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Let $h_{n}$ denote the class number of $\mathbb{Q}\left(2 \cos \left(2 \pi / 2^{n+2}\right)\right)$. Weber proved that $h_{n}$ is odd for all $n \geq 1$. We claim that if $\ell$ is a prime number less than $10^{7}$, then for all $n \geq 1$, $\ell$ does not divide $h_{n}$.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega_{n}=\mathbb{Q}\left(2 \cos \left(2 \pi / 2^{n+2}\right)\right)$. Then $\Omega_{n}$, the $n$th layer of the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, is a cyclic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ of degree $2^{n}$. Let $h_{n}$ denote the class number of $\Omega_{n}$. More than one hundred years ago, Weber [Weber 86] proved that $h_{n}$ is odd for all $n \geq 1$. Later, Iwasawa [Iwasawa 56] gave another beautiful proof in a more general situation.

We are led to investigate the odd part of $h_{n}$, or the whole class number $h_{n}$. It is very hard to compute $h_{n}$. It was shown that $h_{1}=h_{2}=h_{3}=1$ by Weber; $h_{4}=1$ by Cohn [Cohn 60], Bauer [Bauer 69], and Masley [Masley 78]; and $h_{5}=1$ by van der Linden [Linden 82]. Van der Linden also showed that $h_{6}=1$ if the generalized Riemann hypothesis (GRH) is valid.

On the other hand, concerning the odd part of $h_{n}$, there are Washington's results [Washington 75], which claim that the $\ell$-part of $h_{n}$ is bounded as $n$ tends to $\infty$ for a fixed prime number $\ell$. Precisely, he gave explicitly a bound on $n$ for which the growth of $e_{n}$ stops, where $h_{n}=\ell^{e_{n}} q$ with $q$ not divisible by $\ell$, using the theory of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extensions.

The next step is to consider how large $e_{n}$ is or whether $e_{n}$ is zero. Washington's techniques also enable us to derive an explicit upper bound for $e_{n}$, which unfortunately is very large.

A breakthrough was achieved in successive papers of Horie [Horie 05a, Horie 05b, Horie 07a, Horie 07b]. He proved that if $\ell$ satisfies a certain congruence relation and exceeds a certain bound, which is explicitly described,
then $\ell$ does not divide $h_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$, namely the $\ell$ part of $h_{n}$ is trivial for all $n \geq 1$. The following is a part of Horie's results.

Theorem 1.1. Horie Let $\ell$ be a prime number.
(1) If $\ell \equiv 3,5 \bmod 8$, then $\ell$ does not divide $h_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$.
(2) If $\ell \equiv 9 \bmod 16$ and $\ell>34797970939$, then $\ell$ does not divide $h_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$.
(3) If $\ell \equiv 7 \bmod 16$ and $\ell>210036365154018$, then $\ell$ does not divide $h_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Although Horie's results were very striking and very effective, there remained small prime numbers $\ell$ for which we did not know whether $\ell$ divides $h_{n}$. For example, it was not known whether $\ell \mid h_{n}, n \geq 6$, for $\ell=7,17,23,31,41, \ldots$.

In this paper, we give a criterion for nondivisibility of $h_{n}$ for given $n$ and prove that if $\ell$ does not divide $h_{m}$ for some $m \geq 1$, then $\ell$ does not divide $h_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$. A bound $m$, which depends on $\ell$, is explicitly given and is small enough to make it possible to verify computationally that $\ell$ does not divide $h_{m}$. For a real number $x$, we denote by $[x]$ the largest integer not exceeding $x$. Let $\delta_{\ell}$ denote 0 or 1 according as $\ell \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ or not.

Theorem 1.2. Let $\ell$ be an odd prime number and $2^{c}$ the exact power of 2 dividing $\ell-1$ or $\ell^{2}-1$ according as $\ell \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ or not. Put

$$
m=3 c-1+2\left[\log _{2}(\ell-1)\right]-2 \delta_{\ell} .
$$

If $\ell$ does not divide the class number of $\Omega_{m}$, then $\ell$ does not divide the class number of $\Omega_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Typical values of $m$ are as follows:

| $\ell$ | 7 | 17 | 31 | 257 | 8191 | 65537 | 524287 | 7340033 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $m$ | 13 | 19 | 25 | 39 | 65 | 79 | 95 | 103 |

We prove the above theorem using Sinnott and Washington's method [Washington 97, Section 16.3]. Theorem 1.2, together with numerical calculations based on Section 3 , allows us to obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1.3. Let $\ell$ be a prime number less than $10^{7}$. Then $\ell$ does not divide the class number of $\Omega_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We begin by explaining our notation. Let $K$ be an algebraic number field of finite degree. We denote by $C(K)$ and $h(K)$ the ideal class group and the class number of $K$, respectively. If $K$ is an imaginary abelian field, we denote by $C^{-}(K)$ and $h^{-}(K)$ the minus part of $C(K)$ and the relative class number of $K$, respectively. We denote by $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ the algebraic closure of the $\ell$-adic number field $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$.

Let $c$ be the integer as in Theorem 1.2, $n$ an integer satisfying $n \geq c, \ell$ an odd prime number, $\chi$ a character $\bmod \ell$ with $\chi(-1)=-1$, and $\psi_{n}$ an even character $\bmod$ $2^{n+2}$ whose order is $2^{n}$. Note that $\psi_{n}$ generates the character group of the Galois group $G\left(\Omega_{n} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$. Then a generalized Bernoulli number $B_{1, \chi \psi_{n}}$ is defined by

$$
B_{1, \chi \psi_{n}}=\frac{1}{2^{n+2} \ell} \sum_{b=1}^{2^{n+2} \ell} b \chi \psi_{n}(b) .
$$

Let $\zeta_{\psi_{n}}$ be a primitive $2^{n+2}$ th root of unity with $\zeta_{\psi_{n}}^{2^{n+2-c}} \stackrel{=}{=} \psi_{n}\left(1+2^{n+2-c}\right)$. Moreover, we define a rational function $f_{1}(T)$ in the rational function field $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(T)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(T)=\left(\sum_{\substack{b=1 \bmod 2^{c} \\ 0<b<2^{c+1} \ell}} \chi(b) T^{b}\right)\left(T^{2^{c+1} \ell}-1\right)^{-1} \tag{2-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have the following by [Washington 97, p. 387]:
Lemma 2.1. Let $\chi, \psi_{n}$ be as above and $n \geq 2 c-1$. If $B_{1, \chi \psi_{n}} \equiv 0 \bmod \bar{\ell}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\left[\zeta_{\psi_{n}}\right]$, then $f_{1}\left(\zeta_{\psi_{n}}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod \bar{\ell}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\left[\zeta_{\psi_{n}}\right]$, where $\bar{\ell}$ is the ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\left[\zeta_{\psi_{n}}\right]$ generated by $\ell$.

From now on, we assume $n \geq 2 c-1$ and put $d=$ $2 c-2+\left[\log _{2}(\ell-1)\right]-\delta_{\ell} . \quad$ Moreover, we put $\zeta_{\ell}=$ $\cos (2 \pi / \ell)+\sqrt{-1} \sin (2 \pi / \ell)$ and work in $K_{n, \ell}=\Omega_{n}\left(\zeta_{\ell}\right)$. We abbreviate $h^{-}\left(K_{n, \ell}\right)$ as $h_{n, \ell}^{-}$. Then we have the following:

Lemma 2.2. If $n \geq d$, then $\ell$ does not divide $h_{n, \ell}^{-} / h_{d, \ell}^{-}$.
Proof: Put

$$
g(T)=f_{1}(T)\left(T^{2^{c} \ell}-1\right) T^{-1}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
g(T) & =T^{-1}\left(T^{2^{c} \ell}+1\right)^{-1} \sum_{\substack{b=1 \bmod 2^{c} \\
0<b<2^{c+1} \ell}} \chi(b) T^{b} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{b=1 \text { mod } 2^{c} \\
0<b \leq 1+2^{c}(\ell-1)}} \chi(b) T^{b-1} . \tag{2-2}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $g(T)$ is contained in $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}[T]$ and

$$
\operatorname{deg} g(T) \leq 2^{c}(\ell-1)
$$

where $\operatorname{deg} g(T)$ denotes the degree of $g(T)$. The assertion of the lemma is trivially valid for $n=d$. So we assume $n \geq d+1$. Then we have $g(\zeta) \not \equiv 0 \bmod \bar{\ell}$ for any primitive $2^{n+2}$ th root of unity $\zeta$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(\zeta): \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right] } & =2^{n+2-c+\delta_{\ell}} \geq 2^{d+3-c+\delta_{\ell}}=2^{c+1+\left[\log _{2}(\ell-1)\right]} \\
& >2^{c}(\ell-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

The class number formula (cf. ${ }^{n}$ [Washington 97, Theorem 4.17])

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{n, \ell}^{-}=Q_{n, \ell} 2 \ell \prod_{\chi} \prod_{b=1}^{2^{n}}\left(-\frac{1}{2} B_{1, \chi \psi_{n}^{b}}\right) \tag{2-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

yields our assertion by Lemma 2.1, where $Q_{n, \ell}$ is 1 or 2 and $\chi$ runs over all characters modulo $\ell$ with $\chi(-1)=-1$.

We denote by $r_{n, \ell}^{-}$the $\ell$-rank of $C^{-}\left(K_{n, \ell}\right)$ and abbreviate $h\left(\Omega_{n}\right)$ as $h_{n}$. Then the following follows from [Washington 97, Theorems 10.8 and 10.11]:

Lemma 2.3. If $\ell$ divides $h_{n}$ and if $\ell$ does not divide $h_{n-1}$, then $2^{n-c+\delta_{\ell}} \leq r_{n, \ell}^{-}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2: Using a rough estimate

$$
\left|\frac{1}{2} B_{1, \chi \psi_{n}}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2^{n+3} \ell} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n+1} \ell}(2 i-1)=2^{n-1} \ell & \text { if } n \geq 1 \\ \frac{1}{2 \ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} i=\frac{\ell-1}{4}<2^{-2} \ell & \text { if } n=0\end{cases}
$$

and (2-3), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{n, \ell}^{-} & <2^{2} \ell\left(2^{-2} \ell\right)^{\frac{\ell-1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(2^{i-1} \ell\right)^{2^{i-1} \frac{\ell-1}{2}} \\
& =4 \ell \cdot 2^{(n-2)(\ell-1) 2^{n-1}} \ell^{(\ell-1) 2^{n-1}} \tag{2-4}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{n, \ell}^{-}< & \log _{\ell}(4 \ell)+(n-2)(\ell-1) 2^{n-1} \log _{\ell}(2) \\
& +(\ell-1) 2^{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{n, \ell}^{-}< & \log _{\ell}(4 \ell)+(d-2)(\ell-1) 2^{d-1} \log _{\ell}(2) \\
& +(\ell-1) 2^{d-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$ by Lemma 2.2.

Assume that $\ell$ does not divide $h_{m}$, where $m$ is the integer stated in the theorem. In order to prove the theorem, we assume that there exists $n$ such that $\ell$ divides $h_{n}$ and does not divide $h_{n-1}$, and deduce a contradiction.

Lemma 2.3 shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{n-c+\delta_{\ell}}< & \log _{\ell}(4 \ell)+(d-2)(\ell-1) 2^{d-1} \log _{\ell}(2) \\
& +(\ell-1) 2^{d-1} \\
= & \log _{\ell}(4 \ell)+(\ell-1) 2^{2 c-3+\left[\log _{2}(\ell-1)\right]-\delta_{\ell}} \\
& \times\left\{1+\left(2 c-4+\left[\log _{2}(\ell-1)\right]-\delta_{\ell}\right) \log _{\ell}(2)\right\} \\
< & \log _{\ell}(4 \ell)+(\ell-1) 2^{2 c-3+\left[\log _{2}(\ell-1)\right]-\delta_{\ell}} \\
& \times\left\{2+\frac{1}{\log _{2}(\ell)}(2 c-4)\right\} \\
< & 3+(\ell-1) 2^{2 c-1+\left[\log _{2}(\ell-1)\right]-\delta_{\ell}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last step, we used the inequality $2^{c} \leq \ell-1$ if $\ell \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ and $2^{c-1} \leq \ell+1$ if $\ell \equiv 3 \bmod 4$. Since the left-hand side of the above inequality is a power of 2 and the right-hand side is of the form $3+64 k$ with $k \geq 1$, we have

$$
2^{n-c+\delta_{\ell}} \leq(\ell-1) 2^{2 c-1+\left[\log _{2}(\ell-1)\right]-\delta_{\ell}}
$$

and hence

$$
n-c+\delta_{\ell} \leq \log _{2}(\ell-1)+2 c-1+\left[\log _{2}(\ell-1)\right]-\delta_{\ell}
$$

which means that $n \leq m$. This is a contradiction.

## 3. CALCULATION

In this section, we explain how to verify numerically that an odd prime number $\ell$ does not divide the class number $h_{n}$ of $\Omega_{n}$ for large $n$.

### 3.1 General Settings

Let $\Delta_{n}=G\left(\Omega_{n} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ be the Galois group of $\Omega_{n}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, and $A_{n}$ the $\ell$-part of the ideal class group of $\Omega_{n}$. For a character $\chi: \Delta_{n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$, we define the idempotent $e_{\chi}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\chi}=\frac{1}{\left|\Delta_{n}\right|} \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta_{n}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\chi^{-1}(\sigma)\right) \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\left[\Delta_{n}\right] \tag{3-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $\chi$-part $A_{n, \chi}$ of $A_{n}$ by $A_{n, \chi}=e_{\chi} A_{n}$ as in [Gras 77], where $\operatorname{Tr}: \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\left(\chi\left(\Delta_{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ is the trace map.

Then we have $A_{n}=\oplus_{\chi} A_{n, \chi}$, where $\chi$ runs over all representatives of $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$-conjugacy classes of characters of $\Delta_{n}$. If $\chi$ is not injective, the intermediate field of $\Omega_{n}$ corresponding to $\operatorname{Ker} \chi$ is $\Omega_{k}$ for some $0 \leq k<n$ and $A_{n, \chi} \cong A_{k, \chi}$ canonically. So we may assume that $\chi$ is injective.

Now, for $n \geq 1$, let $\zeta_{n}$ denote a primitive $2^{n}$ th root of unity in $\mathbb{C}$ and put

$$
\xi_{n}=\left(\zeta_{n+2}-1\right)\left(\zeta_{n+2}^{-1}-1\right)=2-\zeta_{n+2}-\zeta_{n+2}^{-1} \in \Omega_{n}
$$

We define a truncation $e_{\chi, \ell} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\Delta_{n}\right]$ of $e_{\chi}$ by

$$
e_{\chi, \ell} \equiv e_{\chi} \bmod \ell
$$

in order to consider an action on $\xi_{n}$. We note that $\xi_{n}$ itself is not a unit in $\Omega_{n}$, but $\xi_{n}^{e_{x, \ell}}$ is a cyclotomic unit of $\Omega_{n}$ if we choose $e_{\chi, \ell}$ such that the sum of coefficients is zero. The following lemma is a special case of [Aoki and Fukuda 06, Lemma 1].

Lemma 3.1. If there exists a prime number $p$ that is congruent to 1 modulo $2^{n+2} \ell$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\xi_{n}^{e_{\chi, \ell}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{\ell}} \not \equiv 1 \bmod \mathfrak{p} \tag{3-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\Omega_{n}$ lying above $p$, then we have $\left|A_{n, \chi}\right|=1$.

Let $s=c-\delta_{\ell}$ with $c$ as in Theorem 1.2. Then $2^{s}$ is the exact power of 2 dividing $\ell-1$ or $\ell+1$ according as $\ell \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ or not. When $n \leq s$, the calculation of $(3-2)$ is straightforward, so we explain how to reduce the amount of calculation when $n \geq s+1$.

Owing to Lemma 3.1, we may regard $\chi$ as a character of $\Delta_{n}$ into $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}$ and define $e_{\chi}$ to be an element of $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\left[\Delta_{n}\right]$, where $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}$ is an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}=\mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\eta_{n}$ be a primitive $2^{n}$ th root of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}$ and $K=\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\left(\eta_{n}\right)$. Then $\left[K: \mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right]=2^{n-s}$ for $n \geq s+1$. Let $\rho$ be the generator of $\Delta_{n}$ induced by $\zeta_{n+2} \mapsto \zeta_{n+2}^{5}$, and $\chi$ the character of $\Delta_{n}$ defined by $\chi(\rho)=\eta_{n}$. Then

$$
e_{\chi^{-1}}=\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n}-1} \operatorname{Tr}_{K / \mathbb{F}_{\ell}}\left(\eta_{n}^{i}\right) \rho^{i}
$$

The calculation of $\operatorname{Tr}_{K / \mathbb{F}_{\ell}}\left(\eta_{n}^{i}\right)$ divides into two cases according as $\ell \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ or not.

### 3.2 The case $\ell \equiv 1 \bmod 4$

Let $n \geq s+1$. Then the minimal polynomial of $\eta_{n}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ is

$$
X^{2^{n-s}}-\eta_{n}^{2^{n-s}}
$$

Namely, $\operatorname{Tr}_{K / \mathbb{F}_{\ell}}\left(\eta_{n}^{i}\right)=0$ if $i$ is not divisible by $2^{n-s}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{\chi^{-1}} & =\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{s}-1} \operatorname{Tr}_{K / \mathbb{F}_{\ell}}\left(\eta_{n}^{2^{n-s} i}\right) \rho^{2^{n-s} i} \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{s}} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{s}-1} \eta_{s}^{i} \rho^{2^{n-s} i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since there are $2^{s-1}$ nonconjugate primitive $2^{n}$ th roots of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}$, there are the same number of $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$-conjugacy classes of injective characters of $\Delta_{n}$. Namely, if we put

$$
X=\left\{j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 1 \leq j \leq 2^{s}-1, j \text { odd }\right\}
$$

then $\left\{\chi^{j} \mid j \in X\right\}$ is a set of representatives of the $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^{-}}$ conjugacy classes of injective characters of $\Delta_{n}$. Since the choice of $\eta_{n}$ is arbitrary, we may assume that

$$
\eta_{s} \equiv g_{\ell}^{\frac{\ell-1}{2^{s}}} \bmod \ell
$$

where $g_{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a primitive root modulo $\ell$.
Let $p$ be a prime number congruent to 1 modulo $2^{n+2} \ell$ and let $g_{p}$ be a primitive root of $p$. Then

$$
\zeta_{n+2}+\zeta_{n+2}^{-1} \equiv g_{p}^{\frac{p-1}{2^{n+2}}}+g_{p}^{-\frac{p-1}{2^{n+2}}} \bmod \mathfrak{p}
$$

for some prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\Omega_{n}$ lying above $p$.
Now we fix nonnegative integers $z_{1}, z_{2}$, and $a_{i j}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
z_{1} & \equiv g_{p}^{\frac{p-1}{2^{n+2}}} \bmod p  \tag{3-3}\\
z_{2} & \equiv z_{1}^{-1} \bmod p  \tag{3-4}\\
a_{i j} & \equiv g_{\ell}^{\frac{\ell-1}{2^{s}} i j} \bmod \ell
\end{align*}
$$

Then Lemma 3.1 implies the following criterion.
Lemma 3.2. Put $b=5^{2^{n-s}}$. If for each $j \in X$, there exists a prime number $p$ congruent to 1 modulo $2^{n+2} \ell$ that satisfies

$$
\left(\prod_{i=0}^{2^{s}-1}\left(2-z_{1}^{b^{i}}-z_{2}^{b^{i}}\right)^{a_{i j}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{\ell}} \not \equiv 1 \bmod p
$$

then $\ell$ does not divide $h_{n} / h_{n-1}$.
We note that $b^{i}$ should be calculated modulo $2^{n+2}$, and the $a_{i j}$ no longer need to satisfy $\sum_{i} a_{i j}=0$.

### 3.3 The Case $\ell \equiv 3 \bmod 4$

Let $n \geq s+1$ and let

$$
X^{2}-a X-1
$$

be the minimal polynomial of $\eta_{s+1}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$. Then the minimal polynomial of $\eta_{n}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ is

$$
X^{2^{n-s}}-a X^{2^{n-s-1}}-1
$$

Namely, $\operatorname{Tr}_{K / \mathbb{F}_{\ell}}\left(\eta_{n}^{i}\right)=0$ if $i$ is not divisible by $2^{n-s-1}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{\chi^{-1}} & =\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{s+1}-1} \operatorname{Tr}_{K / \mathbb{F}_{\ell}}\left(\eta_{n}^{2^{n-s-1} i}\right) \rho^{2^{n-s-1} i} \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{s+1}} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{s+1}-1} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\left(\eta_{s+1}\right) / \mathbb{F}_{\ell}}\left(\eta_{s+1}^{i}\right) \rho^{2^{n-s-1} i},
\end{aligned}
$$

and we need to calculate

$$
t_{i}=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\left(\eta_{s+1}\right) / \mathbb{F}_{\ell}}\left(\eta_{s+1}^{i}\right)
$$

We start from $t_{1}=\eta_{s+1}+\eta_{s+1}^{\ell}$ and proceed to

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{2} & =\eta_{s+1}^{2}+\eta_{s+1}^{2 \ell}=\left(\eta_{s+1}+\eta_{s+1}^{\ell}\right)^{2}-2 \eta_{s+1}^{\ell+1}=t_{1}^{2}+2, \\
t_{2^{2}} & =\eta_{s+1}^{2^{2}}+\eta_{s+1}^{2^{2} \ell}=\left(\eta_{s+1}^{2}+\eta_{s+1}^{2 \ell}\right)^{2}-2 \eta_{s+1}^{2(\ell+1)} \\
& =t_{2}^{2}-2 \\
& \cdots \\
t_{2^{s-1}} & =\eta_{s+1}^{2^{s-1}}+\eta_{s+1}^{2^{s-1} \ell}=t_{2^{s-2}}^{2}-2=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

noting that $\eta_{s+1}^{\ell+1}=-1$. Reversing this procedure, we obtain the following algorithm for calculating $t_{1}$. Note that $t_{0}=2$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $a_{2}=0$ and define $a_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}, 3 \leq i \leq s+1$, by the recurrence formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{i} & =\sqrt{2+a_{i-1}} \quad(3 \leq i \leq s), \\
a_{s+1} & =\sqrt{-2+a_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $t_{1}=a_{s+1}$.
Remark 3.4. For each step, we have two square roots. So we have just $2^{s-1}$ instances of $t_{1}$, which correspond to the $2^{s-1}$ nonconjugate primitive $2^{s+1}$ th roots of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}$. We fix an arbitrary such root of unity.

Remark 3.5. Since $\ell \equiv 3 \bmod 4$, taking square roots in $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ is easy. Indeed, if $a \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ and $\sqrt{a} \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}$, then $\sqrt{a}= \pm a^{(\ell+1) / 4}$.

Lemma 3.3 also determines $t_{2}, t_{2^{2}}, \ldots, t_{2^{s-2}}$. But we need $t_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 2^{s}-1$, and we obtain these from $t_{0}$ and $t_{1}$ using the following recurrence formula.

Lemma 3.6. We have $t_{i+2}=t_{1} t_{i+1}+t_{i}$ for $i \geq 0$.
Proof: We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{1} t_{i+1} & =\left(\eta_{s+1}+\eta_{s+1}^{\ell}\right)\left(\eta_{s+1}^{i+1}+\eta_{s+1}^{(i+1) \ell}\right) \\
& =\eta_{s+1}^{i+2}+\eta_{s+1}^{(i+2) \ell}+\eta_{s+1}^{\ell+1}\left(\eta_{s+1}^{i}+\eta_{s+1}^{i \ell}\right) \\
& =t_{i+2}-t_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

yielding the result.

In this case, we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=\left\{j \in \mathbb{Z}: \text { odd } \mid 1 \leq j \leq 2^{s-1}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \text { or } 2^{s}+1 \leq j \leq 2^{s}+2^{s-1}-1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\left\{\chi^{j} \mid j \in X\right\}$ is a set of representatives of the $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^{-}}$ conjugacy classes of injective characters of $\Delta_{n}$. Let $p$ be a prime number congruent to 1 modulo $2^{n+2} \ell$ and choose $z_{1}, z_{2}, a_{i j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ by (3-3), (3-4), and

$$
a_{i j} \equiv t_{i j} \bmod \ell
$$

Note that $i j$ on the left-hand side is a subscript with two indices and that on the right is the product of $i$ and $j$.

Next we make some technical remarks. Let $i^{\prime}=2^{s}+i$ and $b=5^{2^{n-s-1}}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
b^{i^{\prime}} & =5^{2^{n-s-1}\left(2^{s}+i\right)}=5^{2^{n-1}} b^{i} \equiv\left(2^{n+1}+1\right) b^{i} \bmod 2^{n+2}, \\
z_{1}^{b^{i^{\prime}}} & \equiv g_{p}^{\frac{p-1}{2 n+2}\left(2^{n+1}+1\right) b^{i}} \equiv g_{p}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} z_{1}^{b^{i}} \equiv-z_{1}^{b^{i}} \bmod p, \\
a_{i^{\prime} j} & =\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\left(\eta_{s+1}\right) / \mathbb{F}_{\ell}}\left(\eta_{s+1}^{\left(2^{s}+i\right) j}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\left(\eta_{s+1}\right) / \mathbb{F}_{\ell}}\left(\eta_{s+1}^{2^{s} j} \eta_{s+1}^{i j}\right) \\
& =-a_{i j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\prod_{i=0}^{2^{s+1}-1}\left(2-z_{1}^{b^{i}}-z_{2}^{b^{i}}\right)^{a_{i j}} \equiv \prod_{i=0}^{2^{s}-1}\left(\frac{2-z_{1}^{b^{i}}-z_{2}^{b^{i}}}{2+z_{1}^{b^{i}}+z_{2}^{b^{i}}}\right)^{a_{i j}} \bmod p
$$

Hence Lemma 3.1 yields the following criterion.
Lemma 3.7. Put $b=5^{2^{n-s-1}}$. If for each $j \in X$, there exists a prime number $p$ congruent to 1 modulo $2^{n+2} \ell$ that satisfies

$$
\left(\prod_{i=0}^{2^{s}-1}\left(\frac{2-z_{1}^{b^{i}}-z_{2}^{b^{i}}}{2+z_{1}^{b^{i}}+z_{2}^{b^{i}}}\right)^{a_{i j}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{\ell}} \not \equiv 1 \bmod p
$$

then $\ell$ does not divide $h_{n} / h_{n-1}$.

### 3.4 A Logarithmic Version of Algorithms

When one fixes $\ell$ and varies $n$, the running times of Lemmas 3.2 and 3.7 are roughly proportional to $n$. So we can verify that $\ell$ does not divide $h_{n}$ in reasonable time for large $n$. For example, it takes only 24 minutes on a computer with a Pentium IV $2-\mathrm{GHz}$ processor to verify that 3 does not divide $h_{1000}$.

On the other hand, if one fixes $n$ and varies $\ell$, the running time is proportional to $4^{s}$. For example, an experimental calculation estimates that 40 days are needed to apply Theorem 1.2 to $\ell=2^{16}+1$. So we are led to a logarithmic version of Lemmas 3.2 and 3.7 by adapting
the idea of [Aoki 02, Corollary 11] or [Aoki 05, Theorem 13].

For $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}$, let $\nu_{p}(x)$ be the unique nonnegative integer less than $p$ that satisfies

$$
x=g_{p}^{\nu_{p}(x)} .
$$

The calculation of $\nu_{p}(x)$ is considered hard for large $p$. But $\nu_{p}(x) \bmod \ell$ is enough for our purpose. Let $\nu_{p}(x)=$ $i+j \ell$. Then we can determine $i$ from

$$
x^{\frac{p-1}{\ell}}=\left(g_{p}^{i+j \ell}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{\ell}}=\left(g_{p}^{\frac{p-1}{\ell}}\right)^{i}
$$

for small $\ell$ (e.g., $\ell<10^{7}$ ). Hence we can determine $x_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ that satisfy

$$
x_{i} \equiv \begin{cases}\nu_{p}\left(2-z_{1}^{b^{i}}-z_{2}^{b^{i}}\right) \bmod \ell & \text { if } \ell \equiv 1 \bmod 4 \\ \nu_{p}\left(\frac{2-z_{1}^{b^{i}}-z_{2}^{b^{i}}}{2+z_{1}^{b^{i}}+z_{2}^{b^{i}}}\right) \bmod \ell & \text { if } \ell \equiv 3 \bmod 4\end{cases}
$$

Then Lemmas 3.2 and 3.7 shift to the following form.

Lemma 3.8. If for each $j \in X$, there exists a prime number $p$ congruent to 1 modulo $2^{n+2} \ell$ that satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{s}-1} a_{i j} x_{i} \not \equiv 0 \bmod \ell \tag{3-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\ell$ does not divide $h_{n} / h_{n-1}$.

Lemma 3.8 has two advantages. One is, of course, simple multiplication operations, and the other is that all numbers in $(3-5)$ are less than $\ell$. A careful implementation of the lemma enables us to verify in 10 hours that $\ell=2^{16}+1$ does not divide $h_{79}$, which is necessary for applying Theorem 1.2.

### 3.5 Fast Fourier Transform

Lemma 3.8 is faster than Lemmas 3.2 and 3.7 for large $s$, but it is still an $O\left(4^{s}\right)$ algorithm. The calculating time for $\ell=2^{19}-1$ is estimated to be 640 hours. Fortunately, Sumida [Sumida 04] showed that the fast Fourier transform (FFT) provides an efficient method of calculation for this kind of sum.
3.5.1 The case $\ell \equiv 1 \bmod 4$. Since $a_{i j}=\eta_{s}^{i j}$ in this case and $j$ is odd, putting $j=2 r+1$ and noting that $2 i r=i^{2}+r^{2}-(r-i)^{2}$, the expression (3-5) is transformed into

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{s}-1} a_{i j} x_{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{2^{s}-1} \eta_{s}^{i(2 r+1)} x_{i}=\eta_{s}^{r^{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{s}-1} \eta_{s}^{-(r-i)^{2}} \eta_{s}^{i(i+1)} x_{i}
$$

The last sum is considered a cyclic convolution of $u_{i}=$ $\eta_{s}^{-i^{2}}$ and $v_{i}=\eta_{s}^{i(i+1)} x_{i}$. Hence we can evaluate (3-5) in $O\left(\log _{2}\left(2^{s}\right) 2^{s}\right)=O\left(s 2^{s}\right)$ time using FFT.
3.5.2 The case $\ell \equiv 3 \bmod 4 . \quad$ Putting $j=2 r+1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{s}-1} a_{i j} x_{i} & =\sum_{i=0}^{2^{s}-1}\left(\eta_{s+1}^{i j}+\eta_{s+1}^{i j \ell}\right) x_{i} \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{2^{s}-1}\left(\eta_{s+1}^{i j} x_{i}+\eta_{s+1}^{i j \ell} x_{i}^{\ell}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{2^{s}-1} \eta_{s+1}^{i j} x_{i}+\left(\sum_{i=0}^{2^{s}-1} \eta_{s+1}^{i j} x_{i}\right)^{\ell} \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{2^{s}-1} \eta_{s+1}^{i j} x_{i}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\eta_{s+1}^{r^{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{s}-1} \eta_{s+1}^{-(r-i)^{2}} \eta_{s+1}^{i(i+1)} x_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

First we prepare the table of $\eta_{s+1}^{i}=a_{i}+b_{i} \eta_{s+1}, a_{i}, b_{i} \in$ $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}, 0 \leq i \leq 2^{s+1}-1$, using the following formula:

Lemma 3.9. We have $a_{0}=1, b_{0}=0$ and $a_{i+1}=b_{i}$, $b_{i+1}=a_{i}+t_{1} b_{i} \quad(i \geq 0)$.

Proof: We have $a_{i+1}+b_{i+1} \eta_{s+1}=\left(a_{i}+b_{i} \eta_{s+1}\right) \eta_{s+1}=$ $a_{i} \eta_{s+1}+b_{i}\left(1+t_{1} \eta_{s+1}\right)=b_{i}+\left(a_{i}+t_{1} b_{i}\right) \eta_{s+1}$.

Next we calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{i} & =a_{-i^{2}} \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}, \\
B_{i} & =a_{i+i^{2}} x_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}, \\
C_{i} & =b_{-i^{2}} \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}, \\
D_{i} & =b_{i+i^{2}} x_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell},
\end{aligned}
$$

$0 \leq i \leq 2^{s}-1$, considering subscripts $-i^{2}$ and $i+i^{2}$ modulo $2^{s+1}$.

Four convolutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{r} & =\sum_{i=0}^{2^{s}-1} A_{r-i} B_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}, \\
Y_{r} & =\sum_{i=0}^{2^{s}-1} A_{r-i} D_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell} \\
Z_{r} & =\sum_{i=0}^{2^{s}-1} C_{r-i} B_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell} \\
W_{r} & =\sum_{i=0}^{2^{s}-1} C_{r-i} D_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}
\end{aligned}
$$

$0 \leq r \leq 2^{s}-1$, are calculated in $O\left(s 2^{s}\right)$ time using FFT, and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{2^{s}-1} \eta_{s+1}^{-(r-i)^{2}} \eta_{s+1}^{i(i+1)} x_{i} \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{2^{s}-1}\left(A_{r-i}+C_{r-i} \eta_{s+1}\right)\left(B_{i}+D_{i} \eta_{s+1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{2^{s}-1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(A_{r-i} B_{i}+\left(A_{r-i} D_{i}+C_{r-i} B_{i}\right) \eta_{s+1}\right. \\
\left.\quad+C_{r-i} D_{i}\left(1+t_{1} \eta_{s+1}\right)\right) \\
=
\end{array}\right. \\
& X_{r}+W_{r}+\left(Y_{r}+Z_{r}+t_{1} W_{r}\right) \eta_{s+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to regard this expression as convolution, we have to consider the subscript $r-i$ not modulo $2^{s+1}$ but modulo $2^{s}$. We note that our calculation is consistent, because $\left(2^{s}+i\right)^{2}=2^{2 s}+2^{s+1} i+i^{2} \equiv i^{2} \bmod 2^{s+1}$. Therefore we obtain

$$
\eta_{s+1}^{r^{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{s}-1} \eta_{s+1}^{-(r-i)^{2}} \eta_{s+1}^{i(i+1)} x_{i}=E_{r}+F_{r} \eta_{s+1}
$$

$E_{r}, F_{r} \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}, 0 \leq r \leq 2^{s}-1$, in $O\left(s 2^{s}\right)$ time and hence obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{r} & =\sum_{i=0}^{2^{s}-1} a_{i j} x_{i}=E_{r}+F_{r} \eta_{s+1}+\left(E_{r}+F_{r} \eta_{s+1}\right)^{\ell} \\
& =2 E_{r}+t_{1} F_{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

$0 \leq r \leq 2^{s}-1$, also in $O\left(s 2^{s}\right)$ time. It suffices to check $w_{r} \neq 0$ for $0 \leq r \leq 2^{s-2}-1$ and $2^{s-1} \leq r \leq s^{s-1}+$ $2^{s-2}-1$.

In this manner, we verified $65537 \nmid h_{79}$ in 4 minutes and $524287 \nmid h_{95}$ in 95 minutes. We needed two weeks to derive Corollary 1.3 with three computers combining Lemmas 3.2, 3.7, 3.8 and FFT techniques.

## 4. APPENDIX

The class number $h_{6}$ of $\Omega_{6}$ is known to be 1 under GRH. It is natural to ask whether Lemmas 3.2 and 3.7 contribute to the derivation of some bound on $h_{6}$ without GRH. We have verified that $h_{6}$ does not have prime divisors less than $10^{11}$. So the following holds:

Theorem 4.1. If $h_{6}>1$, then $h_{6}>10^{11}$.

It is possible to reduce the bound $m$ in Theorem 1.2 by investigating carefully the properties of the rational function $f_{1}(T)$. Namely, the following holds:

Theorem 4.2. Let $\ell, c, \delta_{\ell}$ be the same as in Theorem 1.2 and put

$$
m_{1}=3 c+\left[\log _{2}(\ell-1)\right]+\left[\frac{1}{2} \log _{2}(\ell-1)\right]-\delta_{\ell}
$$

If $\ell$ does not divide $h_{m_{1}}$, then $\ell$ does not divide $h_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Though our proof is slightly complicated, we write it down because this theorem may be useful if one tries to extend the range of Corollary 1.3. We note that we used Theorem 1.2 to derive Corollary 1.3.

Let $K_{n, \ell}=\Omega_{n}\left(\zeta_{\ell}\right)$ with $\zeta_{\ell}=\cos (2 \pi / \ell)+$ $\sqrt{-1} \sin (2 \pi / \ell)$ as in Section 2 and denote by $v_{\ell}$ the additive $\ell$-adic valuation normalized by $v_{\ell}(\ell)=1$. For a character $\chi^{\prime}$ of $G_{n}=G\left(K_{n, \ell} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$, the idempotent $e_{\chi^{\prime}}$ is defined by replacing $\Delta_{n}$ with $G_{n}$ in (3-1). Then $e_{\chi^{\prime}}$ acts on the $\ell$-part $A_{n}^{\prime}$ of the ideal class group of $K_{n, \ell}$. If $\chi^{\prime}$ is odd, the equality

$$
v_{\ell}\left(\left|e_{\chi^{\prime}} A_{n}^{\prime}\right|\right)=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\left[\chi^{\prime}\left(G_{n}\right)\right]: \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\right) v_{\ell}\left(B_{1, \chi^{\prime-1}}\right)
$$

holds. This is a direct consequence of Iwasawa's main conjecture proved by Mazur-Wiles [Mazur and Wiles 84, p. 216, Theorem 2]. Let $\psi_{n}$ be the character stated in Section 2, and $\omega$ the Teichmüller character modulo $\ell$ (i.e., the Teichmüller character of $G_{0}$ ). By definition, $e_{\omega}$ is an element of $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\left[G_{0}\right]$ and acts on $A_{0}^{\prime}$. Further we let $e_{\omega}$ act on $A_{n}^{\prime}$ using the isomorphism $G_{o} \cong G\left(K_{n, \ell} / \Omega_{n}\right)$. By decomposing $e_{\omega} A_{n}^{\prime}$ using $\psi_{n}$, we have the following [Gras 77]:

Lemma 4.3. We have $v_{\ell}\left(B_{1, \omega^{-1} \psi_{n}^{-j}}\right) \geq 0$ and for $n \geq 1$

$$
v_{\ell}\left(\left|e_{\omega} A_{n}^{\prime}\right|\right)-v_{\ell}\left(\left|e_{\omega} A_{n-1}^{\prime}\right|\right)=\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \text { odd }}}^{2^{n}-1} v_{\ell}\left(B_{1, \omega^{-1} \psi_{n}^{-j}}\right)
$$

Now, putting $\chi=\omega^{-1}$ in (2-1), we define

$$
f_{1}(T)=\left(\sum_{\substack{b \equiv 1 \bmod _{2} \\ 0<b<2^{c+1} \ell}} \omega^{-1}(b) T^{b}\right)\left(T^{2^{c+1} \ell}-1\right)^{-1}
$$

In Lemma 2.1, we considered a congruence relation modulo $\bar{\ell}$ to avoid vagueness. But it is reasonable to use $\ell$ instead of $\bar{\ell}$ because $\ell$ is unramified in the field generated by the $2^{n+2}$ th roots of unity. We rewrite Lemma 2.1 in the following form.

Lemma 4.4. We suppose that $n \geq 2 c-1$. If $f_{1}(\zeta) \not \equiv$ $0 \bmod \ell$ for any primitive $2^{n+2}$ th root of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$, then $B_{1, \omega^{-1} \psi_{n}^{-j}} \not \equiv 0 \bmod \ell$ for any odd integer $j$.

Next we put $g(T)=f_{1}(T)\left(T^{2^{c} \ell}-1\right) T^{-1}$ and $h(T)=$ $\sum_{\nu=0}^{\ell-1} \omega^{-1}\left(1+2^{c} \nu\right) T^{\nu}$. Then (2-2) implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(T^{2^{c+1} \ell}-1\right) f_{1}(T) & =T\left(T^{2^{c} \ell}+1\right) g(T)  \tag{4-1}\\
& =T\left(T^{2^{c} \ell}+1\right) h\left(T^{2^{c}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

From now on, we assume $n \geq 2 c-1$ and put $u=$ $n-2 c+2$. Let $\theta$ be a primitive $2^{c}$ th root of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$. Then $x^{2^{u}}-\theta \bmod \ell$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ or the quadratic extension of $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ according as $\ell \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ or not. We put $e=\left[(\ell-1) / 2^{u}\right], f=\ell-1-2^{u} e$, and

$$
a_{i j}= \begin{cases}\omega^{-1}\left(1+2^{c}\left(2^{u} j+i\right)\right) & \text { if } 2^{u} j+i<\ell \\ 0 & \text { if } 2^{u} j+i \geq \ell\end{cases}
$$

Assuming $e \geq 1$ for the time being, we put $s_{i}(\theta)=$ $\sum_{j=0}^{e} a_{i j} \theta^{j}$. Then there exist polynomials $q(x), r(x) \in$ $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}[\theta][x]$ such that $h(x)=\left(x^{2^{u}}-\theta\right) q(x)+r(x)$ with $r(x)=s_{0}(\theta)+s_{1}(\theta) x+\cdots+s_{2^{u}-1}(\theta) x^{2^{u}-1}$ and such that $\operatorname{deg} r(x)<2^{u}$.

Lemma 4.5. Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ be nonzero elements in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}$ and let $\nu_{i}, \mu_{j}$ be positive integers with $\nu_{1}<\nu_{2}<\cdots<\nu_{k}<\ell$ and $\mu_{1}<\mu_{2}<\cdots<\mu_{k}<\ell$. Let

$$
S=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{1}{\alpha} & \frac{1}{\alpha+\beta \mu_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\alpha+\beta \mu_{k}} \\
\frac{1}{\alpha+\gamma \nu_{1}} & \frac{1}{\alpha+\beta \mu_{1}+\gamma \nu_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\alpha+\beta \mu_{k}+\gamma \nu_{1}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\frac{1}{\alpha+\gamma \nu_{k}} & \frac{1}{\alpha+\beta \mu_{1}+\gamma \nu_{k}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\alpha+\beta \mu_{k}+\gamma \nu_{k}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

be a matrix of degree $k+1$. We assume that none of the denominators of entries of $S$ are zero. Then the determinant $|S|$ of $S$ is not zero.

Proof: The basic row and column operations yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
|S|= & \frac{(\beta \gamma)^{k} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\mu_{i} \gamma_{i}\right)}{\alpha\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\alpha+\beta \mu_{i}\right)\left(\alpha+\gamma \nu_{i}\right)\right)} \\
& \times\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta \mu_{1}+\gamma \nu_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\alpha+\beta \mu_{k}+\gamma \nu_{1}} \\
\vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta \mu_{1}+\gamma \nu_{k}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\alpha+\beta \mu_{k}+\gamma \nu_{k}}
\end{array}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

We put $\alpha^{\prime}=\alpha+\beta \mu_{1}+\gamma \nu_{1}, \beta^{\prime}=\beta, \gamma^{\prime}=\gamma, \mu_{i}^{\prime}=\mu_{i}-\mu_{1}$, and $\nu_{i}^{\prime}=\nu_{i}-\nu_{1}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\begin{array}{ccc|}
\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta \mu_{1}+\gamma \nu_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\alpha+\beta \mu_{k}+\gamma \nu_{1}} \\
\vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta \mu_{1}+\gamma \nu_{k}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\alpha+\beta \mu_{k}+\gamma \nu_{k}}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}} & \frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime} \mu_{2}^{\prime}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime} \mu_{k}^{\prime}} \\
\frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}+\gamma^{\prime} \nu_{2}^{\prime}} & \frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime} \mu_{2}^{\prime}+\gamma^{\prime} \nu_{2}^{\prime}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime} \mu_{k}^{\prime}+\gamma^{\prime} \nu_{2}^{\prime}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}+\gamma^{\prime} \nu_{k}^{\prime}} & \frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime} \mu_{2}^{\prime}+\gamma^{\prime} \nu_{k}^{\prime}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime} \mu_{k}^{\prime}+\gamma^{\prime} \nu_{k}^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Our result follows from inductive arguments.

Corollary 4.6. Let $u, e$ and $a_{i j}$ be as above. We put

$$
R=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{a}_{00} & \cdots & \bar{a}_{0 e} \\
\bar{a}_{10} & \cdots & \bar{a}_{1 e} \\
\vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\bar{a}_{2^{u}-1,0} & \cdots & \bar{a}_{2^{u}-1, e}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\bar{a}_{i j}=a_{i j}+\ell \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}[\theta] \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}[\theta] / \ell \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}[\theta]$. If $2^{u}>e$, then the rank of $R$ is greater than or equal to $e$.

Proof: Note that $a_{i j} \equiv 1 /\left(1+2^{c}\left(2^{u} j+i\right)\right) \bmod \ell$ if $a_{i j} \neq$ 0 . Remove the last column of $R$ that possibly contains zero entries. Further, remove one row that contains a zero entry and construct the matrix $R^{\prime}$ of size $\left(2^{u}-1\right) \times e$ or $2^{u} \times e$. Then the rank of $R^{\prime}$ is equal to $e$ by Lemma 4.5.

Put $d=2 c+\left[\frac{1}{2} \log _{2}(\ell-1)\right]-1$. The following is a precise version of Lemma 2.2.

Proposition 4.7. If $n \geq d$, then $\ell$ does not divide $\left|e_{\omega} A_{n}^{\prime}\right| /\left|e_{\omega} A_{d}^{\prime}\right|$.

Proof: The argument in the proof of Lemma 2.2 immediately shows that the conclusion holds if

$$
n \geq 2 c-1+\left[\log _{2}(\ell-1)\right]-\delta_{\ell}
$$

So we assume

$$
d+1 \leq n \leq 2 c-2+\left[\log _{2}(\ell-1)\right]-\delta_{\ell} .
$$

Hence

$$
u=n-2 c+2 \leq\left[\log _{2}(\ell-1)\right]
$$

and $e \geq 1$.
Let $\zeta$ be an arbitrary primitive $2^{n+2}$ th root of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ and put $\theta=\zeta^{2^{u+c}}$. We assume $f_{1}(\zeta) \equiv 0 \bmod \ell$.

Then we have $h\left(\zeta^{2^{c}}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod \ell$ by $(4-1)$. Hence we have $r\left(\zeta^{2^{c}}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod \ell$. Since $x^{2^{u}}-\theta \bmod \ell$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}[\theta] / \ell \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}[\theta]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{i}(\theta) \equiv 0 \bmod \ell \quad\left(0 \leq i \leq 2^{u}-1\right) \tag{4-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the condition $n \geq d+1$, it follows that $u>$ $\frac{1}{2} \log _{2}(\ell-1)+1$, which implies $2^{2 u}>4(\ell-1)$. Hence $2^{u-1}>(\ell-1) / 2^{u} \geq e$. Let $R$ be the matrix in Corollary 4.5.

First suppose $f \geq 2^{u-1}$, which implies $f+1>e+1$. This shows that the rank of $R$ is equal to $e+1$ by Lemma 4.5. Hence we have $\theta \equiv 0 \bmod \ell$ by (4-2), which is a contradiction. Next suppose $f<2^{u-1}$, which implies $2^{u}-(f+1) \geq 2^{u-1}>e$. This shows that $\theta \equiv 0 \bmod \ell$ by applying Lemma 4.5 to the lowest $2^{u}-(f+1)$ rows of $R$, which is again a contradiction. Hence $f_{1}(\zeta) \not \equiv 0 \bmod \ell$ and Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4 yield the conclusion.

Proof of Theorem 4.2.: Since $v_{\ell}\left(\left|e_{\omega} A_{n}^{\prime}\right|\right) \leq \log _{\ell}\left(h_{n, \ell}^{-}\right)$, (2-4) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{\ell}\left(\left|e_{\omega} A_{n}^{\prime}\right|\right)< & \log _{\ell}(4 \ell) \\
& +(\ell-1) 2^{n-1}\left\{1+(n-2) \log _{\ell}(2)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$. This inequality remains valid if we replace $n$ on the right-hand side with $d=2 c+\left[\frac{1}{2} \log _{2}(\ell-1)\right]-1$ by Proposition 4.7. Namely, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{\ell}\left(\left|e_{\omega} A_{n}^{\prime}\right|\right) \\
& \quad<\log _{\ell}(4 \ell)+(\ell-1) 2^{2 c+\left[\frac{1}{2} \log _{2}(\ell-1)\right]-2} \\
& \quad \times\left\{1+\left(2 c+\left[\frac{1}{2} \log _{2}(\ell-1)\right]-3\right) \log _{\ell}(2)\right\} \\
& \quad<3+(\ell-1) 2^{2 c+\left[\frac{1}{2} \log _{2}(\ell-1)\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now assume that $\ell$ does not divide $h_{m_{1}}$, where $m_{1}$ is the integer stated in the theorem. Moreover, we assume that there exists $n$ such that $\ell$ divides $h_{n}$ and does not divide $h_{n-1}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{n-c+\delta_{\ell}} & \leq \ell-\operatorname{rank} A_{n} \leq \ell-\operatorname{rank} e_{\omega} A_{n}^{\prime} \leq v_{\ell}\left(\left|e_{\omega} A_{n}^{\prime}\right|\right) \\
& <3+(\ell-1) 2^{2 c+\left[\frac{1}{2} \log _{2}(\ell-1)\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first inequality is what we used implicitly to deduce Lemma 2.3, and the second is a consequence of the reflection theorem. This turns into

$$
2^{n-c+\delta_{\ell}} \leq(\ell-1) 2^{2 c+\left[\frac{1}{2} \log _{2}(\ell-1)\right]}
$$

from which we deduce $n \leq m_{1}$ and hence a contradiction.
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