

# HOMOLOGY OF NON-COMMUTATIVE POLYNOMIAL RINGS

R. SRIDHARAN

## § 1. Introduction

Let  $\Gamma$  be a ring with unit element and let  $A$  be the Ore extension of  $\Gamma$  with respect to a derivation  $d$  of  $\Gamma$  [4, 3]. It is shown in [3] that  $\text{l.gl. dim } A \leq 1 + \text{l.gl. dim } \Gamma$ . It is not in general possible to replace this inequality by equality.

We consider here the special case where  $\Gamma$  is the polynomial ring in  $n$  variables over a commutative ring  $K$ . If  $d$  is a  $K$ -derivation of  $\Gamma$  then  $A$  becomes a  $K$ -algebra and we prove that if further  $A$  is a supplemented  $K$ -algebra, we have  $\text{l.gl.dim } A = 1 + \text{l.gl.dim } \Gamma$  (Theorem 1). The proof consists first in constructing a  $A$ -free complex of length  $n+1$  for  $K$ , which we prove to be acyclic (Proposition 2) by putting a suitable filtration on this complex and passing to the associated graded. We use this resolution to prove that  $\text{l.dim}_\Delta K = n+1$ . We then employ a spectral sequence argument to complete the proof of Theorem 1. If  $A$  is not supplemented, Theorem 1 is not necessarily valid [5].

I would like to thank N. S. Gopalakrishnan for his help during the preparation of this note.

## § 2

Let  $K$  be a commutative ring with 1 and let  $\Gamma = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be the polynomial ring in  $n$  variables over  $K$ . Let  $d$  be a  $K$ -derivation of  $\Gamma$  into itself. Clearly  $d$  is uniquely determined by its values  $f_i$  on  $x_i$ . Conversely, given  $n$  polynomials  $f_i \in \Gamma$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , there exists a  $K$ -derivation  $d$  of  $\Gamma$  into itself with  $d(x_i) = f_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Let  $A$  be the non-commutative polynomial ring in one variable  $x_{n+1}$  over  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $d$ . Then  $A$  is the  $K$ -algebra with generators  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}$  and relations given by

---

Received November 5, 1964.

$$x_i x_j - x_j x_i = 0, 1 \leq i, j \leq n \text{ and } x_{n+1} x_i - x_i x_{n+1} = f_i, 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

PROPOSITION 1. *The  $K$ -algebra  $A$  is a supplemented algebra if and only if there exist  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in K$  such that  $f_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon : A \rightarrow K$  be a supplementation and let  $\varepsilon(x_i) = \alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) &= f_i(\varepsilon(x_1), \dots, \varepsilon(x_n)) = \varepsilon(f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \\ &= \varepsilon(x_{n+1} x_i - x_i x_{n+1}) \\ &= \varepsilon(x_{n+1}) \varepsilon(x_i) - \varepsilon(x_i) \varepsilon(x_{n+1}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let  $\alpha_j \in K, 1 \leq j \leq n$  with  $f_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq n$ . Define  $\varepsilon(x_j) = \alpha_j, 1 \leq j \leq n, \varepsilon(x_{n+1}) = 0$ . It is easily verified that  $\varepsilon$  can be extended to a  $K$ -algebra homomorphism of  $A$  onto  $K$ .

From now onwards, we assume that  $A$  is a supplemented algebra, that is, there exist  $\alpha_j \in K, 1 \leq j \leq n$  with  $f_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq n$ . It is easy to verify that there exists a  $K$ -algebra automorphism  $\phi$  of  $A$  such that  $\phi(x_i) = x_i + \alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $\phi(x_{n+1}) = x_{n+1}$ . Thus, we may assume without loss of generality that  $\alpha_j = 0, 1 \leq j \leq n$  and the supplementation  $\varepsilon$  is given by  $\varepsilon(x_j) = 0, 1 \leq j \leq n+1$ . We may now write

$$f_i = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} f_{ji} x_j, f_{ji} \in \Gamma.$$

The matrix  $(f_{ij})$  defines a  $\Gamma$ -linear map  $\delta_1$  of the first homogeneous component  $E_1^\Gamma(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  of the exterior algebra over  $\Gamma$  in the variables  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ , given by

$$\delta_1(y_i) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} f_{ji} y_j.$$

Let  $\delta$  denote the extension of  $\delta_1$  to a derivation of  $E^\Gamma(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  into itself.

We write  $\bar{X}_i = A \otimes_K E_i(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}), (i \geq 0)$ , where

$E_i(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1})$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  component of the exterior algebra over  $K$  in the variables  $y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}$ . We identify  $\bar{X}_0$  with  $A$ . We define the left  $A$ -homomorphisms  $\bar{d}_k : \bar{X}_k \rightarrow \bar{X}_{k-1} (k \geq 1)$  as follows:

$$\bar{d}_1(1 \otimes y_i) = x_i, 1 \leq i \leq n+1,$$

For  $i \geq 2$ ,

$$\bar{d}_i(1 \otimes y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_i}) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq i} (-1)^{k+1} x_{j_k} \otimes y_{j_1} \cdots \hat{y}_{j_k} \cdots y_{j_i}; \quad j_1 < \cdots < j_i < n+1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}_i(1 \otimes y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_{i-1}} y_{n+1}) &= \bar{d}_{i-1}(1 \otimes y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_{i-1}}) y_{n+1} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{i-1} x_{n+1} \otimes y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_{i-1}} + (-1)^i \delta(y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_{i-1}}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where } \delta(y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_{i-1}}) &\in E_{i-1}^\Gamma(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \Gamma \otimes_K E_{i-1}(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) \\ &\subset \Lambda \otimes_K E_{i-1}(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 2. *The sequence*

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow \bar{X}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\bar{d}_{n+1}} \bar{X}_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bar{X}_1 \xrightarrow{\bar{d}_1} \bar{X}_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} K \rightarrow 0$$

is a left  $\Lambda$ -free resolution of  $K$  considered as a left  $\Lambda$ -module through  $\varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\varepsilon \bar{d}_1(1 \otimes y_i) = \varepsilon(x_i) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ , it follows that  $\varepsilon \circ \bar{d}_1 = 0$ . We now verify that  $\bar{d}_{i-1} \circ \bar{d}_i = 0$ ,  $1 < i \leq n+1$ . We write  $z = y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_i}$ . If  $j_i < n+1$ , we have  $\bar{d}_{i-1} \circ \bar{d}_i(1 \otimes z) = 0$  since, in this case,  $\bar{d}_i$  is the usual boundary homomorphism in the Koszul-resolution for  $K$  considered as a  $\Gamma$ -module [1, p. 151].

Let  $j_i = n+1$ . We write  $y = y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_{i-1}}$  and  $\hat{y}_k = y_{j_1} \cdots \hat{y}_{j_k} \cdots y_{j_{i-1}}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}_{i-1} \circ \bar{d}_i(1 \otimes y y_{n+1}) &= \bar{d}_{i-1}(\bar{d}_i(1 \otimes y) y_{n+1}) + (-1)^{i-1} x_{n+1} \bar{d}_{i-1}(1 \otimes y) \\ &\quad + (-1)^i \bar{d}_{i-1} \delta(y). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}_{i-1}(\bar{d}_i(1 \otimes y) y_{n+1}) &= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq i-1} (-1)^{k+1} x_{j_k} \bar{d}_{i-1}(1 \otimes \hat{y}_k y_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq i-1} (-1)^{k+1} x_{j_k} \{ \bar{d}_{i-1}(1 \otimes \hat{y}_k) y_{n+1} + (-1)^{i-2} x_{n+1} \otimes \hat{y}_k + (-1)^{i-1} \delta \hat{y}_k \} \\ &= \bar{d}_{i-1} \left( \sum_{1 \leq k \leq i-1} (-1)^{k+1} x_{j_k} \otimes \hat{y}_k \right) y_{n+1} + (-1)^{i-2} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq i-1} (-1)^{k+1} x_{n+1} x_{j_k} \otimes \hat{y}_k + \\ &\quad + (-1)^{i-1} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq i-1} (-1)^{k+1} f_{j_k} \otimes y_k + (-1)^{i-1} \delta \left( \sum_{1 \leq k \leq i-1} (-1)^{k+1} x_{j_k} \otimes \hat{y}_k \right). \\ &= \bar{d}_{i-1} \circ \bar{d}_i(1 \otimes y) y_{n+1} + (-1)^{i-2} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq i-1} (-1)^{k+1} x_{n+1} x_{j_k} \otimes \hat{y}_k + \\ &\quad + (-1)^{i-1} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq i-1} (-1)^{k+1} f_{j_k} \otimes \hat{y}_k + (-1)^{i-1} \delta \bar{d}_{i-1}(1 \otimes y). \\ &= (-1)^{i-2} x_{n+1} \bar{d}_{i-1}(1 \otimes y) + (-1)^{i-1} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq i-1} (-1)^{k+1} f_{j_k} \otimes \hat{y}_k + \\ &\quad + (-1)^{i-1} \delta \bar{d}_{i-1}(1 \otimes y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\bar{d}_{i-1} \circ \bar{d}_i(1 \otimes y y_{n+1}) = (-1)^i \{ (\bar{d}_{i-1} \delta - \delta \bar{d}_{i-1})(1 \otimes y) - \sum_{1 \leq k \leq i-1} (-1)^{k+1} f_{j_k} \otimes \hat{y}_k \}.$$

Since  $\delta$  is a derivation of  $E^\Gamma(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  and  $\bar{d} = (\bar{d}_i)$  restricted to  $E^\Gamma(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  is an antiderivation, it follows that  $\bar{d}\delta - \delta\bar{d}$  is an antiderivation of  $E^\Gamma(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ . Further,

$$(\bar{d}\delta - \delta\bar{d})(y_i) = \bar{d}\left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} f_{ji}y_j\right) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} f_{ji}x_j = f_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Hence it is clear that

$$(\bar{d}_{i-1}\delta - \delta\bar{d}_{i-1})(1 \otimes y) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq i-1} (-1)^{k+1} f_{jk} \otimes \hat{y}_k.$$

Thus  $\bar{d}_{i-1} \circ \bar{d}_i(1 \otimes yy_{n+1}) = 0$ .

Thus (\*) is a complex of left  $A$ -modules and it is clear that  $\text{Ker } \varepsilon = \text{Im } \bar{d}_1$ . To prove the exactness of (\*) we define a suitable filtration of the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{X}_{n+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bar{X}_0$$

whose associated graded complex is exact. By a well-known lemma on filtered complexes, the exactness follows immediately.

Let  $F_p A$  be the  $K$ -submodule of  $A$  consisting of all elements of  $A$  of degree less than or equal to  $p$  in  $x_{n+1}$ . Then  $A$  is a filtered ring whose associated graded ring  $E^\circ(A)$  is isomorphic to  $K[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]$  (See [5]). We define a gradation on  $E_i(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  by assigning the degree 0 to  $y_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and the degree 1 to  $y_{n+1}$ . Moreover,

$$E_i(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) = E_i(y_1, \dots, y_n) \oplus E_{i-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n)y_{n+1}.$$

We define

$$F_p \bar{X}_i = [F_p A \otimes E_i(y_1, \dots, y_n)] \oplus [F_{p-1} A \otimes E_{i-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n)y_{n+1}].$$

It is easily seen that  $\{F_p \bar{X}_i\}_{p \geq 0}$  is a filtration of  $\bar{X}_i$  and that  $\bar{d}_i(F_p \bar{X}_i) \subset F_p \bar{X}_{i-1}$ .

We thus get the complex

$$0 \rightarrow E_p^\circ(\bar{X}_{n+1}) \xrightarrow{E^\circ(\bar{d}_{n+1}^p)} E_p^\circ(\bar{X}_n) \rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{E^\circ(\bar{d}_1^p)} E_p^\circ(\bar{X}_0).$$

We have

$$E_p^\circ(\bar{X}_i) \approx [E_p^\circ(A) \otimes E_i(y_1, \dots, y_n)] \oplus [E_{p-1}^\circ(A) \otimes E_{i-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n)y_{n+1}].$$

Let now  $(X_i, d_i)$  be the Koszul resolution for  $K$  as a  $K[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]$ -module. We define a gradation on  $K[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]$  by assigning degrees 0 to  $x_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and degree 1 to  $x_{n+1}$ . We introduce a gradation on  $X_i$  by setting

$$X_i^p = [K[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]_p \otimes E_i(y_1, \dots, y_n)] \oplus [K[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]_{p-1} \otimes E_{i-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n)y_{n+1}],$$

where  $K[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]_p$  is the  $p^{\text{th}}$  homogeneous component in the gradation of  $K[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]$  defined above. It is easily seen that  $d_i(X_i^p) \subset X_{i-1}^p$ , and that the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X_{n+1}^p \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}^p} X_n^p \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow X_1^p \xrightarrow{d_1^p} X_0^p,$$

is exact for every  $p$ .

Clearly  $E_p^0(\bar{X}_i) \approx X_i^p$ . Since for any  $\varphi \in F_{p-1}A$  and  $y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_{i-1}} \in E_{i-1}(y_1 \cdots y_n)$ , we have  $\varphi \delta(y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_{i-1}}) \in F_{p-1}\bar{X}_{i-1}$ , it follows that  $E_p^0(\bar{d}_i) = d_i^p$ . Thus the complex  $(E_p^0(\bar{X}_i), E_p^0(\bar{d}_i))$  is isomorphic to  $(X_i^p, d_i^p)$ . Since  $(X_i^p, d_i^p)$  is exact, it follows that  $(E_p^0(\bar{X}_i), E_p^0(\bar{d}_i))$  is exact and hence (\*) is exact. Since  $\bar{X}_i$  is clearly a free left  $A$ -module, the proposition is proved.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $K$  be a commutative ring with 1 and let  $A$  be the  $K$ -algebra generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}$  with the relations  $x_i x_j - x_j x_i = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , and  $x_{n+1} x_i - x_i x_{n+1} = f_i$ ,  $f_i \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . If  $A$  is a supplemented  $K$ -algebra, and  $K$  is considered as a left  $A$ -module through the supplementation, we have  $\text{l.dim}_A K = n + 1$ . Further  $\text{l.gl.dim } A = n + 1 + \text{gl.dim } K$ .*

*Proof.* As remarked earlier, we may assume that there exists a supplementation  $\varepsilon$  with  $\varepsilon(x_i) = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$ . It follows from Proposition 2 that  $\text{l.dim}_A K \leq n + 1$ . We now prove that  $\text{l.dim}_A K = n + 1$ . For this we first compute  $\bar{d}_{n+1}$ . Let  $w = 1 \otimes y_1 \cdots y_{n+1} \in \bar{X}_{n+1}$  and  $w_i = (-1)^{i+1} 1 \otimes y_1 \cdots \hat{y}_i \cdots y_{n+1} \in \bar{X}_n$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}_{n+1}(w) &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i w_i + x_{n+1} w_{n+1} - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} f_{ii} w_{n+1} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i w_i + (x_{n+1} - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} f_{ii}) w_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\theta$  be the automorphism of  $A$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(x_i) &= x_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \\ \theta(x_{n+1}) &= x_{n+1} - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} f_{ii}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\bar{d}_{n+1}(w) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n+1} \theta(x_i) w_i.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus } \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{n+1}(K, M) &= H_{n+1}(\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\bar{X}, M)), (\Delta M) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\bar{X}_{n+1}, M)/B^{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

where  $B^{n+1} = \{g \in \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\bar{X}_{n+1}, M) \mid g(w) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n+1} \theta(x_i)h(w_i), \text{ for some } h \in \text{Hom}(\bar{X}_n, M)\}$ . It is clear that the  $K$ -isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\bar{X}_{n+1}, M) \approx M$  given by  $g \rightarrow g(w)$  induces an isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{n+1}(K, M) \approx M/\theta(I)M$$

where  $I = \text{Ker } \varepsilon$ . In particular  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{n+1}(K, {}_{\theta^{-1}}M) \approx M/IM$ . Taking  $M$  to be any  $K$ -module and considering it as a left  $\Lambda$ -module through  $\varepsilon$ , we find that

$$(*) \quad \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{n+1}(K, {}_{\theta^{-1}}M) \approx M.$$

Choosing  $M$  to be nonzero we find that  $\text{l.dim}_{\Lambda}K = n + 1$ .

We now prove that  $\text{l.gl.dim } \Lambda = n + 1 + \text{gl.dim } K$ . If  $\text{gl.dim } K = \infty$ , since  $\text{l.gl.dim } \Lambda \geq \text{gl.dim } K$  [2, p. 74, Prop. 2], we have  $\text{l.gl.dim } \Lambda = \infty$  and we have the required equality. Suppose then that  $\text{gl.dim } K = m < \infty$ . Let  $\Gamma = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . In view of [6, Th. 1] or [3], it follows that  $\text{l.gl.dim } \Lambda \leq 1 + \text{l.gl.dim } \Gamma = n + 1 + \text{gl.dim } K$ . To prove equality, we need the "maximum term principle" [2] for spectral sequences. The map  $\varepsilon : \Lambda \rightarrow K$  gives rise to a spectral sequence (see [1, p. 349]).

$$\text{Ext}_K^p(A, \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^q(K, C)) \Rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^r(A, C), ({}_K A, {}_{\Delta} K, {}_{\Delta} C).$$

Since  $\text{gl.dim } K = m$  and  $\text{l.dim}_{\Lambda}K = n + 1$ , we have that  $\text{Ext}_K^p(A, \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^q(K, C)) = 0$  if  $p > m$  or  $q > n + 1$ . Thus  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^r(A, C) = 0$  for  $r > m + n + 1$  and we have an isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_K^m(A, \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{n+1}(K, C)) \approx \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{m+n+1}(A, C).$$

Let  $A$  be a  $K$ -module such that  $\text{l.dim}_K A = m$ . Then, there exists a  ${}_K C'$  such that  $\text{Ext}_K^m(A, C') \neq (0)$ . Consider  $C'$  as a left  $\Lambda$ -module through  $\varepsilon$  and take  $C = {}_{\theta^{-1}}C'$ , where  $\theta$  is the automorphism of  $\Lambda$  defined above. We have by (\*),  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{n+1}(K, C) \approx C'$  and thus

$$\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{m+n+1}(A, C) \approx \text{Ext}_K^m(A, C') \neq (0).$$

Hence  $\text{gl.dim } \Lambda \geq m + n + 1$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

*Remark.* If  $\Lambda$  is not a supplemented algebra, we may have  $\text{l.gl.dim } \Lambda < n + 1 + \text{gl.dim } K$ . In fact, let  $\Gamma = K[x_1]$ , where  $K$  is a field of characteristic 0.

The  $K$ -algebra  $A$  on generators  $x_1, x_2$  with the relation  $x_2x_1 - x_1x_2 = 1$  is an Ore-extension of  $\Gamma$  and  $\text{l.gl.dim } A = 1$  [5].

## REFERENCES

- [1] Cartan, H. and S. Eilenberg: Homological Algebra, Princeton University Press, 1956.
- [2] Eilenberg, S., A. Rosenberg and D. Zelinsky: On the dimension of modules and algebras VIII Nagoya Math. J. **12**, 71-93 (1957).
- [3] Gopalakrishnan, N. S.: On some filtered rings. Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci. **56**, 148-54 (1962).
- [4] Ore, O.: Theory of non-commutative polynomials. Annals of Mathematics. **34**, 480-508 (1933).
- [5] Rinehart, G. S.: Note on the global dimension of certain rings Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **13**, 341-46 (1962).
- [6] Roy, A.: A note on filtered rings (to appear).

