

# NOTE ON COMPLETE COHOMOLOGY OF A QUASI-FROBENIUS ALGEBRA

TADASI NAKAYAMA

Let  $A$  be a quasi-Frobenius algebra over a field  $K$ .  $A$  has a complete (co)homology theory which may be established upon an augmented acyclic projective complex, i.e. a commutative diagram

$$(1) \quad \dots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow X_{-1} \rightarrow X_{-2} \rightarrow \dots$$

$\begin{array}{c} \varepsilon \searrow \quad \nearrow \iota \\ A \end{array}$

of  $A$ -double-modules with exact horizontal row, projective  $X_p$ , and with epimorphic resp. monomorphic  $\varepsilon$  and  $\iota$ . Negative-dimensional cohomology groups, over an  $A$ -double-module, are expected to be in close relationship with (ordinary positive-dimensional) homology groups. Indeed, in case  $A$  is a Frobenius algebra the cohomology groups  $H^{-n}(A, M)$ ,  $-n < -1$ , over an  $A$ -double-module  $M$  may be identified, connecting homomorphisms taken into account, with the homology groups  $H_{n-1}(A, M^*)$  over an  $A$ -double-module  $M^* = (M, *)$  obtained from  $M$  by modifying its  $A$ -right-module structure with an automorphism  $*$  of  $A$  belonging to the Frobenius algebra structure of  $A$ , and, moreover, the cohomology groups  $H^0(A, M)$ ,  $H^{-1}(A, M)$  are described explicitly in terms of commutation and norm-map, so to speak, defined by a certain pair of dual bases of  $A$ . In the present note we want to give the corresponding description of the 0- and negative-dimensional cohomology groups of a quasi-Frobenius algebra  $A$ . In doing so, we shall deal with a certain  $A$ -double-module  $M^{\natural}$  which is obtained from  $M$  by a certain construction but which is in general not  $A$ -left-isomorphic to  $M$  contrary to that  $M^*$  in case of a Frobenius algebra is  $A$ -left-isomorphic to  $M$ . Further, our construction will strongly rely upon the relationship of  $A$  with its core algebra  $A_0$  which is a Frobenius algebra. In fact, the (co)homology theory of an algebra can, generally, be reduced to that of its core algebra, and this principle applies also to the complete (co)homology of a quasi-Frobenius algebra. However, description and construction in terms

---

Received February 28, 1958.

of a given quasi-Frobenius algebra itself, rather than of its Frobenius core, as those we shall obtain in the followings, are perhaps of some interest and use too.

**1.  $A$ -double-module  $M^{\mathfrak{S}}$ .** Let  $A$  be a quasi-Frobenius algebra over a field  $K$ , and

$$(2) \quad 1 = \sum_{\rho=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^{f(\rho)} e_i^{(\rho)}.$$

be a decomposition of its unit element 1 into mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents, where  $e_i^{(\rho)} \approx e_j^{(\sigma)}$  if and only if  $\rho = \sigma$ . For each  $\rho = 1, \dots, r$  there is in  $A$  a system of matrix units  $c_{ij}^{(\rho)}$  ( $c_{ij}^{(\rho)} c_{i'j'}^{(\rho)} = \delta_{ji'} c_{ij}^{(\rho)}$ ) with  $c_{ii}^{(\rho)} = e_i^{(\rho)}$ . Put

$$(3) \quad 1_0 = \sum_{\rho=1}^r e_1^{(\rho)}, \quad A_0 = 1_0 A 1_0.$$

$A_0$ , the so-called core algebra (or basic algebra) of  $A$ , has  $1_0$  as its unit element, and is a Frobenius algebra. Let  $*$  :  $x \rightarrow x^*$  ( $x \in A_0$ ) be an automorphism of  $A_0$  belonging to its Frobenius algebra structure. Thus, if  $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  is a  $K$ -basis of  $A_0$ , there is a non-singular parastrophic matrix  $P = (\mu(a_\kappa a_\lambda))$  belonging to the basis  $(a_\kappa)$  such that for  $x = \sum_{\kappa} a_\kappa \xi_\kappa$  ( $\xi_\kappa \in K$ )

$$(4) \quad x^* = \sum_{\kappa} a_\kappa \xi_\kappa^*, \quad (\xi_1^*, \dots, \xi_k^*) = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) P' P^{-1};$$

there is a permutation  $\pi$  of  $(1, \dots, r)$  such that  $e_1^{(\rho)*} \equiv e_1^{\pi(\rho)}$  modulo the radical of  $A_0$  for every  $1, \dots, r$ , and by a suitable choice of  $*$  (or of the decomposition (2) and matrix units  $c_{ij}^{(\rho)}$  if we fix  $*$ ) we may, and shall, assume

$$(5) \quad e_1^{(\rho)*} = e_1^{\pi(\rho)} \quad \text{for every } \rho = 1, \dots, r.$$

The basis  $(b_1, \dots, b_k) = (a_1, \dots, a_k)(P')^{-1}$  is said to be dual to  $(a_\kappa)$  and has the property that the left regular representation of  $A_0$  defined by  $(a_\kappa)$  coincides with the right regular representation defined by  $(b_\kappa)$  and, moreover, the product of  $*$  with the left regular representation defined by  $(b_\kappa)$  coincides with the right regular representation defined by  $(a_\kappa)$ .

Let  $M$  be a unitary  $A$ -double-module. Then  $M_0 = 1_0 M 1_0$  is a unitary  $A_0$ -double-module. The map

$$(6) \quad \nu_0 : u \rightarrow \sum_{\kappa} a_\kappa u b_\kappa \quad (u \in M_0)$$

is a  $K$ -endomorphism of  $M_0$  and we have

$$(7) \quad \nu_0(M_0) \subset M_0^{A_0} = \{u \in M_0 \mid xu = ux \text{ for all } x \in A_0\},$$

$$(8) \quad ux^* - xu \in \text{Ker } \nu_0 \text{ for all } u \in M_0, x \in A.$$

On denoting by  $M_0^* = (M_0, *)$  the  $A_0$ -double-module which coincides with  $M_0$  as  $A_0$ -left-module and whose  $A_0$ -right-module structure is defined by that  $ux$  ( $u \in M_0^*$ ,  $x \in A_0$ ), under the structure of  $M_0^*$ , is  $ux^*$  under the old structure of  $M_0$ , we construct a new  $A$ -double-module

$$(9) \quad M^{\S} = \sum_{\rho, \sigma=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^{f(\rho)} \sum_{j=1}^{f(\sigma)} c_{i1}^{(\rho)} e_1^{(\rho)} M_0^* e_1^{(\sigma)} c_{1j}^{(\sigma)},$$

where  $c_{i1}^{(\rho)} e_1^{(\rho)} M_0^* e_1^{(\sigma)} c_{1j}^{(\sigma)}$  is the  $K$ -module consisting of all expressions  $c_{i1}^{(\rho)} v c_{1j}^{(\sigma)}$  with  $v \in e_1^{(\rho)} M_0^* e_1^{(\sigma)}$  ( $K$ -module structure inheriting that of  $e_1^{(\rho)} M_0^* e_1^{(\sigma)}$ ), where the summations are formal direct ones, and where the  $A$ -double-module structure of  $M^{\S}$  is defined by (the distributivity and) the relations: if  $v \in e_1^{(\rho)} M_0^* e_1^{(\sigma)}$ ,  $x \in e_1^{(\rho')} A e_1^{(\sigma')}$ , then

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} x c_{i1}^{(\rho)} v c_{1j}^{(\sigma)} &= \delta_{\sigma, \rho'} \delta_{j' i} c_{i1}^{(\rho')} ((c_{i1}^{(\rho')} x c_{i1}^{(\rho)}) v) c_{1j}^{(\sigma)}, \\ c_{i1}^{(\rho)} v c_{1j}^{(\sigma)} x &= \delta_{\rho' \sigma} \delta_{i' j} c_{i1}^{(\rho)} (v (c_{1j}^{(\sigma)} x c_{j'1}^{(\sigma')})) c_{1j}^{(\sigma)}. \end{aligned}$$

If in particular  $A$  is a Frobenius algebra, then (and only then)  $f(\pi(\rho)) = f(\rho)$  for  $\rho = 1, \dots, r$ . In this case the  $K$ -linear map:

$$(11) \quad x (\in e_1^{(\rho)} A e_1^{(\sigma)}) \rightarrow c_{i1}^{(\pi(\rho))} (c_{i1}^{(\rho)} x c_{j1}^{(\sigma)})^* c_{1j}^{(\pi(\sigma))}$$

gives an automorphism of  $A$  and is readily seen to be a such belonging to the Frobenius algebra structure of  $A$ . Our module  $M^{\S}$  is, in this case, obtained from  $M$  by retaining its  $A$ -left-module structure but modifying its  $A$ -right-module structure with this automorphism of  $A$ , and thus coincides with the module considered in [3] (with this choice of automorphism of  $A$  belonging to its Frobenius algebra structure).

Contrary to this Frobenius algebra case and contrary to that in particular  $M_0^*$  is  $A_0$ -left-isomorphic to  $M_0$ , our module  $M^{\S}$  in general case is not, in general,  $A$ -left-isomorphic to  $M$ , as we wish to remark.

**2. Map  $\bar{\nu} : M^{\S} \rightarrow M$ .** For  $u = c_{i1}^{(\rho)} v c_{1j}^{(\sigma)} \in e_1^{(\rho)} M^{\S} e_1^{(\sigma)}$  with  $v \in e_1^{(\rho)} M_0^* e_1^{(\sigma)}$ , consider  $v$  as the corresponding element of  $M_0$ , indeed of  $e_1^{(\rho)} M_0 e_1^{(\sigma)}$ , and construct  $\nu_0(v)$  in  $M_0$ , with  $\nu_0$  given in (6). Put

$$(12) \quad \bar{\nu}(u) = \delta_{ij} \sum_{\tau=1}^r \sum_{q=1}^{f(\tau)} c_{q1}^{(\tau)} \nu_0(v) c_{1q}^{(\tau)} \in M.$$

This defines a  $K$ -linear map  $\bar{v}$  of  $M^{\mathfrak{S}}$  into  $M$ . We assert

$$(13) \quad \bar{v}(M^{\mathfrak{S}}) \subset M^A = \{u \in M \mid ux = xu \text{ for all } x \in A\}.$$

Indeed, let  $x \in e_i^{(\rho')} Ae_{j'}^{(\sigma')}$ . Then, with  $u$  as above and with  $i = j$ , we have, on observing (7)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{v}(u)x &= c_{i_1}^{(\rho')} \nu_0(v) c_{i_1'}^{(\rho')} x = c_{i_1}^{(\rho')} \nu_0(v) c_{i_1'}^{(\rho')} x c_{j_1}^{(\rho')} c_{i_1'}^{(\sigma')} \\ &= c_{i_1}^{(\rho')} c_{i_1'}^{(\rho')} x c_{j_1}^{(\sigma')} \nu_0(v) c_{i_1'}^{(\sigma')} = x \bar{v}(u). \end{aligned}$$

We have also

$$(14) \quad ux - xu \in \text{Ker } \bar{v} \text{ for all } u \in M^{\mathfrak{S}}, x \in A.$$

To see this, let, again,  $u = c_{i_1}^{(\rho')} \nu c_{i_1'}^{(\sigma')} \in e_i^{(\rho')} M^{\mathfrak{S}} e_j^{(\sigma')}$  with  $v \in e_1^{(\rho')} M_0^* e_1^{(\sigma')}$  and  $x \in e_i^{(\rho')} Ae_{j'}^{(\sigma')}$ . Then we compute readily

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{v}(ux) &= \delta_{\sigma\rho'} \delta_{j'i'} \delta_{ij'} \sum_{\tau=1}^r \sum_{q=1}^{f(\tau)} c_{q_1}^{(\tau)} \nu_0(v(c_{i_1}^{(\sigma')} x c_{j_1}^{(\sigma')})) c_{i_1}^{(\tau)}, \\ \bar{v}(xu) &= \delta_{\sigma\rho'} \delta_{j'i'} \delta_{i'j} \sum_{\tau=1}^r \sum_{q=1}^{f(\tau)} c_{q_1}^{(\tau)} \nu_0(c_{i_1}^{(\rho')} x c_{j_1}^{(\sigma')} v) c_{i_1}^{(\tau)} \end{aligned}$$

(where in the first equality we operate  $c_{i_1}^{(\sigma')} x c_{j_1}^{(\sigma')} \in A_0$  on  $v$  as an element of  $M_0^*$  (and not as such of  $M_0$ ) and then consider the result as an element of  $M_0$  to form its image by  $\nu_0$ ). So  $\bar{v}(ux - xu) = 0$  if  $j \neq j'$  or  $i \neq i'$ . Let  $j = i$  and  $i = j'$ . If  $\sigma \neq \rho'$  and  $\rho \neq \sigma'$ , then  $\bar{v}(ux - ux) = 0$  too. So, suppose  $\sigma = \rho'$  but  $\rho \neq \sigma'$  firstly. Then  $\bar{v}(ux - ux) = \bar{v}(ux) = \sum_{\tau=1}^r \sum_{q=1}^{f(\tau)} c_{q_1}^{(\tau)} \nu_0(v(c_{i_1}^{(\sigma')} x c_{j_1}^{(\sigma')})) c_{i_1}^{(\tau)}$  and here the argument of  $\nu_0$  is equal to  $v(c_{i_1}^{(\sigma')} x c_{j_1}^{(\sigma')}) - (c_{i_1}^{(\sigma')} x c_{j_1}^{(\sigma')}) v$  since  $\rho \neq \sigma'$ . But  $\nu_0(vy - yv) = 0$  for all  $v \in M_0^*$ ,  $y \in A$  ((8)). Thus  $\bar{v}(ux - ux) = 0$ . The same holds similarly in case  $\sigma \neq \rho'$ ,  $\rho = \sigma'$ . Suppose finally  $\sigma = \rho'$ ,  $\rho = \sigma'$ . Then  $\bar{v}(ux - xu) = \sum_{\tau=1}^r \sum_{q=1}^{f(\tau)} c_{q_1}^{(\tau)} \nu_0(v(c_{i_1}^{(\sigma')} x c_{j_1}^{(\sigma')}) - (c_{i_1}^{(\sigma')} x c_{j_1}^{(\sigma')}) v) c_{i_1}^{(\tau)}$  and this vanishes again by (8). This proves (14).

**3. Cohomology groups.** Having proved (13) and (14) we set

$$(15) \quad H^0(M) = M^A / \bar{v}(M^{\mathfrak{S}}),$$

$$(16) \quad H^{-1}(M) = (\text{Ker } \bar{v}) / (K\text{-submodule of } M^{\mathfrak{S}} \text{ generated by the elements of form } ux - xu \text{ with } u \in M^{\mathfrak{S}}, x \in A).$$

Set further  $H^{-n}(M) = H_{n-1}(A, M^{\mathfrak{S}})$  for  $-n \leq -2$  and  $H^n(M) = H^n(A, M)$  for  $n \geq 1$ . With an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$  of  $A$ -double-modules, we define  $\tilde{\delta}_0, \tilde{\delta}_{-1}, \tilde{\delta}_{-2}$  to be the maps:  $H^0(Q) \rightarrow H^1(P)$ ,  $H^{-1}(Q) \rightarrow H^0(P)$ ,  $H^{-2}(Q)$

$\rightarrow H^{-1}(P)$  induced by the maps:  $u(\in M) \rightarrow$  (standard 1-cochain  $x(\in A) \rightarrow ux - xu$ ),  $\bar{v} : u(\in M^{\otimes}) \rightarrow \bar{v}(u)$ , (standard 1-chain  $x \otimes u(\in A \otimes M^{\otimes}) \rightarrow ux - xu (\in M^{\otimes})$ ). The maps  $\tilde{\delta}_p$  with  $p > 0$  or  $< -2$  are defined as the usual connecting homomorphisms of cohomology or homology groups. Then the groups  $H^p(M)$  and the maps  $\tilde{\delta}_p$ , with varying  $M$  and exact sequence, (or, more precisely, covariant functors  $H^p$  and connecting homomorphisms  $\tilde{\delta}_p$  ([2])) are easily seen to satisfy the axioms (I) – (IV) of cohomology groups (given in [1] for ordinary case and in [3], §6 for complete case) with a normalization axiom (V')  $H^1(M) = H^1(A, M)$ , for example (cf. [3], §6). So we have: *the groups  $H^n(A, M)$  ( $n \geq 1$ ),  $H^{-n}(A, M) = H_{n-1}(A, M^{\otimes})$  ( $-n \leq -2$ ) and the groups  $H^0(A, M) = H^0(M)$ ,  $H^{-1}(A, M) = H^{-1}(M)$  in (15), (16) form, with connecting homomorphisms defined as above, the complete system of cohomology groups on the quasi-Frobenius algebra  $A$  in  $M$ .*

**4. Case of a Frobenius algebra.** Suppose that our quasi-Frobenius algebra  $A$  is in particular a Frobenius algebra, i.e.  $f(\pi(\rho)) = f(\rho)$  for all  $\rho = 1, \dots, r$ . We first consider a  $K$ -basis  $(a_\kappa)$  of the core  $A_0 = 1_0 A 1_0$  such that each  $a_\kappa$  lies in some of the modules  $e_1^{(\rho)} A_0 e_1^{(\sigma)}$ . Let  $(b_\kappa)$  be a basis dual to  $(a_\kappa)$ . We see readily, by the cited property of dual bases with respect to regular representations, that if  $a_\kappa \in e_1^{(\rho)} A_0 e_1^{(\sigma)}$  then  $b_\kappa \in e_1^{(\sigma)*} A_0 e_1^{(\rho)} = e_1^{(\pi(\sigma))} A_0 e_1^{(\rho)}$ . So, we then construct the products  $c_{i1}^{(\rho)} a_\kappa c_{i1}^{(\sigma)}$ ,  $c_{j1}^{(\pi(\sigma))} b_\kappa c_{i1}^{(\rho)}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, f(\rho)$ ;  $j = 1, \dots, f(\sigma)$  ( $= f(\pi(\sigma))$ )). With  $\kappa = 1, \dots, k$ , we order these two families of elements by the lexicographic order of  $(\kappa, i, j)$ , for example, to obtain a pair of dual bases  $(c_{i1}^{(\rho)} a_\kappa c_{i1}^{(\sigma)})$ ,  $(c_{j1}^{(\pi(\sigma))} b_\kappa c_{i1}^{(\rho)})$  of  $A$  belonging to the Frobenius algebra automorphism defined by (11). With this last choice of automorphism our module  $M^{\otimes}$  is obtained directly from  $M$  by modifying its right-module structure with this automorphism (but retaining its left-module structure), and with this choice of dual bases our map  $\bar{v} : M^{\otimes} \rightarrow M$  is readily seen to be the product of the thus existing trivial  $A$ -left-isomorphism  $M^{\otimes} \rightarrow M$  and the  $K$ -endomorphism of  $M$  denoted by  $\sigma$  in [3], §2.

After this observation with respect to the above specific dual bases of  $A$ , we consider the general case of an arbitrary pair of dual bases  $(a_\kappa)$ ,  $(b_\kappa)$  of the core  $A_0$ . By a  $K$ -linear transformation we can come to a basis with the above specific property that each member belongs to some  $e_1^{(\rho)} A_0 e_1^{(\sigma)}$ . The contragredient transformation turns  $(b_\kappa)$  to a dual to this basis (with respect

to the same  $*$ ). By the transition to this pair of dual bases the map  $\nu_0$  is left unchanged, and so is the expression in the right-hand side of (12). Applying then the above consideration to the newly constructed bases, we obtain that (in case  $A$  is a Frobenius algebra) *the above statements in italics concerning  $M^\S$  and  $\bar{\nu}$  are valid also with a given arbitrary pair of dual bases of the core  $A_0$  and with a suitable dual bases of  $A$  (with respect to the automorphism of  $A$  given by (11))* (Thus  $M^\S$  coincides with  $M^*$  in [3] when our automorphism of  $A$  is denoted also by  $*$ , and  $\bar{\nu}$  coincides with  $\sigma$ , in [3], up to a trivial transformation).

We repeat, however, that in case of a general quasi-Frobenius algebra the module  $M^\S$  is not, in general,  $A$ , left-isomorphic to  $M$  and our rather complicated construction of  $M^\S$  and  $\bar{\nu}$  is rather inevitable.

**5. Remarks.** With a quasi-Frobenius algebra  $A$  we retain our notations as  $e_i^{(\rho)}$ ,  $c_{ij}^{(\rho)}$ ,  $A_0$ ,  $(a_\kappa)$ ,  $(b_\kappa)$  and  $*$ . The  $A_0$ -double-module  $A_0^\circ = \text{Hom}_K(A_0, K)$  has a  $K$ -basis  $(\beta_\kappa)$  with  $\beta_\kappa(b_\lambda) = \delta_{\kappa\lambda}$ . By  $a_\kappa \rightarrow \beta_\kappa$  we obtain an  $A_0$ - $A_0$ -isomorphism of  $A_0$  and  $A_0^{\circ*} = (A_0^\circ, *)$  (cf. [3], §§2, 3). This is extended to an  $A$ - $A$ -isomorphism of the modules  $\sum c_{i1}^{(\rho)} e_1^{(\rho)} A_0 e_1^{(\sigma)} c_{ij}^{(\sigma)}$  and  $\sum c_{i1}^{(\rho)} e_1^{(\rho)} A_0^{\circ*} e_1^{(\sigma)} c_{ij}^{(\sigma)}$  of the similar construction as of (9). Here the former module is nothing but  $A$  while the latter is ( $A$ - $A$ -)isomorphic to  $A^{\circ\S} = (\text{Hom}_K(A, K))^\S$  as we readily see from the  $A_0$ - $A_0$ -isomorphism  $\sum e_1^{(\rho)} A^\circ e_1^{(\sigma)} \approx A_0^\circ$ .

Now, let  $0 \leftarrow A \leftarrow X_0 \leftarrow X_1 \leftarrow \dots$  be the standard (say) complex of  $A$ . From this we obtain an augmented acyclic projective (in fact free) complex  $0 \leftarrow A_0 \leftarrow (X_0)_0 \leftarrow (X_1)_0 \leftarrow \dots$  ( $(X_n)_0 = 1_0 X_n 1_0 = \sum e_1^{(\rho)} X_n e_1^{(\sigma)}$ ) of  $A_0$ , which is, however, not the standard one. We obtain then, by dualization and  $(, *)$ , an injective resolution  $0 \rightarrow A_0^{\circ*} \rightarrow (X_0)_0^{\circ*} \rightarrow (X_1)_0^{\circ*} \rightarrow \dots$  of the  $A_0$ -double-module  $A_0^{\circ*}$ ; the modules  $(X_n)_0^{\circ*}$  are ( $A_0$ - $A_0$ -)projective too. On observing  $\sum c_{i1}^{(\rho)} e_1^{(\rho)} (X_n)_0^{\circ*} e_1^{(\sigma)} c_{ij}^{(\sigma)} \approx X_n^{\circ\S}$  we obtain further the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A^{\circ\S} \rightarrow X_0^{\circ\S} \rightarrow X_1^{\circ\S} \rightarrow \dots$ . Combining this with the standard complex of  $A$ , which we have started with, through the  $A$ - $A$ -isomorphism of  $A$  and  $A^{\circ\S}$  constructed above, we obtain an augmented acyclic projective complete complex (1) with  $X_{-n-1} = X_n^{\circ\S}$ , where, thus,  $\varepsilon$  is the original augmentation in the standard complex and  $\iota$  is the product of our ( $A$ - $A$ -)isomorphism  $A \rightarrow A^{\circ\S}$  with the monomorphism  $A^{\circ\S} \rightarrow X_0^{\circ\S}$ . We see readily that this construction corresponds to our description of cohomology groups in  $\mathfrak{B}$ , and indeed gives a second derivation of

the result there.

We remark here that we do not need to start with the standard complex of  $A$ ; any projective resolution of the  $A$ -double-module  $A$  will do, except that the choice of  $X_0$  in the standard complex makes the description of the 0- and  $-1$ -cohomology groups easy. (For instance we may use the resolution such that  $0 \leftarrow A_0 \leftarrow (X_0)_0 \leftarrow (X_1)_0 \leftarrow \dots$  is the standard complex of  $A_0$ .) We note also that we then need not use the negative-dimensional part derived, by the above construction, from the positive-dimensional part, but may combine a given positive-dimensional part with a negative-dimensional part derived, by our construction, from another positive-dimensional part. Important is, however, that they are combined through our  $A$ - $A$ -isomorphism  $A \rightarrow A^{\circ\delta}$ .

A further remark is that another description of the cohomology groups  $H(A, M)$ , which is more economical than ours, is the one as those of the Frobenius core  $A_0$  in  $M_0$  i.e.  $H^p(A, M) \approx H^p(A_0, M_0)$  (where the right-hand side is known in [3]). (This is verified either in axiomatic way or by complexes.)—It is indeed a general useful principle that the (co)homology theory of an algebra may be reduced to that of its core algebra.—But our description refers directly to  $A$  and  $A$ -double-modules, which is perhaps of use and interest too.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. Cartan, Séminaire de topologie algébrique, 1950-51.
- [2] H. Cartan-S. Eilenberg, Homological Algebra, Princeton 1956.
- [3] T. Nakayama, On the complete cohomology theory of Frobenius algebras, Osaka Math. J., **9** (1957), 165-187.

*Nagoya University*

