamma_k$  at  $(x^{(k)}, t_0)$  will be transformed to the angle  $\omega_k$ , where  $\omega_k = \omega(x^{(k)}, t_0, \gamma_k)$  is defined by

$$\omega(x, t, \gamma) = \arctan \frac{[a_{11}(x, t) a_{22}(x, t) - a_{12}^2(x, t)]^{1/2}}{a_{22}(x, t) \cot \gamma - a_{12}(x, t)}.$$

We also introduce the following notations

$$\beta_k = 2\omega_k / (\eta_k + \eta_{k+1}), \quad k = 1, \dots, q$$

$$\beta = \text{Sup } \beta_k,$$

where the Sup is taken over  $k=1, \dots, q$  and  $t_0 \in J$ .

We now state our main result

**Theorem I.** *Any bounded solution of (1)–(3) belongs to  $C^\nu(\bar{\Omega})$ , where,*

$$\nu = \min(m + 2 + \alpha, \pi / \beta - \varepsilon),$$

$$\varepsilon > 0 \text{ is arbitrarily small.}$$

From (4) it follows that it is sufficient to investigate the smoothness of  $u$  in a neighborhood of the edge point  $(x^{(k)}, t_0)$ . As a matter of fact, we shall prove that

$$u \in C^{\nu_k}(N), \tag{5}$$

where  $\nu_k = \min(m + 2 + \alpha, \pi / \beta_k - \varepsilon), \varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrarily small and  $N$  is the intersection of  $\bar{\Omega}$  with a small ball centered at  $(x^{(k)}, t_0)$ . Finally, we remark that it is sufficient to prove (5) in the case of a cylindrical sector. This is true since the general case may be transformed to the cylindrical sector case using

a locally injective  $C^{m+2+\alpha}$  transformation, cf. [5].

**3. The cylindrical sector case.** Let  $t_0 \in J$  be fixed,  $\Omega_\sigma = G_\sigma \times I_\sigma$  where

$$G_\sigma = \{(r, \theta); 0 < r < \sigma, 0 < \theta < \omega\}$$

$$I_\sigma = \{t; t \in J, |t - t_0| < \sigma\}$$

and let

$$\Gamma_1 = \{(r, \theta), r < \sigma, \theta = 0\}$$

$$\Gamma_2 = \{(r, \theta), r < \sigma, \theta = \omega\}$$

We now state a theorem equivalent to Theorem 1 but in the cylindrical sector case.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $u$  be a bounded solution of the problem*

$$Lu = f(x, t) \quad \text{in } \Omega_\sigma \tag{6}$$

$$u(x, 0) = 0$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times J \tag{7}$$

$$\eta u + (1 - \eta) u_n = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_2 \times J \tag{8}$$

where  $a_{ij}, a_i, a$  and  $f$  belong to  $C^{m+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}_\sigma)$ ,  $a_{ij}(0, t_0) = \delta_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $\eta$  in (8) is either 0 or 1. Let  $\beta = 2\omega/(\eta + 1)$ , then

$$u \in C^\nu(\bar{\Omega}_\delta) \tag{9}$$

where  $\nu = \min(m + 2 + \alpha, \pi/\beta - \varepsilon)$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrarily small and  $\delta < \sigma$ .

We shall discuss only the case when  $\nu = m + 2 + \alpha$ . The other cases can be discussed in a similar way. The two cases  $\eta = 1$  and  $\eta = 0$  with  $m = 0, \beta < \pi$  were given in [6]. In proving (9) we use the method introduced in [3] to investigate smoothness properties of solutions of the Dirichlet problem for elliptic equations in singular domains. The main step of this method consists of deriving bounds for solutions of (6)–(8) of the form

$$|u(x, t)| \leq M r^\nu \tag{10}$$

Using this bound, we then estimate, in the second step, the partial derivatives of  $u$  to get bounds of the form

$$|D^k u(x, t)| \leq M_k r^{\nu-k}, \quad k = 1, \dots, [\nu]. \tag{11}$$

Then, finally, we can get the required smoothness results. The last two steps follow from (10) almost in the same way as it was done in [5] and [6]. To obtain estimates of the form (10) we need the right hand side of (6) to have

enough zeros at the edge point, namely

$$D^p f(x, t)|_{x=0} = 0, \quad |p| \leq m, \tag{12}$$

Where  $D^p$  is any partial derivative of order  $p$  with respect to  $x$ . This will be done by adding to  $u$  a suitable  $C^{m+2+\alpha}$  function. This function will be constructed using the next two lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** *Given a function  $F(t) \in C^{k+\alpha}$  defined on  $x=0$ , there exists an extension  $F^*(x, t) \in C^{k+\alpha}(R^3)$  which coincides with  $F(t)$  when  $x=0$  and*

$$x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} F^*(x, t) \in C^{k+k_1+k_2+\alpha}(R^3)$$

The proof of this lemma goes along the same lines of the proof of Lemma 1 in [5]. See also [2].

**Lemma 2.** *There exists a function  $v(x, t) \in C^{m+2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}_\sigma)$  satisfying (7) and (8) and*

$$D^p(Lv-f)|_{x=0} = 0, \quad |p| \leq m.$$

The idea of proving this lemma is to construct first the function  $v$  as a polynomial in  $x$  with coefficients depending on  $t$ . All the terms of this polynomial are of the form  $x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} F(t)$ , where  $F(t) \in C^{k+\alpha}(J)$  and  $k_1+k_2+k=m+2$ . Then we replace  $F(t)$  by  $F^*(x, t)$  constructed in Lemma 1. See [4] and [5].

From these two lemmas, it follows that the function  $w=u-v$  satisfies conditions (7) and (8) of Theorem 2, and in  $\bar{\Omega}_\sigma$  it satisfies an equation of the form (6) with the right hand side satisfying (12). For simplicity, we shall still use  $u$  and  $f$  in Theorem 1 with  $f$  satisfying now (12).

**Proof of Theorem 2.** As mentioned before, to prove the theorem it is sufficient to show that any bounded solution of (6)–(8) with  $f$  satisfying (12), will satisfy the estimation

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x, t)| &\leq Mr^\nu \\ \nu &= m+2+\alpha < \pi/\beta. \end{aligned}$$

We shall consider first the case when  $\eta=0$ . The modifications in the proof for the case  $\eta=1$  will be given in the end of the proof. Consider the function

$$U(x) = -Mr^\nu \cos \lambda(\omega - \theta),$$

where  $\lambda = \frac{\pi - 2\Delta}{2\omega} > m+2+\alpha$ ,  $\Delta > 0$ . In virtue of  $a_{ij}(0, t_0) = \delta_{ij}$  and (12) it can be easily verified that  $LU \geq f(x, t)$  in  $G_\delta$ , provided that  $M$  is sufficiently large and  $\delta$  is sufficiently small. i.e.

$$L(u-U) \leq 0 \quad \text{in } G_\delta.$$

We aim to apply the maximum principle in  $G_\delta$ . We note first that  $U_n = 0$  on  $\Gamma_2 \times J$ . (i.e.)  $(u-U)_n = 0$  on  $\Gamma_2 \times J$  and the maximum of  $u-U$  cannot be attained on this part of the boundary of  $G_\delta$ . On the rest of the boundary of  $G_\delta$  we can make  $u-U \geq 0$  by taking  $M$  sufficiently large. Finally, taking  $\delta$  sufficiently small we conclude that  $u-U \geq 0$  in the interior of  $G_\delta$  as well. i.e.

$$u \geq -Mr^\nu \cos \lambda(\omega - \theta) \geq -Mr^\nu.$$

Similarly we can prove that in  $\bar{G}_\delta$

$$u \leq Mr^\nu,$$

provided that  $M$  is taken sufficiently large and  $\delta$  sufficiently small. This proves the theorem in the case when  $\eta=0$ . When  $\eta=1$ , we may take as a barrier function

$$\tilde{U} = -Mr^\nu \cos \tilde{\lambda} \left( \frac{\omega}{2} - \theta \right),$$

where  $\tilde{\lambda} = (\pi - 2\tilde{\Delta})/\omega > m + 2 + \alpha$ ,  $\tilde{\Delta} > 0$ , and proceed as before.

This concludes the proof of the theorem.

---

### References

- [1] S. Agmon, A. Douglis and L. Nirenberg: *Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions*, I, Comm Pure Appl. Math. **12** (1959), 623-727.
- [2] A. Azzam: *On differentiability properties of solutions of elliptic differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **75** (1980), 431-440.
- [3] A. Azzam: *Smoothness properties of bounded solutions of Dirichlet's problem for elliptic equations in regions with corners on the boundary*, Canad. Math. Bull. **23** (1980), 213-226.
- [4] A. Azzam: *Schauder-type estimates of solutions of the Dirichlet problem for second order elliptic equations in piecewise smooth domains*, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Meh. **5** (1981), 29-33.
- [5] A. Azzam: *Smoothness properties of solutions of mixed boundary value problems for elliptic equations in sectionally smooth  $n$ -dimensional domains*, Ann. Polon. Math. **XL** (1981), 81-93.
- [6] A. Azzam and E. Kreyszig: *On solutions of parabolic equations in regions with edges*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **22** (1980), 219-230.
- [7] A. Azzam and E. Kreyszig: *Smoothness of solutions of parabolic equations in regions with edges*, Nagoya Math. J. **84** (1981), 159-168.

- [8] A. Friedman: *Boundary estimates for second order parabolic equations and their applications*, J. Math. Mech. **7** (1958), 771–791.
- [9] A. Friedman: *Partial differential equations of parabolic type*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1964.
- [10] P. Grisvard: *Behavior of the solutions of an elliptic boundary value problems in a polygonal or polyhedral domain*, In: *Numerical solutions of partial differential equations III*, Synspade 1975. Academic Press, New York, San Francisco, London 1976.
- [11] Z. Ito: *A boundary value problem of partial differential equations of parabolic type*, Duke Math. J. **24** (1957), 299–312.
- [12] V.A. Kondrat'ev: *Boundary value problems for elliptic equations in domains with conical or angular points*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. (1967), 227–313.
- [13] V.A. Kondrat'ev and O.A. Oleinik: *Boundary value problems for partial differential equations in non-smooth domains*. Russian Math. Surveys **38**: 2 (1983), 1–86.
- [14] V.G. Mazja and B.A. Plamenevskii: *The coefficients in the asymptotics of solutions of elliptic boundary value problems with conical points*, Math. Nachr. **77** (1977), 29–60.
- [15] N.M. Wigley: *Mixed boundary value problems in plane domains with corners*, Math. Z. **115** (1970), 33–52.

Department of Mathematics  
Kuwait University  
P.O. Box 5969  
Kuwait