# ON THE HYPOELLIPTICITY AND THE GLOBAL ANALYTIC-HYPOELLIPTICITY OF PSEUDO-DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

#### Kazuo TANIGUCHI

(Received September 14, 1973)

#### Introduction

In the recent paper [13] Kumano-go and Taniguchi have studied by using oscillatory integrals when pseudo-differential operators in  $R^n$  are Fredholm type and examined whether or not the operators  $L_k(x, D_x, D_y) = D_x + ix^k D_y$  in Mizohata [15] and  $L_{\pm}(x, D_x, D_y) = D_x \pm ix D_y^2$  in Kannai [6] are hypoelliptic by a unified method. In the present paper we shall give the detailed description for results obtained in [13] and study the hypoellipticity for the operator of the form  $L = \sum_{|\alpha: m| + |\alpha': m'| \leq 1} a_{\alpha\alpha'\gamma\gamma\gamma'} \mathfrak{F}^{\gamma} D_x^{\alpha} D_y^{\alpha'}$  with semi-homogeneity in  $(x, \mathfrak{F}, D_x, D_y)$ 

by deriving the similar inequality to that of Grushin [4] for the elliptic case. Then we can treat the semi-elliptic case as well as the elliptic case. We shall also give a theorem on the global analytic-hypoellipticity of a non-elliptic operator, and applying it give a necessary and sufficient condition for the operator  $L(x, D_x, D_y)$  to be hypoelliptic, when the coefficients of L are independent of  $\mathfrak{I}^{\gamma'}$  (see Theorem 3.1).

In Section 1 we shall describe pseudo-differential operators of class  $S_{\lambda,\rho,\delta}^m$  which is defined by using a basic weight function  $\lambda = \lambda(x, \xi)$  varying in x and  $\xi$  (cf. [13] and also [1]). In Section 2 we shall study the global analytic-hypoellipticity of a non-elliptic pseudo-differential operator and give an example which indicates that the condition (2.3) is necessary in general. In Section 3 we shall consider the local hypoellipticity for the operator L and give some examples.

The author wishes to thank Prof. H. Kumano-go for suggesting this problem and his helpful advice.

### 1. Algebras and $L^2$ -boundedness

Definition 1.1. For  $-\infty < m < \infty$ ,  $0 \le \delta < 1$  and a sequence  $\tilde{\tau}$ ;  $0 \le \tau_0 \le \tau_1 \le \cdots$  we define a Fréchet space  $\mathcal{A}_{\delta,\tilde{\tau}}^m$  by the set of  $C^{\infty}$ -functions  $p(\xi, x)$  in  $R_{\xi,x}^{2n}$  for which each semi-norm

$$|p|_{\alpha,\beta}^{(m)} = \sup_{x,\xi} \{|p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(\xi,x)| \langle x \rangle^{-\tau_{|\beta|}} \langle \xi \rangle^{-m-\delta_{|\beta|}} \}$$

is finite, where  $p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)} = \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_{x}^{\beta} p$ ,  $D_{x_{i}} = -i\partial/\partial x_{i}$ ,  $\partial_{\xi_{i}} = \partial/\partial \xi_{i}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}, \quad \langle \xi \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |\xi|^2}.$$

We define the oscillatory integral  $O_s[p]$  for  $p(\xi, x) \in \mathcal{A}_{\delta, \tilde{\tau}}^m$  by

$$O_s[p] \equiv O_s - \iint e^{-ix \cdot \xi} p(\xi, x) dx d\xi$$

$$= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \iint e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \chi_{\epsilon}(\xi, x) p(\xi, x) dx d\xi,$$

where  $d\xi = (2\pi)^{-n}d\xi$ ,  $x \cdot \xi = x_1\xi_1 + \dots + x_n\xi_n$  and  $\chi_{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi, x) = \chi(\varepsilon\xi, \varepsilon x)$   $(0 < \varepsilon \le 1)$  for a  $\chi(\xi, x) \in \mathcal{S}$  (the class of rapidly decreasing functions of Schwartz) in  $R_{\xi, x}^{2n}$  such that  $\chi(0, 0) = 1$  (cf. ([11], [13]).

REMARK. We can easily obtain the following statements (cf. [11]).

1°) For  $p \in \mathcal{A}_{\delta,\tau}^m$  we have

$$O_s[p] = \iint e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \langle x \rangle^{-2l'} \langle D_{\xi} \rangle^{2l'} \{ \langle \xi \rangle^{-2l} \langle D_x \rangle^{2l} p(\xi, x) \} dx d\xi$$

by taking integers l, l' such that  $-2l(1-\delta)+m<-n$  and  $-2l'+\tau_{2l}<-n$ . 2°) Let  $\{p_{\epsilon}\}_{0<\epsilon<1}$  be a bounded set in  $\mathcal{A}^m_{\delta,\tilde{\tau}}$  and converges to a  $p_0(\xi,x)\in\mathcal{A}^m_{\delta,\tilde{\tau}}$  as  $\varepsilon\to 0$  uniformly on any compact set of  $R^{2n}_{\xi,x}$ . Then we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon o 0} O_s[p_\epsilon] = O_s[p_0]$$
 .

3°) For  $p \in \mathcal{A}_{\delta,\tilde{\tau}}^m$  we have

$$O_s[x^ap] = O_s[D_s^ap]$$
 and  $O_s[\xi^ap] = O_s[D_x^ap]$ .

DEFINITION 1.2. We say that a  $C^{\infty}$ -function  $\lambda(x, \xi)$  in  $R_{x,\xi}^{2n}$  is a basic weight function when  $\lambda(x, \xi)$  satisfies conditions:

$$(1.1) A_0^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^a \leq \lambda(x, \xi) \leq A_0 (1 + |x|^{\tau_0} + |\xi|) (\tau_0 \geq 0, a > 0),$$

$$(1.2) |\lambda_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq A_{\alpha\beta} \lambda(x, \xi)^{1-|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|} (0 \leq \delta < 1),$$

(1.3) 
$$\lambda(x+y,\,\xi) \leq A_i \langle y \rangle^{\tau_1} \lambda(x,\,\xi) \qquad (\tau_1 \geq 0)$$

for positive constants  $A_0$ ,  $A_{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $A_1$ .

DEFINITION 1.3. We say that a  $C^{\infty}$ -function  $p(x, \xi)$  in  $R_{x,\xi}^{2n}$  belongs to  $S_{\lambda,\rho,\delta}^{m}$ ,  $-\infty < m < \infty$ ,  $0 \le \delta \le \rho \le 1$ ,  $\delta < 1$ , when for any multi-index  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ 

<sup>1)</sup> For a basic weight function  $\lambda(x, \xi)$  satisfying (1.1)–(1.3) we can always find an equivalent basic weight function  $\lambda'(x, \xi)$  with  $\delta = 0$  in (1.2) to  $\lambda(x, \xi)$ , i.e.,  $C^{-1}\lambda(x, \xi) \leq \lambda'(x, \xi) \leq C\lambda(x, \xi)$ .

$$(1.4) |p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \lambda(x,\xi)^{m-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|}.$$

For  $p(x, \xi) \in S_{\lambda,\rho,\delta}^m$  we define pseudo-differential operator  $P = p(X, D_x)$  with the symbol  $\sigma(P)(x, \xi) = p(x, \xi)$  by

(1.5) 
$$Pu(x) = \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} p(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{S},$$

where  $\hat{u}(\xi) = \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} u(x) dx$  is the Fourier transform of  $u \in \mathcal{S}$ .

For a  $p \in S_{\lambda,\rho,\delta}^m$  we define semi-norms  $|p|_{l_1,l_2}^{(m)}$ ,  $l_1$ ,  $l_2=0$ , 1,  $\cdots$  by

$$|p|_{l_1,l_2}^{(m)} = \max_{|\alpha| \le l_1, |\beta| \le l_2} \{ \sup_{x,\xi} |p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x,\xi)| \lambda(x,\xi)^{-m+\rho|\alpha|-\delta|\beta|} \}.$$

Then  $S_{\lambda,\rho,\delta}^m$  makes a Fréchet space.

In what follows we shall only treat the case:  $\delta = \rho = 0$  or  $0 = \delta < \rho = 1$  since it simplifies the statements below and is sufficient for our aim.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $P_j = p_j(X, D_x) \in S_{\lambda, \rho, 0}^{m_j}$ , j=1, 2. Then  $P=P_1P_2$  belongs to  $S_{\lambda, \rho, 0}^{m_1+m_2}$  and we have for any integer N > 0

(1.6) 
$$\sigma(P)(x, \xi) \qquad (denoted also by \ p_1 \circ p_2(x, \xi))$$

$$= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} p_{\alpha}(x, \xi) + N \sum_{|\gamma| = N} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\theta)^{N-1}}{\gamma!} r_{\gamma, \theta}(x, \xi) d\theta$$

where

$$\begin{cases} p_{\alpha}(x,\,\xi) = p_1^{(\alpha)}(x,\,\xi)p_{2(\alpha)}(x,\,\xi) & (\in S_{\lambda,\rho,0}^{m_1+m_2-\rho|\alpha|}), \\ r_{\gamma,\theta}(x,\,\xi) = O_s - \int \int e^{-iy\cdot\eta}p_1^{(\gamma)}(x,\,\xi+\theta\eta)p_{2(\gamma)}(x+y,\,\xi)dyd\eta. \end{cases}$$

The set  $\{r_{\gamma,\theta}(x,\xi)\}_{|\theta|\leq 1}$  is bounded in  $S_{\lambda,\rho,0}^{m_1+m_2-\rho|\gamma|}$ .

Proof. By the same method of the Theorem 2.5 and 2.6 in [11] we can prove the formula (1.6) if we have only to prove  $\{r_{\gamma,\theta}\}$  is a bounded set in  $S_{\lambda,\rho,0}^{m_1+m_2-\rho|\gamma|}$ . Since  $\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}D_{\pi}^{\beta}r_{\gamma,\theta}$  is represented as the linear combination of

(1.7) 
$$\iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} p_{1(\beta_1)}^{(\alpha_1 + \gamma)}(x, \xi + \theta \eta) p_{2(\beta_2 + \gamma)}^{(\alpha_2)}(x + y, \xi) dy d\eta,$$
$$(\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2)$$

we have only to prove that each term of the form (1.7) is estimated by  $C\lambda(x,\xi)^{m_1+m_2-\rho|\gamma|-\rho|\varpi|}$ . Here and in what follows we omit the notation  $O_{s^-}$ . We have

$$\left| \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} p_{1(\beta_1)}^{(\alpha_1 + \gamma)}(x, \xi + \theta \eta) p_{2(\beta_2 + \gamma)}^{(\alpha_2)}(x + y, \xi) dy d\eta \right|$$

$$= \left| \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} \langle y \rangle^{-2l_1} \langle D_{\eta} \rangle^{2l_1} p_{1(\beta_1)}^{(\alpha_1 + \gamma)}(x, \xi + \theta \eta) p_{2(\beta_2 + \gamma)}^{(\alpha_2)}(x + y, \xi) dy d\eta \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \int_{|\eta| \leq C_0 \lambda} \langle \eta \rangle^{-n_0} d\eta \int e^{-iy \cdot \eta} \langle D_y \rangle^{n_0} \{ \langle y \rangle^{-2l_1} \langle D_\eta \rangle^{2l_1} p_{1(\beta_1)}^{(\alpha_1 + \gamma)}(x, \xi + \theta \eta) \right. \\ \left. \cdot p_{2(\beta_2 + \gamma)}^{(\alpha_2)}(x + y, \xi) \} dy \right|$$

$$+ \left| \int_{|\eta| \geq C_0 \lambda} |\eta|^{-2l_2} d\eta \int e^{-iy \cdot \eta} (-\Delta_y)^{l_2} \{ \langle y \rangle^{-2l_1} \langle D_\eta \rangle^{2l_1} p_{1(\beta_1)}^{(\alpha_1 + \gamma)}(x, \xi + \theta \eta) \right. \\ \left. \cdot p_{2(\beta_2 + \gamma)}^{(\alpha_2)}(x + y, \xi) \} dy \right|$$

$$\leq C \left\{ \int_{|\eta| \leq C_0 \lambda} \langle \eta \rangle^{-n_0} d\eta \int \langle y \rangle^{-2l_1} \lambda(x, \xi + \theta \eta)^{m_1 - \rho |\gamma| - \rho |\alpha_1|} \lambda(x + y, \xi)^{m_2 - \rho |\alpha_2|} dy \right.$$

$$+ \int_{|\eta| \geq C_0 \lambda} |\eta|^{-2l_2} d\eta \int \langle y \rangle^{-2l_1} \lambda(x, \xi + \theta \eta)^{m_1 - \rho |\gamma| - \rho |\alpha_1|} \lambda(x + y, \xi)^{m_2 - \rho |\alpha_2|} dy \right\}$$

$$\leq C \left\{ \lambda(x, \xi)^{m_1 + m_2 - \rho |\gamma| - \rho |\alpha|} \int \langle \eta \rangle^{-n_0} d\eta \int \langle y \rangle^{-2l_1 + \tau_1 |m_2 - \rho |\alpha_2|} dy \right.$$

$$+ \lambda(x, \xi)^{m_2 - \rho |\alpha_2|} \int_{|\eta| \geq C_0 \lambda} |\eta|^{-2l_2 + m_1 + d\eta} \int \langle y \rangle^{-2l_1 + \tau_1 |m_2 - \rho |\alpha_2|} dy \right\}$$

$$\leq C \lambda(x, \xi)^{m_1 + m_2 - \rho |\gamma| - \rho |\alpha|} ,$$

where  $n_0=2([n/2]+1)$ ,  $m_{1+}=\operatorname{Max}(m_1, 0)$ ,  $l_1$ ,  $l_2$  are integers such that  $-2l_1+\tau_1|m_2-\rho|\alpha_2| |<-n, -2l_2+m_{1+}+n+1 \leq \operatorname{Min}(0, m_1-\rho|\gamma|-\rho|\alpha_1|),$  and  $C_0$  is a constant such that

(1.8) 
$$\frac{1}{2}\lambda(x,\,\xi) \leq \lambda(x,\,\xi+\eta) \leq \frac{3}{2}\lambda(x,\,\xi) \quad \text{if } |\eta| \leq C_0\lambda(x,\,\xi).$$

We can prove the following two theorems by the same method.

**Theorem 1.5.** Let  $S_{\lambda,\rho,0}^{m,m'}$  denote a set of double symbols  $p(\xi, x', \xi')$ , which satisfy

$$|p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha,\alpha')}(\xi,x',\xi')| \leq C_{\alpha\alpha'\beta} \lambda(x',\xi)^{m-\rho|\alpha|} \lambda(x',\xi')^{m'-\rho|\alpha'|},$$

and define operators  $P=p(D_x, X', D_{x'})$  by

$$\widehat{Pu}(\xi) = O_s - \iint e^{-ix' \cdot (\xi - \xi')} p(\xi, x', \xi') \widehat{u}(\xi') d\xi' dx' \qquad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{S} \,.$$

Then P belongs to  $S_{\lambda,\rho,0}^{m+m'}$  and we can write  $\sigma(P)(x,\xi)$  in the form (1.6) for any N>0, where

$$\begin{cases} p_{\omega}(x,\xi) = p_{(\omega)}^{(\alpha,0)}(\xi, x, \xi) & (\in S_{\lambda,\rho,0}^{m+m'-\rho|\alpha|}) \\ r_{\gamma,\theta}(x,\xi) = O_s - \iint e^{-iy\cdot\eta} p_{(\gamma)}^{(\gamma,0)}(\xi+\theta\eta, x+y, \xi) dy d\eta. \end{cases}$$

The set  $\{r_{\gamma,\theta}(x,\xi)\}_{|\theta|\leq 1}$  is bounded in  $S_{\lambda,\rho,0}^{m+m'-\rho|\gamma|}$ .

**Theorem 1.6.** For  $P=p(X, D_x) \in S_{\lambda, \rho, 0}^m$ , the operator  $P^{(*)}$  defined by

$$(Pu, v) = (u, P^{(*)}v)$$
 for  $u, v \in \mathcal{S}$ 

belongs to  $S_{\lambda,\rho,0}^m$  and we have for any N>0

$$\sigma(P^{(*)})(x,\,\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} p_{\alpha}^{(*)}(x,\,\xi) + N \sum_{|\gamma| = N} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-\theta)^{N-1}}{\gamma!} r_{\gamma,\theta}^{(*)}(x,\,\xi) d\theta \,,$$

where

$$\begin{cases} p_{\alpha}^{(*)}(x,\,\xi) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \overline{p_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}(x,\,\xi)} & (\in S_{\lambda,\,\rho,\,0}^{m-\rho|\alpha|}) \\ r_{\gamma,\,\theta}^{(*)}(x,\,\xi) = O_s - \iint e^{-iy\cdot\eta} (-1)^{|\gamma|} \overline{p_{(\gamma)}^{(\gamma)}(x+y,\,\xi+\theta\eta)} dy d\eta \ . \end{cases}$$

The set  $\{r_{\gamma,\theta}^{(*)}(x,\xi)\}_{|\theta|\leq 1}$  is bounded in  $S_{\lambda,\rho,0}^{m-\rho|\gamma|}$ .

REMARK. The maps

$$S_{\lambda,\rho,0}^{m_1} \times S_{\lambda,\rho,0}^{m_2} \supseteq (p_1, p_2) \rightarrow p_1 \circ p_2 \in S_{\lambda,\rho,0}^{m_1+m_2}$$

and

$$S_{\lambda,\rho,0}^m \ni p \to p^{(*)} \in S_{\lambda,\rho,0}^m$$

are continuous.

Let  $q(\sigma)$  be a  $C^{\infty}$ - and even-function such that  $q(\sigma) \ge 0$ ,  $q(\sigma)^2 d\sigma = 1$  and  $\operatorname{supp} q \subset \{\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^n; |\sigma| \leq 1\}, \text{ and set}$ 

$$F(x, \xi; \zeta) = \lambda(x, \xi)^{-n/4} q((\zeta - \xi)/\lambda(x, \xi)^{1/2}).$$

**Theorem 1.7.** For  $P = p(X, D_x) \in S_{\lambda, 1, 0}^m$ , we define the Friedrichs part  $P_F = p_F(D_x, X', D_{x'})$  by

$$p_F(\xi, x', \xi') = \int F(x', \xi; \zeta) p(x', \zeta) F(x', \xi'; \zeta) d\zeta.$$

Then we have

- (i)  $p_F(\xi, x', \xi')$  belongs to  $S^{2m,0}_{\sqrt{\lambda},1,0}$ , (ii) The operator  $P_F$  belongs to  $S^m_{\lambda,1,0}$  and  $P-P_F \in S^{m-1}_{\lambda,1,0}$ , and  $\sigma(P_F)$  has the

$$\sigma(P_F)(x, \xi) \sim p(x, \xi) + \sum_{|\alpha+\beta+\gamma| \geq 2} \psi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x, \xi) p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)$$

where  $\psi_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \in S_{\lambda,1,0}^{(|\alpha|-|\beta|-|\gamma|)/2}$ ,

(iii) If  $p(x, \xi)$  is real-valued and non-negative, we have

$$(p_F(D_x, X', D_{x'})u, v) = (u, p_F(D_x, X', D_{x'})v) \quad \text{for} \quad u, v \in \mathcal{S},$$

$$(p_F(D_x, X', D_{x'})u, u) \ge 0 \quad \text{for} \quad u \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Proof is carried out by the similar way to that in [9].

**Theorem 1.8.** We can extend  $P=p(X, D_x) \in S^0_{\lambda,0,0}$  to a bounded operator on  $L^2$  and we get

$$(1.9) ||Pu||_{L^2} \leq C |p|_{l_0, l_0}^{(0)} ||u||_{L^2},$$

where C and  $l_0$  are independent of P and u.

Since  $S^0_{\lambda,0,0} \subset S^0_{<\xi>,0,0}$ , this theorem is a corollary of Calderón-Vaillancourt's theorem in [2].

## 2. Global analytic-hypoellipticity

DEFINITION 2.1. We say that  $L \in S_{\lambda,1,0}^m$  is globally analytic-hypoelliptic if the following statement holds for L:

If  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a solution of the equation

$$L(X, D_x)u = f$$
 for  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ 

and f satisfies for some M>0

$$(2.1) ||D_x^{\omega}f||_{L^2} \leq M^{1+|\omega|}\alpha!,$$

then u is analytic and we have

$$(2.2) ||D_x^{\omega}u||_{L^2} \leq M_1^{1+|\omega|} \alpha!$$

for another constant  $M_1 > 0$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $L \in S_{\lambda,1,0}^m(m>0)$  satisfy the following conditions:

$$(2.3) |L(x,\xi)| \ge C\lambda(x,\xi)^m for |\xi| \ge R$$

for some C>0 and  $R\geq 0$ , and for any multi-index  $\alpha$  there exists  $M_{\alpha}$  such that

$$(2.4) |L_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x,\xi)| \leq M_{\alpha}^{1+|\beta|}\beta! \lambda(x,\xi)^{m-|\alpha|}.$$

Then the operator  $L(X, D_x)$  is globally analytic-hypoelliptic.

EXAMPLE 2.3. Let  $L(x_1, x_2, D_{x_1}, D_{x_2}) = D_{x_1}^2 + D_{x_2}^6 + x_1^2 + x_2^6 - 15x_2^4 + 45x_2^2 - 16$ . Then we can prove that L satisfies the conditions (2.3) and (2.4) by taking  $\lambda(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2) = (1 + |L(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2)|^2)^{1/12}$  as a basic weight function. The equation  $L(X_1, X_2, D_{x_1}, D_{x_2})u = 0$  has a non-trivial solution  $e^{-(x_1^2 + x_2^2)/2}$ .

As a generalization of the above example we have

Example 2.4 (cf. [5]). Let  $L(x, D_x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m_1} a_{\alpha}(x) D_x^{\alpha}$  be a hypoelliptic differential operator of order  $m_1$  with analytic coefficients. Suppose that L satisfies following conditions for constants  $\tau_0 \ge 0$ ,  $0 < \rho \le 1$ ,  $C_1 > 0$ ,  $C_2 > 0$ , M > 0,

- (0)  $|\partial_x^{\beta} a_{\alpha}(x)| \leq M^{1+|\beta|} \beta!$  if  $|\beta| \geq m_1 \tau_0$  and  $|\alpha| \leq m_1$ ,
- (i)  $C_1^{-1}(\xi)^{\rho m_1} \le |L(0, \xi)| \le C_1 |L(x, \xi)|$  for large  $|\xi|$ ,
- (ii)  $|L_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x,\xi)|/L(x,\xi)| \leq M^{1+|\beta|}\beta!(|\xi|+|x|^{\tau_0})^{-\rho|\alpha|}$  for large  $|\xi|+|x|^{\tau_0}$ ,
- (iii)  $|L_{(\beta)}(x,\xi)| \leq C_2(1+|L(0,\xi)|)$  if  $|\beta| \geq m_1 \tau_0$ .

Then we can see that L satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.2 by taking  $\lambda(x, \xi) = (1 + |L(x, \xi)|^2)^{1/2m}$  for a large m as a basic weight function.

Proof. From (0) we can choose a positive constant m' such that

$$|L(x, \xi)| \leq C(|\xi| + |x|^{\tau_0})^{m'}$$
 for  $|\xi| + |x|^{\tau_0} \geq 1$ .

We put  $m=m'/\rho$  and  $\lambda(x, \xi)=(1+|L(x, \xi)|^2)^{1/2m}$ . Then we have (2.4) from (0) and (ii). By usual calculus we have (1.2) for  $\delta=0$ . From (i) we have (1.1) for  $a=\rho m_1/m$  and (2.3). Finally we can get (1.3) by (i) and (iii).

EXAMPLE 2.5. Let  $L(x_1, x_2, D_{x_1}, D_{x_2})=iD_{x_1}+D_{x_2}^2-2ix_2^3D_{x_2}+x_1-x_2^6-3x_2^2$ . Then L is a semi-elliptic operator and Lu=0 has a non-analytic solution  $u=e^{-(x_1^2/2+x_2^4/4)}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{f^{(m)}(x_1)}{(2m)!}x_2^{2m}(\in S)$  where  $f(x_1)\in C_0^{\infty}(R^1)$  and belongs to the Gevrey class  $\rho(<(3/2))$ . This fact means the conditions are necessary in general. In fact let L satisfy (2.3) and (2.4). Then we have the following contrary:

$$1 = |\partial_{x_1} L(-t^2, 0, 0, t)| \le C \lambda (-t^2, 0, 0, t)^m \le |L(-t^2, 0, 0, t)| = 0$$
 for large  $t$ .

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Define  $\{E_j(x, \xi)\}_{j=0,1,...}$  for  $|\xi| \ge R$  inductively by

(2.5) 
$$E_{0}(x, \xi) = L(x, \xi)^{-1},$$

$$E_{j}(x, \xi) = -\sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \sum_{|\gamma|=j-l} \frac{1}{\gamma!} E_{l}^{(\gamma)}(x, \xi) L_{(\gamma)}(x, \xi) E_{0}(x, \xi) \qquad (j \ge 1),$$

then we have  $|E_{j\langle\beta\rangle}| \leq C_{j\alpha\beta} \lambda(x,\xi)^{-m-j-|\alpha|}$  if  $|\xi| \geq R$ . Taking  $\varphi_R(\xi) \in C^{\infty}$  such that  $\varphi_R = 1$  if  $|\xi| \geq 2R$  and  $\varphi_R = 0$  if  $|\xi| \leq R$ , and an integer N such that  $aN \geq 1$ , we define

(2.6) 
$$E(x, \xi) = \varphi_R(\xi) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} E_j(x, \xi) \in S_{\lambda,0,0}^{-m}.$$

Then we have

(2.7) 
$$EL = I - K, \quad K \in S^{-1}_{<\xi>,0,0}.$$

In fact by the same method of Theorem 1.4 we have

(2.8) 
$$\sigma(EL)(x, \xi) - 1$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{|\gamma| \le N-j} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \varphi_R(\xi) E_j^{(\gamma)}(x, \xi) L_{(\gamma)}(x, \xi) - 1$$

$$\begin{split} &+\sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\sum_{|\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}|< N-j, \gamma_{1}\neq 0}\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}!\,\gamma_{2}!}\partial_{\xi}^{\gamma_{1}}\varphi_{R}(\xi)E_{j}^{(\gamma_{2})}(x,\,\xi)L_{(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2})}(x,\,\xi)\\ &+\sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\sum_{|\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}|=N-j}(N-j)\int_{0}^{1}\frac{(1-\theta)^{N-j-1}}{\gamma_{1}!\,\gamma_{2}!}r_{j\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2}\theta}(x,\,\xi)d\theta\\ &\equiv I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}\,, \end{split}$$

where

$$r_{j\gamma_1\gamma_2\theta}(x,\,\xi) = \iint e^{-i\,y\cdot\eta} \partial_{\xi}^{\gamma_1} \varphi_R(\xi+\theta\eta) E_j^{(\gamma_2)}(x,\,\xi+\theta\eta) L_{(\gamma_1+\gamma_2)}(x+y,\,\xi) dy d\eta \,.$$

From (2.5) we have

$$(2.9) I_1 = \varphi_R(\xi) - 1 \in S^{-1}_{<\xi>,0,0}.$$

From the fact that  $\partial_{\xi}^{\gamma_1} \varphi_R(\xi)$  has compact support if  $\gamma_1 \neq 0$ , we get

$$(2.10) I_2 \in S_{\langle \xi \rangle,0,0}^{-1}.$$

Next we prove that  $\{r_{j\gamma_1\gamma_2\theta}\}_{|\theta|\leq 1}$  is bounded in  $S_{<\xi>,0,0}^{-1}$ . Since  $\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}D_{x}^{\beta}r_{j\gamma_1\gamma_2\theta}$  is a linear combination of

$$\mathbf{r}'_{\theta}(x,\,\xi) = \iint e^{-i\,y\cdot\eta} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha_{1}+\gamma_{1}} \varphi_{R}(\xi+\theta\eta) E_{i(\beta_{1})}^{(\alpha_{2}+\gamma_{2})}(x,\,\xi+\theta\eta) L_{(\beta_{2}+\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2})}^{(\alpha_{3})}(x+y,\,\xi) dy d\eta$$

such that  $\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3=\alpha$ ,  $\beta_1+\beta_2=\beta$ . Hence we have only to prove for a constant C

$$|r'_{\theta}| \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}$$
.

We take a constant  $C_0$  such that (1.8) is satisfied and integers  $l_1$ ,  $l_2$ ,  $l_3$  such that  $-2l_1+m\tau_1<-n$ ,  $-2l_2+1<-n$ ,  $-2l_3+n+1\leq -m-1/a$ . Then we have

$$\begin{split} |r'_{\theta}(x,\xi)| &= \left| \iint e^{-i\mathbf{y}\cdot\boldsymbol{\eta}} \langle y \rangle^{-2l_{1}} \langle D_{\eta} \rangle^{2l_{1}} \{ \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha_{1}+\gamma_{1}} \varphi_{R}(\xi+\theta\eta) E_{j(\beta_{1})}^{(\alpha_{2}+\gamma_{2})}(x,\xi+\theta\eta) \\ & \cdot L_{(\beta_{2}+\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2})}^{(\alpha_{3})}(x+y,\xi) \} dy d\eta \right| \\ &\leq \int_{|\eta| \leq C_{0}\lambda} \langle \eta \rangle^{-2l_{2}} d\eta \int |\langle D_{y} \rangle^{2l_{2}} [\langle y \rangle^{-2l_{1}} \langle D_{\eta} \rangle^{2l_{1}} \{ \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha_{1}+\gamma_{1}} \varphi_{R}(\xi+\theta\eta) \\ & \cdot E_{j(\beta_{1})}^{(\alpha_{2}+\gamma_{2})}(x,\xi+\theta\eta) L_{(\beta_{2}+\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2})}^{(\alpha_{3})}(x+y,\xi) \} ] |dy \\ &+ \int_{|\eta| \geq C_{0}\lambda} |\eta|^{-2l_{3}} d\eta \int |(-\Delta_{y})^{l_{3}} [\langle y \rangle^{-2l_{1}} \langle D_{\eta} \rangle^{2l_{1}} \{ \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha_{1}+\gamma_{1}} \varphi_{R}(\xi+\theta\eta) \\ & \cdot E_{j(\beta_{1})}^{(\alpha_{2}+\gamma_{2})}(x,\xi+\theta\eta) L_{(\beta_{2}+\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2})}^{(\alpha_{3})}(x+y,\xi) \} ] |dy \\ &\equiv I_{1} + I_{2} \,. \end{split}$$

To estimate  $J_1$  we devide into two cases.

(i) When  $\alpha_1 + \gamma_1 = 0$  we have, noting that  $|\gamma_2| = N - j$ 

$$J_{1} \leq C \int_{|\eta| \leq C_{0}\lambda} \langle \eta \rangle^{-2l_{2}} d\eta \int \langle y \rangle^{-2l_{1}} \lambda(x, \xi + \theta \eta)^{-m-N} \lambda(x+y, \xi)^{m} dy$$

$$\leq C \lambda(x, \xi)^{-N} \int \langle \eta \rangle^{-2l_{2}} d\eta \int \langle y \rangle^{-2l_{1}+m\tau_{1}} dy \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}.$$

(ii) When  $\alpha_1 + \gamma_1 \neq 0$  we have, noting that  $\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha_1 + \gamma_1} \varphi_R$  has compact support

$$J_1 \leq C \int_{|\eta| \leq C_0 \lambda} \langle \eta \rangle^{-2l_2} d\eta \int \langle y \rangle^{-2l_1} \langle \xi + \theta \eta \rangle^{-1} \lambda(x, \xi + \theta \eta)^{-m} \lambda(x + y, \xi)^m dy$$
  
$$\leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} \int \langle \eta \rangle^{-2l_2 + 1} d\eta \int \langle y \rangle^{-2l_1 + m\tau_1} dy \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}.$$

Next for  $J_2$  we have

$$\begin{split} J_2 &\leq C \int_{|\eta| \geq C_0 \lambda} |\eta|^{-2l_3} d\eta \int \langle y \rangle^{-2l_1} \lambda(x+y, \, \xi)^m dy \\ &\leq C \lambda(x, \, \xi)^{-2l_3+m+n} \int \langle y \rangle^{-2l_1+m\tau_1} dy \leq C \lambda(x, \, \xi)^{-1/a} \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} \, . \end{split}$$

Hence we get  $I_3 \in S_{\xi>,0,0}^{-1}$  and combining (2.8)–(2.10) we get (2.7). From (2.4) and (2.6) we see also that there exists  $M_2$  independent of  $\gamma$  such that

(2.11) 
$$|\sigma(EL_{(\gamma)})|_{l_0, l_0}^{(0)} \leq M_2^{1+|\gamma|} \gamma!$$
 for  $l_0$  in Theorem 1.8.

Moreover from (2.7) there exists constant  $C_1$  such that

(2.12) 
$$|K(x,\xi)\xi_j|_{l_0,l_0}^{(0)} \leq C_1$$
 for any  $j=1,\dots,n$ .

Suppose that for  $u \in L^2$  Lu = f satisfies (2.1). We have u = ELu + Ku = Ef + Ku from (2.7) and so it is clear that u is a  $C^{\infty}$ -function. Therefore we have only to prove that u satisfies (2.2), since (2.2) implies the analyticity of u by Sobolev's lemma. Take  $M_1$  sufficiently large such that

$$(2.13) 3C_2C_1 \leq M_1,$$

$$(2.14) 3C_2 M |E|_{i_0,i_0}^{(0)} \leq M_1, M \leq M_1,$$

$$(2.15) 3 \cdot 2^n C_2 M_2^2 \leq M_1, 2M_2 \leq M_1,$$

$$(2.16) ||u||_{L^2} \leq M_1,$$

where  $C_2$  is a constant satisfying (1.9).

From (2.16), (2.2) is trivial when  $\alpha=0$ , so we show (2.2) by induction on  $|\alpha|$ . From (2.7),  $D_x^{\alpha}u=ELD_x^{\alpha}u+KD_x^{\alpha}u$  ( $\alpha\neq 0$ ). Then we have

$$(2.17) ||D_x^{\sigma}u|| \leq ||ELD_x^{\sigma}u|| + ||KD_x^{\sigma}u||.$$

Since  $\alpha \neq 0$  there exists multi-index  $\alpha_2$  such that  $|\alpha_2| = 1$ ,  $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ . By (2.12), (2.13) and Theorem 1.8 we get

$$(2.18) \quad ||KD_x^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}u|| = ||(KD_x^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2})D_x^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1}u|| \leq C_2C_1||D_x^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1}u|| \leq C_2C_1M_1^{1+|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1|}\alpha_1! \leq M_1^{1+|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1|}\alpha!/3.$$

By Leibniz' formula, we have

$$LD_x^{\omega} = D_x^{\omega}L - \sum_{\alpha_1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\alpha!(\alpha - \alpha_1)!} L_{(\omega - \alpha_1)}D_x^{\alpha_1}.$$

Then

$$(2.19) ||ELD_x^{\alpha}u|| \leq ||ED_x^{\alpha}f|| + \sum_{\alpha_1 \leq \alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\alpha!(\alpha-\alpha_1!)} ||EL_{(\alpha-\alpha_1)}D_x^{\alpha_1}u||.$$

From (2.1), (2.6) and (2.14) we have

$$(2.20) \qquad ||ED_x^{\omega}f|| \leq C_2 |E|_{l_0, l_0}^{(0)} ||D_x^{\omega}f|| \leq C_2 |E|_{l_0, l_0}^{(0)} M^{1+|\omega|} \alpha! \leq M_1^{1+|\omega|} \alpha!/3.$$

Finally we have from (2.11), (2.15) and the assumption of induction

(2.21) 
$$\sum_{\alpha_{1}<\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\alpha_{1}!(\alpha-\alpha_{1})!} ||EL_{(\alpha-\alpha_{1})}D_{x}^{\alpha_{1}}u||$$

$$\leq \sum_{\alpha_{1}<\alpha} C_{2} \frac{\alpha!}{\alpha_{1}!(\alpha-\alpha_{1})!} M_{2}^{1+|\alpha-\alpha_{1}|}(\alpha-\alpha_{1})! M_{1}^{1+|\alpha_{1}|}\alpha_{1}!$$

$$= M_{1}^{1+|\alpha|}\alpha! (C_{2}M_{2}^{2}/M_{1}) \sum_{\alpha_{1}<\alpha} (M_{2}/M_{1})^{|\alpha-\alpha_{1}|-1} \leq M_{1}^{1+|\alpha|}\alpha!/3.$$

Therefore from (2.17)–(2.21) we get (2.2).

**Corollary 2.6.** Let L satisfy the same conditions as Theorem 2.2. If a bounded and continuous function u is a solution of Lu=f and  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfies for some  $M_3$ 

$$(2.22) |D_x^{\alpha} f| \leq M_3^{1+|\alpha|} \alpha!,$$

then we have for another constant M.

$$(2.23) |D_x^{\alpha}u| \leq M_4^{1+|\alpha|} \alpha! \langle x \rangle^{n_0} for an even number n_0 > n.$$

Proof. We write Lu=f in the form

$$\langle X 
angle^{-n_0} L(X,\, D_x) \langle X' 
angle^{n_0} u_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} = f_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$$
 ,

where  $u_1(x) = \langle x \rangle^{-n_0} u(x)$ ,  $f_1(x) = \langle x \rangle^{-n_0} f(x)$ .

We write simplified symbol of  $\langle X \rangle^{-n_0} L(X, D_x) \langle X' \rangle^{n_0}$  by  $L_1(X, D_x)$ . Then the pair  $(L_1, u_1, f_1)$  satisfies the conditions of the theorem and we get  $||D_x^{\omega} u_1|| \le M_5^{1+|\omega|} \alpha!$  for some  $M_5 > 0$ . Hence from Sobolev's lemma we can get (2.23).

REMARK. In Theorem 2.2 we may assume (2.4) only for  $|\alpha| \le l_0$  with  $l_0$  in Theorem 1.8, and in Corollary 2.6 for  $|\alpha| \le 2l_0$ .

## 3. Local hypoellipticity

In this section we shall study a differential operator  $L(x, \mathfrak{F}, D_x, D_y)$  in  $R_x^n \times R_y^k$  with polynomial coefficients of the form

(3.1) 
$$L(x, \tilde{y}, \xi, \eta) = \sum_{|\alpha: \mathfrak{m}| + |\alpha': \mathfrak{m}'| \leq 1} a_{\alpha\alpha'\gamma\gamma'} x^{\gamma} \tilde{y}^{\gamma'} \xi^{\alpha} \eta^{\alpha'},$$

where  $y=(\tilde{y}, \tilde{\tilde{y}})$ ,  $\tilde{y}=(y_1, \dots, y_s)$ ,  $\tilde{\tilde{y}}=(y_{s+1}, \dots, y_k)$  for  $s \leq k$ ,  $\alpha=(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ ,  $\alpha'=(\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_k)$ ,  $\gamma=(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ ,  $\gamma'=(\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_s, 0, \dots, 0)$  and  $|\alpha: \mathfrak{m}|=\alpha_1/m_1+\dots+\alpha_n/m_n$ ,  $|\alpha': \mathfrak{m}'|=\alpha'_1/m'_1+\dots+\alpha'_k/m'_k$  for multi-indices  $\mathfrak{m}=(m_1, \dots, m_n)$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}'=(m'_1, \dots, m'_k)$  of positive integers  $m_j$  and  $m'_i$ . We say that L is hypoelliptic if  $u\in \mathcal{D}'(R^{n+k}_{x,y})$  belongs to  $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$  when Lu belongs to  $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$  for any open set  $\Omega$  of  $R^{n+k}_{x,y}$ . Now setting  $m=\operatorname{Max}\{m_j, m'_l\}$ , we assume that there exist four real vectors  $\rho, \rho', \sigma, \sigma'$  of the form  $\rho=(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n)$ ,  $\rho'=(\rho'_1, \dots, \rho'_k)$ ,  $\sigma=(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ ,  $\sigma'=(\sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_s, 0, \dots, 0)$  such that

(3.2) 
$$\begin{cases} (i) & \rho_j = \sigma_j = m/m_j & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n \\ (ii) & \rho'_j > \sigma'_j \ge 0, \quad m'_j \rho'_j \ge m & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, k \end{cases}$$

and

(3.3) 
$$L(t^{-\sigma}x, t^{-\sigma'}\tilde{y}, t^{\rho}\xi, t^{\rho'}\eta) = t^{m}L(x, \tilde{y}, \xi, \eta) \quad \text{for} \quad t>0,$$
where  $t^{-\sigma}x = (t^{-\sigma_{1}}x_{1}, \dots, t^{-\sigma_{n}}x_{n}), t^{-\sigma'}\tilde{y} = (t^{-\sigma_{1}'}y_{1}, \dots, t^{-\sigma_{s}'}y_{s}),$ 

$$t^{\rho}\xi = (t^{\rho_{1}}\xi_{1}, \dots, t^{\rho_{n}}\xi_{n}), \quad t^{\rho'}\eta = (t^{\rho_{1}'}\eta_{1}, \dots, t^{\rho_{k}'}\eta_{k}).$$

Condition 1. If we put

(3.4) 
$$L_0(x, \mathfrak{F}, \xi, \eta) = \sum_{|\alpha: \mathfrak{m}| + |\alpha': \mathfrak{m}'| = 1} a_{\alpha\alpha'\gamma\gamma'} x^{\gamma} \mathfrak{F}^{\gamma'} \xi^{\alpha} \eta^{\alpha},$$

then we have

(3.5) 
$$L_0(x, \mathfrak{F}, \xi, \eta) \neq 0$$
 for  $|x| + |\mathfrak{F}| \neq 0$  and  $(\xi, \eta) \neq 0$ ,

which means that  $L(x, \tilde{y}, \xi, \eta)$  is semi-elliptic for  $|x| + |\tilde{y}| \neq 0$ .

Condition 2. The equation  $L(X, \mathfrak{F}, D_x, \eta)v(x)=0$  in  $R_x^n$  has no non-trivial solution in  $\mathcal{S}(R_x^n)$  for  $|\eta|=1$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** We consider the operator  $L(x, \tilde{y}, D_x, D_y)$  under Condition 1 and the assumption

$$\max_{1 \le i \le k} \{\sigma'_j\} < \min_{1 \le i, l \le k} \{m'_j \rho'_j / m'_l\}.$$

Then we have

- (S) If Condition 2 holds, then  $L(x, \tilde{y}, D_x, D_y)$  is hypoelliptic.
- (N) If the coefficients of L are independent of  $\tilde{y}$ , i.e., s=0, then Condition 2 is necessary for the hypoellipticity of the operator L.

Examples 3.2.

- i)  $L=(-\Delta_x)^l+|x|^{2\nu}(-\Delta_y)^{l'}$  in  $R_x^n\times R_y^k$  (cf. [3], [7], [14]). We set  $\rho_1=\dots=\rho_n=\sigma_1=\dots=\sigma_n=l_0/l$ ,  $\rho_1'=\dots=\rho_k'=(\nu/l+1)l_0/l'$ ,  $\sigma_1'=\dots=\sigma_k'=0$ , where  $l_0=\operatorname{Max}(l,\ l')$ . Then we can see that L is always hypoelliptic.
- ii)  $L_{\pm}(x, D_x, D_y) = D_x \pm ix^l D_y^m$  in  $R_x^1 \times R_y^1$  (cf. [6], [8], [15]).

We set  $\rho_1 = \sigma_1 = m$ ,  $\rho'_1 = l+1$ ,  $\sigma'_1 = 0$ . Then we see the following three cases:

- a) If l is even,  $L_{+}(X, D_{x}, \pm 1)v=0$  and  $L_{-}(X, D_{x}, \pm 1)v=0$  have no nontrivial solution in S.
- b) If l is odd and m is even,  $L_{+}(X, D_{x}, \pm 1)v = 0$  has no non-trivial solution in S and  $L_{-}(X, D_{x}, \pm 1)v = 0$  has non-trivial solution  $e^{-x^{l+1}/(l+1)} \in S$ .
- c) If l and m are odd,  $L_+(X, D_x, -1)v = 0$  has non-trivial solution  $e^{-x^{l+1}/(l+1)} \in S$  and  $L_-(X, D_x, 1)v = 0$  has non-trivial solution  $e^{-x^{l+1}/(l+1)} \in S$ .

Consequently we see from (N) and (S) that  $L_+$  is hypoelliptic if and only if "l is even", or "l is odd and m is even", and  $L_-$  is hypoelliptic if and only if "l is even".

iii)  $L = D_{x_1}^2 + D_{x_2}^6 + (x_1^2 + x_2^6) D_y^6 - 15x_2^4 D_y^5 + 45x_2^2 D_y^4 - 16D_y^3$  in  $R_x^2 \times R_y^1$ . We set  $\rho_1 = \sigma_1 = 3$ ,  $\rho_2 = \sigma_2 = 1$ ,  $\rho_1' = 2$ ,  $\sigma_1' = 0$ . We can see that L does not satisfy Condition 2. In fact for  $\eta = 1$   $L(X_1, X_2, D_{x_1}, D_{x_2}, 1)v(x_1, x_2) = 0$  is an equation given in Example 2.3 and has non-trivial solution  $v = e^{(-x_1^2 + x_2^2)/2}$ . Therefore applying (N) we can see that L is not hypoelliptic.

For the proof of the theorem we need several lemmas. We introduce notations:  $|x, \tilde{y}|_{(\sigma, \sigma')} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_j|^{1/\sigma_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{s} |y_j|^{1/\sigma'_j}$ ,

$$|\eta|_{\rho'} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} |\eta_j|^{1/\rho_j'}, \quad \mu(x, \tilde{y}, \eta) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} |x, \tilde{y}|_{(\sigma, \sigma')}^{(m_j' \rho_j' - m)} |\eta_j|^{m_j'}.$$

First we estimate the monomials of the form  $x^{\gamma} \tilde{y}^{\gamma'} \eta^{\alpha'}$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha'$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  be multi-indices of dimension n, k, n, k, respectively, such that  $|\alpha: m| + |\alpha': m'| \le 1$  and  $\gamma'_{i} = 0$  for  $j \ge s + 1$ . We put

(3.6) 
$$\theta = (\sigma, \gamma) + (\sigma', \gamma') + m - (\rho, \alpha) - (\rho', \alpha').$$

If we denote  $\rho'_0 = \min_{1 \le j \le k} (m'_j \rho'_j / m)$ , then we have

- (i) If there exists  $\theta' \ge 0$  such that  $m(|\alpha:m| + |\alpha':m'|) + (\theta + \theta')/\rho'_0 \le m$ , we have
- $(3.7) |x, \mathfrak{J}|_{(\sigma,\sigma')}^{\theta'}|x^{\gamma}\mathfrak{J}^{\gamma'}\eta^{\alpha'}| |\eta|_{\rho'}^{\theta+\theta'} \leq C(|\eta|_{\rho'}^{m} + \mu(x,\mathfrak{J},\eta))^{1-|\alpha:\mathfrak{m}|}.$
- (ii) If  $m(|\alpha:\mathfrak{m}|+|\alpha':\mathfrak{m}'|)+\theta/\rho_0'>m$ , we have
- $(3.8) \qquad |x^{\gamma}\widetilde{y}^{\gamma'}\eta^{\alpha'}| |\eta|_{\rho'}^{(1-|\alpha:\mathfrak{m}|-|\alpha':\mathfrak{m}'|)m\rho_0'} \leq C(|\eta|_{\rho}^m + \mu(x,\,\mathfrak{J},\,\eta))^{1-|\alpha:\mathfrak{m}|}$

for  $|x| \le \delta$ ,  $|\mathfrak{I}| \le \delta$  and  $|\eta| \ge 1$ , where  $\delta$  is some positive constant.

We can prove this by the same method as Lemma 3.1 and 3.2 in [4].

**Lemma 3.4.** Under condition 1 we have for a constant C > 0

(3.9) 
$$C^{-1}|L_0(x, \mathfrak{F}, \xi, \eta)| \leq \{\sum_{j=1}^n |\xi_j|^{m_j} + \mu(x, \mathfrak{F}, \eta)\} \leq C|L_0(x, \mathfrak{F}, \xi, \eta)|.$$

Proof. In case  $|x|+|\mathfrak{J}| \neq 0$ , it is sufficient for the sake of semi-homogeneity to prove when  $|x|+|\mathfrak{J}|=1$ , and this is true because of Condition 1. In case  $|x|+|\mathfrak{J}|=0$ , (3.9) is clear by letting  $|x|+|\mathfrak{J}|\to 0$ .

Define  $\lambda_h(x, \xi)$  with parameter  $h = (\tilde{y}, \eta) (|\eta| = 1)$  by  $\lambda_h(x, \xi) = \{1 + |L(x, \tilde{y}, \xi, \eta)|^2\}^{1/2m}$  and set  $p_h(x, \xi) = L(x, \tilde{y}, \xi, \eta)$ . Then we have

## Proposition 3.5.

- (i)  $\lambda_h(x, \xi)$  satisfies (1.1)–(1.3).
- (ii)  $\{p_h(x, \xi)\}\$  is bounded in  $\{S_{\lambda_h,1,0}^m\}$  in the sense that for any  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  there exists a bounded function  $C_{\alpha\beta}(x, \tilde{y})$  which is independent of  $\eta(|\eta|=1)$  and tends to zero as  $|x|+|\tilde{y}|\to\infty$  when  $\beta \neq 0$ , such that

$$|p_{h(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x,\,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta}(x,\,\mathfrak{F}) \lambda_h(x,\,\xi)^{m-|\alpha|}.$$

(iii) There exists a constant C independent of h such that

$$(3.10) |p_h(x,\xi)| \ge C\lambda_h(x,\xi)^m for large |x| + |\tilde{y}| + |\xi|.$$

Proof. Set  $\lambda_n'(x, \xi) = \{1 + \sum_{j=1}^n |\xi_j|^{m_j} + \mu(x, \mathfrak{I}, \eta)\}^{1/m}$ . Then from Lemma 3.3 (i) and Lemma 3.4 we can prove

$$(3.11) |L(x, \mathfrak{I}, \xi, \eta)| \ge C \lambda_n'(x, \xi)^m \text{for large } |x| + |\mathfrak{I}| + |\xi|,$$

which induces

(3.12) 
$$C^{-1}\lambda_h(x,\xi) \leq \lambda_h(x,\xi) \leq C\lambda_h(x,\xi).$$

For each term  $a_{\alpha\alpha'\gamma\gamma'}x^{\gamma}\tilde{y}^{\gamma'}\xi^{\alpha}\eta^{\alpha'}$  in L, we have from Lemma 3.3

$$\begin{split} &|\partial_{x}^{\beta_{1}}\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha_{1}}(a_{\alpha\alpha'\gamma\gamma'}x^{\gamma}\tilde{y}^{\gamma'}\xi^{\alpha}\eta^{\alpha'})|\\ &\leq C \min\left(1, \ |x,\ \tilde{y}|_{(\sigma,\sigma')}^{-(\sigma,\beta_{1})}\right)\left(1+\mu(x,\ \tilde{y},\ \eta)\right)^{1-|\alpha:\mathfrak{m}|}\left(1+\sum_{j=1}^{n}|\xi_{j}|^{m_{j}}\right)^{|\alpha:\mathfrak{m}|-|\alpha_{1}:\mathfrak{m}|}\\ &\leq C \min\left(1, \ |x,\ \tilde{y}|_{(\sigma,\sigma')}^{-(\sigma,\beta_{1})}\right)\lambda_{h}'(x,\ \xi)^{m-|\alpha_{1}|} \qquad (\alpha_{1}\leq\alpha). \end{split}$$

Here we use the fact that  $|\eta|=1$ . Therefore we have

$$(3.13) |p_{h(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x,\xi)| \leq C \operatorname{Min}(1, |x, \tilde{y}|_{(\sigma,\sigma')}^{-(\sigma,\beta)}) \lambda_h(x,\xi)^{m-|\alpha|}.$$

First we check (i). From (3.12)  $\lambda_h$  satisfies (1.1) for  $a = \min_{1 \le j \le n} \{m_j/m\}$ . By usual

calculus (1.2) follows by (3.13). Since  $p_h$  is a polynomial in x, we have using Taylor series

$$|p_h(x+z,\,\xi)| \leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} |z^{\alpha}p_{h(\alpha)}(x,\,\xi)|/\alpha! \leq C\langle z\rangle^{m\tau_1} \lambda_h(x,\,\xi)^m \leq C\langle z\rangle^{m\tau_1} \lambda_h(x,\,\xi)^m$$

for some  $\tau_1$ . So (1.3) holds for  $\lambda_h$ . Consequently we get (i). (ii) and (iii) follow at once by (3.11)–(3.13).

**Lemma 3.6.** Let a basic weight function  $\lambda(x, \xi)$  satisfy

(3.14) 
$$A_0^{-1}(1+|x|+|\xi|)^{a'} \leq \lambda(x,\xi) \leq A_0(1+|x|^{\tau_0}+|\xi|)$$
$$(a'>0, A_0>0, \tau_0>0)$$

instead of (1.1). Suppose that  $p(x, \xi) \in S_{\lambda,1,0}^m$  (m>0) satisfies

$$|p(x, \xi)| \ge C\lambda(x, \xi)^m$$
 for large  $|x| + |\xi|$ .

Then for any  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_x)$ ,  $Pu = p(X, D_x)u(x) = 0$  implies  $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n_x)$ .

Proof. Let  $Q \in S_{\lambda,1,0}^{-m}$  be a parametrix such that QP = I - K,  $K \in S_{\lambda,1,0}^{-\infty}$  ( $= \bigcap_{-\infty < m < \infty} S_{\lambda,1,0}^{m}$ ). Then we have u = Ku. For any positive number r and t,  $\langle X \rangle^r \langle D_x \rangle^t K(X', D_{x'})$  belongs to  $S_{\lambda,1,0}^{-\infty}$  and we get  $\langle X \rangle^r \langle D_x \rangle^t u \in L^2$ . Therefore we get  $u \in S$ .

**Proposition 3.7.** If Condition 1 and 2 hold, then for any  $v \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_x^n)$  we have

(3.15) 
$$||v||_{L^2}^2 \leq C \left( |p_h(X, D_x)v(x)|^2 dx \right),$$

where C is independent of v and h with  $|\eta|=1$ .

Proof. From (3.10) there exists a parametrix  $\{Q_h\}$  which is bounded in  $\{S_{\lambda_h,1,0}^{-m}\}$  such that

$$Q_h P_h = I - K_h,$$

where  $\{K_h\}$  is bounded in  $\{S_{\lambda_h,1,0}^{-m}\}$ ,  $\lim_{|x|+|\tilde{\mathcal{I}}|\to\infty}\sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n,|\eta|=1}|K_h(x,\xi)|=0$  and for any multi-index  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ 

(3.17) 
$$\sup_{x,\xi} |K_{h(\beta)}(x,\xi) - K_{h_0(\beta)}(x,\xi)| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad h \to h_0.$$

Therefore we have

$$||v|| \le ||Q_h P_h v|| + ||K_h v|| \le C ||P_h v|| + ||K_h v||.$$

Since  $\{K_h\}$  is bounded in  $\{S_{\lambda_h,1,0}^{-m}\}$  and  $\lim_{|\mathfrak{I}|\to\infty} \sup_{(x,\xi)\in\mathbb{R}^{2n}, |\eta|=1} |K_h(x,\xi)| = 0$ , we have for a constant  $l_0$  in Theorem 1.8

$$|K_h|_{l_0,l_0}^{(0)} \to 0$$
 as  $|\mathfrak{I}| \to \infty$ .

Then for a sufficiently large constant M>0

$$||K_h v|| \leq \frac{1}{2} ||v||$$
 for  $|\mathfrak{J}| \geq M$ ,

and we get (3.15) for  $|\mathfrak{J}| \ge M$ .

Now assume that for  $|\mathfrak{I}| \leq M$  (3.15) does not hold. Then we can choose sequences  $\{h_{\nu}\}$ ,  $\{v_{\nu}\}$  such that

$$(3.18) ||v_{\nu}|| = 1,$$

$$(3.19) ||P_{h_{\nu}}v_{\nu}|| \to 0 as \nu \to \infty,$$

(3.20) 
$$h_{\nu} = (\mathfrak{J}^{\nu}, \eta^{\nu}), \text{ where } |\mathfrak{J}^{\nu}| \leq M, |\eta^{\nu}| = 1.$$

From (3.20) we may assume that

$$(3.21) h_{\nu} \rightarrow h_{0}$$

for some  $h_0 = (\mathfrak{F}^0, \eta^0)$ . Applying  $v_{\nu}$  to (3.16) we get

$$Q_{h_{\nu}}P_{h_{\nu}}v_{\nu}=v_{\nu}-K_{h_{\nu}}v_{\nu}.$$

From (3.19) and (3.21) we have  $Q_{h_{\nu}}P_{h_{\nu}}v_{\nu}\to 0$  in  $L^2$  as  $\nu\to\infty$ , and from the fact that  $\{K_h\}$  is bounded in  $\{S_{\lambda_h,1,0}^{-m}\}$ ,  $\lim_{|x|\to\infty}\sup_{\xi}|K_{h_0}(x,\xi)|=0$  and (3.17) we get  $K_h$  is uniformly continuous and  $K_{h_0}$  is a compact operator in  $L^2$  (cf. [10], [12]). So writing  $K_{h_{\nu}}v_{\nu}=(K_{h_{\nu}}-K_{h_0})v_{\nu}+K_{h_0}v_{\nu}$  we can choose a convergent subsequence  $\{K_{h_{\nu}},v_{\nu'}\}$  in account of (3.18). Therefore from (3.22) we can choose an element  $v_0\in L^2$  such that

$$(3.23) v_{\nu'} \rightarrow v_0 in L^2.$$

Then from (3.19) and (3.21)  $P_{h_0}v_0=0$ . When  $\eta_j^0=0$  for all j such that  $m'_j\rho'_j \neq m$ , we have  $v_0=0$  since  $p_{h_0}(x,\xi)=\sum a_{\alpha\alpha'_{00}}(\eta^0)^{\alpha'}\xi^{\alpha}$ . Otherwise (3.12) implies (3.14) and we get  $v_0=0$  from Lemma 3.6 and Condition 2. This is the contrary to (3.18) and (3.23). Then Proposition 3.7 is proved.

**Theorem 3.8.** If Condition 1 and 2 hold, we can get the following formulas for  $|\mathfrak{J}| < \delta$ ,  $|\eta| \ge 1$  and  $v \in C_0^{\infty}(\{x; |x| < \delta\})$ , where  $\delta$  is a number which was taken in Lemma 3.3.

(3.24) 
$$\sum_{|\alpha:\mathfrak{m}|\leq 1}\int |\left(\mu(x,\,\mathfrak{F},\,\eta)+|\,\eta\,|_{\rho^{\prime}}^{m}\right)^{1-|\alpha:\mathfrak{m}|}D_{x}^{\alpha}v(x)|^{2}dx$$

$$\leq C\int |L(X,\,\mathfrak{F},\,D_{x},\,\eta)v(x)|^{2}dx.$$

For any k-dimensional multi-index  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_1$  we have

(3.25)  $||\partial_{\eta}^{\alpha_{1}}\partial_{y}^{\beta_{1}}L(X, \mathfrak{F}, D_{x}, \eta)v||_{L^{2}} \leq C |\eta|_{\rho'^{0}0}^{-\rho_{0}|\alpha_{1}|+\sigma_{0}|\beta_{1}|} ||L(X, \mathfrak{F}, D_{x}, \eta)v||_{L^{2}}$   $where \ \rho_{0} = \underset{1 \leq i, l \leq k}{\min} (m'_{j}\rho'_{j}/m'_{l}), \ \sigma_{0} = \underset{1 \leq i \leq k}{\max} (\sigma'_{j}).$ 

Proof. Let  $r(x, \tilde{y})$  be a positive root of the equation

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{x_{j}^{2}}{r^{2\sigma_{j}}} + \sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{y_{j}^{2}}{r^{2\sigma_{j}'}} = 1.$$

Then  $r(x, \mathfrak{J})$  is a  $C^{\infty}$ -function in  $R_{x}^{n} \times R_{y}^{s} \setminus \{0, 0\}$  and

$$(3.26) r(x, \mathfrak{F}) \sim |x, \mathfrak{F}|_{(\sigma, \sigma')}.$$

Let  $\chi(x, \tilde{y})$  be a  $C^{\infty}$ -function such that  $\chi=1$  if  $|x|+|\tilde{y}| \ge 1$  and  $\chi=0$  if  $|x|+|\tilde{y}| \le (1/2)$ . For any multi-index  $\alpha$  ( $|\alpha: \mathfrak{m}| \le 1$ ) and  $h=(\tilde{y}, \eta)$  ( $|\eta|=1$ ) we define  $R_{ah}$  by

$$R_{\mathrm{wh}}(x,\,\xi)=(\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^k\chi(x,\,\widetilde{y})\mathrm{r}(x,\,\widetilde{y})^{(m_j'^\rho_j'^{-m_j})}|\,\eta_j\,|^{m_j'}+1)^{\mathrm{1-loi}\,:\,\mathrm{mil}}\,\xi^{\mathrm{oi}}\,.$$

Then  $\{R_{\alpha h}\}$  is bounded in  $\{S_{\lambda_h,1,0}^m\}$ . From (3.16) we can write for any  $v \in C_0^\infty(R_x^n)$ 

$$R_{ab}(X, D_x)Q_b(X', D_{x'})p_b(X'', D_{x''})v = R_{ab}(X, D_x)v - R_{ab}(X, D_x)K_b(X', D_{x'})v$$

Noting that  $\{R_{\omega h}(X, D_x)Q_h(X', D_{x'})\}$ ,  $\{R_{\omega h}(X, D_x)K_h(X', D_{x'})\}$  are bounded in  $\{S_{\lambda_{h,1,0}}^0\}$ , we get from Proposition 3.7

$$||(\sum_{j=1}^k X(x,\, \mathfrak{J}) r(x,\, \mathfrak{J})^{m_j' \rho_{j'} - m} |\, \eta_j |^{m_j'} + 1)^{1 - |\mathfrak{a}| : \, \mathrm{ntl}} |D_x^{\mathfrak{a}} v|| = ||R_{\mathrm{ah}}(X,\, D_x) v||$$

$$\leq ||R_{ah}Q_{h}P_{h}v|| + ||R_{ah}K_{h}v|| \leq C(||P_{h}v|| + ||v||) \leq C||P_{h}v||.$$

Considering (3.26) we have for  $|\eta|=1$ 

$$\sum_{|\alpha|:|\alpha|\leq 1}\int |\left(\mu(x,\,\widetilde{y},\,\eta)+\,|\,\eta\,|_{\rho'}^{\,m}\right)^{1-|\alpha|:\,\mathfrak{M}|}D_{x}^{\,\alpha}v\,|^{\,2}dx \leq C\int |L(X,\,\widetilde{y},\,D_{x},\,\eta)v\,|^{\,2}dx\;.$$

From the semi-homogeneity we get (3.24). Using Lemma 3.3 and (3.24) we can get (3.25) by the same method as Lemma 3.6 in [4].

Proof of (S) in Theorem 3.1. By the same method as [4] we can prove (S) by using Theorem 3.8.

Proof of (N) of Theorem 3.1 (cf. [3]). Let there exist non-trivial solution  $v(x) \in \mathcal{S}$  of  $p_h(X, D_x)v(x) = L(X, D_x, \eta)v(x) = 0$  for some  $h=\eta$  with  $|\eta|=1$ . From Proposition 3.5 we can apply Theorem 2.2 and we get that v(x) is analytic, and therefore there exists multi-index  $\alpha_0$  such that

$$\partial_x^{\alpha_0} v(0) \neq 0.$$

We may assume  $\eta_1 \neq 0$ . We set  $m_0 = \text{Max}(m, |\alpha_0|)$  and take even number  $l_1$  and

positive number b such that  $\{(\rho, \alpha_0) - (\rho'_1 - 1) + b\}/\rho'_1$  is an even number (we denote it by  $l_2$ ) and  $2l_1 \rho'_1 \ge m_0 \cdot \text{Max}(\rho_j, \rho'_j) + 2 + b$ . We define

$$u(x, y) = \int_0^\infty e^{iy \cdot t^{\rho'} \eta} \frac{v(t^{\rho_1} x_1, \dots, t^{\rho_n} x_n) t^b}{(1 + t^{2\rho_1'})^{I_1}} dt.$$

Then  $u \in C^{m_0}$  and  $L(X, D_x, D_y)u=0$ . But  $u \notin C^{\infty}$ . In fact operating  $\partial_x^{\alpha_0}$  and substituting  $x=0, y_2=\dots=y_k=0$ , we get

$$\partial_x^{\omega_0} u(0, y_1, 0, \dots, 0) = \int_0^\infty e^{iy_1 t^{\rho_1'} \eta_1} \frac{\partial^{\omega_0} v(0) t^{(\rho, \omega_0) + b}}{(1 + t^{2\rho_1'})^{I_1}} dt.$$

By changing the variable t by  $\theta = t^{\rho_1}$ , we get

$$\partial_x^{\alpha_0} u(0, y_1, 0, \dots, 0) = \frac{\partial_x^{\alpha_0} v(0)}{\rho_1^{\prime}} \int_0^{\infty} e^{iy_1\theta\eta_1} \frac{\theta^{l_2}}{(1+\theta^2)^{l_1}} d\theta.$$

Noting  $l_2$  is an even number we can write

$$Re\int_{0}^{\infty}e^{iy_{1} heta\eta_{1}}rac{ heta^{l_{2}}}{(1+ heta^{2})^{l_{1}}}d heta=P(|y_{1}|)e^{-|y_{1}||\eta_{1}|}$$

for some polynomial P of order  $l_1-1$ . Therefore we get from (3.27)  $\partial_x^{a_0} u(0, y_1, 0, \dots, 0) \notin C^{\infty}$ . Consequently (N) holds.

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

#### References

- [1] R. Beals and C. Fefferman: Spatially inhomogeneous pseudodifferential operators, I, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 27 (1974), 1-24.
- [2] A.P. Calderón and R. Vaillancourt: A class of bounded pseudo-differential operators, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 69 (1972), 1185-1187.
- [3] V.V. Grushin: On a class of hypoelliptic operators, Math. USSR Sb. 12 (1970), 458-476.
- [4] ——: Hypoelliptic differential equations and pseudodifferential operators with operator-valued symbols, Math. USSR Sb. 17 (1972), 497–514.
- [5] L. Hörmander: Pseudo-differential operators and hypoelliptic equations, Proc. Symposium on Singular Integrals, Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (1967), 138–183.
- [6] Y. Kannai: An unsolvable hypoelliptic differential operator, Israel J. Math. 9 (1971), 306-315.
- [7] Y. Kato: On a class of hypoelliptic differential operators, Proc. Japan Acad. 46 (1970), 33-37.
- [8] ————: Remarks on hypoellipticity of degenerate parabolic differential operators, Proc. Japan Acad. 47 (1971), 380-384.
- [9] H. Kumano-go: Algebras of pseudo-differential operators, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 17 (1970), 31-50.

- [10] H. Kumano-go: On the index of hypoelliptic pseudo-differential operators on R<sup>n</sup>, Proc. Japan Acad. 48 (1972), 402-407.
- [11] ———: Oscillatory integrals of symbols of pseudo-differential operators and the local solvability theorem of Nirenberg and Treves, Katata Symposium on Partial Differential Equation, pp. 166-191 (1972).
- [12] H. Kumano-go and C. Tsutsumi: Complex powers of hypoelliptic pseudo-differential operators with applications, Osaka J. Math. 10 (1973), 147-174.
- [13] H. Kumano-go and K. Taniguchi: Oscillatory integrals of symbols of pseudodifferential operators on R<sup>n</sup> and operators of Fredholm type, Proc. Japan Acad. 49 (1973), 397-402.
- [14] T. Matsuzawa: Sur les équations  $u_{tt} + t^{\alpha} u_{xx} = f$  ( $\alpha \ge 0$ ), Nagoya Math. J. 42 (1971), 43-55.
- [15] S. Mizohata: Solutions nulles et solutions non analytiques, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 1 (1962), 271-302.