

**A NOTE ON UNIQUENESS IN AN INVERSE PROBLEM
 FOR A SEMILINEAR PARABOLIC EQUATION**

SHIN-ICHI NAKAMURA

ABSTRACT. Consider the mixed problem for a semilinear parabolic equation $u_t - \Delta u + a(u) = 0$. Isakov proved the uniqueness result of the function a by prescribing any initial and lateral Dirichlet data and measuring lateral Neumann data and final data under the condition $a(0) = 0$. In this note we shall study the case $a(0) \neq 0$.

1. Introduction. Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) with a C^2 -boundary $\partial\Omega$ and set $Q_T \equiv \Omega \times (0, T)$ in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Let H be the subspace of function g on $\partial Q_T \setminus \{t = T\}$ which belongs to $C^{2,1}(\partial\Omega \times [0, T]) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega} \times \{0\})$ and which have $C^{\lambda, \lambda/2}(\bar{Q}_T)$ extensions. We now consider the mixed problem:

$$(1.1) \quad u_t - \Delta u + a(u) = 0 \quad \text{in } Q_T,$$

$$(1.2) \quad u = g \in H \quad \text{on } \partial Q_T \setminus \{t = T\},$$

where $a(s) \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies the conditions:

$$(1.3a) \quad a(s) \text{ and } a_{ss}(s) \text{ are bounded on } \mathbb{R},$$

$$(1.3b) \quad 0 \leq a_s \leq M,$$

where M is a positive constant.

Under the condition (1.3b), there is a unique solution $u \in H^{2,1}(Q_T) \cap C(\bar{Q}_T)$ to the problem (1.1)-(1.2) (Theorem 6.1 in [3, p. 452] and [2]). (The norms and the properties of the function spaces can be found in [2] or [3].) So we may define

$$h = u \quad \text{on } \Omega \times \{T\}, \quad h = \partial_\nu u \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T),$$

here ν denotes the unit exterior normal to $\partial\Omega$. We are interested in uniqueness results of the function a from the map:

$$\Lambda(a) : g \longmapsto h.$$

Let $\Lambda_j = \Lambda(a^j)$ ($j = 1, 2$). The following theorem can be derived from Theorem 1 in [2].

Theorem I. Assume that, for $a = a^j$ ($j = 1, 2$),

$$(1.4) \quad a^j(0) = 0.$$

If $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2$ on H , then $a^1 = a^2$.

In this note we shall study the assumption (1.4). Define u^j as a solution to the problem (1.1)-(1.2) with $a = a^j$ ($j = 1, 2$). The following lemma will be proved in section 2 by modifying the methods for a semilinear elliptic equation in [4]:

Lemma. If $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2$ on H , then

$$(1.5) \quad a^1(0) = a^2(0).$$

Combining this lemma with the above theorem I, we can remove the assumption (1.4) to derive the following theorem:

Theorem. If $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2$ on H , then $a^1 = a^2$.

2. Proof of Lemma. Denote by $Q_\tau \equiv \Omega \times (0, \tau)$ for any τ ($0 < \tau \leq T$). It is easily seen that for any $\phi \in H^{2,1}(Q_\tau)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{Q_\tau} (u_t - \Delta u + a(u))\phi \, dxdt \\ &= \int_{\Omega} [u\phi]_0^\tau \, dx - \int_{\partial\Omega \times (0, \tau)} (\phi \partial_\nu u - u \partial_\nu \phi) \, dSdt - \int_{Q_\tau} u(\phi_t + \Delta \phi) \, dxdt \\ &\quad + \int_{Q_\tau} a(u)\phi \, dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{Q_\tau} a^j(u^j)\phi \, dxdt &= - \int_{\Omega} [u^j\phi]_0^\tau \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega \times (0, \tau)} (\phi \partial_\nu u^j - u^j \partial_\nu \phi) \, dSdt \\ &\quad + \int_{Q_\tau} u^j(\phi_t + \Delta \phi) \, dxdt, \end{aligned}$$

where u^j is a solution to the problem (1.1)-(1.2) with $a = a^j$ ($j = 1, 2$). By using (2.1), if $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2$ and $\phi(x, \tau) = 0$ then we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{Q_\tau} (a^1(u^1) - a^2(u^1))\phi \, dxdt \\ &= \int_{Q_\tau} (a^1(u^1) - a^2(u^2))\phi \, dxdt + \int_{Q_\tau} (a^2(u^2) - a^2(u^1))\phi \, dxdt \\ &= - \int_{Q_\tau} [(u^1 - u^2)\phi]_0^\tau \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega \times (0, \tau)} (\partial_\nu(u^1 - u^2)\phi - (u^1 - u^2)\partial_\nu \phi) \, dSdt \\ &\quad + \int_{Q_\tau} (u^1 - u^2)(\phi_t + \Delta \phi) \, dxdt + \int_{Q_\tau} (a^2(u^2) - a^2(u^1))\phi \, dxdt \\ &= \int_{Q_\tau} \{(u^1 - u^2)(\phi_t + \Delta \phi) - (a^2(u^1) - a^2(u^2))\phi\} \, dxdt \\ &= \int_{Q_\tau} (u^1 - u^2)(\phi_t + \Delta \phi - p(x, t)\phi) \, dxdt, \end{aligned}$$

here we have set

$$p(x, t) = \int_0^1 a_s^2(u^2 + \theta(u^1 - u^2)) d\theta.$$

Let us consider the following mixed problem to derive (1.5) from (2.2).

$$(2.3) \quad \psi_t + \Delta\psi - p(x, t)\psi = 0 \quad \text{in } Q_\tau,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \psi(x, \tau) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \psi(x, t) = h(x, t) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \tau),$$

where $h(x, t) \in C^2(\partial\Omega \times [0, \tau])$ satisfies the condition $h(x, \tau) = 0$. From the assumptions (1.3a) and (1.3b), we see that $p(x, t) \geq 0$ is Lipschitz with respect to x and t . Hence there exists a unique solution $\psi \in H^{2,1}(Q_\tau)$ to the problem (2.3)-(2.5) (Theorem 9.1 in [3], p.341).

Substituting $\phi = \psi$ into (2.2), we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad I_\tau \equiv \int_{Q_\tau} (a^1(u^1) - a^2(u^1)) \psi dxdt = 0.$$

If $a^1(0) \neq a^2(0)$, then there exist $\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1 > 0$ such that $a^1(s) - a^2(s) > \epsilon_0$ or $a^2(s) - a^1(s) > \epsilon_0$ for $|s| \leq \epsilon_1$. We can choose $h(x, t)$ so that $\psi > 0$ in Q_τ by the maximum principle. From (1.3a) and Lemma 1.1 in [1], we can easily see that

$$(2.7) \quad \max_{Q_\tau} |u^1| \leq \max_{Q_\tau} |v| + C\tau,$$

where C is a positive constant and v is a solution to the problem:

$$\begin{aligned} v_t - \Delta v &= 0 & \text{in } Q_\tau, \\ v &= g \in H & \text{on } \partial Q_\tau \setminus \{t = \tau\}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.7) and the maximum principle, we will be able to take g and τ such that $|u^1| \leq \epsilon_1$ on Q_τ . Hence we have $I_\tau > 0$. This contradicts (2.6). Thus we may conclude that $a^1(0) = a^2(0)$. The proof is completed.

2. Proof of theorem. In the proof of Theorem I stated in Introduction, it was proved that $a_s^1(s) = a_s^2(s)$ if $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2$ on H ((1.13) in [2]). By integrating this equality from 0 to s and using $a^1(0) = a^2(0)$, we obtain $a^1 = a^2$.

REFERENCES

1. P. DuChateau and W. Rundell, *Unicity in an inverse problem for an unknown reaction term in a reaction-diffusion equation*, Journal of Differential Equations **59** (1985), 155-164.
2. V. Isakov, *On uniqueness in inverse problems for semilinear parabolic equations*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **124** (1993), 1-12.
3. O. A. Ladyzhenskaja, V. A. Solonikov, and N. N. Uralceva, *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*, A. M. S., 1968.
4. S. Nakamura, *An inverse problem for a semilinear elliptic equation*, Comm. in P. D. E. **24** (1999), 743-748.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOUA UNIVERSITY. 2-1 ICHINOMIYA SHIMONOSEKI YAMAGUCHI, 751-0807, JAPAN

Received December 1, 2000