

EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR THE ONE-DIMENSION SINGULAR P -LAPLACIAN EQUATION WITH SIGN CHANGING NONLINEARITIES VIA THE METHOD OF UPPER AND LOWER SOLUTION *

HAISHEN LÜ[†], DONAL O'REGAN[‡], AND RAVI P. AGARWAL[§]

Abstract. A result concerning the existence of positive solutions for the Dirichlet boundary value problem— $(\varphi_p(u'))' = f(t, u)$, $t \in (0, 1)$, $u(0) = c > 0$ and $u(1) = 0$, is given in this paper. Here $f(t, y)$ may change sign and may be singular at $y = 0$.

1. Introduction. This paper establishes a new result concerning the existence of nonnegative solutions for the Dirichlet boundary value problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} -(\varphi_p(u'))' = f(t, u), & t \in (0, 1) \\ u(0) = c > 0, u(1) = 0; \end{cases}$$

here $\varphi_p(x) = |x|^{p-2}x$, $p > 1$. For $p = 2$, the above problem models steady-state diffusion with reaction (see [1]) and many results have been obtained in the literature when $f(t, u) \leq 0$ or $f(t, u) \geq 0$, (see [2–4] and the references therein). However, very few results are available when $f(t, u)$ changes sign.

For $p \neq 2$, the above problem occurs in the study of the n -dimensional p -Laplace equation, non-Newtonian fluid theory and the turbulent flow of a gas in a porous medium [5].

2. Main Results. Consider the boundary value problem

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} -(\varphi_p(u'))' = F(t, u) & \text{for all } t \in (0, 1) \\ u(0) = a, u(1) = b \end{cases}$$

where $F : D \rightarrow R$ is continuous function and $D \subset (0, 1) \times [0, +\infty)$.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $\alpha \in C([0, 1], R) \cap C^1((0, 1), R)$ and $\varphi_p(\alpha') \in C^1((0, 1), R)$. Now α is called a lower solution for problem (2.1) if $(t, \alpha(t)) \in D$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$ and

$$\begin{cases} -(\varphi_p(\alpha'))' \leq F(t, \alpha(t)), & t \in (0, 1) \\ \alpha(0) \leq a, \alpha(1) \leq b. \end{cases}$$

Let $\beta \in C([0, 1], R) \cap C^1((0, 1), R)$ and $\varphi_p(\beta') \in C^1((0, 1), R)$. Now β is called an upper solution for problem (2.1) if $(t, \beta(t)) \in D$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$ and

$$\begin{cases} -(\varphi_p(\beta'))' \geq F(t, \beta(t)), & t \in (0, 1) \\ \beta(0) \geq a, \beta(1) \geq b. \end{cases}$$

*Received August 27, 2003; accepted for publication November 26, 2003.

[†]Institute of Applied Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and System Sciences, Chinese Academy of Science, Beijing 100080, China.

[‡]Department of Mathematics, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland (donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie).

[§]Department of Mathematical Sciences, Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, FL 32901-6975, USA (agarwal@fit.edu).

LEMMA 2.1 [7]. Suppose α, β are lower and upper solution of problem (2.1) and assume the following conditions are satisfied:

- (H1) $\alpha(t) \leq \beta(t)$ for all $0 \leq t \leq 1$;
 (H2) $D_{\alpha\beta} \subseteq D$, here $D_{\alpha\beta} = \{(t, y) | 0 < t < 1, \alpha(t) \leq y \leq \beta(t)\}$;
 (H3) there exists a continuous function $q \in C(0, 1)$ such that

$$|F(t, y)| \leq q(t), \quad \forall (t, y) \in D_{\alpha\beta},$$

and

$$\int_0^1 q(t) dt < +\infty.$$

Then the BVP (2.1) has at least one solution $u \in C([0, 1], R) \cap C^1((0, 1), R)$ with $\varphi_p(u') \in C^1((0, 1), R)$ such that

$$\alpha(t) \leq u(t) \leq \beta(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

THEOREM 2.1. Suppose the following conditions hold:

- (H4) $f : [0, 1] \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$ is continuous and $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} f(t, y) = -\infty$ uniformly on $[0, 1]$;
 (H5) there exist a constant $a \in (0, c]$ and a continuous function $g_1 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$f(t, u) \geq -g_1(u) \text{ for } t \in [0, 1], u > 0, \int_0^a g_1(s) ds < +\infty$$

$$\text{and } \int_0^a (G_1(x))^{-1/p} dx > q^{1/p};$$

here $G_1(x) = \int_0^x g_1(s) ds$, $0 < x < a$, $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$;

- (H6) there exists $b_2 > c$, $b_1 \in [0, b_2)$ and a continuous function $g_2 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$f(t, u) \leq g_2(u) \text{ for } t \in [0, 1], u > 0 \text{ and } \int_{b_1}^{b_2} (G_2(x))^{-1/p} dx > q^{1/p};$$

here $G_2(x) = \int_x^{b_2} g_2(s) ds$, $b_1 < x < b_2$.

Then (1.1) has at least one positive solution $u \in C^1[0, 1] \cap C(0, 1)$.

Proof. We first prove the following four Claims.

CLAIM 1. The problem

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} (\varphi_p(\phi'))' = g_1(\phi), & t \in (0, 1) \\ \phi(1) = 0, \phi'(1) = 0, \phi(t) > 0 & \text{for } t \in [0, 1) \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution $\phi \in C[0, 1] \cap C^1(0, 1)$ with

$$0 < \phi(t) < a, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1).$$

In addition

$$-(\varphi_p(\phi'))' \leq f(t, \phi) \text{ for } t \in (0, 1).$$

Proof of Claim 1. From [9] we know that (2.2) has a unique positive solution. On the other hand, since $(\varphi_p(\phi'))' \geq 0$ we have that ϕ' is increasing. Using $\phi'(1) = 0$ we obtain $\phi' \leq 0$.

Multiply both sides of (2.1) by ϕ' and then integrate from s to 1, to obtain

$$\int_s^1 (\varphi_p(\phi'(t)))' \phi'(t) dt = \int_s^1 g_1(\phi(t)) \phi'(t) dt,$$

so

$$\int_0^{\varphi_p(\phi'(s))} \varphi_p^{-1}(x) dx = G_1(\phi(s)).$$

Now use

$$\int_0^u \varphi_p^{-1}(s) ds = \frac{1}{q} |u|^q,$$

to obtain

$$\frac{1}{q} |\phi'|^p = G_1(\phi).$$

Thus

$$\phi' = -q^{\frac{1}{p}} (G_1(\phi))^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

so

$$\int_t^1 \frac{d\phi}{(G_1(\phi))^{\frac{1}{p}}} = -q^{\frac{1}{p}} (1-t) \text{ for } t \in [0, 1].$$

Consequently

$$(2.3) \quad \int_0^{\phi(t)} (G_1(x))^{\frac{-1}{p}} dx = q^{\frac{1}{p}} (1-t) \text{ for } t \in [0, 1].$$

Let

$$H_1(u) = \int_0^u (G_1(x))^{\frac{-1}{p}} dx.$$

Then

$$\phi(s) = H_1^{-1}\left(q^{\frac{1}{p}}(1-s)\right)$$

is a solution of (2.2). Now $\int_0^{\phi(0)} (G_1(x))^{\frac{-1}{p}} dx = q^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and $\int_0^a (G_1(x))^{\frac{-1}{p}} dx > q^{\frac{1}{p}}$ imply $0 < \phi(0) < a$. Also since $\phi' \leq 0$ we have

$$(\varphi_p(\phi')) + f(t, \phi) \geq (\varphi_p(\phi'))' - g_1(\phi) = 0 \text{ for } t \in (0, 1).$$

CLAIM 2. The problem

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} -(\varphi_p(\theta'))' = g_2(\theta), & t \in (0, 1) \\ \theta(0) = b_2, \theta'(0) = 0, \theta(t) > 0 & \text{for } t \in (0, 1] \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution $\theta \in C[0, 1] \cap C^1(0, 1)$ such that

$$(2.5) \quad b_1 < \theta(t) < b_2, \quad \forall t \in (0, 1].$$

In addition

$$-(\varphi_p(\theta'))' \geq f(t, \theta) \text{ for } t \in (0, 1).$$

Proof of Claim 2. From [9] we know that (2.4) has a unique positive solution. On the other hand since $-(\varphi_p(\theta'))' \geq 0$ we have that θ' is decreasing. Using $\theta'(0) = 0$ we obtain $\theta' \leq 0$. Let

$$H_2(x) = \int_x^{b_2} (G_2(s))^{\frac{-1}{p}} ds, \quad 0 < x < b_2.$$

Argue as in Claim 1 to obtain

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{\theta(t)}^{b_2} (G_2(x))^{\frac{-1}{p}} dx = q^{\frac{1}{p}} t \text{ for } t \in (0, 1].$$

Then

$$\theta(t) = H_2^{-1}\left(q^{\frac{1}{p}} t\right) \text{ for } t \in (0, 1]$$

is a solution of (2.4). Now since $\int_{\theta(1)}^{b_2} (G_2(x))^{\frac{-1}{p}} dx = q^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and $\int_{b_1}^{b_2} (G_2(x))^{\frac{-1}{p}} dx > q^{\frac{1}{p}}$ we have $b_1 < \theta(1) < b_2$. Moreover

$$(\varphi_p(\theta'))' + f(t, \theta) \leq (\varphi_p(\theta'))' + g_2(\theta) = 0 \text{ for } t \in (0, 1).$$

CLAIM 3. $\phi(t) < \theta(t)$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$.

Proof of Claim 3. If $a \leq b_1$ then $\phi(t) < a \leq b_1 < \theta(t)$ for $t \in (0, 1)$. We now consider the case $b_1 < a$. We easily obtain that

$$G_1(u) > 0 \text{ for } u \in (0, a), \quad G_2(u) > 0 \text{ for } u \in (b_1, b_2)$$

and

$$q^{\frac{1}{p}} = \int_0^{\phi(t)} (G_1(x))^{\frac{-1}{p}} dx + \int_{\theta(t)}^{b_2} (G_2(x))^{\frac{-1}{p}} dx \text{ for } t \in (0, 1).$$

Let

$$\Phi(u) = \int_0^u (G_1(x))^{\frac{-1}{p}} dx + \int_u^{b_2} (G_2(x))^{\frac{-1}{p}} dx \text{ for } u \in [b_1, a].$$

It is obvious that $\Phi \in C[b_1, a] \cap C^2(b_1, a)$ with

$$\Phi'(u) = (G_1(u))^{\frac{-1}{p}} - (G_2(u))^{\frac{-1}{p}} \text{ for } u \in (b_1, a),$$

and

$$-p\Phi''(u) = (G_1(u))^{\frac{-1}{p}-1} g_1(u) + (G_2(u))^{\frac{-1}{p}-1} g_2(u) \text{ for } u \in (b_1, a).$$

If $\Phi'(u_0) = 0$ for $u_0 \in [b, a]$, then $G_1(u_0) = G_2(u_0) > 0$. On the other hand, $g_1(u_0) + g_2(u_0) > 0$, so

$$-p\Phi''(u_0) = (G_1(u_0))^{\frac{-1}{p}-1} (g_1(u_0) + g_2(u_0)) > 0.$$

Consequently, Φ has no locally minimum point in (b_1, a) . Notice

$$\Phi(b_1) = \int_0^{b_1} (G_1(x))^{\frac{-1}{p}} dx + \int_{b_1}^{b_2} (G_2(x))^{\frac{-1}{p}} dx \geq \int_{b_1}^{b_2} (G_2(x))^{\frac{-1}{p}} dx > q^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Since $a < b_2$ we have

$$\Phi(a) = \int_0^a (G_1(x))^{\frac{-1}{p}} dx + \int_a^{b_2} (G_2(x))^{\frac{-1}{p}} dx \geq \int_0^a (G_1(x))^{\frac{-1}{p}} dx > q^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Consequently

$$(2.7) \quad \Phi(u) = \int_0^u (G_1(x))^{\frac{-1}{p}} dx + \int_u^{b_2} (G_2(x))^{\frac{-1}{p}} dx > q^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{ for } u \in [b_1, a].$$

Suppose there exists $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\theta(t_0) < \phi(t_0)$. Then $b_1 < \theta(t_0) < \phi(t_0) < a$. By (2.3) and (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} q^{\frac{1}{p}} &= \int_0^{\phi(t_0)} (G_1(x))^{\frac{-1}{p}} dx + \int_{\theta(t_0)}^{\phi(t_0)} (G_2(x))^{\frac{-1}{p}} dx + \int_{\phi(t_0)}^{b_2} (G_2(x))^{\frac{-1}{p}} dx \\ &\geq \int_0^{\phi(t_0)} (G_1(x))^{\frac{-1}{p}} dx + \int_{\phi(t_0)}^{b_2} (G_2(x))^{\frac{-1}{p}} dx \\ &= \Phi(\phi(t_0)) \\ &> q^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{ (see (2.7)),} \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

CLAIM 4. There exists $\eta \in C[0, 1] \cap C^1(0, 1)$ such that $\phi(t) \leq \eta(t) \leq \theta(t)$, $\forall t \in (0, 1)$ and

$$\begin{cases} -(\varphi_p(\eta'))' \geq f(t, \eta), & t \in (0, 1) \\ \eta(0) = c, \eta(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof of Claim 4. Let $R = \min_{t \in [0, 1]} \theta(t) > 0$ and

$$F(t, y) = \begin{cases} f(t, y), & y \geq R \\ \max\{f(t, y), f(t, R)\}, & 0 < y < R \\ f(t, R), & y = 0 \end{cases}$$

First we prove that $F : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$ is continuous. By (H4), there exist $\delta, 0 < \delta < R$, such that $f(t, y) < f(t, R)$ for all $(t, y) \in [0, 1] \times (0, \delta]$. As a result

$$F(t, y) = f(t, R) \text{ for } (t, y) \in [0, 1] \times (0, \delta],$$

so $F : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$ is continuous.

By Claim 1 and Claim 2, we have

$$-(\varphi_p(\theta'(t)))' - F(t, \theta(t)) = -(\varphi_p(\theta'(t)))' - f(t, \theta(t)) \geq 0, \quad t \in (0, 1)$$

$$-(\varphi_p(\phi'(t)))' - F(t, \phi(t)) \leq -(\varphi_p(\phi'(t)))' - f(t, \phi(t)) \leq 0, \quad t \in (0, 1)$$

and

$$0 < \phi(0) < c \leq \theta(0), \quad \phi(1) = 0 < \theta(1), \quad 0 < \phi(t) < \theta(t) \text{ for } t \in (0, 1).$$

From Lemma 2.1, we know the problem

$$\begin{cases} -(\varphi_p(\eta'(t)))' = F(t, \eta(t)), & t \in (0, 1) \\ \eta(0) = c, \quad \eta(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

has a solution $\eta \in C[0, 1] \cap C^1(0, 1)$ with $\phi(t) \leq \eta(t) \leq \theta(t)$, $\forall t \in (0, 1)$. Since $F(t, y) \geq f(t, y)$, $(t, y) \in (0, 1) \times (0, \infty)$, we have $-(\varphi_p(\eta'(t)))' \geq f(t, \eta(t))$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. For $n \in \{3, 4, \dots\}$, consider the problem

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{cases} (\varphi_p(z'(t)))' - f(t, z(t)) = 0, & t \in (0, \frac{n-1}{n}) \\ z(0) = c, \quad z(\frac{n-1}{n}) = \eta(\frac{n-1}{n}). \end{cases}$$

From Claim 1 and Claim 4, we have

$$\begin{cases} -(\varphi_p(\eta'))' \geq f(t, \eta), & t \in (0, \frac{n-1}{n}) \\ \eta(0) = c, \quad \eta(\frac{n-1}{n}) = \eta(\frac{n-1}{n}) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} -(\varphi_p(\phi'))' \leq f(t, \phi), & t \in (0, \frac{n-1}{n}) \\ \phi(0) \leq c, \quad \phi(\frac{n-1}{n}) \leq \eta(\frac{n-1}{n}). \end{cases}$$

Then η is an upper solution and ϕ is a lower solution of problem (2.8). On the other hand $0 < \phi(t) \leq \eta(t)$, $t \in [0, 1 - \frac{1}{n}]$ and $f : [0, 1 - \frac{1}{n}] \times D_{\phi\eta} \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$ is continuous. From Lemma 2.1, problem (2.8) has at least one solution $z_n \in C([0, \frac{n-1}{n}], R) \cap C^1((0, \frac{n-1}{n}), R)$ and $\varphi_p(z'_n) \in C^1((0, \frac{n-1}{n}), R)$ such that

$$\phi(t) \leq z_n(t) \leq \eta(t) \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{n-1}{n}.$$

Fix $n_0 \in \{3, 4, \dots\}$. Now lets look at the interval $[0, 1 - \frac{1}{n_0}]$. Let

$$R_{n_0} = \sup \left\{ |f(t, u)| : t \in \left[0, 1 - \frac{1}{n_0}\right] \text{ and } u \in D_{\phi\eta} \right\}.$$

The Mean Value Theorem implies that there exists $\tau \in (0, 1 - \frac{1}{n_0})$ with $|z'_n(\tau)| \leq 3 \sup_{[0,1]} \eta(t) \equiv L_{n_0}$. Hence for $t \in [0, 1 - \frac{1}{n_0}]$ we have

$$|z'_n(t)| \leq \varphi_p^{-1} \left(|z'_n(\tau)| + \left| \int_{\tau}^t (\varphi_p(z'_n))' dx \right| \right) \leq (\varphi_p(L_{n_0}) + R_{n_0})^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$$

where φ_p^{-1} is an inverse function of φ_p .

As a result

$$\{z_n\}_{n=n_0}^\infty \text{ is bounded, equicontinuous family on } \left[0, 1 - \frac{1}{n_0}\right].$$

The Arzela-Ascoli theorem guarantees the existence of a subsequence N_{n_0} of integers and a function $u_{n_0} \in C\left[0, 1 - \frac{1}{n_0}\right]$ with z_n converging uniformly to u_{n_0} on $\left[0, 1 - \frac{1}{n_0}\right]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ through N_{n_0} . Similarly

$$\{z_n\}_{n=n_0}^\infty \text{ is bounded, equicontinuous family on } \left[0, 1 - \frac{1}{n_0 + 1}\right],$$

so there is a subsequence N_{n_0+1} of N_{n_0} and a function $u_{n_0+1} \in C\left[0, 1 - \frac{1}{n_0+1}\right]$ with z_n converging uniformly to u_{n_0+1} on $\left[0, 1 - \frac{1}{n_0+1}\right]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ through N_{n_0+1} .

Note $u_{n_0+1} = u_{n_0}$ on $\left[0, 1 - \frac{1}{n_0}\right]$ since $N_{n_0+1} \subseteq N_{n_0}$. Proceed inductively to obtain subsequence on integers

$$N_{n_0} \supseteq N_{n_0+1} \supseteq \dots \supseteq N_k \supseteq \dots$$

and functions

$$u_k \in \left[0, 1 - \frac{1}{k}\right]$$

with

$$z_n \text{ converging uniformly to } u_k \text{ on } \left[0, 1 - \frac{1}{k}\right] \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ through } N_k$$

and

$$u_{k+1} = u_k \text{ on } \left[0, 1 - \frac{1}{k}\right].$$

Define a function $u : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $u(t) = u_k(t)$ on $\left[0, 1 - \frac{1}{k}\right]$ and $u(1) = 0$. Notice u is well defined and $\phi(t) \leq u(t) \leq \eta(t)$ for $t \in (0, 1)$. Next fix $t \in [0, 1)$ and let $m \in \{n_0, n_0 + 1, \dots\}$ be such that $0 \leq t < 1 - \frac{1}{m}$. Let $N_m^+ = \{n \in N_m : n \geq m\}$. Let $n \in N_m^+$ and let $a = 0, b = 1 - \frac{1}{m}$.

Define the operator, $L : C[a_0, b_0] \rightarrow C[a_0, b_0]$ by

$$(Ly)(t) = y(a_0) + \int_{a_0}^t \varphi_p^{-1} \left(A_y + \int_s^{b_0} q(\tau) f(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds$$

where A_y satisfies

$$\int_{a_0}^{b_0} \varphi_p^{-1} \left(A_y + \int_s^{b_0} f(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds = y(b_0) - y(a_0).$$

Let $y_n \rightarrow y$ uniformly on $[a_0, b_0]$. As the proof in Theorem 2.4^[5], if we show $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{y_n} = A$, then this together with φ_p^{-1} continuous, implies that

$L : C [a_0, b_0] \rightarrow C [a_0, b_0]$ is continuous. Associate A_{y_n} with y_n and notice

$$\int_{a_0}^{b_0} \left(\varphi_p^{-1} \left(A_{y_n} + \int_s^{b_0} f(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau \right) - \varphi_p^{-1} \left(A_y + \int_s^{b_0} f(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right) ds = y_n(b_0) - y_n(a_0) - y(b_0) + y(a_0).$$

The Mean Value Theorem for integrals implies that there exists $\eta_n \in [0, 1]$ with

$$\varphi_p^{-1} \left(A_{y_n} + \int_{\eta_n}^{b_0} f(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau \right) - \varphi_p^{-1} \left(A_y + \int_{\eta_n}^{b_0} f(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau \right) = \frac{y_n(b_0) - y_n(a_0) - y(b_0) + y(a_0)}{b_0 - a_0},$$

and since $y_n \rightarrow y$ uniformly on $[a_0, b_0]$ we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{y_n} = A_y$.

Now since z_n converges uniformly on $[a_0, b_0]$ to u as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $Lz_n = z_n$ we obtain $Lu = u$, i.e.

$$-(\varphi_p(u'(t)))' = f(t, u), \quad a_0 \leq t \leq b_0.$$

We can do this argument for each $t \in (0, 1)$ and so $-(\varphi_p(u'(t)))' = f(t, u), \quad 0 < t < 1$. It remains to show u is continuous at 1.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Now since $0 < \phi(t) \leq \eta(t), t \in (0, 1)$ and $\phi(1) = \eta(1) = 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ with

$$0 \leq \phi(t) \leq \eta(t) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ for } t \in [1 - \delta, 1].$$

This together with the fact that $\phi(t) \leq u_n(t) \leq \eta(t)$ for $t \in (0, 1)$ implies that

$$\phi(t) \leq u_n(t) \leq \eta(t) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ for } t \in [1 - \delta, 1].$$

Consequently

$$0 \leq \phi(t) \leq u(t) \leq \eta(t) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ for } t \in [1 - \delta, 1]$$

and so u is continuous at 1. Thus $u \in C [0, 1] \cap C^1 (0, 1)$ and u is a positive solution of (1.1). The proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete.

REMARK 2.1. The ideas in this section can be used to discuss the BVP

$$\begin{cases} -(\varphi_p(u'))' = f(t, u), & t \in (0, 1) \\ u(0) = 0, u(1) = c > 0. \end{cases}$$

Only minor adjustments are needed, so we leave the details to the reader.

To illustrate the above ideas we consider the following problem

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{cases} -(\varphi_p(u'))' = \lambda a(t) \left(u^\beta - \frac{1}{u^\alpha} \right) \text{ for } t \in (0, 1) \\ u(0) = c > 0, u(1) = 0; \end{cases}$$

here $\lambda > 0, a \in C [0, 1]$ and $a(t) > 0$ for $t \in [0, 1], 0 < \alpha < 1$ and $0 < \beta$.

COROLLARY 2.1. (2.9) has at least one positive solution $u \in C^1 [0, 1] \cap C (0, 1)$ if $\lambda > 0$ is chosen sufficiently small.

Proof. To see this we will apply Theorem 2.1. Let $f(t, u) = \lambda a(t) \left(u^\beta - \frac{1}{u^\alpha}\right)$. Then $f : [0, 1] \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$ is continuous and $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} f(t, u) = -\infty$ uniformly on $[0, 1]$.

In conditions (H5) and (H6) we let $a = c$, $b_2 = 2c$, $b_1 = 0$. Also we let

$$d_0 = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} a(t) \quad \text{and} \quad g_1(u) = \frac{\lambda d_0}{u^\alpha} \text{ for } u \in (0, \infty).$$

Then $g_1 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a continuous function and

$$\lambda a(t) \left(u^\beta - \frac{1}{u^\alpha}\right) \geq -g_1(u) \text{ for } t \in [0, 1], u > 0,$$

$$\int_0^a g_1(s) ds = \int_0^a \frac{\lambda d_0}{s^\alpha} ds = \frac{\lambda d_0}{1-\alpha} a^{1-\alpha} < +\infty.$$

Also we have

$$G_1(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\lambda d_0}{u^\alpha} du = \frac{\lambda d_0}{1-\alpha} x^{1-\alpha}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^a (G_1(x))^{\frac{-1}{p}} dx &= \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\lambda d_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \int_0^a x^{\frac{\alpha-1}{p}} dx \\ &= \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\lambda d_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \frac{p}{\alpha+p+1} \cdot c^{\frac{\alpha+p+1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\int_0^a (G_1(x))^{\frac{-1}{p}} dx > q^{\frac{1}{p}}$, provided

$$(2.10) \quad \lambda^{\frac{1}{p}} < \lambda^* = \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{q d_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \frac{p}{\alpha+p+1} \cdot c^{\frac{\alpha+p+1}{p}}.$$

Next let

$$g_2(u) = \lambda d_0 u^\beta \text{ for } u \in (0, \infty).$$

Then $g_2 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a continuous function and

$$\lambda a(t) \left(u^\beta - \frac{1}{u^\alpha}\right) \leq g_2(u) \text{ for } t \in [0, 1], u > 0,$$

and

$$G_2(x) = \int_x^{b_2} g_2(u) du = \frac{\lambda d_0}{\beta+1} \left((2c)^{\beta+1} - x^{\beta+1}\right) \text{ for } x \in (0, 2c).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{b_1}^{b_2} (G_2(x))^{-\frac{1}{p}} dx &= \int_0^{2c} \left[\frac{\lambda d_0}{\beta+1} \left((2c)^{\beta+1} - x^{\beta+1} \right) \right]^{-\frac{1}{p}} dx \\
 &= \left(\frac{\beta+1}{\lambda d_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \int_0^{2c} \frac{dx}{\left[(2c)^{\beta+1} - x^{\beta+1} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}} \\
 &= \left(\frac{\beta+1}{\lambda d_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot (2c)^{\frac{p-\beta-1}{p}} \int_0^1 \frac{dy}{[1-y^{\beta+1}]^{\frac{1}{p}}} \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{\beta+1}{\lambda d_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot (2c)^{\frac{p-\beta-1}{p}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then $\int_{b_1}^{b_2} (G_2(x))^{-\frac{1}{p}} dx > q^{\frac{1}{p}}$, provided with

$$(2.11) \quad \lambda^{\frac{1}{p}} < \lambda^{**} = \left(\frac{\beta+1}{q d_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot (2c)^{\frac{p-\beta-1}{p}}.$$

Thus if $0 < \lambda^{\frac{1}{p}} < \min \{ \lambda^*, \lambda^{**} \}$, the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. As a result problem (2.9) has at least one positive solution.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. ARIS, *Introduction to the analysis of chemical reactors*, Prentice-Hall, New Jersey, 1965.
- [2] R. P. AGARWAL, D. O'REGAN, *Nonlinear superlinear and nonsingular second order boundary value problems*, J. Differential Equations, 143:1 (1998), pp. 60–95.
- [3] K. LAN, J. R. L. WEBB, *Positive solutions of semilinear differential equations with singularities*, J. Differential Equations, 148:2 (1998), pp. 407–421.
- [4] R. P. AGARWAL, D. O'REGAN, *A note on existence of nonnegative solutions to singular semipositone problems*, Nonlinear Analysis, 36:5 (1999), pp. 615–622.
- [5] D. O'REGAN, *Some general existence principle and results for $(\phi(y'))' = qf(t, y, y')$, $0 < t < 1$* , SIAM J. Math. Anal., 24 (1993), pp. 648–668.
- [6] H. LÜ AND D. O'REGAN, *A general existence theorem for the singular equation $(\varphi_p(u'))' + f(t, u) = 0$* , Math. Ineq. Appl., 5:1 (2002), pp. 69–78.
- [7] Q. YAO AND H. LÜ, *Positive solutions of one-dimensional singular p -Laplace equations*, Acta Mathematica Sinica, 41:6 (1998), pp. 1255–1264 (in Chinese).
- [8] J. CHENG, *Existence of positive solutions for a class of Dirichlet boundary value problem*, Acta Mathematica Sinica, 45:2 (2002), pp. 301–306 (in Chinese).
- [9] M. A. DEL PINO, R. F. MANASEVICH AND A. E. MURUA, *Existence and Multiplicity of Solutions with Prescribed Period For a Second Order Quasilinear O.D.E.*, Nonlinear Analysis, 18 (1992), pp. 79–92.