# AN EXTENSION OF POINCARÉ FORMULA IN INTEGRAL GEOMETRY

## MINORU KURITA

1. A curve  $c_2$  of finite length  $L_2$  moves on a euclidean plane. Let the number of points of intersection of  $c_2$  with the fixed curve  $c_1$  of length  $L_1$  be n, and the element of kinematic measure of the position of  $c_2$  be dK. Then, owing to Poincaré, we have

$$\int n \, dK = 4 L_1 L_2,$$

where the integration extends over all the positions of the moving curve  $c_2$ . An analogous formula was obtained by Santaló [1] in the case of a curve and a surface in the euclidean 3-space, and by Blaschke [2] in the case of two surfaces. Here I extend these to the case of general Klein spaces by the method of moving frames of E. Cartan [3]. The method used is analogous to that of the paper of S. S. Chern [4], but I have worked out independently. Moreover I show examples which may be of some interest.

2. In Kein spaces, whose fundamental group is a Lie group G, we call the left cosets aH of G by a Lie subgroup H points, and let  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  be manifolds which consist of points x, the former being space fixed and the latter moving. Hereafter we assume the differentiability to the order we need. We attach to every point of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  Frenet's frames, whose motion along  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  is denoted by  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . Then we take one of the intersection points and call it O. Let the motion, which removes the Frenet's frame of  $F_1$  at O to the one of  $F_2$  at O, be T and let the fixed frame of  $F_1$  be  $R_0$  and the frame that is relatively fixed to  $F_2$  be R. Then R can be represented as

$$R=S_1TS_2^{-1}R_0,$$

which can be understood by the fact that the relative position between R and  $S_1 T R_2$  is represented by  $S_2^{-1}$ . So when we put

(1) 
$$S = S_1 T S_2^{-1}$$
,

the position of moving manifold  $F_2$  can be determined by S. We denote the

Received Sept. 20, 1950,

### MINORU KURITA

parameters of the fundamental Lie group G by a symbolically and those of  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$  and T by  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$  and t respectively. Hereafter we use the notation  $\delta$  for the infinitesimal relative motion; for example  $\delta S = S(a)^{-1}S(a + da)$ . Hence we get by (1)

$$\delta S = S(a)^{-1}S(a + da) = S_2(a_2) T(t)^{-1}S_1(a_1)^{-1}S_1(a_1 + da_1) T(t + dt) S_2^{-1}(a_2 + da_2).$$

So we have

(2) 
$$S_2^{-1} \cdot \delta S \cdot S_2 = (T^{-1} \cdot \delta S \cdot T) \, \delta T \, (\delta S_2)^{-1}.$$

Let the dimension of Lie group G be r and the relative components of  $\delta S$  be  $\omega_i (i = 1, 2, \ldots, r)$  among which the principal components of points are  $\omega_i (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ . It is easily seen that the relative components of the product of several infinitesimal motions are the sum of the corresponding relative components of each infinitesimal motion. So, when we denote the relative components of

$$T^{-1} \cdot \delta S_1 \cdot T$$
,  $\delta T$ ,  $(\delta S_2)^{-1}$ 

by  $\sum_{j=1}^{r} t_{ij} \omega_j^{(1)}, \quad \omega_i^{(0)}, \quad -\omega_i^{(2)} \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, r),$ 

those of  $S_2^{-1} \cdot \delta S \cdot S_2$  are

(3) 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} t_{ij} \omega_j^{(1)} + \omega_i^{(0)} - \omega_i^{(2)} \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, r).$$

Here  $\omega_j^{(1)}$  (j = 1, 2, ..., r) are the relative components of  $\delta S_1$  and the transformation matrix  $(t_{ij})$  means the matrix of the linear adjoint group that corresponds to T.

Now we assume that G has measure which is independent of the choice of the frame attached to the moving manifold. Then the measure element dK of  $\delta S$  is equal to that of  $S_2^{-1} \cdot \delta S \cdot S_2$ . So by virtue of (2) and (3) we have

$$dK = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{r} t_{ij} \omega_{j}^{(1)} - \omega_{i}^{(2)} + \omega_{i}^{(0)} \right),$$

where the product of pfaffian forms means alternating product. For the relative motion  $\delta T$  of T, which is the rotation about the point O, the principal relative components  $\omega_i^{(0)}$  (i = 1, 2, ..., n) are all zero. So we have

(4) 
$$dK = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{r} t_{ij} \omega_j^{(1)} - \omega_i^{(2)} \right) \prod_{i=n+1}^{r} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{r} t_{ij} \omega_j^{(1)} - \omega_i^{(2)} + \omega_i^{(0)} \right).$$

Now we treat the case in which the relation n = p + q holds, where p and q are dimensions of the manifold  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  respectively. As the matrix  $(t_{ij})$  which operates on  $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_r$  keeps the relation  $\omega_1 = 0, \ldots, \omega_n = 0$  invariant, we

have the relation

$$t_{ij} = 0$$
  $(i = 1, \ldots, n; j = n + 1, \ldots, r).$ 

On the other hand let  $\pi_i^{(1)}$   $(i = 1, \ldots, p)$  and  $\pi_j^{(2)}$   $(j = 1, \ldots, q)$  be the principal relative components of the Frenet's frames of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  that are linearly independent with respect to the differential. Then all the principal relative components of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are represented by

(5) 
$$\omega_{i^{(1)}} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_{ij}^{(1)} \pi_{j}^{(1)}, \quad \omega_{i^{(2)}} = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \lambda_{ij}^{(2)} \pi_{j}^{(2)} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n).$$

After these considerations we get from (4)

(6) 
$$dK = \varDelta \prod_{i=1}^{p} \pi_{i}^{(1)} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{q} \pi_{j}^{(2)} \cdot \prod_{k=n+1}^{r} \omega_{k}^{(0)}.$$

Here

(7) 
$$\Delta = \sum \pm T \begin{pmatrix} j_1' \, j_2' \, \cdots \, j_p' \\ i_1 \, i_2 \, \cdots \, i_p \end{pmatrix} \Lambda^{(1)} (i_1 \, i_2 \, \cdots \, i_p) \Lambda^{(2)} (j_1 \, j_2 \, \cdots \, j_q),$$

and  $A^{(1)}(i_1 \ldots i_p)$  is the determinant constructed from  $i_1$ -th,  $i_2$ -th, ..., and  $i_p$ -th row of the matrix  $(\lambda_{ij}^{(1)})$ , and the same for  $A^{(2)}(j_1 j_2 \ldots j_q)$ , while  $T\begin{pmatrix} j_1' j_2' \cdots j_p' \\ i_1 i_2 \cdots i_p \end{pmatrix}$  is the minor of the matrix  $(t_{ij})$  and  $j_1', j_2', \ldots, j_{p'}, j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_q$  is any permutation of 1, 2, ..., *n*, and the summation in (7) extends over all the permutations of  $i_1, \ldots, i_p$  and  $j_1, \ldots, j_q$ .

3. We now make two assumptions and integrate (6). One of them is that
(A) *d* depends only on (t<sub>ij</sub>),

while the other is that

(B) 
$$c = \int \left| \int_{k=n+1}^{r} \omega_{k}^{(0)} \right|$$
 is finite, where the integration extends over all the rotations about O.

Then, when the number of intersection points of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  is  $\nu$ , we get

(8) 
$$\int \nu \, dK = c M_1 M_2$$

where

$$\int \left| \prod_{i=1}^{p} \pi_{i}^{(1)} \right| = M_{1} \text{ and } \int \left| \prod_{j=1}^{q} \pi_{j}^{(2)} \right| = M_{2}$$

the integration ranging over the whole mamifold  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ . (8) is a generalization of Poincaré's theorem.

#### MINORU KURITA

The assumption (A) is satisfied if all  $\lambda_{ij}^{(1)}$  and  $\lambda_{ij}^{(2)}$  are constant. This means that  $F_1$  has only one *p*-dimensional area and  $F_2$  only one *q*-dimensional area.

4. Before we show some examples, we make one remark. When the fundamental Lie group is a linear group, we can take  $PI^0$  as the frame which is obtained by the transformation  $P = (p_{ij})$  from the fundamental frame  $I^0 = (I_1^0, I_2^0, \ldots, I_n^0)$ ,  $I_0$  being a set of independent vectors. Then the point x, which has  $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$  as coordinates with respect to  $I^0$ , is transformed into the point

$$x' = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} I_{i} = \sum_{i, j=1, ..., n} x_{i} p_{ij} I_{j}^{0}$$

namely into  $x' = (x_1', x_2', \ldots, x_n')$  that is determined by x' = P'x, P' being the transposed matrix of P. Thus if we denote the point transformation by x' = P'x, the frame transformation is  $I = PI^0$ . So the order of the products of the matrices of the point transformation and the frame transformation are inverse. For example from the frame transformation  $I = PI^0$ , we get for the infinitesimal relative motion  $dP.P^{-1}$ , whose elements are relative components.

5. In the euclidean space of dimension n the infinitesimal relative motion can be represented by

$$dA = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_i I_i, \quad dI_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_{ij} I_j \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n),$$

where A is the vertex of the frame and  $I_1, \ldots, I_n$  are unit orthogonal system. Let  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  be respectively p- and q-dimensional surfaces and their independent principal relative components be  $\omega_i^{(1)}$   $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, p)$  and  $\omega_j^{(2)}$   $(j = 1, 2, \ldots, q)$ , the other principal components being zero. Moreover we denote by  $T = (t_{ij})$  the rotation about O that removes the Frenet's frame of  $F_1$  at O to that of  $F_2$  at O. When the relation n = p + q holds, we get by calculation

$$dK = (-1)^{q} \mathcal{A} \prod_{j=1}^{q} \omega_{j}^{(2)} \prod_{i=1}^{p} \omega_{i}^{(i)} \cdot dK_{0},$$

where

$$\Delta = \begin{vmatrix} t_{q+1, 1} & \dots & t_{q+1, p} \\ & \dots & & \\ t_{n, 1} & \dots & t_{n, p} \end{vmatrix}$$

and  $dK_{\theta}$  is the measure element of rotation about O. Thus the assumptions (A) and (B) are both satisfied and we get (8).

The case n = 2, p = 1, q = 1 is the Poincaré's and the case n = 2, p = 1, q = 2 is the Santalo's. Moreover the above consideration is available for the case of spherical space. When n , we get the extension of Blaschke's

theorem. Let the area element of the intersection manifold F of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  be  $d_{\mathcal{I}}$ , and the rotation which removes the Frenet's frame at a certain point O of  $F_1$  to the Frenet's frame of  $F_2$  at O be  $T = (t_{ij})$ . When we denote by  $dK_0$  the measure element of the rotation about the point O, we get

$$d\sigma \circ dK = (-1)^q \Delta \prod_{j=1}^q \omega_j^{(2)} \circ \prod_{i=1}^p \omega_i^{(1)} \circ dK_0,$$

where the meaning of  $\Delta$  is as follows. The rotation T is decomposed into three parts, namely  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and U, where  $T_i$  (i = 1, 2) is the one which removes the Frenet's frame of  $F_i$  at O to the frame  $R_i$  (i = 1, 2) whose first p + q - n axes touch F, and U is the rotation which removes  $R_1$  to  $R_2$ . If we put

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ A & * \end{pmatrix}$$

where A has n - q rows and p columns, then  $\pm 4$  is the determinant which is constructed from the r + 1, r + 2; ... and p-th columns of the matrix A. We omit the proof.

6. Next we treat the case when the assumptions (A) and (B) do not hold. Let  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  be the one-parametric sets of straight lines on a eutlidean plane and let the angles, which any straight line of  $c_i$  (i = 1, 2) makes with the fixed line of  $c_i$  (i = 1, 2) be  $\theta_i$  (i = 1, 2). We call the intersection of  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  the line that is common to  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , and let the distance of two points, at which the intersection line touches the enveloping curves of  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , be  $\lambda$ . Then by calculation the formula (6) reduces to

$$dK = \lambda d\lambda d\theta_1 d\theta_2$$

So, although the assumption (A) is satisfied, the assumption (B) is not.

7. The example where (A) does not hold can be obtained if we consider two line congruences of the euclidean 3-space. In a euclidean 3-space we take a point A and three unit vectors  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$ ,  $I_3$  that are orthogonal to each other and call (A,  $I_1$ ,  $I_3$ ,  $I_3$ ) a frame. Then the infinitesimal motion can be represented by

$$dA = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \omega_i I_i, \ dI_i = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \omega_{ij} I_j \ (\omega_{ij} + \omega_{ji} = 0).$$

Now we take the straight line which passes through A and has the direction  $I_3$ . We introduce the new frame determined by

(9) 
$$\overline{A} = A + \lambda I_3, \quad \overline{I}_1 = I_1 \cos \theta + I_2 \sin \theta, \quad \overline{I}_2 = -I_1 \sin \theta + I_2 \cos \theta, \quad \overline{I}_3 = I_3.$$

Then the infinitesimal motion can be represented as

$$d\overline{A} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \overline{\omega}_i \overline{I}, \quad d\overline{I}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \overline{\omega}_{ij} \overline{I}_j,$$

where

$$\overline{\omega}_1 = \omega_1 \cos\theta + \omega_2 \sin\theta + \lambda (\omega_{31} \cos\theta + \omega_{32} \sin\theta)$$

$$\overline{\omega}_2 = -\omega_1 \sin\theta + \omega_2 \cos\theta + \lambda (-\omega_{31} \sin\theta + \omega_{32} \cos\theta)$$

$$\overline{\omega}_{31} = \omega_{31} \cos\theta + \omega_{32} \sin\theta$$

$$\overline{\omega}_{32} = -\omega_{31} \sin\theta + \omega_{32} \cos\theta.$$

Quadratic differential forms

$$\omega_{31}^2 + \omega_{32}^2, \qquad \begin{vmatrix} \omega_1 & \omega_2 \\ \omega_{31} & \omega_{32} \end{vmatrix} = \omega_1 \omega_{32} - \omega_2 \omega_{31}$$

are invariant for the transformation (9) and consequently  $\omega_{31}^2 + \omega_{32}^2 + c(\omega_1 \omega_{32} - \omega_2 \omega_{31})$  is invariant for any constant c. Hence we get invariant forms

(10) 
$$A = [\omega_{31}\omega_{32}], \qquad B = [\omega_{31}\omega_{1}] + [\omega_{32}\omega_{2}], \\ C = [\omega_{1}\omega_{32}]^{2} + [\omega_{2}\omega_{31}]^{2} - 2[\omega_{2}\omega_{32}][\omega_{1}\omega_{31}] - 2[\omega_{1}\omega_{2}][\omega_{31}\omega_{32}],$$

where the brackt [] means alternating product. Here and hereafter we omit the details of calculation.

For a line congruence which has the property  $A = [\omega_{31}\omega_{32}] \neq 0$  and satisfies a certain reality condition we can select  $\lambda$  and  $\theta$  in (9) suitably and make one of  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  identically zero. So we assume that by this choice of frame we have  $\omega_2 = 0$ . This frame is Frenet's frame of the line congruence. If we put  $\omega_1 = a\omega_{31} + b\omega_{32}$ , then we have by (10)

$$B = bA, \quad C = a^2 A^2.$$

So a and b are invariants of line congruences which correspond to  $\lambda_{ij}$  in (5).

New we take line congruences  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , the former being space fixed and the latter moving. Let the common line of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  be l and the motion which removes the Frenet's frame of  $F_1$  at l to the one of  $F_2$  at l be represented by (9). Denote the area element  $[\omega_{31}^{(i)}, \omega_{32}^{(i)}]$  (i = 1, 2) of the spherical representation of the line congruences by  $d\sigma_i$  (i = 1, 2) and the invariants a and b of  $F_i$  (i = 1, 2) by  $a_i$  and  $b_i$ . Then the measure element dK of the position of the moving congruence  $F_2$  which has a common line with the space-fixed  $F_1$  can be written as

$$dK = \Delta d\lambda d\theta \, d\sigma_1 d\sigma_2,$$

where

$$\Delta = -\lambda^{2} + (a_{1} - a_{2}) \lambda - (a_{1}b_{2} - a_{2}b_{1})\cos\theta\sin\theta + (a_{1}a_{2} + b_{1}b_{2})\sin^{2}\theta.$$

This does not satisfy the assumption (A), except when  $a_1 - a_2$ ,  $a_1b_2 - a_2b_1$ and  $a_1a_2 + b_1b_2$  are all constant.

#### REFERENCES

[1] L. A. Santaló, Integralgeomatrie 5. (Actualités scientifiques et industrielles 1935).

[2] W. Blaschke, Vorlesungen über Integralgeometrie. zweites Heft (1937).

[3] E. Cartan, La théorie des groupes finis et continus et la géométrie differentielles. (1937).

[4] S. S. Chern, On integral geometry in Klein spaces. (Annals of mathematics. Vol. 43, 1942).

Mathematical Institute, Nagoya University