

## $\Phi$ -BOUNDED HARMONIC FUNCTIONS AND THE CLASSIFICATION OF HARMONIC SPACES

WELLINGTON H. OW

1. By a *harmonic space* we mean a pair  $(X, H)$  where  $X$  is a locally compact, non-compact, connected, locally connected Hausdorff space; and  $H$  is a sheaf of *harmonic functions* defined as follows: Suppose to each open set  $\Omega \subset X$  there corresponds a linear space  $H(\Omega)$  of finitely-continuous real-valued functions defined on  $\Omega$ . Then  $H = \{H(\Omega)\}_a$  must satisfy the three axioms of Brelot (1) and in addition Axiom 4 of Loeb (4): 1 is  $H$ -superharmonic in  $X$ .

Denote by  $\Phi(t)$  a nonnegative real-valued function defined on  $[0, \infty)$ . We stress that except for the condition  $\Phi(t) \geq 0$  nothing is required of  $\Phi(t)$  such as continuity and measurability. A harmonic function  $u$  on  $X$  (when  $H$  is well-understood we simply refer to  $X$  itself as the harmonic space) is called  $\Phi$ -bounded if the composite function  $\Phi(|u|)$  possesses a harmonic majorant on  $X$ . The notion of  $\Phi$ -boundedness is due to Parreau (9) who considered the special case of an increasing, convex  $\Phi$ . Later Nakai (6), using general  $\Phi$ , completely determined the class  $O_{H\Phi}$  of Riemann surfaces for which every  $\Phi$ -bounded harmonic function reduces to a constant. Recently Ow (8) considered the classification of harmonic spaces with respect to  $\Phi$ -bounded harmonic functions using a stronger assumption that Loeb's Axiom 4; namely it was assumed that  $1 \in H$ .

Since the case  $1 \in H$  has already been considered, as mentioned above, throughout this paper we will make the following assumption:

$$1 \notin H .$$

This condition occurs, for example, in the study of the harmonic space of solutions of the elliptic partial differential equation  $\Delta u = Pu$ , where  $P \not\equiv 0$  is a nonnegative function on a manifold  $X$ .

The main object of this paper is to show that in view of the con-

dition  $1 \notin H$ , the assumed existence of a harmonic function  $u$  on  $X$  with positive infimum is essential in the classification of harmonic spaces with respect to  $\mathcal{Q}$ -boundedness. Furthermore, it is shown that is sometimes necessary to further assume that the function  $u$  above is bounded in order to obtain inclusion relations similar to those in (8). Before proceeding further it is necessary to give some preliminary results.

**2.** If  $K$  is a compact subset of  $X$  and  $E$  the family of all regular regions  $\Omega$  (cf. (4)) containing  $K$  then by a theorem of Loeb (4),  $E$  is an exhaustion of  $X$ . We will always assume that  $X$  is countable at the ideal boundary and therefore there exists a countable exhaustion of  $X$  by regular regions  $\{\Omega_n\}_1^\infty$  such that  $\bar{\Omega}_n \subset \Omega_{n+1}$  and  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \Omega_n$ .

We now state some results of Loeb-Walsh (5) using their terminology. Let  $e$  be the greatest  $H$ -harmonic minorant of 1 and assume that  $e \neq 0$ . Denote by  $HB = HB(X)$  the Banach lattice of bounded functions in  $H$ . Note that  $HB \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $X^*$  be the  $HB$ -compactification of  $X$ ,  $\Gamma = X^* - X$ , and

$$\mathcal{A} = \{t \in \Gamma \mid e(t) = 1 \text{ and } f \wedge_H g(t) = f \wedge g(t) \text{ for all } f, g \in HB\}.$$

It is shown in (5) that  $\mathcal{A}$  is regular for the Dirichlet problem and is also equivalent to the harmonic boundary of Constantinescu-Cornea (3). Also it is shown in (5) that the restriction mapping of  $HB$  onto  $C(\mathcal{A})$  is an isometric isomorphism which preserves positivity and lattice operations.

**3.** If  $\Omega$  is a subregion of  $X$  then we will say that  $\Omega \notin SO_{HB}$  provided  $\Omega$  contains a neighborhood of some point  $p \in \mathcal{A}$ . We then have the following generalization of the well-known two-domain criterion for Riemann surfaces (cf. e.g. (10)):

**LEMMA 1.** *There exists at least  $k \geq 1$  disjoint regions  $\Omega_i \subset X$  with  $\Omega_i \notin SO_{HB}$  if and only if  $\dim HB \geq k$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from the definition that if there exist at least  $k \geq 1$  disjoint regions  $\Omega_i \notin SO_{HB}$  then  $\mathcal{A}$  contains at least  $k$  points and hence  $\dim HB = \dim C(\mathcal{A}) \geq k$ . Conversely suppose  $\dim HB \geq k$ . Then there exists at least  $k$  points  $p_j \in \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $f$  be a Wiener function, i.e. a bounded, continuous, harmonizable function on  $X$  (cf. (10)) such that  $f(p_j) = j$ . Set  $G_j^* = \{p \in X^* \mid j - \frac{1}{2} < f(p) < j + \frac{1}{2}\}$  and  $G_j = G_j^* \cap X$ . Then  $G \notin SO_{HB}$  and the  $G_j$  are disjoint. This completes the proof.

4. As an immediate consequence of a result of Constantinescu-Cornea (cf. (3), p. 32) the following maximum principle of Nakai (10) is also valid for harmonic spaces:

LEMMA 2. *Let  $\Omega$  be a subregion of  $X$  and  $s$  a superharmonic function on  $\Omega$  bounded from below. If*

$$\liminf_{z \in \Omega, z \rightarrow p} s(z) \geq 0$$

for every point  $p \in (\Delta \cap \bar{\Omega}) \cup \partial\Omega$  then  $s \geq 0$  on  $\Omega$ . Here  $\bar{\Omega}$  means the closure of  $\Omega$  in  $X^*$  while  $\partial\Omega$  denotes the boundary of  $\Omega$  relative to  $X$ .

5. Denote by  $H\Phi = H\Phi(X)$  the family of all  $\Phi$ -bounded harmonic functions on  $X$  and by  $O_{H\Phi}$  the totality of harmonic spaces on which every  $\Phi$ -bounded harmonic function reduces to a constant. Similarly denote by  $HP = HP(X)$ ,  $HB = HB(X)$  the class of functions on  $X$  which are nonnegative harmonic and bounded harmonic, respectively; and by  $O_{HP}$  (resp.  $O_{HB}$ ) the class of harmonic spaces  $X$  for which the class  $HP$  (resp.  $HB$ ) consists only of constants. We define

$$\bar{d}\Phi = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t)/t \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{d}\Phi = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t)/t.$$

Suppose that there exists a positive harmonic function on  $X$  with positive infimum. We then note first that if  $\Phi$  is bounded on  $[0, \infty)$  then any nonconstant harmonic function on  $X$  is a nonconstant  $H\Phi$ -function, and consequently,  $O_{H\Phi}$  consists only of trivial harmonic spaces. On the other hand if  $\Phi(t)$  is completely unbounded on  $[0, \infty)$ , i.e. if  $\Phi(t)$  is not bounded in any neighborhood of any point of  $[0, \infty)$  then  $O_{H\Phi}$  must consist of all harmonic spaces. Having dispensed with these cases we now prove a result similar to one obtained for Riemann surfaces by Nakai (6).

THEOREM 1. *Assume there exists a bounded harmonic function  $u_0$  on  $X$  with  $\inf_X u_0 > 0$ . Then if  $\Phi$  is not bounded nor completely unbounded on  $[0, \infty)$ ,  $O_{H\Phi} = O_{HP}$  (resp.  $O_{H\Phi} = O_{HB}$ ) provided that  $\bar{d}(\Phi)$  is finite (resp. infinite).*

A proof of Theorem 1 will be given in section 7. Using stronger assumptions on  $\Phi$ , Chow-Glasner (2) have obtained results similar to Theorem 1 in their investigation on  $\Phi$ -bounded solutions of  $\Delta u = Pu$ ,  $P \geq 0$ , on Riemannian manifolds. Namely they assume that  $\Phi$  is convex, positive, and increasing.

6. The next theorem shows the effect of omitting either the boundedness condition or the condition  $\inf_X u_0 > 0$  as was required of the function  $u_0$  in Theorem 1.

**THEOREM 2.** *Assume  $\Phi$  is not bounded nor completely unbounded on  $[0, \infty)$ .*

a) *If  $\bar{d}(\Phi) < \infty$  then  $O_{HP} \subset O_{H\Phi}$ .*

b) *If  $\bar{d}(\Phi) < \infty$  and if there exists an HP-function  $u_1$  with  $\inf_X u_1 > 0$ , then  $O_{H\Phi} \subset O_{HP}$ . But if  $\bar{d}(\Phi) < \infty$ , if there exists a nonconstant HP-function, and if  $u \in HP$  implies  $\inf_X u = 0$ , then  $O_{H\Phi} \subset O_{HP}$  is not necessarily true.*

c) *If  $\bar{d}(\Phi) = \infty$  then  $O_{HB} \subset O_{H\Phi}$ .*

d) *If  $\bar{d}(\Phi) = \infty$  and there exists an HP-function  $u_0$  such that  $u_0$  is bounded and  $\inf_X u_0 > 0$ , then  $O_{H\Phi} \subset O_{HB}$ . However, if  $\bar{d}(\Phi) = \infty$  and every HP-function  $u$  is either unbounded or  $\inf_X u = 0$  then  $O_{H\Phi} \subset O_{HB}$  is not necessarily true.*

A proof of Theorem 2 appears in section 8. The existence of  $u_1$  is also considered by Schiff (12) in the special case concerning solutions of  $\Delta u = Pu$  on a Riemann surface.

7. *Proof of Theorem 1.* First assume  $\bar{d}(\Phi) < \infty$ . Then there exists a  $c > 0$  such that  $\Phi(t) \leq ct$  for  $t \geq t_0$ . If  $u$  is a nonconstant HP-function on  $X$  then for a suitable constant  $k > 0$  the function  $v = u + ku_0$  is a nonconstant  $H\Phi$ -function, and so  $O_{H\Phi} \subset O_{HP}$ .

Conversely if  $u$  is a nonconstant  $H\Phi$ -function on  $X$  then there exists an HP-function  $v$  on  $X$  with  $\Phi(|u|) \leq v$  on  $X$ . Since  $1 \notin H$ ,  $v$  is nonconstant. Hence  $O_{HP} \subset O_{H\Phi}$ , completing the first part of the proof.

Now consider the case where  $\bar{d}(\Phi) = \infty$ . Suppose  $u$  is a nonconstant HB-function on  $X$ . By hypothesis  $\Phi$  is bounded in some interval  $(a, b) \subset [0, \infty)$  within which  $\Phi(t) \leq c = \text{const}$ . Then for suitable constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  the range of  $v = c_1u + c_2u_0$  is contained in  $(a, b)$ , and consequently  $O_{H\Phi} \subset O_{HB}$ .

Conversely, if we assume  $u$  is a nonconstant  $H\Phi$ -function on  $X$  then there exists an HP-function  $v$  on  $X$  such that  $\Phi(|u|) \leq v$  on  $X$ . If  $v$  is bounded we are done. If  $u$  is not bounded then following the approach of Nakai (6) we show that  $X \notin O_{HB}$ . Suppose to the contrary that

$X \in O_{HB}$ . Then  $\bar{d}(\Phi) = \infty$  implies that there is a strictly increasing sequence  $\{t_n\}_1^\infty$  of positive numbers for which  $\lim_n t_n = \infty$ ,  $\lim_n t_n/\Phi(t_n) = 0$  and

$$G_n = \{p \in X \mid |u(p)| < t_n\} \neq \phi .$$

Then  $G_1 \subset G_2 \subset \dots$  and  $X = \bigcup_1^\infty G_n$ . Now  $G_n \notin SO_{HB}$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ . For if not, consider the function  $a_n v - |u|$  where  $a_n = t_n/\Phi(t_n)$ . Then  $a_n v - |u|$  is superharmonic, bounded from below on  $G_n$ , and non-negative on  $\partial G_n$ . Hence  $G_n \in SO_{HB}$  implies  $a_n v - |u| \geq 0$  on  $G_n$  by Lemma 2. Since  $a_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $G_n \uparrow X$  we have  $u \equiv 0$  on  $X$ , a contradiction. Hence  $G_n \notin SO_{HB}$  for  $n \geq n_1$ , say, and so we may as well assume

$$G_n \notin SO_{HB} , \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

If  $G_n - \bar{G}_1 \in SO_{HB}$  for some  $n > 1$  then by Lemma 1,  $X \notin O_{HB}$ , contradicting our original assumption. Hence

$$G_n - \bar{G}_1 \in SO_{HB} , \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

The function  $w_n = a_n v + r_1 - |u|$  is superharmonic, bounded from below on  $G_n$  as well as  $G_n - \bar{G}_1$ . Also  $w_n \geq 0$  on  $\partial G_n$ . Since  $G_n - \bar{G}_1 \in SO_{HB}$  this implies  $w_n \geq 0$  on  $G_n$ , i.e.

$$|u| \leq a_n v + r_1$$

on  $G_n$ . Hence  $|u| \leq r_1$  on  $X$ , contradicting our assumption  $X \in O_{HB}$ . Hence  $X \notin O_{HB}$ , completing the proof.

**8. Proof of Theorem 2.** Parts a) and c) are proved exactly as in the proof of Theorem 1 since the function  $u_0$  is not involved. The first part of b) follows exactly as in Theorem 1 since only the condition  $\inf_X u_0 > 0$  is used there. For the second half of b) consider the following example:

**EXAMPLE 1.** Define  $\Phi(t) = 1/t^2$ ,  $t > 0$ ;  $\Phi(0) = 0$ . Then  $\bar{d}(\Phi) = 0 < \infty$ . Also for any harmonic function  $u$ , either  $u \in HP$  or  $-u \in HP$  or  $u$  assumes the value 0 on  $X$ . In either case  $\inf_X |u| = 0$ . It follows that  $\Phi(|u|)$  has no  $HP$ -majorant on  $X$ , i.e.  $O_{H\Phi} \not\subset O_{HP}$ .

The first assertion in d) constitutes part of Theorem 1. For the second part of d) consider the following example in the complex plane  $C$ :

**EXAMPLE 2.** Let  $X = \{z \in C \mid 0 < |z| < 1\}$  and  $H$  consist of all solutions of the elliptic partial differential equation  $\Delta u = Pu$  on  $X$ , where  $P = 4/|z|^2$

and  $\Delta = \partial^2/\partial x^2 + \partial^2/\partial y^2$ ,  $z = x + iy$ . Note that  $u_1 = |z|^2 \in H$ ,  $u_2 = 1/|z|^2 \in H$ , but  $1 \notin H$ . Since  $u_1 \in H$  the equation  $\Delta u = Pu$  has no bounded solution  $u$  with  $\inf_x u > 0$ . However,  $u_2$  is an unbounded positive solution with  $\inf_x u_2 > 0$ . Let  $\Phi$  be a nonnegative real-valued function on  $[0, \infty)$  which is unbounded at the points  $1/n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and also at the points  $n$ ,  $n = 2, 3, \dots$ . Then since any member of  $H$  must either be unbounded on  $X$  or have zero infimum in its absolute value on  $X$ , it follows that there are no nonconstant  $\Phi$ -bounded solutions on  $X$ , i.e.  $O_{H\Phi} \not\subset O_{HB}$ . This completes the proof.

9. A harmonic function  $u$  on  $X$  is called *essentially positive* if  $u$  can be represented as a difference of two  $HP$ -functions on  $X$ , or equivalently, if  $|u|$  has a harmonic majorant on  $X$ . Let  $HP'(X)$  be the vector lattice of essentially positive harmonic functions on  $X$  with lattice operations  $\vee$  and  $\wedge$ , where for two functions  $u$  and  $v$  in  $HP'(X)$  we denote by  $u \vee v$  (resp.  $u \wedge v$ ) the least harmonic majorant (resp. the greatest harmonic minorant) of  $u$  and  $v$ . Clearly  $HP(X) \subset HP'(X)$ .

For any  $u \in HP(X)$  we define the function  $Bu$  by

$$Bu(p) = \sup \{v(p) \mid v \in HB(X), v \leq u \text{ on } X\}.$$

If  $u \in HP'(X)$  we define  $Bu = Bu_1 - Bu_2$  where  $u = u_1 - u_2$  and  $u_1, u_2 \in HP(X)$ . An  $HP'$  function  $u$  is called *quasi-bounded* (resp. *singular*) if  $Bu = u$  (resp.  $Bu = 0$ ). We denote the class of quasi-bounded (resp. singular) functions on  $X$  by  $HB'(X)$  (resp.  $HP''(X)$ ). We then have the direct decomposition

$$HP'(X) = HB'(X) + HP''(X).$$

Quasi-bounded and singular harmonic functions as well as the decomposition were introduced by Parreau (9). We now give relations between the classes  $H\Phi$ ,  $HB'$ , and  $HP'$ . The following theorem is similar to that obtained by Nakai (7) for Riemann surfaces:

**THEOREM 3.** *Assume there exists an  $HP$ -function  $u_1$  on  $X$  with  $\inf_x u_1 > 0$ .*

- a) *If  $d(\Phi) > 0$  then  $H\Phi(X) \subset HP'(X)$ .*
- b) *If, however,  $d(\Phi) = 0$  then  $H\Phi(X) \subset HP'(X)$  is not necessarily true.*

*Proof.* To prove a) we set  $d(\Phi) = 2c > 0$  and choose  $t_0 \in (0, \infty)$  so

that  $\Phi(t) > ct$  for  $t > t_0$ . If  $u \in H\Phi(X)$  then  $\Phi(|u|)$  has a harmonic majorant  $v$  on  $X$ . It follows that for a suitable constant  $k > 0$  we have

$$v + cku_1 \geq \Phi(|u|) + ct_0 \geq c|u|$$

on  $X$  and  $|u|$  possesses a harmonic majorant on  $X$ ; so  $u \in HP'(X)$ , thereby proving a).

To prove b) we consider the following example in the plane:

EXAMPLE 3. As in Example 2 let  $X = \{z \in \mathbf{C} \mid 0 < |z| < 1\}$  and  $H$  consist of solutions of  $\Delta u = Pu$ , with  $P = 4/|z|^2$ . Recall that  $u_1 = 1/|z|^2$  is an  $HP$ -function on  $X$  with  $\inf_X u_1 > 0$ . Consider the function  $u$  in  $H$  given by

$$u(z) = \cos(\sqrt{5}\theta)/r^3, \quad z = re^{i\theta},$$

and also the function  $\Phi(t) = \max(\log t, 0)$  on  $[0, \infty)$ . Then  $\bar{d}(\Phi) = 0$ ,  $u \in H\Phi(X)$  but  $u \notin HP'(X)$ . This completes the proof.

The following theorem of Nakai (7) is also valid for harmonic spaces:

THEOREM 4. If  $\bar{d}(\Phi) = \infty$  then  $H\Phi(X) \cap HP'(X) \subset HB'(X)$ .

*Proof.* For  $u \in H\Phi(X) \cap HP'(X)$  there exists an  $HP$ -function  $v$  on  $X$  with  $\Phi(|u|) \leq v$ . Define  $Mu = u \vee 0 + (-u) \vee 0$ . Since  $B$  commutes with the operations  $M, \vee$ , and  $\wedge$  we need only show

$$BMu = Mu.$$

Since  $\bar{d}(\Phi) = \infty$  there is an increasing sequence  $\{t_n\}_1^\infty$  of positive numbers with  $\Phi(t_n) > 0$  and  $a_n = t_n/\Phi(t_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Setting  $G_n = \{p \in X \mid |u(p)| < t_n\}$  we have  $G_n \uparrow X$ . Let  $\{\Omega_m\}$  be an exhaustion of  $X$ . Let  $w_m$  be harmonic on  $\Omega_m \cap G_n$  with  $w_m|_{(\partial\Omega_m) \cap G_n} = \min(Mu - BMu, t_n)$  and  $w_m|_{(\partial\Omega_m) \cap \bar{\Omega}_m} = 0$ . Here the values of  $w_m$  on  $\partial(\Omega_m \cap G_n)$  need only be prescribed at the points regular for the Dirichlet problem. If we further define  $w_m|_{(\Omega_m - G_n)} = 0$  then  $w_m$  is subharmonic on  $\Omega_m$ , and hence

$$w_m \geq w_{m+1}$$

on  $\Omega_m$  (cf. Loeb-Walsh (5)). Also let  $w'_m$  be harmonic on  $\Omega_m$  with boundary values  $w'_m|_{(\partial\Omega_m) \cap G_n} = \min(Mu - BMu, t_n)$  and  $w'_m|_{(\partial\Omega_m - G_n)} = 0$ . Then  $\{w'_m\}$  is a bounded sequence and  $0 \leq w'_m \leq Mu - BMu$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ . It follows from a theorem of Loeb-Walsh (5) that if  $\Omega \subset X$  is a region

and the family  $T = \{h \in H(\Omega) \mid 0 \leq h\}$  is bounded then  $T$  is equicontinuous on  $\Omega$ . Consequently by the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem  $T$  is a normal family. Hence  $\{w'_m\}$  has a convergent subsequence with limit function  $w'$ . We obtain  $0 \leq Bw' \leq B(Mu - BMu) = 0$ . Since  $w'$  is bounded and nonnegative,

$$w' \equiv Bw' \equiv 0$$

on  $X$ . In addition  $w'_m \geq w_m \geq 0$  implies

$$\lim_m w_m = 0$$

on  $X$ . Now on  $(\partial\Omega_m) \cap G_n$  we have  $|u| \leq t_n$  and  $|u| \leq Mu = BMu + (Mu - BMu)$ . Hence on  $(\partial\Omega_m) \cap G_n$ ,  $|u| - BMu \leq \min(Mu - BMu, t_n) = w_m$ . On  $\partial G_n$ ,  $|u| = t_n = a_n\Phi(|u|) \leq a_nv$ , and so

$$|u| \leq a_nv + BMu + w_m$$

on  $\partial(\Omega_m \cap G_n)$  and hence on  $\Omega_m \cap G_n$ . Upon letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$  and then  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain

$$|u| \leq BMu$$

on  $X$ . Since  $Mu$  is the least harmonic majorant of  $|u|$  on  $X$  we must have  $Mu \leq BMu$  and hence  $BMu = Mu$  as was to be shown. This completes the proof.

*Remark.* Note that the existence of a function  $u_1$  as in Theorem 3 is not required here.

Upon combining Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 we have the following

**COROLLARY.** Assume there exists an *HP*-function  $u_1$  on  $X$  with  $\inf_X u_1 > 0$ . Then if  $\bar{d}(\Phi) = \infty$  and  $\underline{d}(\Phi) > 0$ , we have  $H\Phi(X) \subset HB'(X)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] M. Brelot, Lectures on potential theory, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1960.
- [ 2 ] K. Chow and M. Glasner, Bounded in the mean solutions of  $\Delta u = Pu$  on Riemannian manifolds, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1970), 261-265.
- [ 3 ] C. Constantinescu and A. Cornea, Compactifications of harmonic spaces, Nagoya Math. J. **25** (1965), 1-57.
- [ 4 ] P. A. Loeb, An axiomatic treatment of pairs of elliptic differential equations, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **16** (1966), 167-208.
- [ 5 ] P. A. Loeb and B. Walsh, A maximal regular boundary for solutions of elliptic differential equations, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **18** (1968), 283-308.
- [ 6 ] M. Nakai,  $\Phi$ -bounded harmonic functions and classification of Riemann surfaces, Pacific J. Math. **15** (1965), 1329-1335.



- [ 7 ] M. Nakai, On  $\Phi$ -bounded harmonic functions, Ann. Inst. Fourier **16** (1966), 145-157.
- [ 8 ] W. H. Ow, Wiener's compactification and  $\Phi$ -bounded harmonic functions in the classification of harmonic spaces, Pacific J. Math. (to appear).
- [ 9 ] M. Parreau, Sur les moyennes des fonctions harmoniques et analytiques et la classification des surfaces de Riemann, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **3** (1951), 103-197.
- [10] L. Sario and M. Nakai, Classification theory of Riemann surfaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.
- [11] J. L. Schiff,  $\Phi$ -bounded solutions of  $\Delta u = Pu$  on a Riemann surface, (to appear).

*Michigan State University*

