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## SUPER CONGRUENCE FOR THE APÉRY NUMBERS

## TSUNEO ISHIKAWA

## §0. Introduction

Let, for any $n \geq 0$,

$$
a(n)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}^{2}\binom{n+k}{k}, \quad u(n)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}^{2}\binom{n+k}{k}^{2} .
$$

R. Apéry's proof of the irrationality of $\zeta(2)$ and $\zeta(3)$ made use of these numbers (see [10]). As a result, many properties of the Apéry numbers were found (see [1]-[9]). In particular, Beukers and Stienstra showed the interesting congruence (see [11, Theorem 13.1]).

Theorem 1 (Beukers and Stienstra). Let $p \geq 3$ be a prime, and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} q^{n}=q \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{4 n}\right)^{6} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $m, r \in N, m$ odd, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& a\left(\frac{m p^{r}-1}{2}\right)-\lambda_{p} a\left(\frac{m p^{r-1}-1}{2}\right)+(-1)^{(p-1) / 2} p^{2} a\left(\frac{m p^{r-2}-1}{2}\right)  \tag{2}\\
& \quad \equiv 0 \bmod p^{r} .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover they conjectured that congruence (2) holds $\bmod p^{2 r}$ if $p \geq 5$, and they called these congruences super congruences in [4] and [11].

In this paper we shall prove the conjecture for $r=1$.
Theorem 2. Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime and $m \in N, m$ odd, then we have

$$
a\left(\frac{m p-1}{2}\right)-\lambda_{p} a\left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod p^{2} .
$$

F. Beukers informed me that L. Van Hamme proved the case of $p \equiv$ 1 mod 4 using properties of the $p$-adic gamma function (see [7]). We prove the general case involving $p \equiv 3 \bmod 4$ by entirely different method. Our

[^0]method is applicable to super congruences of other numbers such as $u(n)$ (see [8]).

## § 1. Congruence of $\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{n})$

The numbers $a(n)$ satisfy the recurrence

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n+1)^{2} a(n+1)=\left(11 n^{2}+11 n+3\right) a(n)+n^{2} a(n-1) \quad n \geq 1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know the following result. Let $p$ be an odd prime, and $m \geq 0$, then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a(m p) \equiv a(m) & \bmod p^{2} \\
a(p-1) \equiv 1 & \bmod p^{2} \tag{5}
\end{array}
$$

By (3), (4) and (5), we have $a(p-2) \equiv-3+5 p \bmod p^{2}, a(p+1) \equiv 9+$ $15 p \bmod p^{2}$.

Proposition 1. Let $m \geq 0, n \geq 0$ and $m+n=p-1$. Then

$$
a(m) \equiv(-1)^{m} a(n) \quad \bmod p
$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on $m$ to show that $a(m) \equiv$ $(-1)^{m} a(p-m-1) \bmod p$. From the above result, $a(0) \equiv a(p-1) \equiv 1 \bmod p$ and $a(1) \equiv-a(p-2) \equiv 3 \bmod p$. Let $0<m<p-1$. From the recurrence (3),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (m+1)^{2} a(m+1) \\
& \quad=\left(11 m^{2}+11 m+3\right) a(m)+m^{2} a(m-1) \\
& \quad \equiv\left\{11(p-m)^{2}-11(p-m)+3\right\} a(m)+(p-m)^{2} a(m-1) \\
& \\
& \quad \equiv \begin{array}{rr}
-\left\{11(p-m)^{2}-11(p-m)+3\right\} a(p-m-1)+(p-m)^{2} a(p-m) \\
\text { if } m: \text { odd } \\
\left\{11(p-m)^{2}-11(p-m)+3\right\} a(p-m-1)-(p-m)^{2} a(p-m) \\
\text { if } m: \text { even }
\end{array} \\
&
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{rr}
(m+1)^{2} a(p-m-2) & \text { if } m: \text { odd } \quad \bmod p . \\
-(m+1)^{2} a(p-m-2) & \text { if } m: \text { even } \quad
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Q.E.D.

Proposition 2. For all primes $p, n \geq 0$ and $0 \leq m \leq p-1$, we have

$$
a(n p+m) \equiv a(m) a(n) \quad \bmod p
$$

Proof. This congruence follows from the similar method of the proof of [6, Theorem 1].
Q.E.D.

## § 2. Congruence of $\boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{n})$

Let $b(n)=0$ and, for any $n \geq 1$,
$b(n)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n}{k}^{2}\binom{n+k}{k}\left[\frac{2}{n-k+1}+\cdots+\frac{2}{n}+\frac{1}{n+1}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n+k}\right]$.
These numbers are (differential) of $a(n)$ and they take important parts in the congruence of $\bmod p^{2}$ as shown in [6, Theorem 4].

Proposition 3. The numbers $b(n)$ satisfy the recurrence
(6) $\quad(n+1)^{2} b(n+1)=\left(11 n^{2}+11 n+3\right) b(n)+n^{2} b(n-1)$

$$
-2(n+1) a(n+1)+11(2 n+1) a(n)+2 n a(n-1)
$$

and for all primes $p \geq 3, n \geq 0$ and $0 \leq m \leq p-1$, we have

$$
a(n p+m) \equiv\{a(m)+p n b(m)\} a(n) \quad \bmod p^{2}
$$

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{n, k}= & \left(k^{2}+3(2 n+1) k-11 n^{2}-9 n-2\right)\binom{n}{k}^{2}\binom{n+k}{k} H_{n, k} \\
& +(6 k-22 n-9)\binom{n}{k}^{2}\binom{n+k}{k},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
H_{n, k}=\frac{2}{n-k+1}+\cdots+\frac{2}{n}+\frac{1}{n+1}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n+k},
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{n, k}-B_{n, k-1}= & (n+1)^{2}\binom{n+1}{k}^{2}\binom{n+1+k}{k} H_{n+1, k} \\
& -\left(11 n^{2}+11 n+3\right)\binom{n}{k}^{2}\binom{n+k}{k} H_{n, k} \\
& -n^{2}\binom{n-1}{k}^{2}\binom{n-1+k}{k} H_{n-1, k} \\
& +2(n+1)\binom{n+1}{k}^{2}\binom{n+1+k}{k} \\
& -11(2 n+1)\binom{n}{k}^{2}\binom{n+k}{k}-2 n\binom{n-1}{k}^{2}\binom{n-1+k}{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking summation from 1 to $n+1$ on $k$, recurrence (6) follows. The congruence can be proved in the similar method of the proof of [6, Theorem 4] by congruences (4) and (5).
Q.E.D.

Proposition 4. Let $m \geq 0, n \geq 0$ and $m+n=p-1$. Then

$$
b(m) \equiv(-1)^{m-1} b(n) \quad \bmod p .
$$

Proof. From the congruence (4), (5) and Proposition 3, $b(0) \equiv$ $-b(p-1) \equiv 0 \bmod p$. And by the definition of $b(n), \operatorname{ord}_{p} b(p) \geq 0$. Then $b(1) \equiv b(p-2) \equiv 5 \bmod p$ by the recurrence (6). By induction on $m$, similarly in Proposition 1, we can prove.
Q.E.D.

Theorem 3. Let $m \geq 0, n \geq 0$ and $m+n=p-1$. Then

$$
a(m) \equiv(-1)^{m}\{a(n)-p b(n)\} \quad \bmod p^{2} .
$$

Proof. It is clear from (4), (5) and Proposition 4 in the case of $m=$ 0,1 . From the recurrence (3), (6) and the congruence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (m+1)^{2} a(m+1) \\
& \quad \equiv\left\{11(p-m)^{2}-11(p-m)+3\right\} a(m)+(p-m)^{2} a(m-1) \\
& \quad \quad-11 p\{2(p-m)-1\} a(m)-2 p(p-m) a(m-1) \quad \bmod p^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

it can be also shown by inductive method.
Q.E.D.

## §3. Congruence of $\boldsymbol{c}(\boldsymbol{n})$

If $p \equiv 3 \bmod 4$, we can not obtain the congruence of $b((p-1) / 2)$ from Proposition 4. Therefore we prepare the numbers $c(n)$.

Let, for all odd numbers $n \geq 1$,

$$
c(n)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n}{k}^{3}(-1)^{k}\left[\frac{3}{n-k+1}+\cdots+\frac{3}{n}\right] .
$$

Let $p$ be an odd prime. From the congruence

$$
\binom{\frac{p-1}{2}+k}{k} \equiv(-1)^{k}\binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{k} \quad \bmod p
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{\frac{p-1}{2}+k+1}+\cdots+\frac{1}{\frac{p-1}{2}}+\frac{1}{\frac{p+1}{2}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{\frac{p-1}{2}+k} \equiv 0 \quad \bmod p
$$

where $1 \leq k \leq(p-1) / 2$, we have

$$
3 b\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \equiv c\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \quad \bmod p \quad \text { if } p \equiv 3 \bmod 4
$$

Proposition 5. The numbers $c(n)$ satisfy the recurrence

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2} c(n)=-3\left\{9(n-1)^{2}-1\right\} c(n-2) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all odd numbers $n \geq 3$.
Proof. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{n}(k)= & 2\left(14 n^{2}+n-1\right)-3\left(26 n^{2}-n-3\right) k / n+3\left(29 n^{2}-3\right) k^{2} / n^{2} \\
& -3\left(15 n^{2}+2 n-1\right) k^{3} / n^{3}+3(3 n+1) k^{4} / n^{3}, \\
g_{n}(k)= & 2(28 n+1)-3\left(26 n^{2}+3\right) k / n^{2}+18 k^{2} / n^{3} \\
& +3\left(15 n^{2}+14 n-3\right) k^{3} / n^{4}-9(2 n+1) k^{4} / n^{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
C_{n, k}=\frac{3}{n-k+1}+\cdots+\frac{3}{n} .
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
(n+1)^{2}\binom{n+1}{k}^{3} C_{n+1, k}+3\left(9 n^{2}-1\right)\binom{n-1}{k}^{3} C_{n-1, k} \\
\quad+2(n+1)\binom{n+1}{k}^{3}+54 n\binom{n-1}{k}^{3} \\
=f_{n}(k)\binom{n}{k}^{3} C_{n, k}+f_{n}(k-1)\binom{n}{k-1}^{3} C_{n, k-1} \\
\quad+g_{n}(k)\binom{n}{k}^{3}+g_{n}(k-1)\binom{n}{k-1}^{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

We multiply both sides by $(-1)^{k}$. Taking summation from 1 to $n+1$ on $k$,
(8) $(n+1)^{2} c(n+1)+3\left(9 n^{2}-1\right) c(n-1)$

$$
+2(n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{n+1}\binom{n+1}{k}^{3}(-1)^{k}+54 n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{k}^{3}(-1)^{k}=0
$$

If $n \equiv 0 \bmod 2$, two latter summations are equal to 0 .
Q.E.D.

Remark. The numbers $c(n)$ satisfy the recurrence (8) if $n \equiv 1 \bmod 2$.
Proposition 6. Let $p \equiv 3 \bmod 4$ be a prime, we have

$$
c\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \equiv 0 \quad \bmod p
$$

Proof. It is trivial if $p=3$. If $p \equiv 7 \bmod 12$ then $(p+2) / 3$ is odd. By (7), we have

$$
\left(\frac{p+2}{3}\right)^{2} c\left(\frac{p+2}{3}\right)+3\left\{9\left(\frac{p-1}{3}\right)^{2}-1\right\} c\left(\frac{p-4}{3}\right)=0 .
$$

Then $c((p+2) / 3) \equiv 0 \bmod p$. Hence, $c(n) \equiv 0 \bmod p$ for $(p+2) / 3 \leq n \leq$ $p-2$ and $n$ odd. If $p \equiv 11 \bmod 12$ then $(p+4) / 3$ is odd. Then it can be proved in the same way.
Q.E.D.

## §4. Proof of Theorem 2

Beukers and Stienstra showed that the generating function of $a(n)$ is a holomorphic solution of the Picard-Fuchs equation associated to the family of elliptic curves. From this argument and the $\zeta$-function of a certain K3-surface, they proved Theorem 1 (see [2, 11]). Moreover we know that the right hand side of (1) is equal to $\eta(4 z)^{6}$ with $q=e^{2 \pi i z}$, $\operatorname{Im}(z)>0$, where $\eta(z)=q^{1 / 24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{n}\right)$ is Dedekind's $\eta$-function. From the Jacobi-Macdonald formula, we see

$$
\lambda_{p}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
4 a^{2}-2 p & \text { if } p \equiv 1 \bmod 4 \text { and } p=a^{2}+b^{2}, \quad a \equiv 1 \bmod 2 \\
0 & \text { if } p \equiv 3 \bmod 4
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence if $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ then $\lambda_{p} \neq 0 \bmod p . \quad$ According to Theorem $1, m=1$ and $r=1$ then $a((p-1) / 2) \equiv \lambda_{p} \neq 0 \bmod p$.

Let us prove Theorem 2 using congruences of $a(n), b(n), c(n)$, and Theorem 1.

If $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ then $\frac{p-1}{2}$ is even. From Proposition $4, b\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)$ $\equiv-b\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \bmod p . \quad$ Hence $\quad b\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod p . \quad$ Then $a\left(\frac{m p^{2}-1}{2}\right)$ $\equiv a\left(\frac{m p-1}{2}\right) a\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \bmod p^{2} \quad$ and $\quad a\left(\frac{m p-1}{2}\right) \equiv a\left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right) a\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)$ $\bmod p^{2}$. Putting $r=2$ in Theorem 1, $a\left(\frac{m p^{2}-1}{2}\right) \equiv \lambda_{p} a\left(\frac{m p-1}{2}\right) \bmod p^{2}$. Since $a\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \neq 0 \bmod p$, this is reduced to $a\left(\frac{m p-1}{2}\right) \equiv \lambda_{p} a\left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right)$ $\bmod p^{2}$.

If $p \equiv 3 \bmod 4$ and $p \neq 3$ then

$$
a\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \equiv \frac{p}{2} b\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \equiv \frac{p}{6} c\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \quad \bmod p^{2}
$$

by Theorem 3. From Proposition 6, we have $a\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod p^{2}$. Hence

$$
a\left(\frac{m p-1}{2}\right) \equiv a\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) a\left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right) \equiv 0 \quad \bmod p^{2} .
$$

Thus we have completed the proof.
Q.E.D.

## § 5. Application for other numbers

Above method is applicable to other numbers which satisfy the relation such as (2) (see [11]), and super congruence of $u(n)$ is shown in [8]. i.e.

Theorem 4. Let $p \geq 3$ be a prime, and write

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_{n} q^{n}=q \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{2 n}\right)^{4}\left(1-q^{4 n}\right)^{4} .
$$

If $u\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \neq 0 \bmod p$ then

$$
u\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \equiv \xi_{p} \quad \bmod p^{2} .
$$

Moreover we cite another example in this section.
Let, for any $n \geq 0$,

$$
u(n)=(-1)^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}^{3}
$$

F. Beukers and J. Stientstra showed the following congruence in [11]. Let $p \geq 3$, and write

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n} q^{n}=q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{n}\right)^{2}\left(1-q^{2 n}\right)\left(1-q^{4 n}\right)\left(1-q^{8 n}\right)^{2} .
$$

Then, for $m, r \in N, m$ odd,

$$
v\left(\frac{m p^{r}-1}{2}\right)-\gamma_{p} v\left(\frac{m p^{r-1}-1}{2}\right)+\left(\frac{-2}{p}\right) p^{2} v\left(\frac{m p^{r-2}-1}{2}\right) \equiv 0 \quad \bmod p^{r}
$$

where $(\stackrel{-}{-})$ is the Jacobi-Legendre symbol.
The numbers $w(n)$ which is (differential) of $v(n)$ can be formulate to

$$
w(n)=3(-1)^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n}{k}^{3}\left[\frac{1}{n-k+1}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n}\right] .
$$

And for all primes $p \geq 3, n \geq 0$ and $0 \leq m \leq p-1$, we have

$$
v(n p+m) \equiv\{v(m)+p n w(m)\} v(n) \quad \bmod p^{2}
$$

Then $v\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)$ of $\bmod p^{2}$ is determined by our method if $\left(\frac{-2}{p}\right)=1$, that is

$$
v\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \equiv \gamma_{p}+\frac{p}{2} w\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \quad \bmod p^{2}
$$
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