

The equivalence of two definitions of homotopy sets for Kan complexes

By

Eiitirô HONMA and Tetuo KANEKO

(Received December 20, 1959)

As we remarked in §§ 1 and 2 of [1], the following proposition holds. The purpose of this paper is to give its proof. Free use will be made of the definitions and notations of [1].

PROPOSITION 1. 1°. If (K, L) is a Kan pair with base point $\varphi \in L_0$, DEFINITIONS 1.7 and 1.10 in [1] of $\pi_n(K, L, \varphi)$ are equivalent for $n \geq 0$. 2°. If $(K; L, M)$ is a Kan triad with base point $\varphi \in (L \cap M)_0$, DEFINITIONS 2.4 and 2.7 in [1] of $\pi_n(K; L, M, \varphi)$ are equivalent for $n \geq 2$. I.e. the natural embedding map $i_k: K \rightarrow S|K|$ given in [7] induces one-to-one onto maps $(i_k)_*: \pi_n(K, L, \varphi) \rightarrow \pi_n(S|K|, S|L|, i_k(\varphi))$ and $(i_k)_*: \pi_n(K; L, M, \varphi) \rightarrow \pi_n(S|K|; S|L|, S|M|, i_k(\varphi))$ where π_n means the set defined by DEFINITIONS 1.7 and 2.4 in [1].

Proof of 1°. The equivalence follows from THEOREM 7.3 in [1], REMARK 1 in [3, §4] and the five lemma for $n \geq 2$, and by their definitions for $n=0$.

To show that $(i_k)_*$ is one-to-one onto for $n=1$, consider $\pi_1(K, L, \varphi)$ and $\pi_1(S|K|, S|L|, i_k(\varphi))$. In this case we may assume that K is connected, i.e. $\pi_0(K, \varphi)=0$. Then we can construct the c. s. s. group $G(K; \varphi)$ which is a loop complex of K rel. φ [2, THEOREM 9.2]. Put $U=G(K; \varphi) \times_t K$, $C=G(K; \varphi) \times_t L$ and $\phi=(e_0, \varphi) \in U_0$ where t is a twisting function defined by $t\sigma=\bar{\sigma}$, e_0 is the identity element of the group $G(K; \varphi)_0$. By LEMMA 9.3 in [2] U is contractible. Let $p: U \rightarrow K$ be given by $p(\rho, \sigma) = \sigma$ for $(\rho, \sigma) \in U$. Then p is a fibre map: $(U, C, \phi) \rightarrow (K, L, \varphi)$ and (U, C) is a Kan pair. By THEOREM 8.3-2) and PROPOSITION 8.2 in [1], $p_*: \pi_1(U, C, \phi) \rightarrow \pi_1(K, L, \varphi)$ and $(S|p|)_*: \pi_1(S|U|, S|C|, i_U(\phi)) \rightarrow \pi_1(S|K|, S|L|, i_k(\varphi))$ are one-to-one onto.

Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \pi_1(K, L, \varphi) & \xleftarrow{p_*} & \pi_1(U, C, \phi) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \pi_0(C, \phi) \\
 \downarrow (i_k)_* & & \downarrow (i_U)_* & & \downarrow (i_C)_* \\
 \pi_1(S|K|, S|L|, i_k(\varphi)) & \xleftarrow{(S|p|)_*} & \pi_1(S|U|, S|C|, i_U(\phi)) & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & \pi_0(S|C|, i_C(\phi)),
 \end{array}$$

where δ and δ' are the boundary operations induced by the 0-th face operation, $(i_C)_*$ is one-to-one onto [3, §4 REMARK 1]. Therefore to show that $(i_k)_*$ is one-to-one

onto it suffices to prove the following.

LEMMA 2. *If (U, C) is a Kan pair with base point $\phi \in C_0$ and if U is contractible, then $\delta: \pi_1(U, C, \phi) \rightarrow \pi_0(C, \phi)$ is one-to-one onto.*

(In this case, $S|U|$ is also contractible and that δ' is one-to-one onto is verified by the same method.)

Proof. Since $\pi_0(U, \phi) = 0$ it is clear that δ is onto.

Now consider two simplices σ and $\tau \in \Gamma_1(U, C, \phi)$ such that $\sigma \varepsilon^0 \sim \tau \varepsilon^0$, i.e. there exists $\gamma \in C_1$ with $\gamma \varepsilon^0 = \sigma \varepsilon^0$ and $\gamma \varepsilon^1 = \tau \varepsilon^0$. Let $\omega_1 \in U_2$ be a solvent of

$$\begin{array}{c} (0) \quad (1) \quad (2) \\ [\gamma, \sigma, \square] \end{array}$$

and let $\sigma' = \omega_1 \varepsilon^2$. Let $\omega_2 \in U_2$ be a solvent of

$$\begin{array}{c} (0) \quad (1) \quad (2) \\ [\sigma', \tau, \square] \end{array}$$

and let $\theta = \omega_2 \varepsilon^2$. we have $\theta \varepsilon^0 = \phi$ and $\theta \varepsilon^1 = \phi$. Since $\pi_1(U, \phi) = 0 = \{\phi \eta^0\}$, there exists $\omega_3 \in U_2$ such that $\omega_3 \varepsilon^0 = \omega_3 \varepsilon^1 = \phi \eta^0$, $\omega_3 \varepsilon^2 = \theta$. Let $\omega_4 \in U_2$ be a solution of

$$\begin{array}{c} (0) \quad (1) \quad (2) \quad (3) \\ [\square, \tau \eta^0, \omega_2, \omega_3] \end{array}$$

and $\rho \in U_2$ be a solution of

$$\begin{array}{c} (0) \quad (1) \quad (2) \quad (3) \\ [\square, \omega_1, \sigma \eta^0, \omega_4]. \end{array}$$

Then we have $\rho \varepsilon^0 = \gamma \in C_1$, $\rho \varepsilon^1 = \sigma$, $\rho \varepsilon^2 = \tau$ and therefore $\rho: \sigma \sim \tau$ lsd. C .

Proof of 2°. The equivalence follows from THEOREM 7.1 in [1], THEOREM 1-1° and the five lemma for $n \geq 3$.

To show that $(i_K)_*$ is one-to-one onto for $n=2$, consider $\pi_2(K; L, M, \varphi)$ and $\pi_2(S|K|; S|L|, S|M|, i_K(\varphi))$ where we may assume that K is connected. Let U, C, ϕ , be those given in the proof of 1° and moreover let $D = G(K; \varphi) \times_t M$. Then $(U; C, D)$ is a Kan triad with base point ϕ , and the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_2(K; L, M, \varphi) & \xrightarrow{(i_K)_*} & \pi_2(S|K|; S|L|, S|M|, i_K(\varphi)) \\ \uparrow p_* & & \uparrow (S|p|)_* \\ \pi_2(U; C, D, \phi) & \xrightarrow{(i_U)_*} & \pi_2(S|U|; S|C|, S|D|, i_U(\phi)), \end{array}$$

where $p: U \rightarrow K$ is the fibre map given in the proof of 1° and p_* and $(S|p|)_*$ are one-to-one onto (THEOREM 8.3-1 in [1]). Therefore to show that $(i_K)_*$ is one-to-one onto it suffices to prove that $(i_U)_*$ is so.

To prove that $(i_U)_*$ is onto, consider an arbitrary simplex $f \in \Gamma_2(S|U|; S|C|, S|D|, i_U(\phi))$. f is a continuous map from Δ_2 into $|U|$ where Δ_2 means the unit

simplex in euclidean space R^3 . Put $e=f_{\varepsilon^0\varepsilon^0}(\mathcal{A}_0) \in |C| \cap |D|$ for the sake of notational simplicity. It is clear that there exist a 1-simplex $g \in (S|C| \cap S|D|)_1$ and a 0-simplex $\theta \in (C \cap D)_0$ such that $g_{\varepsilon^0}(\mathcal{A}_0) = e$ and $g_{\varepsilon^1} = i(\theta)$. Since $S|C|$ and $S|D|$ are Kan complexes, there exist solvents $h_0 \in (S|C|)_2$ and $h_1 \in (S|D|)_2$ of the following equations

$$\begin{matrix} (0) & (1) & (2) & & (0) & (1) & (2) \\ [g, f_{\varepsilon^0}, \square] & & & & [g, f_{\varepsilon^1}, \square] & & \end{matrix} \text{ respectively.}$$

We see that $h_0\varepsilon^2 \in \Gamma_1(S|C|, S|\psi \cup \theta|, i_C(\psi))$ and $(i_C)_* : \pi_1(C, \psi \cup \theta, \psi) \rightarrow \pi_1(S|C|, S|\psi \cup \theta|, i_C(\psi))$ is one-to-one onto (THEOREM 1-1°) where $\psi \cup \theta$ means the c. s. s. complex generated by ψ and θ . Therefore we have a simplex $\sigma_0 \in \Gamma_1(C, \psi \cup \theta, \psi)$ such that $\sigma_0\varepsilon^0 = \theta$ and $i_C(\sigma_0) \sim h_0\varepsilon^2$ lsd. $S|\psi \cup \theta|$. Denote this homotopy by $k_0 \in (S|C|)_2$. It is clear that $k_0\varepsilon^0 = i(\theta)\eta^0$. We have also a simplex $\sigma_1 \in \Gamma_1(D, \psi \cup \theta, \psi)$ such that $\sigma_1\varepsilon^0 = \theta$ and $i_D(\sigma_1) \sim h_1\varepsilon^2$ lsd. $S|\psi \cup \theta|$. Denote this homotopy by $k_1 \in (S|D|)_2$. We see that $k_1\varepsilon^0 = i_D(\theta)\eta^0$. Let $f_0 \in (S|C|)_2$ and $f_1 \in (S|D|)_2$ be solutions of the following equations

$$\begin{matrix} (0) & (1) & (2) & (3) & & (0) & (1) & (2) & (3) \\ [g\eta^0, \square, h_0, k_0] & & & & & [g\eta^0, \square, h_1, k_1] & & & \end{matrix} \text{ respectively.}$$

Then we have $f \sim f_3$ lsd. $S|C|, S|D|$ where $f_3 \in (S|U|)_2$ is a solution of the following equation

$$\begin{matrix} (0) & (1) & (2) & (3) \\ [f_0, f_1, f, \square] \end{matrix}$$

On the other hand, let $\gamma \in U_2$ be a solvent of the equation

$$\begin{matrix} (0) & (1) & (2) \\ [\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \square] \end{matrix}$$

and let $\nu = \gamma\varepsilon^2$. Then we have $\nu\varepsilon^0 = \nu\varepsilon^1 = \psi$, and since $\pi_1(U, \psi) = 0$ there exists a simplex $\Omega \in U_2$ such that $\Omega\varepsilon^0 = \Omega\varepsilon^1 = \psi\eta^0$ and $\Omega\varepsilon^2 = \nu$. A solution σ of the equation in U :

$$\begin{matrix} (0) & (1) & (2) & (3) \\ [\sigma_0\eta^0, \square, \gamma, \Omega] \end{matrix}$$

is a simplex contained in $\Gamma_2(U; C, D, \psi)$, i.e. $\sigma\varepsilon^0 = \sigma_0$, $\sigma\varepsilon^1 = \sigma_1$ and $\sigma\varepsilon^2 = \psi\eta^0$. Let $F_3 \in (S|U|)_3$ be a solvent of the equation in $S|U|$:

$$\begin{matrix} (0) & (1) & (2) & (3) \\ [i_U(\sigma_0)\eta^0, f_3, i_U(\sigma), \square] \end{matrix}$$

and let $f_4 = F_3\varepsilon^3$. Then we have $f_4\varepsilon^0 = f_4\varepsilon^1 = f_4\varepsilon^2 = i_U(\psi)\eta^0$, and since $\pi_2(S|U|, i_U(\psi)) = \pi_2(U, \psi) = 0$ there exists $F_4 \in (S|U|)_3$ such that $F_4\varepsilon^0 = F_4\varepsilon^1 = F_4\varepsilon^2 = i_U(\psi)\eta^0\eta^1$ and $F_4\varepsilon^3 = f_4$. Let $F \in (S|U|)_3$ be a solution of the equation in $S|U|$:

$$[i_U(\sigma_0)\eta^0\eta^1, \square, i_U(\sigma)\eta^1, F_3, F_4]$$

and let $G \in (S|U|)_3$ be a solution of the equation in $S|U|$:

$$[i_U(\sigma_0)\eta^0\eta^2, i_U(\sigma)\eta^2, \square, i_U(\sigma)\eta^1, F].$$

Then we have $G_{\varepsilon^0} = i_U(\sigma_0)\eta^1 \in S|C|$, $G_{\varepsilon^1} = i_D(\sigma_1)\eta^1 \in S|D|$, $G_{\varepsilon^2} = i_U(\sigma)$ and $G_{\varepsilon^3} = f_3$, i.e. $i_U(\sigma) \sim f_3$ lsd. $S|C|$, $S|D|$. Thus we have $i_U(\sigma) \sim f$ lsd. $S|C|$, $S|D|$, i.e. $(i_U)_*$ is onto.

To show that $(i_U)_*$ is one-to-one, consider two simplices σ and $\tau \in \Gamma_2(U; C, D, \phi)$ such that there exists a homotopy $F \in (S|U|)_3: i_U(\sigma) \sim i_U(\tau)$ lsd. $S|C|$, $S|D|$, i.e. $F_{\varepsilon^0} \in S|C|$, $F_{\varepsilon^1} \in S|D|$, $F_{\varepsilon^2} = i_U(\sigma)$ and $F_{\varepsilon^3} = i_U(\tau)$. For the sake of simplicity, put $\phi_0 = \sigma_{\varepsilon^0\varepsilon^0}$ and $\phi_1 = \tau_{\varepsilon^0\varepsilon^0}$. Since $(i_{C \cap D})_*: \pi_1(C|D|, \phi_0 \cup \phi_1, \phi_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(S|C| \cap S|D|, S|\phi_0 \cup \phi_1|, i(\phi_1))$ is one-to-one onto (THEOREM 1-1°) where $\phi_0 \cup \phi_1$ means the c.s.s. complex generated by ϕ_0 and ϕ_1 and since $F_{\varepsilon^0\varepsilon^0} \in \Gamma_1(S|C| \cap S|D|, S|\phi_0 \cup \phi_1|, i(\phi_1))$, there exists a simplex $\gamma \in (C \cap D)_1$ such that $\gamma_{\varepsilon^0} = \phi_0$, $\gamma_{\varepsilon^1} = \phi_1$ and $i(\gamma) \sim F_{\varepsilon^0\varepsilon^0}$ lsd. $S|\phi_0 \cup \phi_1|$. Denote this homotopy by $g \in (S|C| \cap S|D|)_2$, i.e. $g_{\varepsilon^0} = i(\phi_0)\eta^0$, $g_{\varepsilon^1} = i(\gamma)$ and $g_{\varepsilon^2} = F_{\varepsilon^0\varepsilon^0}$. Let $h_0 \in (S|C|)_3$ and $h_1 \in (S|D|)_3$ be solvents of the following equations

$$[g, F_{\varepsilon^0\varepsilon^1}\eta^1, \square, F_{\varepsilon^0}] \text{ and } [g, F_{\varepsilon^1\varepsilon^1}\eta^1, \square, F_{\varepsilon^1}] \text{ respectively.}$$

Consider a solution $F' \in (S|U|)_3$ of the equation in $S|U|$:

$$[h_0, h_1, F_{\varepsilon^2}\eta^2, \square, F].$$

Then we have $F'_{\varepsilon^0} = h_0\varepsilon^2 \in S|C|$, $F'_{\varepsilon^1} = h_1\varepsilon^2 \in S|D|$, $F'_{\varepsilon^2} = i_U(\sigma)$ and $F'_{\varepsilon^3} = i(\tau)$, i.e. $F': i_U(\sigma) \sim i_U(\tau)$ lsd. $S|C|$, $S|D|$. Moreover we have $F'_{\varepsilon^0\varepsilon^0} = h_0\varepsilon^2\varepsilon^0 = g_{\varepsilon^1} = i(\gamma)$.

Let $\tau_0 \in C_3$ and $\tau_1 \in D_3$ be solvents of the following equations

$$[\gamma, \square, \tau_{\varepsilon^0}] \text{ and } [\gamma, \square, \tau_{\varepsilon^1}] \text{ respectively.}$$

Then $\tau \sim \tau'$ lsd. C, D where $\tau' \in \Gamma_2(U; C, D, \phi)$ is a solution of the following equation in U :

$$[\tau_0, \tau_1, \square, \tau].$$

Therefore, to complete this proof it suffices to show that $\tau' \sim \sigma$ lsd. C, D . Let $k \in (S|C|)_2$ be a solution of the equation in $S|C|$:

$$[i_C(\gamma)\eta^1, \square, F'_{\varepsilon^0}, i_C(\tau_0)].$$

Then we have $k_{\varepsilon^0} = i_C(\phi_0)\eta^0$, $k_{\varepsilon^1} = i_C(\sigma\varepsilon^0)$, $k_{\varepsilon^2} = i_C(\tau'\varepsilon^0)$. Therefore $i_C(\sigma\varepsilon^0) \sim i_C(\tau'\varepsilon^0)$

lsd. $i_C(\psi_0)$. Hence we have $\sigma\varepsilon^0 \sim \tau'\varepsilon^0$ lsd. $\psi \cup \psi_0$, for $(i_C)_*: \pi_1(C, \psi \cup \psi_0, \psi) \rightarrow \pi_1(S|C|, S|\psi \cup \psi_0|, i_C(\psi))$ is one-to-one onto. Namely there exists a simplex $\rho_0 \in C_2$ such that $\rho_0\varepsilon^0 = \psi_0\eta^0$, $\rho_0\varepsilon^1 = \sigma\varepsilon^0$ and $\rho_0\varepsilon^2 = \tau'\varepsilon^0$. Similarly we have a simplex $\rho_1 \in D_2$ such that $\rho_1\varepsilon^0 = \psi_0\eta^0$, $\rho_1\varepsilon^1 = \sigma\varepsilon^1$ and $\rho_1\varepsilon^2 = \tau'\varepsilon^1$. Then $\tau' \sim \tau''$ lsd. C, D where $\tau'' \in \Gamma_2(U; C, D, \psi)$ is a solution of the equation in U :

$$\begin{array}{cccc} (0) & (1) & (2) & (3) \\ [\rho_0, \rho_1, \square, \tau'] \end{array}$$

On the other hand, for a solvent $E \in U_3$ of the following equation in U :

$$\begin{array}{cccc} (0) & (1) & (2) & (3) \\ [\sigma, \tau'', \sigma\varepsilon^1\eta^0, \square] \end{array}$$

each face of $\xi = E\varepsilon^3$ degenerates at ψ . Therefore there exists a simplex $\Omega \in U_3$ such that $\Omega\varepsilon^0 = \Omega\varepsilon^2 = \Omega\varepsilon^3 = \psi\eta^0\eta^1$ and $\Omega\varepsilon^1 = \xi$, for $\pi_2(U, \psi) = 0$. Let $\rho \in U_3$ be a solution of the equation in U :

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} (0) & (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) \\ [\square, \tau''\eta^2, \zeta, \tau''\eta^0, \rho'] \end{array}$$

where $\rho' \in U_3$ is a solution of the equation in U :

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} (0) & (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) \\ [\square, E, \tau''\eta^0, \sigma\varepsilon^1\eta^0\eta^1, \Omega] \end{array}$$

and $\zeta \in D_3$ is a solvent of the equation in D :

$$\begin{array}{cccc} (0) & (1) & (2) & (3) \\ [\square, \tau''\eta^2\varepsilon^1, \tau''\eta^0\varepsilon^2, \sigma\varepsilon^1\eta^0] \end{array}$$

Then we have $\rho\varepsilon^0 = \tau''\varepsilon^0\eta^1 \in C$, $\rho\varepsilon^1 = \zeta\varepsilon^0 \in D$, $\rho\varepsilon^2 = \tau''$ and $\rho\varepsilon^3 = \sigma$. Thus we have $\sigma \sim \tau'' \sim \tau' \sim \tau$ lsd. C, D .

References

- [1] K. Aoki, E. Honma and T. Kaneko: *Homotopy theory of c. s. s. pairs and triads*. J. Faculty Sci. Niigata Univ., Ser I, Vol. 2, No. 2(1959), 67-95.
- [2] D. M. Kan: *A combinatorial definition of homotopy groups*, Ann. Math., 67(1958) 282-312.
- [3] J. Milnor: *The geometric realization of a semi-simplicial complex*, Ann. Math., 65(1957). 357-362.

