

## ON MCKAY'S CONJECTURE

MASAO KOIKE

Let  $\eta(z)$  be Dedekind's  $\eta$ -function. For any set of integer  $g = (k_1, \dots, k_s)$ ,  $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_s \geq 1$ , put  $\eta_g(z) = \prod_{i=1}^s \eta(k_i z)$ . In this paper, we shall prove McKay's conjecture which gives some combinatorial conditions about  $k_i$  on which  $\eta_g(z)$  is a primitive cusp form. As to McKay's conjecture, we refer [5].

To state our result precisely, we introduce some notation. For every positive integer  $N$ , put

$$\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbf{Z}) \mid c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\}.$$

Let  $k$  be a positive integer and let  $\varepsilon$  be a Dirichlet character mod  $N$  such that  $\varepsilon(-1) = (-1)^k$ . We denote by  $S_k(N, \varepsilon)$  (resp.  $S_k^0(N, \varepsilon)$ ) the space of the cusp forms (resp. new forms) of type  $(k, \varepsilon)$  on  $\Gamma_0(N)$ . We call  $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e(nz)$  in  $S_k^0(N, \varepsilon)$  primitive cusp form if it is a common eigenfunction of all the Hecke operators and  $a_1 = 1$  where  $e(z) = e^{2\pi iz}$ . Then it is well-known that  $S_k^0(N, \varepsilon)$  has a basis whose elements are all primitive cusp forms.

McKay conjectured

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\eta_g(z) = \prod_{i=1}^s \eta(k_i z)$  be as above. The following statements (a) and (b) are equivalent.*

- (a)  $\eta_g(z)$  is a primitive cusp form.
- (b)  $g = (k_1, \dots, k_s)$  satisfies the conditions (1)~(4);
  - (1)  $k_1$  is divisible by  $k_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ .
  - (2) Put  $N = k_1 k_s$ , then  $N/k_i = k_{s+1-i}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ .
  - (3)  $\sum_{i=1}^s k_i = 24$ .
  - (4)  $s$  is even.

*In these cases,  $\eta_g(z)$  is a primitive cusp form in  $S_{s/2}^0(k_1 k_s, \varepsilon)$  for some Dirichlet character  $\varepsilon \pmod{k_1 k_s}$ .*

*Proof of Theorem.* First we shall show that (b) implies (a). In [5], they already proved this result, but, for the completeness of the paper, we indicate the outline of the proof. We denote by  $g = t_1^{n_1} \cdots t_j^{n_j}$ ,  $t_1 > \cdots > t_j \geq 1$  and  $0 < n_1, \dots, n_j \in \mathbf{Z}$ , the set of integers  $g = (t_1, \dots, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_2, \dots, t_j, \dots, t_j)$  where  $t_i$  is contained  $n_i$ -times in  $g$ . For each  $g = t_1^{n_1} \cdots t_j^{n_j}$ , put

$$s = s(g) = \sum_{i=1}^j n_i, \quad k = k(g) = \frac{1}{2}s(g) \quad \text{and} \quad N = N(g) = t_1 t_j.$$

Then it is easily seen that all  $g$  which satisfy (1)~(4) are given by the following:

Table 1

$s(g)$	$g$
2	23·1, 22·2, 21·3, 20·4, 18·6, 16·8, 12 <sup>2</sup>
4	15·5·3·1, 14·7·2·1, 12·6·4·2, 11 <sup>2</sup> ·1 <sup>2</sup> , 10 <sup>2</sup> ·2 <sup>2</sup> , 9 <sup>2</sup> ·3 <sup>2</sup> , 8 <sup>2</sup> ·4 <sup>2</sup> , 6 <sup>4</sup>
6	8 <sup>2</sup> ·4·2·1 <sup>2</sup> , 7 <sup>3</sup> ·1 <sup>3</sup> , 6 <sup>3</sup> ·2 <sup>3</sup> , 4 <sup>6</sup>
8	6 <sup>2</sup> ·3 <sup>2</sup> ·2 <sup>2</sup> ·1 <sup>2</sup> , 5 <sup>4</sup> ·1 <sup>4</sup> , 4 <sup>4</sup> ·2 <sup>4</sup> , 3 <sup>8</sup>
10	4 <sup>4</sup> ·2 <sup>2</sup> ·1 <sup>4</sup>
12	3 <sup>6</sup> ·1 <sup>6</sup> , 2 <sup>12</sup>
16	2 <sup>8</sup> ·1 <sup>8</sup>
24	1 <sup>24</sup>

It is also easily seen that all  $g$  which satisfy (2)~(4) but not (1) are given by the following:

Table 2

$s(g)$	$g$
2	19·5, 17·7, 15·9, 14·10, 13·11
4	10·6·5·3, 7 <sup>2</sup> ·5 <sup>2</sup>
6	5 <sup>3</sup> ·3 <sup>3</sup>

For each  $g$  in Table 1, we can prove that the corresponding  $\eta_g(z)$  is a cusp form in  $S_k(N, \varepsilon_g)$  for some Dirichlet character  $\varepsilon_g$  mod  $N$  by applying Theorem 1 in [3]. We should remark that, in [3], they considered only the case when  $s(g)$  is divisible by 4, but their method can be applied to

the case when  $s(g)$  is even. When  $s(g)$  is divisible by 4,  $\varepsilon_g$  are seen to be trivial. When  $s(g) = 2$ ,  $\varepsilon_g$  are given in Proposition 2. In remaining cases,  $\varepsilon_g$  are given in Table 3:

Table 3

$g$	$8^2 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 1^2$	$7^3 \cdot 1^3$	$6^3 \cdot 2^3$	$4^6$	$4^4 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 1^4$
cond. of $\varepsilon_g$	8	7	3	4	4
$\varepsilon_g(d)$	$\left(\frac{2}{ d }\right)(-1)^{(d-1)/2}$	$\left(\frac{d}{7}\right)$	$\left(\frac{d}{3}\right)$	$(-1)^{(d-1)/2}$	$(-1)^{(d-1)/2}$

PROPOSITION 1. For each  $g$  in Table 1 such  $s(g) \geq 4$ , we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S_{s(g)/2}(N_g, \varepsilon_g) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} S_{s(g)/2}^0(N_g, \varepsilon_g) = 1.$$

*Proof.* The proof is done by direct calculations of dimensions via Hijikata's trace formula [2]. Q.E.D.

Hence, for each  $g$  in Table 1 such that  $s(g) \geq 4$ ,  $\eta_g(z)$  is proved to be a primitive cusp form.

PROPOSITION 2. For each  $g$  in Table 1 such that  $s(g) = 2$ ,  $\eta_g(z)$  is an element in  $S_1(N_g, \varepsilon_g)$  which is obtained from a L-function of certain quadratic field  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d_g})$  with certain ideal character  $\chi_g$  of conductor  $f_g$ : In these cases, the ideal class groups are all cyclic, therefore, L-functions with characters  $\chi_g$  depend only on orders of  $\chi_g$ :

$g$	$N_g$	$d_g$	$f_g$	$\varepsilon_g(d)$	order of $\chi_g$
23·1	23	-22	1	$\left(\frac{d}{23}\right)$	3
22·2	44	-11	2	$\left(\frac{d}{11}\right)$	3
21·3	63	-7	3	$\left(\frac{d}{7}\right)$	4
20·4	80	5	$4p_{\infty}$	$\left(\frac{5}{ d }\right)(-1)^{(d-1)/2}$	2
18·6	108	-3	6	$\left(\frac{d}{3}\right)$	3
16·8	128	-8	4	$\left(\frac{2}{ d }\right)(-1)^{(d-1)/2}$	4
$12^2$	144	-4	6	$(-1)^{(d-1)/2}$	4

*Proof.* The proof is done by direct calculations of these Fourier coefficients and by showing that these coincide to each other to some extent which depends on  $g$ . Q.E.D.

We shall show that (a) implies (b).

LEMMA. For  $g = t_1^{n_1} \cdots t_j^{n_j}$  and  $h = r_1^{m_1} \cdots r_l^{m_l}$ , we suppose that

$$\eta_g(z) = c \cdot \eta_h(z)$$

where  $c$  is a non-zero constant. Then we have

$$j = l, \quad t_i = r_i \quad \text{and} \quad n_i = m_i \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq j.$$

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove the following: let  $t_1 > \cdots > t_j \geq 1$  and  $n_1, \dots, n_j$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq j$  be integers. Suppose that  $\prod_{i=1}^j \eta(t_i z)^{n_i} = \text{const} \neq 0$ . Then  $n_i = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq j$ . Put  $\varphi(x) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^n)$ . The above condition implies  $\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \varphi(x^{t_i})^{n_i} = (\text{const}) \cdot \varphi(x^{t_j})^{-n_j}$ . Suppose  $n_j \neq 0$ . Then the right hand side contains the term  $x^{t_j}$  with a non-zero coefficient, but the left hand side can not contain such term. This is a contradiction. Q.E.D.

Suppose that  $\eta_g(z) = \prod_{i=1}^j \eta(t_i z)^{n_i} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e(nz)$  is a primitive cusp form in  $S_k^0(N, \varepsilon)$ . Since  $a_1 = 1$ , we have  $\sum_{i=1}^j t_i n_i = 24$ : The condition (3) is proved.

To prove the conditions (1) and (2), we have to consider  $W_Q$ -operator (see [1]). Let  $N = Q \cdot Q'$  where  $Q$  and  $Q'$  are prime to each other. Let  $W_Q = \begin{pmatrix} Qx & y \\ Nu & Qv \end{pmatrix}$  such that  $x, y, u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\det W_Q = Q$ . Put  $d_i = (t_i, Q)$  for any  $i$ . Then, by some calculation, we see that

$$(1) \quad \eta_g(z) | W_Q = (\text{const}) \cdot Q^{(1/4)s} \prod_i d_i^{-(1/2)n_i} \eta\left(\frac{Qt_i}{d_i^2} z\right)^{n_i}.$$

It is also well-known (see [1]) that

$$(2) \quad \eta_g(z) | W_N = (\text{const}) \cdot \bar{\eta}_g(z),$$

where  $\bar{\eta}_g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{a}_n e(nz)$ ,  $\bar{a}_n$  = the complex conjugate of  $a_n$ . In our case, it is clear that  $\bar{\eta}_g(z) = \eta_g(z)$ . Therefore from (1) and (2), we have

$$\eta_g(z) = (\text{const}) \cdot N^{s/4} \prod_i t_i^{-n_i/2} \prod_i \eta\left(\frac{N}{t_i} z\right)^{n_i}.$$

Hence, by Lemma, it follows that

$$\frac{N}{t_i} = t_{j+1-i} \quad \text{and} \quad n_i = n_{j+1-i} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq j.$$

Thus the condition (2) is proved. Especially, we have

$$\eta_g(z) | W_N = (-i)^{s/2} \eta_g(z).$$

To prove the condition (1), we should note that  $\eta_g(z) | W_Q$  is known to be a constant times of some other primitive cusp form. Hence, from (1), we have

$$(3) \quad \sum_i \frac{Qt_i}{d_i^2} n_i = 24.$$

It is easily seen that each  $g$  in Table 1 satisfies (3) and each  $g$  in Table 2 does not satisfy (3). Hence the condition (1) is proved. This completes the proof of Theorem. Q.E.D.

*Remark 1.* In [4], Mason reported some connection between the sporadic simple group  $M_{24}$  and  $\eta_g(z)$  for some  $g$  in Table 1.

*Remark 2.* It will be interesting to consider quotients of products of  $\eta$ -functions and to find what kind of conditions of  $n_i$  would give primitive cusp forms. There are several examples for such cases:

$$g = 4^{-2} \cdot 8^8 \cdot 16^{-2}, \quad 2^{-4} \cdot 4^{16} \cdot 8^{-4}.$$

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] A. O. L. Atkin and W. Li, Twists of newforms and pseudo-eigenvalues of W-operators, *Invent. math.*, **48** (1978), 221–243.
- [ 2 ] H. Hijikata, Explicit formula of the traces of Hecke operators for  $\Gamma_0(N)$ , *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **26** (1974), 56–82.
- [ 3 ] T. Honda and I. Miyawaki, Zeta functions of elliptic curves of 2-power conductor, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **26** (1974), 362–373.
- [ 4 ] G. Mason,  $M_{24}$  and certain automorphic forms, preprint.
- [ 5 ] D. Dummit, H. Kisilevsky and J. McKay, Multiplicative products of  $\eta$ -functions, preprint.

*Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Nagoya University  
Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464  
Japan*

