

On a modified Robbins-Monro procedure approximating the root from below with errors in setting the x-levels

By
Eiichi ISOGAI

(Received November 30, 1978)

1. Introduction and Summary

In the case of finding the unique root θ of the equation $M(x)=0$, situations may occur where even the precise setting of the x-levels of an experiment is impossible without error. DUPAČ and KRÁL [3] and WATANABE [6] dealt with these situations. On the other hand, there are cases in which it is advantageous to use a process which converges to θ from below. ANBAR [1] gave a modified Robbins-Monro (RM) procedure for guaranteeing that with probability one the procedure overestimates θ only finitely many times. In this paper, it is shown that assuming that the error in x-level can be made small at some rate at each step, the modified RM procedure overestimates θ only finitely many times with probability one.

This paper consists of five sections. In section 2, we shall give some assumptions, notations and a lemma. In section 3, we shall show a convergence theorem. Section 4 will give some lemmas which are used in section 5. In section 5, we shall present two theorems which show that with probability one the modified RM process overestimates θ only finitely many times and give an asymptotic normality of the process.

2. Preliminaries

Let R be the real line. Let $\{U^n(x)\}$ and $\{V^n(x)\}$ be two sequences of random variables which depend on parameter $x \in R$. Suppose that for each n , $U^n(x)$ and $V^n(x)$ are measurable functions of x . Further, suppose $E[U^n(x)] = E[V^n(x)] = 0$ for all $x \in R$ and all $n \geq 1$.

Let $M(x)$ be a real-valued measurable function on R , let θ be the unique root of $M(x) = \alpha$ where α is an arbitrary given number.

Let us define the modified RM procedure proposed by ANBAR [1] as follows: Let X_1 be a random variable with $E[X_1^2] < \infty$ and let define X_2, X_3, \dots by the recursive relation

$$(2.1) \quad X_{n+1} = X_n - a_n [M(X_n + u_n) - v_n - \alpha + b_n] \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

where $\{a_n\}$ is a sequence of positive numbers satisfying

$$(2. 2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = \infty, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 < \infty,$$

$\{b_n\}$ is a sequence of numbers satisfying

$$(2. 3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0,$$

$u_n, n \geq 1$, are random variables whose conditional distributions, given $X_1, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$, coincide with those of $U^n(X_n)$, and $v_n, n \geq 1$, are random variables whose conditional distributions, given $X_1, u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$, coincide with those of $V^n(X_n + u_n)$.

The following lemma given by WATANABE [5] will be needed to prove Theorem 3. 1.

LEMMA 2. 1. *Let $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{V_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be two sequences of random variables on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, P)$. Let $\{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of sub- σ -algebras of \mathfrak{A} , $\mathfrak{A}_n \subset \mathfrak{A}_{n+1} \subset \mathfrak{A}$, where U_n and V_n are measurable with respect to \mathfrak{A}_n for each $n \geq 1$. Furthermore, let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive numbers satisfying*

$$(2. 4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = \infty.$$

Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied :

$$(2. 5) \quad U_n \geq 0 \quad \text{a. s. for all } n \geq 1,$$

$$(2. 6) \quad E[U_1] < \infty,$$

$$(2. 7) \quad E[U_{n+1} | \mathfrak{A}_n] \leq (1 - a_n)U_n + V_n \quad \text{a. s. for all } n \geq 1,$$

$$(2. 8) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E[|V_n|] < \infty.$$

Then, it holds that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_n = 0$ a. s. and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[U_n] = 0$.

3. Convergence of the modified RM process

In this section, an almost surely convergence of the modified RM process is proved.

THEOREM 3. 1. *Let $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of non-negative numbers and $\{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive numbers. Suppose the following conditions are satisfied :*

$$(3. 1) \quad K_1 \leq (M(x) - \alpha)/(x - \theta) \leq K_2 \quad \text{for all } x \neq \theta,$$

where K_1 and K_2 are some positive constants ;

$$(3. 2) \quad \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} \text{Var} [U^n(x)] \leq \alpha_n \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1;$$

$$(3. 3) \quad \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} \text{Var} [V^n(x)] \leq \beta_n \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1$$

$$(3. 4) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \alpha_n < \infty;$$

$$(3. 5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 \beta_n < \infty;$$

$$(3. 6) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n |b_n| < \infty.$$

Then, the modified RM process X_n defined by (2. 1) converges to θ with probability one as well as in mean-square.

PROOF. Without loss of generality we may assume $\alpha=0$. From (2. 1) we have

$$(3. 7) \quad X_{n+1} - \theta = (X_n - \theta) - a_n M(X_n + u_n) + a_n v_n - a_n b_n.$$

Squaring both sides of (3. 7) and taking conditional expectations on both sides given X_1, \dots, X_n , we obtain

$$(3. 8) \quad \begin{aligned} E[(X_{n+1} - \theta)^2 | X_1, \dots, X_n] &= (X_n - \theta)^2 + a_n^2 E[M^2(X_n + u_n) | X_1, \dots, X_n] \\ &\quad + a_n^2 E[v_n^2 | X_1, \dots, X_n] + a_n^2 b_n^2 - 2a_n(X_n - \theta) E[M(X_n + u_n) | X_1, \dots, X_n] \\ &\quad - 2a_n^2 b_n E[v_n | X_1, \dots, X_n] \\ &\quad + 2a_n(X_n - \theta) E[v_n | X_1, \dots, X_n] - 2a_n b_n(X_n - \theta) \\ &\quad - 2a_n^2 E[M(X_n + u_n)v_n | X_1, \dots, X_n] \\ &\quad + 2a_n^2 b_n E[M(X_n + u_n) | X_1, \dots, X_n]. \end{aligned}$$

From the property of $V^n(x)$ and (3. 3), it is easily seen that $E[v_n | X_1, \dots, X_n] = 0$ and $E[v_n^2 | X_1, \dots, X_n] \leq \beta_n$.

Let us define $Q(x, \theta)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x, \theta) &= M(x) / (x - \theta) \quad \text{if } x \neq \theta \\ &= \alpha_1 \quad \text{if } x = \theta \end{aligned}$$

where α_1 is an arbitrary fixed constant with $K_1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq K_2$. By (3. 1) we get

$$(3. 9) \quad M(x) = Q(x, \theta) (x - \theta)$$

where $K_1 \leq Q(x, \theta) \leq K_2$ for all x .

Since $|M(X_n + u_n)| \leq K_2(|X_n - \theta| + |u_n|)$, it follows by (3. 2) and (3. 9) that

$$(3. 10) \quad \begin{aligned} E[M^2(X_n + u_n) | X_1, \dots, X_n] &\leq 2K_2^2(X_n - \theta)^2 + 2K_2^2 E[u_n^2 | X_1, \dots, X_n] \\ &\leq 2K_2^2(X_n - \theta)^2 + 2K_2^2 \alpha_n. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3. 9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (X_n - \theta) E[M(X_n + u_n) | X_1, \dots, X_n] \\ &= (X_n - \theta)^2 E[Q(X_n + u_n, \theta) | X_1, \dots, X_n] \\ & \quad + (X_n - \theta) E[Q(X_n + u_n, \theta) u_n | X_1, \dots, X_n]. \end{aligned}$$

The relation (3. 2) and Schwarz's inequality imply

$$|E[Q(X_n + u_n, \theta) u_n | X_1, \dots, X_n]| \leq K_2 \alpha_n^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3. 11) \quad & (X_n - \theta) E[M(X_n + u_n) | X_1, \dots, X_n] \\ & \geq K_1 (X_n - \theta)^2 - K_2 \alpha_n^{\frac{1}{2}} |X_n - \theta|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows by (3. 2) and (3. 9) that

$$\begin{aligned} (3. 12) \quad & |E[M(X_n + u_n) | X_1, \dots, X_n]| \\ & \leq K_2 |X_n - \theta| + K_2 \alpha_n^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By making use of $E[v_n | X_1, \dots, X_n, u_n] = 0$ and taking conditional expectations given X_1, \dots, X_n , we obtain

$$(3. 13) \quad E[M(X_n + u_n) v_n | X_1, \dots, X_n] = 0.$$

The relations (3. 8), (3. 10), (3. 11) and (3. 12) yield that

$$\begin{aligned} (3. 14) \quad & E[(X_{n+1} - \theta)^2 | X_1, \dots, X_n] \\ & \leq (X_n - \theta)^2 + 2K_2^2 a_n^2 (X_n - \theta)^2 + 2K_2^2 a_n^2 \alpha_n + a_n^2 \beta_n + a_n^2 b_n^2 \\ & \quad - 2K_1 a_n (X_n - \theta)^2 + 2K_2 a_n \alpha_n^{\frac{1}{2}} |X_n - \theta| + 2a_n |b_n (X_n - \theta)| \\ & \quad + 2K_2 a_n^2 |b_n (X_n - \theta)| + 2K_2 a_n^2 |b_n| \alpha_n^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By making use of the inequality $2ab \leq ka^2 + k^{-1}b^2$ for any $k > 0$, we get the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} (3. 15) \quad & 2K_2 a_n \alpha_n^{\frac{1}{2}} |X_n - \theta| \leq 2^{-1} K_1 a_n (X_n - \theta)^2 + 2K_1^{-1} K_2^2 a_n \alpha_n, \\ & 2a_n |b_n (X_n - \theta)| \leq 2^{-1} K_1 a_n (X_n - \theta)^2 + 2K_1^{-1} a_n b_n^2, \\ & 2K_2 a_n^2 |b_n (X_n - \theta)| \leq K_2 a_n^2 (X_n - \theta)^2 + K_2 a_n^2 b_n^2, \\ & 2K_2 a_n^2 |b_n| \alpha_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq K_2 a_n^2 \alpha_n + K_2 a_n^2 b_n^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows from (3. 14) and (3. 15) that

$$(3. 16) \quad E[(X_{n+1} - \theta)^2 | X_1, \dots, X_n]$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \{1 - (K_1 - 2K_2^2 a_n - K_2 a_n) a_n\} (X_n - \theta)^2 \\ &\quad + (2K_2 + 1) a_n^2 b_n^2 + (2K_2^2 + K_2) a_n^2 \alpha_n + 2K_2^2 K_1^{-1} a_n \alpha_n \\ &\quad + a_n^2 \beta_n + 2K_1^{-1} a_n b_n^2. \end{aligned}$$

By (2. 2) and (2. 3), there exists a positive integer n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$

$$(3. 17) \quad 2K_2^2 a_n + K_2 a_n \leq 2^{-1} K_1, \quad a_n \leq 1 \text{ and } |b_n| \leq 1,$$

so that

$$(3. 18) \quad a_n^2 b_n^2 \leq a_n |b_n|, \quad a_n^2 \alpha_n \leq a_n \alpha_n, \quad a_n b_n^2 \leq a_n |b_n|$$

for all $n \geq n_0$.

Thus, by (3. 16), (3. 17) and (3. 18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3. 19) \quad &E[(X_{n+1} - \theta)^2 | X_1, \dots, X_n] \\ &\leq (1 - 2^{-1} K_1 a_n) (X_n - \theta)^2 + (2K_2 + 2K_1^{-1} + 1) a_n |b_n| \\ &\quad + (2K_2^2 + K_2 + 2K_2^2 K_1^{-1}) a_n \alpha_n + a_n^2 \beta_n \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq n_0$.

By (2. 2), (3. 4), (3. 5), (3. 6) and (3. 19), all conditions of Lemma 2. 1 are satisfied. Therefore, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (X_n - \theta)^2 = 0 \text{ a. s. which implies } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = \theta \text{ a. s.,}$$

$$\text{and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[(X_n - \theta)^2] = 0.$$

This completes the proof.

4. Auxiliary lemmas

In this section, some lemmas which are needed in later sections are presented. Throughout this section and section 5, suppose $V^n(x) = V(x)$ for all x and all $n \geq 1$ and $\beta_n = \beta$ for all $n \geq 1$. It is assumed without loss of generality that $\alpha = \theta = 0$.

LEMMA 4. 1. *Suppose the conditions (3. 1) to (3. 3) are satisfied. Further suppose the following conditions:*

$$(4. 1) \quad a_n = A n^{-1} \text{ with } 2AK_1 > 1;$$

$$(4. 2) \quad \alpha_n = L n^{-d} \text{ with some } L \geq 0 \text{ and some } d > 1;$$

$$(4. 3) \quad b_n^2 \leq C(\log_2 n) / n \text{ for some constant } C > 0 \text{ and all } n \geq 3,$$

where $\log_2 n$ means $\log(\log n)$.

Then, there exists a positive constant C_1 such that

$$E[X_n^2] \leq C_1(\log_2 n) / n \text{ for all } n \geq 3.$$

PROOF. Throughout this proof, C_2, C_3, \dots denote positive constants. From (2. 1) and the property of $V(x)$, we get

$$(4. 4) \quad \begin{aligned} E[X_{n+1}^2] &= E[X_n^2] + a_n^2 E[M^2(X_n + u_n)] + a_n^2 E[v_n^2] + a_n^2 b_n^2 \\ &\quad - 2a_n E[X_n M(X_n + u_n)] - 2a_n b_n E[X_n] \\ &\quad + 2a_n^2 b_n E[M(X_n + u_n)]. \end{aligned}$$

We put $Q(x) = Q(x, 0)$, where $Q(x, 0)$ is the same as (3. 9). Inserting $\theta = 0$ into (3. 10), (3. 11) and (3. 12) and taking expectations on both sides of each inequality, we have

$$(4. 5) \quad \begin{aligned} E[M^2(X_n + u_n)] &\leq 2K_2^2 E[X_n^2] + 2K_2^2 \alpha_n, \\ E[X_n M(X_n + u_n)] &\geq K_1 E[X_n^2] - K_2 \alpha_n^{\frac{1}{2}} E[|X_n|], \\ |E[M(X_n + u_n)]| &\leq K_2 E[|X_n|] + K_2 \alpha_n^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The relations (4. 4) and (4. 5) imply

$$(4. 6) \quad \begin{aligned} E[X_{n+1}^2] &\leq \{1 - (2K_1 a_n - 2K_2^2 a_n^2)\} E[X_n^2] + 2K_2 a_n \alpha_n^{\frac{1}{2}} E[|X_n|] \\ &\quad + 2a_n |b_n| E[|X_n|] + 2K_2 a_n^2 |b_n| E[|X_n|] + 2K_2^2 a_n^2 \alpha_n \\ &\quad + \beta a_n^2 + a_n^2 b_n^2 + 2K_2 a_n^2 |b_n| \alpha_n^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that $2AK_1(1 - \varepsilon_1) > 1$ because of $2AK_1 > 1$. Then there exists a positive integer n_1 such that

$$(4. 7) \quad \begin{aligned} AK_2^2 K_1^{-1} n^{-1} &< \varepsilon_1 \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_1, \quad \text{so that} \\ 2K_1 a_n - 2K_2^2 a_n^2 &> 2AK_1(1 - \varepsilon_1) n^{-1} \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_1. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that $2AK_1(1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2) > 1$.

Then we get

$$(4. 8) \quad \begin{aligned} 2K_2 a_n \alpha_n^{\frac{1}{2}} E[|X_n|] &\leq 2K_1 \varepsilon_2 a_n E[X_n^2] + K_2^2 (2K_1 \varepsilon_2)^{-1} a_n \alpha_n \\ &= 2AK_1 \varepsilon_2 n^{-1} E[X_n^2] + ALK_2^2 (2K_1 \varepsilon_2)^{-1} n^{-d-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $\varepsilon_3 > 0$ such that $2AK_1(1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3) > 1$. Then it follows by (4. 3) that

$$(4. 9) \quad \begin{aligned} 2a_n |b_n| E[|X_n|] &\leq 2K_1 \varepsilon_3 a_n E[X_n^2] + (2K_1 \varepsilon_3)^{-1} a_n b_n^2 \\ &\leq 2AK_1 \varepsilon_3 n^{-1} E[X_n^2] + AC(2K_1 \varepsilon_3)^{-1} (n^{-1} \log_2 n) n^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $2AK_1(1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3) > 1$, there exists $\varepsilon_4 > 0$ such that $2AK_1(1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4) > 1$ and $2AK_1(1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4) \neq d$. By (4. 3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2K_2 a_n^2 |b_n| E[|X_n|] \\ & \leq K_2 a_n^2 E[X_n^2] + K_2 a_n^2 b_n^2 \\ & \leq A^2 K_2 n^{-2} E[X_n^2] + CA^2 K_2 n^{-2} (n^{-1} \log_2 n). \end{aligned}$$

Since there exists a positive integer $n_2 \geq n_1$ such that for all $n \geq n_2$ $A^2 K_2 n^{-1} < 2AK_1 \varepsilon_4$, we obtain

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2K_2 a_n^2 |b_n| E[|X_n|] \\ & \leq 2AK_1 \varepsilon_4 n^{-1} E[X_n^2] + CA^2 K_2 n^{-2} (n^{-1} \log_2 n) \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_2. \end{aligned}$$

(4.2) and (4.3) yield

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2K_2 a_n^2 |b_n| \alpha_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq K_2 a_n^2 \alpha_n + K_2 a_n^2 b_n^2 \\ & \leq K_2 A^2 L n^{-2-d} + CA^2 K_2 n^{-2} (n^{-1} \log_2 n). \end{aligned}$$

From (4.6), (4.7), (4.8), (4.9), (4.10) and (4.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & E[X_{n+1}^2] \\ & \leq (1 - t n^{-1}) E[X_n^2] + C_2 n^{-2} + C_3 n^{-1-d} \\ & \quad + C_4 n^{-1} (n^{-1} \log_2 n) \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_2, \quad \text{where} \\ & t \equiv 2AK_1 (1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4) > 1 \text{ and } t \neq d. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating this inequality, we have

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & E[X_{n+1}^2] \\ & \leq \beta_{n_2-1n} E[X_{n_2}^2] + C_2 \sum_{m=n_2}^n \beta_{mn} m^{-2} + C_3 \sum_{m=n_2}^n \beta_{mn} m^{-1-d} \\ & \quad + C_4 \sum_{m=n_2}^n \beta_{mn} m^{-1} (m^{-1} \log_2 m), \quad \text{where} \\ & \beta_{mn} = \prod_{j=m+1}^n (1 - t j^{-1}) \quad \text{if } m < n \\ & \quad = 1 \quad \text{if } m = n. \end{aligned}$$

From $E[X_1^2] < \infty$ and (4.6), it follows by induction that $E[X_n^2] < \infty$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Since $|\beta_{mn}| \leq r n^{-t} m^t$ for some $r > 0$ and all $n \geq m$, we get

$$(4.13) \quad \beta_{n_2-1n} E[X_{n_2}^2] \leq C_5 n^{-t}.$$

After easy calculations, we have

$$(4.14) \quad C_2 \left| \sum_{m=n_2}^n \beta_{mn} m^{-2} \right| \leq C_6 n^{-1},$$

$$C_3 \left| \sum_{m=n_2}^n \beta_{mn} m^{-1-d} \right| \leq C_7 n^{-t_0},$$

$$C_4 \left| \sum_{m=n_2}^n \beta_{mn} m^{-1} (m^{-1} \log_2 m) \right| \leq C_8 n^{-1} \log_2 n,$$

where $t_0 \equiv \min(t, d) > 1$.

Inserting (4.13) and (4.14) into (4.12), we obtain

$$E[X_{n+1}^2] \leq C_5 n^{-t} + C_6 n^{-1} + C_7 n^{-t_0} + C_8 n^{-1} \log_2 n$$

for all $n \geq n_2$. Taking into account this inequality, $t > 1$ and $t_0 > 1$, Lemma 4.1 follows.

Thus the proof is completed.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let $p > 1/2$ be a fixed number. Then under the conditions of Lemma 4.1,*

$$n^{-p+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^n m^{p-1} X_m^2 \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{a. s. as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$n^{-p+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^n m^{p-1} |u_m| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{a. s. as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

and

$$n^{-p+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^n m^{p-1} u_m^2 \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{a. s. as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

PROOF. First, we shall prove the first assertion. It holds

$$n^{-p+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^n m^{p-1} X_m^2 = n^{-p+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^n m^{p-\frac{1}{2}} (X_m^2 / m^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (X_n^2 / n^{\frac{1}{2}}) < \infty$ a. s., using Kronecker's lemma, we have

$$n^{-p+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^n m^{p-1} X_m^2 \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{a. s. as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, it suffices to prove $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (E[X_n^2] / n^{\frac{1}{2}}) < \infty$.

According to Lemma 4.1,

$$\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} (E[X_n^2] / n^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq C_1 \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} (\log_2 n) n^{-\frac{3}{2}} < \infty.$$

Thus the first assertion is proved.

To prove the second and the third assertions, it is sufficient to prove $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (E[|u_n|] / n^{\frac{1}{2}}) < \infty$

and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (E[u_n^2] / n^{\frac{1}{2}}) < \infty$ respectively. Using Schwarz's inequality and (4.2), we

get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (E[|u_n|]/n^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq L^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-(d+1)/2} < \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (E[u_n^2]/n^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq L \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\frac{1}{2}-d} < \infty.$$

This completes the proof.

LEMMA 4. 3. Let $\bar{\delta}(x)$ be a measurable function such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \bar{\delta}(x)/x^2 = 0$. Then under the conditions of Lemma 4. 2,

$$n^{-p+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^n m^{p-1} \bar{\delta}(X_m + u_m) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{a. s. as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

PROOF. By Theorem 3. 1, it follows that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} X_m = 0$ a. s.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. By Chebyshev's inequality and (4. 2), we get $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P(|u_m| > \epsilon) < \infty$.

Hence, By Borel-Cantelli lemma, we have $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} u_m = 0$ a.s. Thus it follows that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (X_m + u_m) = 0 \quad \text{a. s.}$$

From the property of the function $\bar{\delta}(x)$,

$$\bar{\delta}(X_m + u_m) / (X_m + u_m)^2 = o(1) \quad \text{a. s. as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

To prove the lemma, it suffices to prove that

$$n^{-p+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^n m^{p-1} (X_m + u_m)^2 \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{a. s. as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

for

$$\begin{aligned} & n^{-p+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^n m^{p-1} \bar{\delta}(X_m + u_m) \\ &= n^{-p+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^n m^{p-1} (X_m + u_m)^2 \cdot O(1) \quad \text{a. s.} \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 4. 2,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq n^{-p+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^n m^{p-1} (X_m + u_m)^2 \\ &\leq 2[n^{-p+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^n m^{p-1} X_m^2 + n^{-p+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^n m^{p-1} u_m^2] \\ &\longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{a. s. as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof.

5. Main results

In this section, the results of the previous sections are used to show that the modified RM process, due to ANBAR [1], converges to θ a. s. from below.

Assume the following:

- (5. 1) $M(x) = \alpha + \alpha_1(x - \theta) + \delta(x, \theta)$ where
 $\delta(x, \theta) = \alpha_2(x - \theta)^2 + \bar{\delta}(x - \theta),$
 $\bar{\delta}(x) = o(x^2)$ as $x \rightarrow 0,$
 $\alpha_1 > 0, \alpha_2$ is finite and $\bar{\delta}(x)$ is a measurable function;
- (5. 2) $\sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} E\{|V(x)|^{2+\eta}\} < \infty$ for some $\eta > 0;$
- (5. 3) $\lim_{x \rightarrow \theta} E\{V^2(x)\} = \sigma^2.$

Consider the modified RM procedure defined by

$$(5. 4) \quad X_{n+1} = X_n - An^{-1}\{M(X_n + u_n) - v_n - \alpha + b_n\} \quad n \geq 1$$

where X_1 is a random variable with $E[X_1^2] < \infty.$

Let $D_n, n \geq 1,$ be a sequence of real numbers satisfying

$$(5. 5) \quad D_n \geq Dn^{-\frac{1}{2}} (2 \log_2 n)^{\frac{1}{2}} + o(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\log_2 n)^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

for all $n \geq$ some n_0 and arbitrary positive constant D where

$$D_n = \sum_{m=1}^n m^{-1} \beta_{mn} b_m,$$

$$\beta_{mn} = \prod_{j=m+1}^n (1 - A\alpha_1 j^{-1}) \quad \text{if } m < n$$

$$= 1 \quad \text{if } m = n$$

and

$$D > \sigma(2A\alpha_1 - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

THEOREM 5. 1. *Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a modified RM process given by (5. 4). If the conditions of Theorem 3. 1 together with (4. 1), (4. 2), (4. 3), (5. 1), (5. 2), (5. 3) and (5. 5) hold with $2A\alpha_1 > 1,$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = \theta$ a. s., $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[(X_n - \theta)^2] = 0$ and with probability one $X_n > \theta$ only finitely many times.*

PROOF. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\alpha = \theta = 0.$ Throughout this proof, C_1, C_2, \dots denote positive constants. By (5. 1) and (5. 4), we have

$$X_{n+1} = (1 - A\alpha_1 n^{-1})X_n - A\alpha_1 n^{-1}u_n - An^{-1}\delta_n(X_n)$$

$$+ An^{-1}v_n - An^{-1}b_n$$

where

$$\delta_n(X_n) \equiv \delta(X_n + u_n, 0).$$

Repeating this equality, we obtain

$$(5.6) \quad X_{n+1} = \beta_{0n} X_1 - A \alpha_1 \sum_{m=1}^n m^{-1} \beta_{mn} u_m - A \sum_{m=1}^n m^{-1} \beta_{mn} \delta_m(X_m) \\ + A \sum_{m=1}^n m^{-1} \beta_{mn} v_m - A \sum_{m=1}^n m^{-1} \beta_{mn} b_m.$$

Let \mathfrak{A}_{n-1} denote a σ -algebra generated by $X_1, u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$ for each n . Clearly $E\{v_n | \mathfrak{A}_{n-1}\} = 0$ so that v_n 's are martingale differences. By (3.1), (5.1) and $2AK_1 > 1$, we get

$$(5.7) \quad 2A\alpha_1 > 1.$$

Since $n^{\frac{1}{2}} |\beta_{0n}| \leq C_2 n^{\frac{1}{2} - A\alpha_1}$, it follows by (5.7)

$$(5.8) \quad \beta_{0n} X_1 = o(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \quad \text{a. s. as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $n^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \sum_{m=1}^n m^{-1} \beta_{mn} u_m \right| \leq C_3 n^{-A\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^n m^{A\alpha_1 - 1} |u_m|$, according to Lemma 4.2 with $p = A\alpha_1$,

$$(5.9) \quad \sum_{m=1}^n m^{-1} \beta_{mn} u_m = o(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \quad \text{a. s. as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The relation (5.1) implies

$$(5.10) \quad \sum_{m=1}^n m^{-1} \beta_{mn} \delta_m(X_m) \\ = \alpha_2 \sum_{m=1}^n m^{-1} \beta_{mn} (X_m + u_m)^2 + \sum_{m=1}^n m^{-1} \beta_{mn} \bar{\delta}(X_m + u_m).$$

By making use of the inequality $(a+b)^2 \leq 2(a^2+b^2)$, we have

$$n^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \sum_{m=1}^n m^{-1} \beta_{mn} (X_m + u_m)^2 \right| \\ \leq 2C_4 \left\{ n^{-A\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^n m^{A\alpha_1 - 1} X_m^2 + n^{-A\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^n m^{A\alpha_1 - 1} u_m^2 \right\}.$$

Taking into account Lemma 4.2 and this inequality, we obtain

$$(5.11) \quad \sum_{m=1}^n m^{-1} \beta_{mn} (X_m + u_m)^2 = o(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \quad \text{a. s. as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Since

$$n^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \sum_{m=1}^n m^{-1} \beta_{mn} \bar{\delta}(X_m + u_m) \right|$$

$$\leq C_5 n^{-A\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^n m^{A\alpha_1 - 1} \bar{\delta}(X_m + u_m),$$

it follows, according to Lemma 4. 3, that

$$(5. 12) \quad \sum_{m=1}^n m^{-1} \beta_{mn} \bar{\delta}(X_m + u_m) = o(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \quad \text{a. s. as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By (5. 10), (5. 11) and (5. 12), we obtain

$$(5. 13) \quad \sum_{m=1}^n m^{-1} \beta_{mn} \delta_m(X_m) = o(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \quad \text{a. s. as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

From (5. 6), (5. 8), (5. 9) and (5. 13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & P\{X_{n+1} > 0 \quad \text{i. o.}\} \\ &= P\{A \sum_{m=1}^n m^{-1} \beta_{mn} v_m > AD_n + o(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \quad \text{i. o.}\}. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way as HEYDE [4], we can show that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{n^{\frac{1}{2}} (2 \log_2 n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^n m^{-1} \beta_{mn} v_m\} = \sigma(2A\alpha_1 - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{a. s.}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & P\{X_{n+1} > 0 \quad \text{i. o.}\} \\ & \leq P\{A \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{1}{2}} (2 \log_2 n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^n m^{-1} \beta_{mn} v_m \\ & \quad \geq A \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{1}{2}} (2 \log_2 n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} D_n\} \\ & = P\{A\sigma(2A\alpha_1 - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \geq AD\} \\ & = P\{\sigma(2A\alpha_1 - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} > \sigma(2A\alpha_1 - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, with probability one $X_n > 0$ only finitely many times. Also, from Theorem 3. 1,

$$X_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a. s. as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$E[X_n^2] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, the proof is completed.

EXAMPLE OF $\{d_n\}$

The following example is a special one given by ANBAR [1];

$$b_1 = b_2 = 0$$

$$b_n = D' n^{-\frac{1}{2}} (2 \log_2 n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad n \geq 3$$

with $D' > 2^{-1}\sigma(2A\alpha_1 - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

This sequence $\{b_n\}$ satisfies (5.5).

The following theorem presents the asymptotic normality of the process (5.4)

THEOREM 5.2. *Under the conditions of Theorem 5.1,*

$n^{\frac{1}{2}}(X_n - \theta + AD_n)$ *converges in law to a normal variable with mean zero and variance* $A^2\sigma^2(2A\alpha_1 - 1)^{-1}$.

PROOF. Throughout this proof, C_1, C_2, \dots denote positive constants. We may assume $\alpha = 0$. From (5.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.14) \quad & (n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(X_{n+1} - \theta + AD_{n+1}) \\
 &= (n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\beta_{0n}(X_1 - \theta) - A\alpha_1(n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{m=1}^n m^{-1}\beta_{mn}u_m \\
 &\quad - A(n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{m=1}^n m^{-1}\beta_{mn}\delta_m(X_m) \\
 &\quad + A(n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{m=1}^n m^{-1}\beta_{mn}v_m - A^2\alpha_1(n+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}D_n \\
 &\quad + A(n+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}b_{n+1} \quad \text{a. s.}
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\beta_{0n}(X_1 - \theta) = o(1) \quad \text{a. s.,} \\
 & (n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{m=1}^n m^{-1}\beta_{mn}u_m = o(1) \quad \text{a. s.,} \\
 & (n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{m=1}^n m^{-1}\beta_{mn}\delta_m(X_m) = o(1) \quad \text{a. s.,} \\
 & (n+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}D_n = o(1) \quad \text{and} \quad (n+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}b_{n+1} = o(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (5.14)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.15) \quad & (n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(X_{n+1} - \theta + AD_{n+1}) \\
 &= o(1) + A(n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{m=1}^n m^{-1}\beta_{mn}v_m \quad \text{a. s. as } n \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Choose a positive integer m_0 such that $1 - A\alpha_1 m_0^{-1} > 0$.

Putting $r_n = \prod_{j=m_0}^n (1 - A\alpha_1 j^{-1})$, we have

$$\beta_{mn} = r_n r_m^{-1} \quad \text{for all } n \geq m \geq m_0.$$

Since from (5.2) it holds that $|v_m| < \infty$ a. s. for all m , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& n^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{m_0-1} m^{-1} |\beta_{mn}| |v_m| \\
& \leq C_1 n^{-A\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{m_0-1} m^{-1+A\alpha_1} |v_m| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{a. s. as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(5.16) \quad n^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{m_0-1} m^{-1} \beta_{mn} v_m = o(1) \quad \text{a. s. as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Setting $U_m = m^{-1} \gamma_m^{-1} v_m$, we have

$$\sum_{m=m_0}^n m^{-1} \beta_{mn} v_m = \gamma_n \sum_{m=m_0}^n U_m.$$

Let \mathfrak{A}_{n-1} be the same as defined in Theorem 5.1. Then, $\{U_n, \mathfrak{A}_n; n \geq m_0\}$ is a martingale difference. Put $S_n = \sum_{m=m_0}^n U_m$. Since as in HEYDE [4]

$$\begin{aligned}
s_n^2 & \equiv E[S_n^2] = \sum_{m=m_0}^n m^{-2} \gamma_m^{-2} E[v_m^2] \\
& \sim \sigma^2 \gamma_n^{-2} (2A\alpha_1 - 1)^{-1} n^{-1} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\gamma_n^{-2} n^{-1} \geq C_2 n^{2A\alpha_1 - 1} \nearrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

we have $s_n^2 \nearrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. To prove this theorem, we shall use Theorem 2 in BROWN [2]. Firstly, we shall check the Lindeberg condition, i. e.

$$(5.17) \quad s_n^{-2} \sum_{j=m_0}^n E[U_j^2 I(|U_j| \geq \epsilon s_n)] \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{for all } \epsilon > 0, \text{ where } I(A)$$

denotes the indicator function of a set A . Since $s_n \nearrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$I(|U_j| \geq \epsilon s_n) \leq I(|U_j| \geq \epsilon s_j) \quad \text{for all } j \leq n.$$

Thus, it suffices to show that

$$(5.18) \quad s_n^{-2} \sum_{j=m_0}^n E[U_j^2 I(|U_j| \geq \epsilon s_j)] \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

If

$$(5.19) \quad \sum_{n=m_0}^{\infty} s_n^{-2} E[U_n^2 I(|U_n| \geq \epsilon s_n)] < \infty,$$

using Kronecker's lemma, we have (5.18). As in [4], it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& s_n^{-2} E[U_n^2 I(|U_n| \geq \epsilon s_n)] \\
& \sim \sigma^{-2} (2A\alpha_1 - 1) n^{-1} E[v_n^2 I(|v_n| \geq \epsilon' n^{\frac{1}{2}})] \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon \sigma (2A\alpha_1 - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$

Therefore, it suffices to prove

$$\sum_{n=m_0}^{\infty} n^{-1} E[v_n^2 I(|v_n| \geq \varepsilon n^{\frac{1}{2}})] < \infty \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

From (5. 2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=m_0}^{\infty} n^{-1} E[v_n^2 I(|v_n| \geq \varepsilon n^{\frac{1}{2}})] \\ & \leq \varepsilon^{-\gamma} \sum_{n=m_0}^{\infty} n^{-1-\frac{1}{2}\gamma} E[|v_n|^{2+\gamma}] \\ & \leq \varepsilon^{-\gamma} \sum_{n=m_0}^{\infty} n^{-1-\frac{1}{2}\gamma} \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} E[|V(x)|^{2+\gamma}] < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (5. 17) is proved.

Secondly, we shall verify

$$(5. 20) \quad s_n^{-2} \sum_{m=m_0}^n E[U_m^2 | \mathfrak{A}_{m-1}] \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text{a. s. as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since

$$E[v_m^2 | \mathfrak{A}_{m-1}] \longrightarrow \sigma^2 \quad \text{a. s. as } m \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{m=m_0}^n m^{-2} \gamma_m^{-2} \nearrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

it follows, using Toeplitz's lemma, that

$$(5. 21) \quad \left(\sum_{m=m_0}^n m^{-2} \gamma_m^{-2} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{m=m_0}^n m^{-2} \gamma_m^{-2} E[v_m^2 | \mathfrak{A}_{m-1}] \right) \longrightarrow \sigma^2 \quad \text{a. s. as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Also, since $s_n^{-2} \sim \sigma^{-2} (2A\alpha_1 - 1) n \gamma_n^2$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (5. 22) \quad & s_n^{-2} \left(\sum_{m=m_0}^n m^{-2} \gamma_m^{-2} \right) \\ & \sim \sigma^{-2} (2A\alpha_1 - 1) n \gamma_n^2 (2A\alpha_1 - 1)^{-1} \gamma_n^{-2} n^{-1} \\ & = \sigma^{-2} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (5. 21) and (5. 22), we have

$$(5. 23) \quad s_n^{-2} \sum_{m=m_0}^n E[U_m^2 | \mathfrak{A}_{m-1}]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= s_n^{-2} \left(\sum_{m=m_0}^n m^{-2} \gamma_m^{-2} \right) \times \left(\sum_{m=m_0}^n m^{-2} \gamma_m^{-2} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{m=m_0}^n m^{-2} \gamma_m^{-2} E[v_m^2 | \mathcal{A}_{m-1}] \right) \\
&\longrightarrow 1 \quad \text{a.s. as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, (5. 20) is proved.

Therefore, by Theorem 2 in [2], we obtain

$$S_n/s_n \longrightarrow N(0, 1) \quad \text{in law as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since

$$n^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=m_0}^n m^{-1} \beta_{mn} v_m = n^{\frac{1}{2}} \gamma_n s_n (S_n/s_n)$$

and

$$n^{\frac{1}{2}} \gamma_n s_n \sim \sigma(2A\alpha_1 - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

we get

$$(5. 24) \quad n^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=m_0}^n m^{-1} \beta_{mn} v_m \longrightarrow N(0, \sigma^2(2A\alpha_1 - 1)^{-1}) \quad \text{in law as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore the relations (5. 15), (5. 16) and (5. 24) yield the conclusion of the theorem, which completes the proof.

References

- [1] ANBAR, D. *A modified Robbins-Monro procedure approximating the zero of a regression function from below.* Ann. Statist., Vol. 5, No. 1, (1977), 229-234.
- [2] BROWN, B. M. *Martingale central limit theorems.* Ann. Math. Stat., Vol. 42, (1971), 59-66.
- [3] DUPAČ, V. and KRÁL, F. *Robbins-Monro procedure with both variables subject to experimental error.* Ann. Math. Stat., Vol. 43, No. 4, (1972), 1089-1095.
- [4] HEYDE, C. C. *On martingale limit theory and strong convergence results for stochastic approximation procedures.* Stochastic Processes Appl. 2, (1974), 359-370.
- [5] WATANABE, M. *On convergence of asymptotically optimal discriminant functions for pattern classification problems.* Bull. Math. Stat. 16, 1-2, (1974), 23-34.
- [6] WATANABE, M. *On RM stochastic approximation method with errors in setting the x-levels.* Fukuoka Univ. Sci. Reports. Vol. 5, (1975), 13-19.