

TRANSVERSAL CONFORMAL FIELDS OF FOLIATIONS

Jeong Hyeong PARK and Shinsuke YOROZU

1. Introduction

Let (M, g_M, \mathcal{F}) be a closed, oriented, connected Riemannian manifold of dimension $p+q$ with a transversally oriented foliation \mathcal{F} of codimension $q \geq 2$ and a bundle-like metric g_M with respect to \mathcal{F} . Let Q be the normal bundle of \mathcal{F} and $\pi : \Gamma(TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(Q)$ the natural projection. We denote by D the transversal Riemannian connection of \mathcal{F} . Let $V(\mathcal{F})$ denote the set of infinitesimal automorphisms of \mathcal{F} and $\bar{V}(\mathcal{F}) = \{ \nu \in \Gamma(Q) \mid \nu = \pi(Y), Y \in V(\mathcal{F}) \}$, the set of transversal infinitesimal automorphisms of \mathcal{F} .

Throughout this paper, we also use the following notation:

τ : the tension field of \mathcal{F} ,

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C12.

Key words and phrases.. Foliation, Transversal infinitesimal automorphism, Transversal conformal field.

$\operatorname{div}_D v$: the transversal divergence of v ,
 $\operatorname{grad}_D f$: the transversal gradient of a function f ,
 ρ_D : the transversal Ricci operator,
 Δ_D : the Laplacian acting on $\Omega^0(M, Q) = \Gamma(Q)$,
 $\theta(Y)$: the transversal Lie derivative operator
for $Y \in V(\mathcal{F})$,
 $A_D(v) = \theta(Y) - D_Y$ ($v = \pi(Y) \in \bar{V}(\mathcal{F})$) ,
 $B_D(v) = A_D(v) + {}^t A_D(v) + \frac{2}{q}(\operatorname{div}_D v) \cdot I$
(I : the identity map of $\Gamma(Q)$) .

In the case where \mathcal{F} is a harmonic foliation ($\tau = 0$),
geometric transversal fields such as transversal Killing,
transversal affine (projective, conformal) fields have been
studied by Kamber, Tondeur, Molino and others ([1, 2, 3, 5]).
For example, a transversal infinitesimal automorphism v of
 \mathcal{F} is a transversal Killing field of \mathcal{F} if and only if v
satisfies $\Delta_D v = \rho_D(v)$ and $\operatorname{div}_D v = 0$ ([1, 2, 5]). On
the other hand, in the case where \mathcal{F} is not a harmonic
foliation, Nishikawa and Yorozu[4] give a necessary and
sufficient condition for a transversal infinitesimal
automorphism of \mathcal{F} to be a transversal Killing field of \mathcal{F} .

A transversal infinitesimal automorphism $v = \pi(Y)$
 $\in \bar{V}(\mathcal{F})$ is called a transversal conformal field of \mathcal{F} if
 v satisfies $\theta(Y)g_Q = 2f \cdot g_Q$, where f is a function on M .
The purpose of this paper is to find a necessary and sufficient

condition for a transversal infinitesimal automorphism of \mathcal{F} to be a transversal conformal field of \mathcal{F} , without assuming the harmonicity of \mathcal{F} . We prove the following theorem.

Theorem. Let (M, g_M, \mathcal{F}) be a closed, oriented, connected Riemannian manifold of dimension $p+q$ with a transversally oriented foliation \mathcal{F} of codimension $q \geq 2$ and a bundle-like metric g_M with respect to \mathcal{F} . Let ν be a transversal infinitesimal automorphism of \mathcal{F} . Then ν is a transversal conformal field of \mathcal{F} if and only if ν satisfies

$$(i) \quad \Delta_D \nu = D_{\sigma(\tau)} \nu + \rho_D(\nu) + \left(1 - \frac{2}{q}\right) \cdot \text{grad}_D \text{div}_D \nu$$

and

$$(ii) \quad \int_M g_Q(B_D(\nu)\nu, \tau) dM = 0.$$

We shall be in C^∞ -category. We use the following convention on the range of indices: $1 \leq i, j, \dots \leq p$ and $p+1 \leq a, b, \dots \leq p+q$. The authors would like to thank the referee for kind suggestion.

2. Preliminaries

Let (M, g_M, \mathcal{F}) be a closed, oriented, connected Riemannian manifold of dimension $p+q$ with a transversally oriented foliation \mathcal{F} of codimension $q \geq 2$ and a bundle-like metric g_M with respect to \mathcal{F} . Let E and $Q = TM/E$ be the tangent bundle and the normal bundle of \mathcal{F} , respectively. The metric g_M gives a splitting σ of the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma(E) \longrightarrow \Gamma(TM) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\pi} \\ \xleftarrow{\sigma} \end{array} \Gamma(Q) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\sigma(\Gamma(Q)) = \Gamma(E^\perp)$, where E^\perp denotes the orthogonal complement bundle of E in TM with respect to g_M . Then g_M induces a metric g_Q on Q defined by $g_Q(\nu, \mu) = g_M(\sigma(\nu), \sigma(\mu))$ for $\nu, \mu \in \Gamma(Q)$. In a flat chart $U(x^i, x^a)$ with respect to \mathcal{F} , a local frame $\{X_i, X_a\} = \{\partial/\partial x^i, \partial/\partial x^a - \sum_j A^j_a \partial/\partial x^j\}$ is called the basic adapted frame to \mathcal{F} . Here A^j_a are functions on U with $g_M(X_i, X_a) = 0$. We notice that $\{X_i\}$ spans $\Gamma(E|_U)$ and $\{X_a\}$ spans $\Gamma(E^\perp|_U)$. We put

$$g_{ij} = g_M(X_i, X_j), \quad g_{ab} = g_M(X_a, X_b), \\ (g^{ij}) = (g_{ij})^{-1}, \quad (g^{ab}) = (g_{ab})^{-1} \quad ([5]).$$

A connection D on Q is defined by

$$D_X \nu = \pi([X, Y_\nu]) \quad \text{if } X \in \Gamma(E), \\ D_X \nu = \pi(\nabla_X Y_\nu) \quad \text{if } X \in \Gamma(E^\perp),$$

where $Y_\nu = \sigma(\nu)$, and ∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection with respect to g_M . Since D is torsionfree and metrical with respect to g_Q ([1]), we call D the transversal Riemannian connection of \mathcal{F} . The curvature R_D of D is defined by

$$R_D(X, Y)\nu = D_X D_Y \nu - D_Y D_X \nu - D_{[X, Y]} \nu$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $\nu \in \Gamma(Q)$. We notice that $i(X)R_D = 0$, where $i(X)$ denotes the interior product with respect

to $X \in \Gamma(E)$. The transversal Ricci operator $\rho_D : \Gamma(Q) \longrightarrow \Gamma(Q)$ is given by

$$\rho_D(\nu) = \sum_{a,b} g^{ab} R_D(\sigma(\nu), X_a) \pi(X_b) \quad ,$$

and let $\text{Ric}_D(\nu) = g_Q(\rho_D(\nu), \nu)$.

We denote by $V(\mathcal{F})$ the set of all infinitesimal automorphisms of \mathcal{F} , that is,

$$V(\mathcal{F}) = \{ Y \in \Gamma(TM) \mid [X, Y] \in \Gamma(E) \text{ for all } X \in \Gamma(E) \} \quad .$$

A transversal infinitesimal automorphism ν of \mathcal{F} is an element of the set

$$\bar{V}(\mathcal{F}) = \{ \nu \in \Gamma(Q) \mid \nu = \pi(Y) \text{ , } Y \in V(\mathcal{F}) \} \quad .$$

The transversal Lie derivative operator $\theta(Y) : \Gamma(Q) \longrightarrow \Gamma(Q)$ for $Y \in V(\mathcal{F})$ is defined by

$$\theta(Y)\mu = \pi([Y, Z_\mu]) \quad)$$

for all $\mu \in \Gamma(Q)$ with $\sigma(\mu) = Z_\mu$. For $\nu = \pi(Y) \in \bar{V}(\mathcal{F})$, we define an operator $A_D(\nu) : \Gamma(Q) \longrightarrow \Gamma(Q)$ by

$$A_D(\nu)\mu = \theta(Y)\mu - D_Y \mu$$

for all $\mu \in \Gamma(Q)$ ([1]). We notice that the definition of $A_D(\nu)$ is independent of the choice of Y with $\pi(Y) = \nu$ ([1]).

Definition. A transversal infinitesimal automorphism $\nu = \pi(Y) \in \bar{V}(\mathcal{F})$ is called a transversal conformal field of \mathcal{F} if ν satisfies $\theta(Y)g_Q = 2f \cdot g_Q$, where f is a function on M .

The tension field τ of \mathcal{F} is given by

$$\tau = \sum_{i,j} g^{ij} \pi(\nabla_{X_i} X_j) .$$

If $\tau = 0$, then \mathcal{F} is called harmonic ([1]). The transversal divergence $\text{div}_D v$ of $v \in \Gamma(Q)$ is given by

$$\text{div}_D v = \sum_{a,b} g^{ab} g_Q(D_{X_a} v, \pi(X_b)) ,$$

and the transversal gradient $\text{grad}_D f$ of a function f on M is given by

$$\text{grad}_D f = \sum_{a,b} g^{ab} X_a(f) \cdot \pi(X_b)$$

([5]).

Let $\Omega^r(M, Q)$ be the set of all Q -valued r -forms on M . We notice that $\Omega^0(M, Q) \cong \Gamma(Q)$. The global inner product $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$ on $\Omega^r(M, Q)$ is defined by

$$\langle\langle \xi, \eta \rangle\rangle = \int_M g_Q(\xi \wedge * \eta)$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in \Omega^r(M, Q)$ ([1]). Let $d_D : \Omega^r(M, Q) \longrightarrow \Omega^{r+1}(M, Q)$ be the exterior differential operator, and δ_D the adjoint operator of d_D with respect to $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$ ([1]). The Laplacian Δ_D acting on $\Omega^r(M, Q)$ is defined by

$$\Delta_D = \delta_D d_D + d_D \delta_D .$$

Then we have

Green's Theorem ([6, 7]). For all $v \in \bar{V}(\mathcal{F})$, it holds that

$$\int_M \operatorname{div}_D v \, dM = \langle\langle v, \tau \rangle\rangle .$$

Proposition 1 ([7]). For all $v, \mu \in \bar{V}(\mathcal{F})$, it holds that

$$\langle\langle \Delta_D v, \mu \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle D v, D \mu \rangle\rangle .$$

Proposition 2 ([7]). For all $v \in \bar{V}(\mathcal{F})$, it holds that

$$(i) \quad \operatorname{Ric}_D(v) + \operatorname{Tr} A_D(v)A_D(v) - (\operatorname{div}_D v)^2 + \operatorname{div}_D(A_D(v)v) + \operatorname{div}_D((\operatorname{div}_D v)v) = 0 ,$$

$$(ii) \quad \operatorname{Tr} A_D(v)A_D(v) = - \operatorname{Tr} {}^t A_D(v)A_D(v) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} (A_D(v) + A_D(v))^2 ,$$

where $\operatorname{Tr} C$ denotes the trace of an operator $C : \Gamma(Q) \longrightarrow \Gamma(Q)$ with respect to g_Q , and ${}^t A_D(v)$ denotes the transposed operator of $A_D(v)$ with respect to g_Q .

Proposition 3 ([5]). A transversal conformal field v of \mathcal{F} satisfies

$$\Delta_D v = D_{\sigma(\tau)} v + \rho_D(v) + \left(1 - \frac{2}{q}\right) \operatorname{grad}_D \operatorname{div}_D v .$$

Let $B_D(v) : \Gamma(Q) \longrightarrow \Gamma(Q)$ ($v \in \bar{V}(\mathcal{F})$) be an operator defined by

$$B_D(v) = A_D(v) + {}^t A_D(v) + \frac{2}{q} (\operatorname{div}_D v) \cdot I ,$$

where I denotes the identity map of $\Gamma(Q)$. Note that the operator $B_D(v)$ is symmetric.

Proposition 4 ([5]). A transversal infinitesimal automorphism ν of \mathcal{F} is a transversal conformal field of \mathcal{F} if and only if $B_D(\nu) = 0$.

3. Proof of Theorem

By Propositions 3 and 4, it is immediate that a transversal conformal field of \mathcal{F} satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). Conversely, we assume that a transversal infinitesimal automorphism ν of \mathcal{F} satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). We first note that, by Proposition 2, we have

$$\int_M \left(\text{Ric}_D(\nu) - \text{Tr} \, {}^t A_D(\nu) A_D(\nu) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left(A_D(\nu) + {}^t A_D(\nu) \right)^2 - (\text{div}_D \nu)^2 + \text{div}_D (A_D(\nu) \nu)^2 + \text{div}_D ((\text{div}_D \nu) \nu) \right) dM = 0 .$$

By the condition (i) and $\text{Ric}_D(\nu) = g_Q(\rho_D(\nu), \nu)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \text{Ric}_D(\nu) dM &= \langle\langle \Delta_D \nu, \nu \rangle\rangle - \langle\langle D_{\sigma(\tau)} \nu, \nu \rangle\rangle \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{2}{q} \right) \langle\langle \text{grad}_D \text{div}_D \nu, \nu \rangle\rangle . \end{aligned}$$

By direct calculation, we have for $\nu \in \Gamma(Q)$

$$g_Q(\text{grad}_D \text{div}_D \nu, \nu) = \text{div}_D ((\text{div}_D \nu) \nu) - (\text{div}_D \nu)^2 .$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \int_M \text{Ric}_D(\nu) dM &= \langle\langle \Delta_D \nu, \nu \rangle\rangle - \langle\langle D_{\sigma(\tau)} \nu, \nu \rangle\rangle \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{2}{q} \right) \int_M \text{div}_D ((\text{div}_D \nu) \nu) dM \\ &\quad + \left(1 - \frac{2}{q} \right) \int_M (\text{div}_D \nu)^2 dM . \end{aligned}$$

By direct calculation, we also have for $\nu \in \Gamma(Q)$

$$\text{Tr } {}^t A_D(v) A_D(v) = \sum_{a,b} g^{ab} g_Q(D_{X_a} v, D_{X_b} v),$$

which implies

$$(2) \quad \int_M \text{Tr } {}^t A_D(v) A_D(v) dM = \langle\langle Dv, Dv \rangle\rangle .$$

We have, by Green's Theorem,

$$\int_M \text{div}_D(A_D(v)v) dM = \langle\langle A_D(v)v, \tau \rangle\rangle ,$$

$$\int_M \text{div}_D((\text{div}_D v)v) dM = \langle\langle (\text{div}_D v)v, \tau \rangle\rangle .$$

On the other hand, we have

$$- g_Q(D_{\sigma(\tau)} v, v) = g_Q({}^t A_D(v)v, \tau) .$$

Thus we have

$$(3) \quad \langle\langle A_D(v)v, \tau \rangle\rangle - \langle\langle D_{\sigma(\tau)} v, v \rangle\rangle \\ = \langle\langle (A_D(v) + {}^t A_D(v))v, \tau \rangle\rangle .$$

By direct calculation, we have

$$\text{Tr}(A_D(v) + {}^t A_D(v)) = - 2 \text{div}_D v ,$$

which implies

$$(4) \quad \text{Tr} (B_D(v))^2 = \text{Tr} (A_D(v) + {}^t A_D(v))^2 + \frac{4}{q} (\text{div}_D v)^2 \\ + \frac{4}{q} (\text{div}_D v) \cdot \text{Tr}(A_D(v) + {}^t A_D(v)) \\ = \text{Tr} (A_D(v) + {}^t A_D(v))^2 - \frac{4}{q} (\text{div}_D v)^2 .$$

By Proposition 1, (1), (2), (3) and (4), we have

$$0 = \int_M (\text{Ric}_D(v) - \text{Tr } {}^t A_D(v) A_D(v) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} (A_D(v) + {}^t A_D(v))^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - (\operatorname{div}_D v)^2 + \operatorname{div}_D (A_D(v)v) + \operatorname{div}_D ((\operatorname{div}_D v)v) \, dM \\
= & \langle\langle \Delta_D v, v \rangle\rangle - \langle\langle D_{\sigma(\tau)} v, v \rangle\rangle \\
& - (1 - \frac{2}{q}) \int_M \operatorname{div}_D ((\operatorname{div}_D v)v) \, dM + (1 - \frac{2}{q}) \int_M (\operatorname{div}_D v)^2 \, dM \\
& - \langle\langle Dv, Dv \rangle\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int_M \operatorname{Tr} (A_D(v) + {}^t A_D(v))^2 \, dM \\
& - \int_M (\operatorname{div}_D v)^2 \, dM + \langle\langle A_D(v)v, \tau \rangle\rangle + \int_M \operatorname{div}_D ((\operatorname{div}_D v)v) \, dM \\
= & \langle\langle (A_D(v) + {}^t A_D(v))v, \tau \rangle\rangle + \frac{2}{q} \int_M \operatorname{div}_D ((\operatorname{div}_D v)v) \, dM \\
& + \frac{1}{2} (\int_M \operatorname{Tr} (A_D(v) + {}^t A_D(v))^2 \, dM - \frac{4}{q} \int_M (\operatorname{div}_D v)^2 \, dM) \\
= & \langle\langle (A_D(v) + {}^t A_D(v))v, \tau \rangle\rangle + \frac{2}{q} \langle\langle (\operatorname{div}_D v)v, \tau \rangle\rangle \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_M \operatorname{Tr} (B_D(v))^2 \, dM \\
= & \langle\langle B_D(v)v, \tau \rangle\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int_M \operatorname{Tr} (B_D(v))^2 \, dM .
\end{aligned}$$

By (ii) in Theorem, we have

$$(5) \quad \int_M \operatorname{Tr} (B_D(v))^2 \, dM = 0 .$$

Since the operator $B_D(v)$ is symmetric, (5) implies that $B_D(v) = 0$. Therefore, by Proposition 4, v is a transversal conformal field of \mathcal{F} .

4. Remark

On a non-compact foliated Riemannian manifold (M, g_M, \mathcal{F}) , there exists a transversal infinitesimal automorphism of \mathcal{F}

satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii) in Theorem, but which is not a transversal conformal field of \mathcal{F} . For example, we have the following.

Let $M = \mathbb{R}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ be a product manifold with a metric

$$f^2 \cdot (dx^1)^2 + \sum_{a=2}^4 (dx^a)^2 ,$$

where (x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4) is a coordinate system of M , (x^1) and (x^2, x^3, x^4) being coordinate systems of \mathbb{R}^1 and \mathbb{R}^3 respectively, and $f = f(x^2, x^3, x^4) = \exp(x^3 - x^4)$. The family $\{ \mathbb{R}^1 \times \{t\} \}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^3}$ defines a foliation \mathcal{F} on M for which the metric is a bundle-like metric. We consider a vector field Y on M defined by

$$Y = x^2 \partial/\partial x^2 + \partial/\partial x^3 + \partial/\partial x^4 .$$

Then Y is an infinitesimal automorphism of \mathcal{F} so that Y induces a transversal infinitesimal automorphism $\nu = \pi(Y)$ of \mathcal{F} , that is,

$$\nu = x^2 \pi(\partial/\partial x^2) + \pi(\partial/\partial x^3) + \pi(\partial/\partial x^4) .$$

The tension field τ of \mathcal{F} is given by

$$\tau = - \pi(\partial/\partial x^3) + \pi(\partial/\partial x^4) .$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\sigma(\tau)} \nu &= 0 , & D_{\sigma(\nu)} \nu &= x^2 \pi(\partial/\partial x^2) , \\ g_Q(\nu, \tau) &= 0 , & \operatorname{div}_D \nu &= 1 , \\ g_Q((A_D(\nu) + {}^t A_D(\nu))\nu, \tau) & & & \\ &= - g_Q(D_{\sigma(\nu)} \nu, \tau) - g_Q(D_{\sigma(\tau)} \nu, \nu) \end{aligned}$$

$$= 0 .$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad & g_Q(B_D(v)v, \tau) \\ &= g_Q((A_D(v) + {}^t A_D(v) + (1 - \frac{2}{3}) (\text{div}_D v) \cdot I) v, \tau) \\ &= 0 . \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_D(v) &= 0 , \\ D_{\sigma(\tau)} v + \rho_D(v) + (1 - \frac{2}{3}) \text{grad}_D \text{div}_D v &= 0 , \\ \Delta_D v &= 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$(7) \quad \Delta_D v = D_{\sigma(\tau)} v + \rho_D(v) + (1 - \frac{2}{3}) \text{grad}_D \text{div}_D v .$$

By (6) and (7), v satisfies (i) and (ii) in Theorem. But we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta(Y) g_Q) (\pi(\partial/\partial x^2), \pi(\partial/\partial x^2)) &= 2 , \\ (\theta(Y) g_Q) (\pi(\partial/\partial x^3), \pi(\partial/\partial x^3)) &= 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Hence $v = \pi(Y)$ is not a transversal conformal field of \mathcal{F} .

References

- [1] F. W. Kamber and Ph. Tondeur, Infinitesimal automorphisms and second variation of the energy for harmonic foliations, Tôhoku Math. J. 34 (1982), 525-538.

- [2] F. W. Kamber, Ph. Tondeur and G. Toth, Transversal Jacobi fields for harmonic foliations, Michigan Math. J. 34 (1987), 261-266.
- [3] P. Molino, Feuilletages riemanniens sur les variétés compactes; champs de Killing transverses, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris 289 (1979), 421-423.
- [4] S. Nishikawa and S. Yorozu, Transversal infinitesimal automorphisms for foliations, Preprint, 1992.
- [5] J. S. Pak and S. Yorozu, Transverse fields on foliated Riemannian manifolds, J. Korean Math. Soc. 25 (1988), 83-92.
- [6] Ph. Tondeur, Foliations on Riemannian manifolds, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [7] S. Yorozu and T. Tanemura, Green's theorem on a foliated Riemannian manifold and its applications, Acta Math. Hung. 56 (1990), 239-245.

Department of Mathematics
 Honam University
 Kwangju, 506-090 KOREA

Department of Mathematics
 Miyagi University of Education
 Sendai, 980 JAPAN

Received Dec. 24 1992