

# ON A COVERING SURFACE OVER AN ABSTRACT RIEMANN SURFACE

MAKOTO OHTSUKA\*

1. Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be an abstract Riemann surface in the sense of Weyl-Radó, and  $\mathfrak{R}$  an open covering surface over  $\mathfrak{R}$ . If a curve  $C = \{P(t); 0 \leq t < 1\}$  on  $\mathfrak{R}$  tends to the ideal boundary of  $\mathfrak{R}$  but its projection terminates at an inner point of  $\mathfrak{R}$  as  $t \rightarrow 1$ , we shall say that  $C$  determines an *accessible boundary point* (which will be abbreviated by A.B.P.) of  $\mathfrak{R}$  relatively to  $\mathfrak{R}$ . The set of all the A.B.P.s<sup>1)</sup> of  $\mathfrak{R}$  relative to  $\mathfrak{R}$  will be called *accessible boundary* (relative to  $\mathfrak{R}$ ) and denoted by  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})$  or by  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R})$ . Throughout in this paper  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})$  will be supposed to be non-empty.

After K. I. Virtanen [12] we shall use the notation  $(B_0)$  to denote the class of Riemann surfaces, on which no one-valued and non-constant bounded harmonic function exists.

In the first place in this note we shall define *harmonic measure*  $\omega(P)$  of  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})$  and show that if  $\omega(P) > 0$  then  $\mathfrak{R} \notin (B_0)$ .

We suppose next that the projection of  $\mathfrak{R}$  is compact in  $\mathfrak{R}$  and that the universal covering surface  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$  is of hyperbolic type. Then  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$  is mapped conformally onto a unit circular domain  $U: |z| < 1$ , and we obtain a function  $f(z)$  which maps  $U$  into  $\mathfrak{R}$ , corresponding to the mappings  $U \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^\infty \rightarrow \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ . If  $f(z)$  tends to a value  $f(e^{i\theta})$  as  $z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}$  along every Stolz's path<sup>2)3)</sup> a.e. (= almost everywhere) on  $\Gamma: |z| = 1$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}$  will be called of *F-type* (relatively to  $\mathfrak{R}$ ) (cf. [7], Chap. III, § 2).

In § 5 of this note we shall show that  $\omega(P) \equiv 1$  for  $\mathfrak{R}$  of F-type and give a condition so that  $\mathfrak{R}$  is of F-type, generalizing a result in [7].

Finally we shall remark some relations between concepts defined in this note.

2. We consider the class  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{R})$  of all the non-negative continuous super-

---

Received November 7, 1951.

\* This work was done by the writer as a fellow of the Yukawa Foundation of Osaka University.

<sup>1)</sup> Any equivalency of A.B.P.s is not considered here.

<sup>2)</sup> By a Stolz's path we mean a path which terminates at a point on  $\Gamma$  and lies between two chords through the point.

<sup>3)</sup> When  $f(z)$  has this property, we shall say that  $f(z)$  has an angular limit at  $e^{i\theta}$  and call  $f(e^{i\theta})$  the angular limit at  $e^{i\theta}$ .

harmonic functions  $\{v(P)\}$  on  $\mathfrak{R}$  such that  $v(P) \leq 1$  and  $\lim v(P) = 1$  when  $P$  tends to  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})$  along every curve determining an A.B.P. of  $\mathfrak{R}$  relative to  $\mathfrak{R}$ . This class is non-empty, since the constant 1 belongs to it. The lower cover (= infimum at every point) of  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{R})$  is harmonic on  $\mathfrak{R}$  by Perron-Brelot's principle (cf. [7], Chap. I, § 1), and will be denoted by  $\mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R}))$ .

First we suppose that the universal covering surface  $\mathfrak{R}'^\infty$  of the projection  $\mathfrak{R}'$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$  into  $\mathfrak{R}$  is of *hyperbolic type*; that is, if  $\mathfrak{R}'$  is of genus zero it is conformally equivalent to a plane domain with at least three boundary points, if  $\mathfrak{R}'$  is of genus one it is open, and if the genus is greater than one  $\mathfrak{R}'$  is required to fulfill no further condition. We define harmonic measure (function)  $\omega(P)$  of  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})$  by means of  $\mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R}'^\infty, \mathfrak{R}'))$ , which may be regarded as a one-valued function on  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

The universal covering surface  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$  is also of hyperbolic type and mapped conformally onto  $U: |z| < 1$ . It can be shown that the images in  $U$  of a curve determining an A.B.P. of  $\mathfrak{R}$  terminate at points on  $\Gamma: |z| = 1$ , which are equivalent with respect to a Fuchsian group, and that,  $f(z)$  denoting mapping function of  $U$  into  $\mathfrak{R}$ ,  $f(z)$  has an angular limit at any point  $e^{i\theta}$  on  $\Gamma$ , where an image of a determining curve of an A.B.P. terminates.<sup>4)</sup> We shall call the set of all the points on  $\Gamma$ , which correspond to A.B.P.s of  $\mathfrak{R}$ , the image on  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})$ .

We will now give

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be an open covering surface over an abstract Riemann surface  $\mathfrak{R}$ , and suppose that the universal covering surface of the projection  $\mathfrak{R}'$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$  into  $\mathfrak{R}$  is of hyperbolic type. Then the image  $E$  on  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})$  is linearly measurable and the value of the harmonic measure  $\mu(z, E)$  in  $U$  of  $E$  is equal to the value of  $\mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R}'^\infty))$  at any corresponding points.*

*Proof.* In case  $\mathfrak{R}'^\infty$  is of hyperbolic type, map it conformally onto  $U_w: |w| < 1$ .  $E$  coincides with the place on  $\Gamma$ , where any branch of the function corresponding to the mappings  $U \rightarrow \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}'^\infty \rightarrow U_w$  has limits lying in  $U_w$ . Namely,  $E$  is the complement of the set  $E'$  on  $\Gamma$ , where the branch has radial limits on  $|w| = 1$  or has no limit. Since  $E'$  is linearly measurable (cf. [7], Chap. IV, § 3),<sup>5)</sup>  $E$  is so too.

In case  $\mathfrak{R}'^\infty$  is of parabolic or elliptic type, map it conformally onto  $|w| < \infty$  or  $|w| \leq \infty$ . Since  $\mathfrak{R}'^\infty$  is of hyperbolic type, any branch of the function mapping  $U$  into the  $w$ -plane does not take at least three values  $w_1, w_2$  and  $w_3$ . Map further the universal covering surface of the complement of  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  onto  $U_w: |w| < 1$ , and let  $\omega = F(z)$  be any branch of the function corresponding to the composed mappings. To  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  there correspond an enumerably infinite number

<sup>4)</sup> These results were stated in [7], Chap. III, § 1 under the assumption that the projection  $\mathfrak{R}'$  is compact in  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

<sup>5)</sup> The method in proving the measurability of  $E'$  is available also to show the measurability of  $E$  directly.

of points  $\{\omega_i\}$  on  $|\omega| = 1$ .  $E$  is classified into the following two parts:  $E_1$  where  $F(z)$  has radial limits lying in  $U_\omega$ , and  $E_2$ , which is a subset of the set  $E'_2$  where the radial limits of  $F(z)$  are equal to some of  $\{\omega_i\}$ .  $E'_2$  is linearly measurable and its measure is zero by Riesz's theorem [9], and the measurability of  $E_1$  follows for the same reason as in the first case. Thus  $E = E_1 + E_2$  is measurable.

The harmonic measure  $\mu(z, E)$  of  $E$  is equal to the lower cover of the class  $\mathfrak{B}(U)$  consisting of all the non-negative continuous super-harmonic functions  $\{v(z)\}$  in  $U$ , each of which is  $\leq 1$  and tends to 1 as  $z$  approaches every point of  $E$ . If  $v(z)$  is considered on  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$ , it belongs to  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty)$  and hence

$$\mu(P(z), \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty)) \leq \mu(z, E).$$

Conversely let  $v_1(P)$  be any function of  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty)$  and consider it in  $U$ . Then its radial limit equals 1 at every point of  $E$ . Letting  $\rho \rightarrow 1$  in inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} v_1(P(z)) &\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} v_1(P(\rho e^{i\vartheta})) \frac{\rho^2 - r^2}{\rho^2 + r^2 - 2\rho r \cos(\theta - \varphi)} d\varphi \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{e^{i\vartheta} \in E} v_1(P(\rho e^{i\vartheta})) \frac{\rho^2 - r^2}{\rho^2 + r^2 - 2\rho r \cos(\theta - \varphi)} d\varphi \quad (z = re^{i\theta}, \rho > r), \end{aligned}$$

we have by Lebesgue's theorem

$$v_1(P(z)) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{e^{i\vartheta} \in E} \frac{1 - r^2}{1 + r^2 - 2r \cos(\theta - \varphi)} d\varphi = \mu(z, E).$$

Consequently we obtain the reverse inequality

$$\mu(P(z), \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty)) \geq \mu(z, E).$$

Thus there holds the equality and the theorem is proved.

3. As preparation for the definition of  $\omega(P)$  in the case when  $\mathfrak{U}'^\infty$  is not of hyperbolic type, we shall prove the following lemma, which will be used also in § 5.

LEMMA. Let the universal covering surface  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$  be of hyperbolic type and map it conformally onto  $U$ . Suppose that the mapping function  $f(z)$  of  $U$  into  $\mathfrak{R}$  has an angular limit at every point  $e^{i\theta}$  belonging to a measurable set  $E \subset \Gamma$ . Take a finite number of points  $\{P_i\}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) on  $\mathfrak{R}$  and remove from  $\mathfrak{R}$  all the points lying over them so that the projection of the remaining surface  $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$  has a universal covering surface of hyperbolic type.

Then there holds at any corresponding points

$$\mu(z, E) \leq \mu(P, \mathfrak{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^\infty)).$$

*Proof.* Map  $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^\infty$  onto  $U_\zeta: |\zeta| < 1$  and denote the image on  $\Gamma_\zeta: |\zeta| = 1$  of  $\mathfrak{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^\infty)$  by  $E_\zeta$ . Then by Theorem 1  $\mu(P, \mathfrak{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^\infty)) = \mu(\zeta, E_\zeta)$ . Hence we shall show  $\mu(z, E) \leq \mu(\zeta, E_\zeta)$  under the assumption that the linear measure  $m(E) > 0$ .

Let  $E'$  be any measurable subset of positive measure of  $E$ . Any image in  $U_\zeta$  of a Stolz's path terminating at a point of  $E'$  terminates at a point of  $E'_\zeta$ . We shall call the set of all such end-points on  $E'_\zeta$  the angular image on  $E'_\zeta$  of  $E'$ . In the following we shall show that the angular image on  $E'_\zeta$  of  $E'$  has a positive linear inner measure.

Consider a non-constant one-valued meromorphic function on  $\mathfrak{R}$  and combine it with  $f(z)$ . The function  $F(z)$  thus defined in  $U$  is also non-constant one-valued and meromorphic. Let  $E'' \subset E'$  be the set where the limits of  $f(z)$  are equal to some of  $\{P_i\}$ . Then  $F(z)$  has also a finite number of values as its angular limits at points of  $E''$ .  $E''$  is measurable and Lusin-Priwaloff's theorem [2]<sup>6)</sup> shows that the linear measure of  $E''$  is zero. Hence  $m(E' - E'') = m(E') > 0$ . Denote the angular domain:  $|\arg(1 - e^{-i\theta}z)| < \frac{\pi}{4}$  at  $e^{i\theta}$  by  $A(\theta)$ . By Egoroff's theorem we can find a closed subset  $F$  of positive linear measure of  $E' - E''$  such that  $f(z)$  tends to the angular limit  $f(e^{i\theta})$  uniformly as  $z \rightarrow e^{i\theta} \in F$  from the inside of  $A(\theta)$ . In the usual way we get a domain  $D \subset U$ , which contains an end-part of every  $A(\theta)$  for  $e^{i\theta} \in F$  and is bounded by a rectifiable curve  $C$  consisting of  $F$  and segments lying on the boundaries of  $\{A(\theta); e^{i\theta} \in F\}$ . The number of points  $\{z_k\}$  corresponding to  $\{P_i\}$  and lying on  $D + C$  is finite, because  $f(z) \rightarrow f(e^{i\theta})$  uniformly in  $D$  and  $\{f(e^{i\theta}); e^{i\theta} \in F\}$  is a closed set not containing the points  $\{P_i\}$ . By removing  $\{z_k\}$  from  $D + C$  by rectifiable cross-cuts we obtain a simply-connected subdomain  $D_1$  with  $F$  on its boundary. Map  $D_1$  onto  $U_x: |x| < 1$ . Then  $F$  is transformed to a closed set  $F_x$  of positive linear measure on  $\Gamma_x: |x| = 1$  in virtue of Riesz's theorem ([9], [8]). The mapping of  $D_1$  onto a subdomain  $D_\zeta$  of  $U_\zeta$  is one-to-one continuous, with their boundaries included. In the mapping  $U_x \rightarrow D_\zeta$  the linear measure of the image  $F_\zeta$  on  $\Gamma_\zeta$  of  $F_x$  is greater than  $m(F_x) > 0$  on account of the extension of Löwner's lemma (cf. [7], Chap. IV, §3), where  $\zeta = 0$  is supposed to correspond to  $x = 0$  without loss of generality. Accordingly  $m(F_\zeta) > 0$ . Since  $F_\zeta$  is contained in the angular image of  $F$  on  $E_\zeta$ , the angular image on  $E_\zeta$  of  $E' \supset F$  has a positive linear inner measure.

Once established this fact, the rest of the proof of our lemma is carried as follows. The function  $\mu(\zeta, E_\zeta)$  can be regarded as a one-valued bounded harmonic function in  $U$ . By Fatou's theorem it has angular limits a.e. on  $\Gamma$ . Denote the subset of  $E$ , where this function has angular limits less than 1, by  $E_1$ , and its angular image on  $E_\zeta$  by  $E_\zeta^{(1)}$ . At every point of  $E_\zeta^{(1)}$  there terminates a curve along which  $\mu(\zeta, E_\zeta)$  tends to a value  $< 1$ , and so  $\mu(\zeta, E_\zeta)$  can not have the angular limit 1 at any point of  $E_\zeta^{(1)}$ . Hence the inner measure  $\underline{m}(E_\zeta^{(1)}) = 0$ , because if  $\underline{m}(E_\zeta^{(1)}) > 0$  then  $\mu(\zeta, E_\zeta)$  would have the angular limit 1 at a certain point of  $E_\zeta^{(1)} \subset E_\zeta$ . As we have seen that  $\underline{m}(E_\zeta^{(1)}) > 0$  follows from  $m(E_1) > 0$ ,

<sup>6)</sup> For its generalization, cf. [10] and [7], Chap. III, §2.

there must hold  $m(E_1) = 0$ . Thus  $\mu(\zeta, E_\zeta)$ , which is considered as a function in  $U$ , has the radial limit 1 a.e. on  $E$ . Consequently we have  $\mu(z, E) \leq \mu(\zeta, E_\zeta)$ .

Using this lemma the following theorem is proved:

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$  is of hyperbolic type. Take a finite number of points  $\{P_i\}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) on  $\mathfrak{R}$ , remove from  $\mathfrak{R}$  all the points lying over them and denote the remaining surface by  $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ . Then there holds*

$$\mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty)) = \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^\infty)).$$

*Proof.* Map  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$  and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^\infty$  onto  $U$  and  $U_\zeta$ , and let  $E$  and  $E_\zeta$  be the images on  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_\zeta$  of  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty)$  and  $\mathfrak{A}(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^\infty)$  respectively. Since  $\mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty)) = \mu(z, E)$  and  $\mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^\infty)) = \mu(\zeta, E_\zeta)$ , we want to prove  $\mu(z, E) = \mu(\zeta, E_\zeta)$  at corresponding points. One inequality  $\mu(z, E) \leq \mu(\zeta, E_\zeta)$  follows from the above lemma.

On the other hand, every radius terminating at a point on  $E_\zeta$  is transformed to a curve in  $U$  which terminates at a point of  $E$  or at one of the inner points  $\{z_n\}$  corresponding to  $\{P_i\}$ . It is easily shown that  $E$  coincides with the set of all such end-points on  $\Gamma$ . Since the number of  $\{z_n\}$  is at most enumerably infinite, the part  $E'_\zeta \subset E_\zeta$  which corresponds to  $\{z_n\}$  has linear measure zero. If  $\zeta = 0$  corresponds to  $z = 0$ ,  $m(E_\zeta) = m(E_\zeta - E'_\zeta) \leq m(E)$  on account of the extension of Löwner's lemma. Hence there follows the reverse inequality  $\mu(z, E) \geq \mu(\zeta, E_\zeta)$ , and the required equality is obtained.

Let us now define the harmonic measure  $\omega(P)$  of  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})$  when  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$  is *not of hyperbolic type*. Take one or two or three points on  $\mathfrak{R}$  and remove from  $\mathfrak{R}$  all the points lying over them so that the projection of the remaining surface  $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$  has a universal covering surface of hyperbolic type. We define harmonic measure  $\omega(P)$  of  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})$  by  $\mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^\infty, \mathfrak{R}))$ . Since every removed point of  $\mathfrak{R}$  is isolated,  $\omega(P)$  becomes harmonic everywhere on  $\mathfrak{R}$ . To avoid any possible ambiguity, we must, and shall, show that  $\omega(P)$  is determined independently of the position of the points selected on  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

Take a finite number of points on  $\mathfrak{R}$  in another way, remove all the points lying over them from  $\mathfrak{R}$  and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ , and denote the remaining surfaces by  $\hat{\mathfrak{R}}$  and  $\hat{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}}$  respectively. The universal covering surface of the projection into  $\mathfrak{R}$  of  $\hat{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}}$  is supposed to be of hyperbolic type here. On account of Theorem 2 we have

$$\omega(P) = \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^\infty)) = \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\hat{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}}^\infty)) = \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\hat{\mathfrak{R}}^\infty)).$$

Thus the harmonic measure  $\omega(P)$  of  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})$  has been defined in all cases.

4. Prior to show a relation between  $\omega(P)$  and the class  $(B_3)$ , we shall state some related results obtained recently.

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a covering surface over the  $w$ -plane,  $K$  be a circular domain in the plane, and  $\mathfrak{D}$  be a domain of  $\mathfrak{R}$ , which lies over  $K$  and whose boundary in  $\mathfrak{R}$

lies over the boundary of  $K$ . Y. Nagai [5]<sup>7)</sup> and M. Tsuji [11] found independently that if  $\mathfrak{D}$  does not cover a set of positive capacity in  $K$  then  $\mathfrak{R}$  has a positive boundary,<sup>8)</sup> and Y. Nagai [5] showed that,  $n(w)$  denoting the number of points of  $\mathfrak{R}$  lying over  $w$ , if the set  $\{w; n(w) < \sup n(w)\}$  is of positive capacity, then  $K$  and  $\mathfrak{D}$  can be chosen such that  $\mathfrak{D}$  does not cover a set of positive capacity in  $K$ . Further map the universal covering surface of  $\mathfrak{D}$  onto  $U$  and denote the mapping function of  $U$  into the  $w$ -plane by  $f(z)$ . A. Mori [4] proved the following theorem:  $\mathfrak{R} \notin (B_0)$  if it does not arise that almost all radial limits of  $f(z)$  lie on the boundary of  $K$ ; and also showed that the requirement in this theorem is fulfilled if  $\mathfrak{D}$  does not cover a set of positive capacity in  $K$ .

In this section we will prove

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a covering surface over an abstract Riemann surface  $\mathfrak{R}$ . If the harmonic measure  $\omega(P)$  of the accessible boundary  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})$  is positive, then  $\mathfrak{R} \notin (B_0)$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we may suppose that  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$  is of hyperbolic type. Let  $\{\mathfrak{S}_n\}$  be a sequence of triangulations of  $\mathfrak{R}$  such that  $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$  is a subdivision of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  becomes as fine as we please when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We denote the triangles of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  by  $\{\Delta_i^{(n)}\}$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots$ ; finite or infinite).<sup>9)</sup> Map  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$  onto  $U$  and denote the function corresponding to  $U \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^\infty \rightarrow \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  by  $f(z)$ . The set on  $\Gamma$ , where the radial limits of  $f(z)$  lie in  $\Delta_i^{(n)}$ , will be denoted by  $E_i^{(n)}$ . Then every  $E_i^{(n)}$  is linearly measurable and the image on  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})$  is equal to  $\sum_i E_i^{(n)}$  for each  $n$ . If there is such an  $E_i^{(n)}$  as  $0 < m(E_i^{(n)}) < 2\pi$ , its harmonic measure in  $U$  is transformed into a one-valued non-constant harmonic function on  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Thus the required function is obtained.

On the contrary, suppose that for every  $n$  there existed  $i(n)$  such that  $m(E_{i(n)}^{(n)}) = 2\pi$ . Then  $E_{i(n)}^{(n)} \supset E_{i(n+1)}^{(n+1)}$  and  $\Delta_{i(n)}^{(n)} \supset \Delta_{i(n+1)}^{(n+1)}$ . If we compose a non-constant meromorphic function  $\theta(P)$  on  $\mathfrak{R}$  and  $f(z)$ , the angular limits of the composed function  $F(z)$  would be equal to one and the same value  $\theta(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{i(n)}^{(n)})$  at every point of  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{i(n)}^{(n)}$  with  $m(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{i(n)}^{(n)}) = 2\pi$ . On account of Lusin-Privaloff's theorem  $F(z)$  would be a constant and this is a contradiction, which completes the proof.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a covering surface over an abstract Riemann surface  $\mathfrak{R}$ . If  $\mathfrak{R}$  does not cover a set of positive capacity on  $\mathfrak{R}$ ,<sup>10)</sup> then  $\omega(P) > 0$ .*

<sup>7)</sup> His statement is of a slightly different form.

<sup>8)</sup> As is known, a Green's function exists on  $\mathfrak{R}$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{R}$  has a positive boundary. Cf. [7], Chap. II, §4.

<sup>9)</sup>  $\{\Delta_i^{(n)}\}$  are made half open so that they are mutually disjoint for every fixed  $n$ .

<sup>10)</sup> This means that the image in a parameter circle, corresponding to a certain neighborhood on  $\mathfrak{R}$ , is of positive capacity.

*Proof.* First suppose that  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$  is of hyperbolic type, and map  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$  and  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$  onto  $U$  and  $U_w: |w| < 1$  respectively. Any branch of the function corresponding to  $U \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^\infty \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^\infty \rightarrow U_w$  will be denoted by  $w = F(z)$ .  $F(z)$  does not take values of a set of positive capacity in  $U_w$  and the image  $E$  on  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})$  coincides with the place where  $F(z)$  has limits lying inside  $U_w$ . Hence by Frostman's theorem [2] for functions of class (U),  $m(E) > 0$ . Thus  $\omega(P) = \mu(z, E) > 0$ . The case when  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$  is not of hyperbolic type is now easily treated.

**COROLLARY.** Let  $\mathfrak{D}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}$  be domains of  $\mathfrak{R}$  and  $\mathfrak{R}$  respectively such that  $\mathfrak{D}$  lies over  $\mathfrak{D}$  and the boundary of  $\mathfrak{D}$  in  $\mathfrak{R}$  does not lie over the inside of  $\mathfrak{D}$ . If  $\mathfrak{D}$  does not cover a set of positive capacity in  $\mathfrak{D}$  then  $\omega(P)$  of  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})$  is positive.

For, the harmonic measure of  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D})$  is positive by Theorem 4. On account of the extension of Löwner's lemma  $\omega(P)$  of  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R})$  is greater than it and hence is positive.

5. Theorem 3 is trivial when  $\omega(P)$  is not a constant, and is interesting only when  $\omega(P) \equiv 1$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a covering surface of F-type over  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Then  $\omega(P) \equiv 1$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$  is of hyperbolic type,  $\omega(P) = \mu(z, E) \equiv 1$  by Theorem 1, where  $E$  is the image on  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})$ .

In the case when  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$  is not so, define  $\mathfrak{R}$  as in § 3 and map  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$  onto  $U_\zeta: |\zeta| < 1$ . We shall denote the image on  $|\zeta| = 1$  of  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})$  by  $E_\zeta$ , and the set on  $\Gamma$ , where the mapping function of  $U$  into  $\mathfrak{R}$  has angular limits, by  $E$ . Then by Lemma in § 3 there follows  $\mu(z, E) \leq \mu(\zeta, E_\zeta)$  at corresponding points. Since  $m(E) = 2\pi$ , we have  $\omega(P) = \mu(\zeta, E_\zeta) = \mu(z, E) \equiv 1$ .

We next give a condition under which  $\mathfrak{R}$  becomes of F-type, by

**THEOREM 6.** (*Extension of Theorem 3.3 in [7].*) *Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a covering surface over an abstract Riemann surface  $\mathfrak{R}$  such that the projection of  $\mathfrak{R}$  is compact in  $\mathfrak{R}$ , and denote the number of points of  $\mathfrak{R}$  lying over  $\underline{P} \in \mathfrak{R}$  by  $n(\underline{P})$ , computing the multiplicity at each branch point of  $\mathfrak{R}$ . If the set  $\underline{E} = \{\underline{P} \in \mathfrak{R}; n(\underline{P}) < N = \sup n(\underline{P})\}$  is of positive capacity on  $\mathfrak{R}$ , then  $\mathfrak{R}$  is of F-type.*

*Proof.* The set  $\underline{E}_k = \{\underline{P}; n(\underline{P}) \leq k\}$  is a closed set for each  $k$ . Since  $\underline{E} = \bigcup_{0 \leq k < N} \underline{E}_k$  and is of positive capacity, there exist the smallest number  $k_0$  for which  $\underline{E}_{k_0}$  is of positive capacity. If  $k_0 = 0$  there follows  $\mathfrak{R} \notin (B_0)$  from Theorems 4 and 3. The set  $\underline{E}_{k_0}^b - \underline{E}_{k_0}^b \cap \underline{E}_{k_0-1}$  for  $k_0 > 0$  is also of positive capacity, where  $\underline{E}_{k_0}^b$  denotes the boundary in  $\mathfrak{R}$  of  $\underline{E}_{k_0}^b$ . Let  $\underline{P}_0$  be an arbitrary point of its transfinite kernel. There lie  $l \leq k_0$  points of  $\mathfrak{R}: P_1, P_2, \dots, P_l$ , over  $\underline{P}_0$ . Over a sufficiently small neighborhood  $\underline{N}$  on  $\mathfrak{R}$  of  $\underline{P}_0$  there exists another connected piece  $\mathfrak{D}$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$  than those containing  $\{P_j\}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq l$ ). Since this domain

$\mathfrak{D}$  does not cover a set of positive capacity in  $\underline{N}$ ,  $\omega(P) > 0$  by Corollary of Theorem 4 and hence  $\mathfrak{R} \notin (\mathbf{B}_0)$  by Theorem 3.<sup>11)</sup> Thus  $\mathfrak{R}$  has a positive boundary.

Map  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$ , which is of hyperbolic type, onto  $U$ , and consider a Green's function  $G(P)$  on  $\mathfrak{R}$  as a function in  $U$ . The angular limit of  $G(P(z))$  is equal to 1 at every point of a set  $G_z$  of linear measure  $2\pi$  (cf. [6], Chap. VII). In a similar manner as in the proof of Lemma in §3, we get a domain  $D$  in  $U$  such that it contains an end-part of the angular domain:  $|\arg(1 - e^{-i\theta}z)| < \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{p}$  ( $> 0$ ) at every point  $e^{i\theta}$  of a closed set  $F_n \subset G_z$  with  $m(F_n) > 2\pi - \frac{1}{n}$  and is bounded by a rectifiable curve  $C$  and  $G(P(z)) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly as  $z \rightarrow F_n$  from the inside of  $D$ . Since  $G(P_j) > 0$  ( $1 \leq j \leq l$ ), the image of  $\{P_j\}$  in  $D$  or on  $C$  consists of a finite number of points. We remove these points from  $D + C$  by rectifiable cross-cuts such that the remaining domain  $D_1$  is simply-connected and  $F_n$  lies on its boundary. Map  $D_1$  onto  $U_\zeta: |\zeta| < 1$  and consider in  $U_\zeta$  the function  $f(z)$  which maps  $U$  into  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Since the image on  $\mathfrak{R}$  of  $D_1$  does not contain points near  $\{P_j\}$ , it does not cover a set of positive capacity on  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Hence by Theorem 3.3 in [7]  $f(z(\zeta))$  has angular limits a.e. on  $\Gamma_\zeta: |\zeta| = 1$ .

Now we denote the angular domain:  $|\arg(1 - e^{-i\theta}z)| < \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{2}{p}$  at  $e^{i\theta}$  by  $A_p(\theta)$ . By the method in proving the angular proportionality at boundary points in conformal mapping (cf. [1]), we can show that an end-part of  $A_p(\theta)$  at  $e^{i\theta} \in F_n$  is transformed to a domain inside an angular domain at  $\zeta(e^{i\theta})$  when  $D_1$  is mapped onto  $U_\zeta$ . Thus  $f(z)$  has a limit from the inside of  $A_p(\theta)$  at the image  $e^{i\theta}$  of a point on  $\Gamma_\zeta$  where  $f(z(\zeta))$  has an angular limit. By Riesz's theorem the image on  $\Gamma$  of any null set on  $\Gamma_\zeta$  is a null set. Therefore  $f(z)$  has a limit from the inside of  $A_p(\theta)$  at every point  $e^{i\theta}$  of a set of measure  $2\pi - \frac{1}{n}$ . By letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we see that  $f(z)$  has limits everywhere on  $\Gamma$  from the inside of  $A_p(\theta)$ , except on a set  $H_p$  with  $m(H_p) = 0$ . Hence  $f(z)$  has an angular limit at every point of  $\Gamma - \bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} H_p$ . Since  $m(\bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} H_p) = 0$ ,  $f(z)$  has an angular limit a.e. on  $\Gamma$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{R}$  is of F-type.

6. In the following we shall see some relations between various concepts defined in this note, under the assumption that  $\mathfrak{R}'^p$  is *not of hyperbolic type*; if this is of hyperbolic type the relations are stated in simpler forms.

First we suppose that  $\mathfrak{R}$  has a null boundary. The surface  $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$  which is defined in §3 has also a null boundary by Lemma 1.3 in [7]. Since no bounded and non-constant continuous superharmonic function exists on a surface with null boundary by Lemma 1.2 in [7], the upper classes  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{R})$  and  $\mathfrak{B}(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}})$  contain merely the constant 1. Thus  $\mu(P, \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{R})) = \mu(P, \mathfrak{B}(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}})) \equiv 1$ . On the other hand

<sup>11)</sup> Here we see that Theorem 6 does not serve as an example of the application of the fact, which follows from Theorems 5 and 3, that  $\mathfrak{R}$  of F-type does not belong to  $(\mathbf{B}_0)$ .



Theorem 3 shows that  $\omega(P) = \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^\infty)) \equiv 0$ . If  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$  is of parabolic type, this has a null boundary and hence  $\mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty)) \equiv 1$ . We shall show that  $\mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty)) \equiv 0$  if  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$  is of hyperbolic type. Any curve determining an A.B.P. of  $\mathfrak{R}$  converges to an ideal boundary component of  $\mathfrak{R}$ .<sup>12)</sup> M. Tsuji [11] showed that the image  $E_0$  on  $\Gamma$  of the ideal boundary of  $\mathfrak{R}$  has linear measure zero in the mapping of  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$  onto  $U$ . Hence any image of a determining curve of an A.B.P. terminates at a point of  $E_0$ , and the lower cover of the class consisting of all the non-negative continuous superharmonic functions  $\{v(z)\}$  not greater than 1 and with  $\lim_{z \rightarrow P_0} v(z) = 1$  is zero. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and an arbitrary point  $z_0$ , we can find in this class a function  $v_0(z)$  with  $v_0(z_0) < \varepsilon$ . If  $v_0(z)$  is regarded as a function on  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$ , it belongs to  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty)$ . By the arbitrariness of  $z_0$  and  $\varepsilon$ , the lower cover  $\mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty))$  of  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty)$  is zero constantly.

Let us now pass to the case where  $\mathfrak{R}$  has a positive boundary. Set  $\mathfrak{R} - \tilde{\mathfrak{R}} = \{P_n\}$  and let  $G_n(P)$  be the Green's function on  $\mathfrak{R}$  with its pole at  $P_n$ . For an arbitrary point  $P_0 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ , the function  $g(P) = \sum_n \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot \frac{G_n(P)}{G_n(P_0)}$  represents a harmonic function on  $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$  in virtue of Harnack's theorem. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $v(P) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{R})$ ,  $\min(1, v(P) + \varepsilon g(P))$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{B}(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}})$  if it is considered as a function on  $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ .  $\varepsilon$  and  $v(P)$  being arbitrary, there follows  $\mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})) \geq \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}))$ . Conversely any  $v(P) \in \mathfrak{B}(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}})$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{R})$  if the value 1 is supplemented to  $v(P)$  at  $\mathfrak{R} - \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ . Hence  $\mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}})) \geq \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R}))$  and the equality follows. Further there holds  $\mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})) \geq \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty))$ , because any  $v(P) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{R})$  considered on  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty)$ . It is yet unknown whether there is or not a case when a proper inequality holds. Since, for any  $v(P) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\min(1, v(P) + \varepsilon g(P)) \in \mathfrak{B}(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^\infty)$ , we can conclude the inequality  $\mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty)) \geq \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^\infty))$ . At present we have no example in which the inequality of this relation is proper. The relations are summarized in

$$\mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})) = \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}})) \geq \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty)) \geq \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^\infty)).$$

Generalizing the definition in [7], Chap. IV, § 2, we will say that a covering surface  $\mathfrak{R}$  with positive boundary over  $\mathfrak{R}$  is of D-type (relatively to  $\mathfrak{R}$ ), if any upper bounded continuous subharmonic function  $u(P)$  is non-positive whenever  $\overline{\lim} u(P) \leq 0$  as  $P \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})$  along every determining curve of an A.B.P. Since, for any  $v(P) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{R})$ ,  $1 - v(P)$  may be taken as above  $u(P)$  and conversely, for any such a  $u(P) < M$  ( $> 0$ ),  $\min(1, 1 - u(P)/M) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{R})$ , we find that  $\mathfrak{R}$  is of D-type if and only if  $\mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})) \equiv 1$ . Taking Theorem 4.1 in [7] into account, for  $\mathfrak{R}$  with positive boundary we can write

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})) \equiv 1 & \stackrel{\sim}{\leftarrow} & \text{D-type} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \omega(P) \equiv 1 & \leftarrow & \text{F-type}, \end{array}$$

<sup>12)</sup> For the definition of an ideal boundary component, cf. [7], Chap. III, § 5.

where  $\downarrow$  means that this is known to us only in a special case. Theorem 4.2 in [7] is included in this scheme. Here are left some questions open still.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [ 1 ] C. Carathéodory: Elementare Beweis für den Fundamentalsatz der konformen Abbildung, Schwarz Festschrift, Berlin (1914), pp. 19-41.
- [ 2 ] O. Frostman: Potentiel d'équilibre et capacité des ensembles avec quelques applications à la théorie des fonctions, Meddel. Lunds. Univ. Mat. Sem., **3** (1935), pp. 1-118.
- [ 3 ] N. Lusin and J. Priwaloff: Sur l'unicité et la multiplicité des fonctions analytiques, Ann. École Norm., **42** (1925), pp. 143-191.
- [ 4 ] A. Mori: On Riemann surfaces, on which no bounded harmonic function exists, which will appear in Journ. Math. Soc. Japan.
- [ 5 ] Y. Nagai: On the behaviour of the boundary of Riemann surfaces, II, Proc. Japan Acad., **26** (1950), pp. 10-16 (of No. 6).
- [ 6 ] R. Nevanlinna: Eindeutige analytische Funktionen, Berlin (1936).
- [ 7 ] M. Ohtsuka: Dirichlet problems on Riemann surfaces and conformal mappings, Nagoya Math. Journ., **3** (1951), pp. 91-137.
- [ 8 ] F. Riesz: Über die Randwerte einer analytischen Funktion, Math. Z., **18** (1923), pp. 87-95.
- [ 9 ] F. and M. Riesz: Über die Randwerte einer analytischen Funktion, 4 Congrès Scand. Stockholm, (1916), pp. 27-44.
- [10] M. Tsuji: Theory of meromorphic function in a neighbourhood of a closed set of capacity zero, Jap. J. Math., **19** (1944), pp. 139-154.
- [11] M. Tsuji: Some metrical theorems on Fuchsian groups, Kōdai Math. Sem. Report, Nos. 4-5 (1950), pp. 89-93.
- [12] K. I. Virtanen: Über die Existenz von beschränkten harmonischen Funktionen auf offenen Riemannschen Flächen, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., A. I., (1950), No. 75, 7 pp.

*Mathematical Institute,  
Nagoya University*