

APPROXIMATION OF AN INVERSE PROBLEM FOR VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES

VYACHESLAV MAKSIMOV

Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Urals Branch, Academy Sci. of Russia

Kovalevskoi 16, 620 219 Ekaterinburg, Russia

(Submitted by: V. Barbu)

Abstract. Inverse problems of dynamical identification of distributed control in hyperbolic variational inequalities are investigated. A numerical solution method based on constructions of the theory of positional control is described.

1. Introduction. Consider the hyperbolic system described by the variational inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} (\ddot{x}(t) - Bu(t) - f(t), \dot{x}(t) - z)_H + \langle Ax(t), \dot{x}(t) - z \rangle + \varphi(\dot{x}(t)) - \varphi(z) \leq 0 \\ \text{a.e. } t \in T = [t_0, \theta], \quad \forall z \in V, \quad x(t_0) = x_{10} \in V, \quad \dot{x}(t_0) = x_0 \in H. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

Here H and V are real Hilbert spaces with norms $|\cdot|_H$ and $|\cdot|_V$ resp., $H = H^*$, $V \subset H$, V is densely and continuously imbedded in H , $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$ is the scalar product in H , $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the duality between V and V^* , $f(\cdot) \in L_2(T; H)$ is a given function, $A : V \rightarrow V^*$ is a linear, continuous ($A \in L(V; V^*)$) and symmetric operator satisfying the condition

$$\langle Ay, y \rangle \geq \omega |y|_V^2, \quad \forall y \in V$$

for certain $\omega > 0$, $(U, |\cdot|_U)$ is a uniformly convex Banach space, $\varphi : H \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{+\infty\}$ is a convex and lower semicontinuous (l.s.c.) proper function, $\mathbb{R}^+ = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r \geq 0\}$, $0 \in D(\varphi) = \{x \in H : \varphi(x) < +\infty\}$, $B \in L(U; V)$ is a linear continuous operator, $u(t) \in P$ for almost every $t \in T$, $P \subset U$ is a convex, closed and bounded set.

We assume that for any control $u(\cdot) \in P(\cdot) = \{u(\cdot) \in L_2(T; U) : u(t) \in P \text{ a.e. } t \in T\}$ there is a single solution of the system (1.1), $x(\cdot) = x(\cdot; t_0, x_0, x_{10}, u(\cdot)) \in C(T, V)$, such that $\dot{x}(\cdot) \in C(T; H) \cap L_\infty(T; V)$, $\ddot{x}(\cdot) \in L_2(T; H)$. This holds for example if $H = L_2(\Omega)$, $V = H_0^1(\Omega)$, $f(\cdot) \in L_2(T; V)$, $x_{10} \in V$, $\Delta_L x_{10} \in H$, $x(\eta) \in \text{dom}(\partial j)$ for almost every $\eta \in \Omega$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded open set with smooth boundary, $j : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is a convex, proper and l.s.c. function, ∂j is a subdifferential of j , $\varphi(y) = \int_\Omega j(y(\eta)) d\eta$, if $y \in H$, $\eta \rightarrow j(y(\eta)) \in L_1(\Omega)$, $\varphi(y) \rightarrow +\infty$, in the opposite case, and

$$\langle Ax, y \rangle = \int_\Omega \nabla x(\eta) \nabla y(\eta) d\eta, \quad \forall x, y \in V$$

[3, p. 279]. Here Δ_L is the Laplace operator.

Received for publication April 1993.

AMS Subject Classification: 35K20.

The problem in question can be explained as follows. The motion $x(\cdot) = x(\cdot; t_0, x_0, x_{10}, u(\cdot))$ of the system (1.1) depending on a time-varying unknown control $u(\cdot) \in P(\cdot)$ proceeds at the time interval T . At time instants $\tau_i \in \Delta = \{\tau_i\}_{i=0}^m, \tau_{i+1} = \tau_i + \delta, \tau_0 = t_0, \tau_m = \theta$, the coordinates $\dot{x}(\tau_i)$ of the system (1.1) are measured approximately. A motion $x(\cdot)$ is unknown. Let $U_*(x(\cdot))$ be the set of all controls from $P(\cdot)$ generating $x(\cdot) : U_*(x(\cdot)) = \{v(\cdot) \in P(\cdot) : x(\cdot; t_0, x_0, x_{10}, v(\cdot)) = x(\cdot)\}$. The problem is to calculate an approximation to a certain element $u(\cdot) \in U_*(x(\cdot))$ synchronously with the process, basing on nonaccurate measurements of $\dot{x}(\tau_i)$.

An approach to the problems of the above type based on the ideas of positional control theory [11,12] and the theory of ill-posed problems [10, 22] was suggested in [13] and developed in [14–21]. Below, an algorithm solving the problem stable with respect to informational and computational disturbances is constructed. For certain classes of hyperbolic variational inequalities, the above problems are investigated in [20, 17]. The basic difference between [20,17] and the present paper is that the later utilizes finite-dimensional models. The analogous constructions for parabolic variational inequalities were announced in [16]. Note that inverse problems for variational inequalities are considered in [4–6, 8, 9].

2. The main result. Let triples $\{V_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon, r_\varepsilon\}$ and $\{U_\varepsilon, q_\varepsilon, s_\varepsilon\}$ ($\varepsilon \in H_0, H_0$ is a neighborhood of zero in \mathbb{R}^n) form inner approximations [2, 7, 1] to the spaces V and U , i.e.,

1⁰ $V_\varepsilon, U_\varepsilon$ are finite-dimensional spaces whose norms $|\cdot|_\varepsilon$ and $\|\cdot\|_\varepsilon$ are generated by the norms $|\cdot|_H$ and $|\cdot|_U$:

$$|y|_\varepsilon = |p_\varepsilon y|_H, \quad \forall y \in V_\varepsilon, \quad \|\|y\|\|_\varepsilon = |q_\varepsilon y|_U, \quad \forall y \in U_\varepsilon;$$

2⁰ $p_\varepsilon : V_\varepsilon \rightarrow V, r_\varepsilon : V \rightarrow V_\varepsilon, q_\varepsilon : U_\varepsilon \rightarrow U$ and $s_\varepsilon : U \rightarrow U_\varepsilon$ are linear and continuous operators, p_ε and q_ε are one-to-one;

3⁰ $p_\varepsilon r_\varepsilon y \rightarrow y$ in V as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \forall y \in V$;

4⁰ $q_\varepsilon s_\varepsilon u \rightarrow u$ in U as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \forall u \in P$;

5⁰ $|q_\varepsilon s_\varepsilon u|_U \leq c_1, \forall u \in P$.

Let $(\cdot, \cdot)_\varepsilon$ be the inner product in V_ε defined by $(x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon = (p_\varepsilon x_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon y_\varepsilon)_H, \forall x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon \in V_\varepsilon$. In the space V_ε we also introduce the norm $\|\cdot\|_\varepsilon$ corresponding to the norm in $V : \|x_\varepsilon\|_\varepsilon = |p_\varepsilon x_\varepsilon|_V, \forall x_\varepsilon \in V_\varepsilon$. For any $\varepsilon \in H_0, \varepsilon \neq 0$, we define operators $A_\varepsilon : V_\varepsilon \rightarrow V_\varepsilon$ and $B_\varepsilon : U_\varepsilon \rightarrow V_\varepsilon$ as

$$(A_\varepsilon y_\varepsilon, z_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon = \langle A p_\varepsilon y_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon z_\varepsilon \rangle, \quad \forall y_\varepsilon, z_\varepsilon \in V_\varepsilon, \tag{2.1}$$

$$(B_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon = (B q_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon y_\varepsilon), \quad \forall u_\varepsilon \in U_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon \in V_\varepsilon. \tag{2.2}$$

It is easy to see that $A_\varepsilon \in L(V_\varepsilon; V_\varepsilon), B_\varepsilon \in L(U_\varepsilon; V_\varepsilon)$ and $|B_\varepsilon|_{L(U_\varepsilon; V_\varepsilon)} \leq |B|_{L(U; H)}$ for any $\varepsilon \in H_0, \varepsilon \neq 0$. Let a convex, proper and l.s.c. function $\varphi_\varepsilon : V_\varepsilon \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ be given by $\varphi_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon) = \varphi(p_\varepsilon y_\varepsilon), \forall y_\varepsilon \in V_\varepsilon$ and a function $f_\varepsilon(\cdot) \in L_2(T; V_\varepsilon)$ be given by

$$(f_\varepsilon(t), y_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon = (f(t), p_\varepsilon y_\varepsilon)_H \quad \text{a.e. } t \in T, \quad \forall y_\varepsilon \in V_\varepsilon.$$

Let Δ_h be a partition of the interval T with diameter $\delta(h), \Delta_h = \{\tau_{h,i}\}_{i=0}^{m_h}, m_h = m(\delta(h)), \delta(h) = \tau_{h,i+1} - \tau_{h,i}, \tau_{h,0} = t_0, \tau_{h,m_h} = \theta; P_\varepsilon \subset U_\varepsilon (\varepsilon \in H_0, \varepsilon \neq 0)$ be a family

of convex, closed and bounded sets with the property $\mathfrak{a}(P_\varepsilon, s_\varepsilon P) \leq \gamma(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $\varepsilon \in H_0$; $\mathfrak{a}(E_1, E_2)$ be the Hausdorff distance between $E_1, E_2 \subset U_\varepsilon$ corresponding to the norm $\|\cdot\|_\varepsilon$; X_T be the bundle of motions $X_T = \{x(\cdot; t_0, x_0, x_{10}, u(\cdot)) : u(\cdot) \in P(\cdot)\}$; $u_*(\cdot; x(\cdot))$ be the element from $U_*(x(\cdot))$ whose $L_2(T; U)$ -norm is minimal; and

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(t; \varepsilon, x(\cdot)) &= |(I - p_\varepsilon r_\varepsilon)x_{10}|_V + |(I - p_\varepsilon r_\varepsilon)x_{10}|_V^2 \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t \{ |(I - p_\varepsilon r_\varepsilon)\dot{x}(\tau)|_V + |(I - p_\varepsilon r_\varepsilon)\dot{x}(\tau)|_V^2 \} d\tau \quad (x(\cdot) \in X_T); \end{aligned}$$

$$\mu(t; h, \delta, \varepsilon, x(\cdot), u(\cdot)) = \int_{t_0}^t |(I - q_\varepsilon s_\varepsilon)u(\tau)|_U d\tau + \delta^{1/2} + h + \gamma(\varepsilon) + \nu(t; \varepsilon, x(\cdot)).$$

The problem consists in designing an algorithm for approximate calculation of the unknown control $u_*(\cdot; x(\cdot))$ on the basis of observation of the values $\xi_i^{h,\varepsilon} \in V_\varepsilon$ at time instants $\tau_i = \tau_{h,i}$, $i \in [0 : m_h - 1]$, with the property

$$|\dot{x}(\tau_i) - p_\varepsilon \xi_i^{h,\varepsilon}|_{V^*} \leq h, \quad i \in [1 : m_h - 1], \quad |\dot{x}(t_0) - p_\varepsilon \xi_0^{h,\varepsilon}|_H \leq h. \tag{2.3}$$

At the time t_0 the value $\xi_{10}^{h,\varepsilon} \in V_\varepsilon$, $|x_{10} - p_\varepsilon \xi_{10}^{h,\varepsilon}|_V \leq h$ becomes available. Assume that the following conditions are fulfilled:

- 6⁰ $\int_{t_0}^\theta |(I - p_\varepsilon r_\varepsilon)\dot{x}(\tau)|_V^2 d\tau \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $\forall x(\cdot) \in X_T$,
- 7⁰ $|q_\varepsilon p_\varepsilon|_U \leq c_2$, $\forall \varepsilon \in H_0$,
- 8⁰ $\sup\{|y|_{V^*} : y \in \partial\varphi(x), x \in X\} < +\infty$ for every bounded set $X \subset H$.

If $|p_\varepsilon z_\varepsilon|_{L(V;V)} \leq c$, $\forall \varepsilon \in H_0$ then 6⁰ follows from 2⁰, 3⁰ and the Lebesgue's limit theorem.

Introduce functions $\varepsilon(h)$, $\alpha(h)$ and a family of partitions $\{\Delta_h\}$ with diameters $\delta(h)$ such that

$$\varepsilon(h) \in H_0, \quad \varepsilon(h) \neq 0 \quad \forall h \in (0, 1), \quad \alpha(h) > 0, \quad \delta(h) \rightarrow 0, \quad \alpha(h) \rightarrow 0, \quad \varepsilon(h) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\mu(\theta; h, \delta(h), \varepsilon(h), x(\cdot), u_*(\cdot; x(\cdot))) / \alpha(h) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.e.} \quad h \rightarrow 0.$$

Let us describe an algorithm approximating the unknown control $u_*(\cdot; x(\cdot))$. Before the initial time of the process, values $h \in (0, 1)$, $\varepsilon \in H_0$, $\varepsilon \neq 0$ and partition $\Delta = \Delta_h$ are fixed. After that an auxiliary system (a model) functioning synchronously with the real system is chosen. The model is described by the finite-dimensional variational inequality

$$\begin{aligned} (\ddot{w}_\varepsilon(t) + A_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon(t) - B_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon^h(t) - f_\varepsilon(t), \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t) - z)_\varepsilon + \varphi_\varepsilon(\dot{w}_\varepsilon(t)) - \varphi_\varepsilon(z) \leq 0 \\ \text{a.e.} \quad t \in T, \quad \forall z \in V, \quad w_\varepsilon(t_0) = \xi_{10}^{h,\varepsilon}, \quad \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t_0) = \xi_0^{h,\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

We assume that for $\xi_{10}^{h,\varepsilon}$, $\xi_0^{h,\varepsilon}$ and by piecewise constant control $v_\varepsilon^h(t) \in P_\varepsilon$ for almost every $t \in T$, there is the single solution of the system (2.4),

$$w_\varepsilon(\cdot) = w_\varepsilon(\cdot; t_0, \xi_{10}^{h,\varepsilon}, \xi_0^{h,\varepsilon}, v_\varepsilon^h(\cdot)) \in C(T; V_\varepsilon)$$

such that $\dot{w}_\varepsilon(\cdot) \in W^{1,2}(T; V_\varepsilon)$, $w_\varepsilon(\tau_{i+1}) = w_\varepsilon(\tau_{i+1}; \tau_i, w_\varepsilon(\tau_i), \dot{w}_\varepsilon(\tau_i), v_\varepsilon^h(\cdot))$. The work of the algorithm starting at time t_0 is decomposed into $m_h - 1$ steps. At the i th step carried out during the time interval $\delta_i = [\tau_i, \tau_{i+1})$, $\tau_i = \tau_{h,i}$, we determine the control

$$v_\varepsilon^h(t) = v_i^h = \arg \min\{(B_\varepsilon v, s_\varepsilon^{(i)})_\varepsilon + \alpha(h) \|v\|_\varepsilon^2 : v \in P_\varepsilon\}, \quad s_\varepsilon^{(i)} = \dot{w}_\varepsilon(\tau_i) - \xi_i^{h,\varepsilon}. \tag{2.5}$$

After that we transform the state $\{\dot{w}_\varepsilon(\tau_i), w_\varepsilon(\tau_i)\}$ of the model into $\{\dot{w}_\varepsilon(\tau_{i+1}), w_\varepsilon(\tau_{i+1})\}$. The procedure stops at time θ .

Denote $u^{h,\varepsilon}(t) = q_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon^h(t)$ for almost every $t \in T$ ($\varepsilon = \varepsilon(h)$).

Theorem 1. *If $h \rightarrow 0$, then $\|u^{h,\varepsilon}(\cdot) - u_*(\cdot; x(\cdot))\|_{L_2(T;U)} \rightarrow 0$.*

3. Auxiliary lemmas. The Theorem follows from three lemmas.

Let $\Xi(x(\cdot), \varepsilon, h)$ be the set of all piecewise functions $\xi^{h,\varepsilon}(\cdot) : T \rightarrow V_\varepsilon$ such that (2.3) hold for $\xi_i^{h,\varepsilon} = \xi^{h,\varepsilon}(\tau_{h,i})$.

Lemma 1. *There exists a $\nu \in (0, +\infty)$ such that the bounds*

$$\int_{t_0}^t |p_\varepsilon \ddot{w}_\varepsilon(\tau)|_{v^*}^2 d\tau + |\dot{w}_\varepsilon(t)|_\varepsilon + \|w_\varepsilon(t)\|_\varepsilon + \varphi(p_\varepsilon \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t)) \leq \nu$$

hold uniformly with respect to all $t \in T$, $h \in (0, 1)$, $\varepsilon \in H_0$, $\varepsilon \neq 0$, $\xi_0^{h,\varepsilon}, \xi_{10}^{h,\varepsilon} \in V_\varepsilon$, $|x_{10} - p_\varepsilon \xi_{10}^{h,\varepsilon}|_v \leq h$, $|\dot{x}(t_0) - p_\varepsilon \xi_0^{h,\varepsilon}|_H \leq h$, $w_\varepsilon(\cdot) \in W_\varepsilon = \{w_\varepsilon(\cdot; t_0, \xi_{10}^{h,\varepsilon}, \xi_0^{h,\varepsilon}, v(\cdot)) : v(t) \in P_\varepsilon \text{ a.e. } t \in T\}$.

Proof. Let $z = 0$. Then from (2.4) we deduce

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \{|\dot{w}_\varepsilon(t)|_\varepsilon^2 + (A_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon(t), \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t))_\varepsilon\} + \phi_\varepsilon(\ddot{w}_\varepsilon(t)) \leq \phi(0) + (B_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon^h(t) + f_\varepsilon(t), \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t))_\varepsilon.$$

Taking into account this inequality and $\phi \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\dot{w}_\varepsilon(t)|_\varepsilon^2 + \omega \|w_\varepsilon(t)\|_\varepsilon^2 \leq |\dot{w}_\varepsilon(t)|_\varepsilon^2 + (A_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon(t), w_\varepsilon(t))_\varepsilon \\ & \leq 2 \int_{t_0}^t \{|Bq_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon^h(t) + f(t)|_H^2 + |w_\varepsilon(\tau)|_\varepsilon^2 + \varphi(0)\} d\tau + |\dot{w}_\varepsilon(t_0)|_\varepsilon^2 + (A_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon(t_0), w_\varepsilon(t_0))_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the Gronwall inequality and τ^0 ,

$$|\dot{w}_\varepsilon(t)|_\varepsilon^2 + \omega \|w_\varepsilon(t)\|_\varepsilon^2 \leq k\{1 + \varphi(0) + |\xi_0^{h,\varepsilon}|_\varepsilon^2 + \|\xi_{10}^{h,\varepsilon}\|_\varepsilon^2\}, \quad \forall t \in T. \tag{3.1}$$

Rewrite the inequality (2.4) in the form

$$\ddot{w}_\varepsilon(t) + A_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon(t) + \Phi_\varepsilon(\dot{w}_\varepsilon(t)) = B_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon^h(t) + f_\varepsilon(t), \tag{3.2}$$

where $\Phi_\varepsilon(\dot{w}_\varepsilon(t)) \in \partial\varphi_\varepsilon(\dot{w}_\varepsilon(t))$ almost every $t \in T$. From (3.2) we have, for $v_\varepsilon \in V_\varepsilon$, $\|v_\varepsilon\|_\varepsilon \leq 1$,

$$(\ddot{w}_\varepsilon(t) + A_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon(t) + \Phi_\varepsilon(\dot{w}_\varepsilon(t)), v_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon = (B_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon^h(t) + f_\varepsilon(t), v_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon.$$

This, (2.1), δ^0 , the inclusion $f(\cdot) \in L_2(T; H)$ and (3.1) imply

$$\int_{t_0}^t |p_\varepsilon \ddot{w}_\varepsilon(\tau)|_{v^*}^2 d\tau \leq \nu_0 < +\infty, \quad \forall t \in T. \tag{3.3}$$

The lemma follows from (3.1), (3.3).

Lemma 2. *There exists a $\nu_1 \in (0, +\infty)$ such that the bounds*

$$\int_{t_0}^t |\ddot{x}(\tau)|_{V^*}^2 d\tau + |\dot{x}(t)|_H + |x(t)|_V + \varphi(\dot{x}(t)) \leq \nu_1$$

hold, uniformly with respect to all $t \in T$ and $x(\cdot) \in X_T$.

The proof of this Lemma is analogous to the proof of Lemma 1.

Introduce the Lyapunov type functional

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(t) \equiv & \lambda(t; x(\cdot), w_\varepsilon(\cdot), u_*(\cdot), v_\varepsilon^h(\cdot)) = |\dot{x}(t) - p_\varepsilon \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t)|_H^2 \\ & + \langle Ap_\varepsilon(r_\varepsilon x(t) - w_\varepsilon(t)), p_\varepsilon(r_\varepsilon x(t) - w_\varepsilon(t)) \rangle \\ & + 2\alpha(h) \int_{t_0}^t \{ \|v_\varepsilon^h(\tau)\|_\varepsilon^2 - \|s_\varepsilon u_*(\tau)\|_\varepsilon^2 \} d\tau, \quad (u_*(\cdot) = u_*(\cdot; x(\cdot))). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3. *The bounds*

$$\lambda(t) \leq |x_0 - p_\varepsilon \xi_0^{h,\varepsilon}|_H^2 + \langle Ap_\varepsilon(r_\varepsilon x_{10} - \xi_{10}^{h,\varepsilon}), p_\varepsilon(r_\varepsilon x_{10} - \xi_{10}^{h,\varepsilon}) \rangle + \mu(t; h, \delta, \varepsilon, x(\cdot), u_*(\cdot))$$

hold, uniformly with respect to all $h \in (0, 1)$, $\varepsilon \in H_0$, $\varepsilon \neq 0$, Δ_h with diameters $\delta = \delta(h)$, $\xi^{h,\varepsilon}(\cdot) \in \Xi(x(\cdot), \varepsilon, h)$, $\xi_{10}^{h,\varepsilon} \in V_\varepsilon$, $|x_{10} - p_\varepsilon \xi_{10}^{h,\varepsilon}|_V \leq h$ and $t \in T$.

Proof. We rewrite the inequalities (1.1) and (2.4) in the forms

$$\ddot{x}(t) + Ax(t) + \Phi(\dot{x}(t)) = Bu_*(t) + f(t) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in T,$$

and (3.2), where $t \rightarrow \Phi(\dot{x}(t)) \in L_2(T; H)$, $\Phi(\dot{x}(t)) \in \partial\phi(\dot{x}(t))$ for almost every $t \in T$. It is easy to establish the relation

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 J_{t,\varepsilon}^{(j)} = \sum_{j=4}^5 J_{t,\varepsilon}^{(j)} \quad \text{a.e. } t \in T. \tag{3.4}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} J_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)} &= (p_\varepsilon^* \ddot{x}(t) - \ddot{w}_\varepsilon(t), r_\varepsilon \dot{x}(t) - \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t))_\varepsilon, \\ J_{t,\varepsilon}^{(2)} &= (p_\varepsilon^* \Phi(\dot{x}(t)) - \Phi_\varepsilon(\dot{w}_\varepsilon(t)), r_\varepsilon \dot{x}(t) - \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t))_\varepsilon, \\ J_{t,\varepsilon}^{(3)} &= (p_\varepsilon^* Ax(t) - A_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon(t), r_\varepsilon \dot{x}(t) - \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t))_\varepsilon, \\ J_{t,\varepsilon}^{(4)} &= (p_\varepsilon^* Bu_*(t) - B_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon^h(t), r_\varepsilon \dot{x}(t) - \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t))_\varepsilon, \\ J_{t,\varepsilon}^{(5)} &= (p_\varepsilon^* f(t) - f_\varepsilon(t), r_\varepsilon \dot{x}(t) - \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t))_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the following relations are true:

$$J_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)} \geq (\ddot{x}(t) - p_\varepsilon \ddot{w}_\varepsilon(t), \dot{x}(t) - p_\varepsilon \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t))_H - |\ddot{x}(t) - p_\varepsilon \ddot{w}_\varepsilon(t)|_{V^*} |(I - p_\varepsilon r_\varepsilon) \dot{x}(t)|_V, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_{t,\varepsilon}^{(3)} &= \langle Ap_\varepsilon(r_\varepsilon x(t) - w_\varepsilon(t)), p_\varepsilon(r_\varepsilon \dot{x}(t) - \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t)) \rangle + I_{t,\varepsilon}, \\ I_{t,\varepsilon} &= \langle A(I - p_\varepsilon r_\varepsilon)x(t), p_\varepsilon(r_\varepsilon \dot{x}(t) - \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t)) \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

From the definition of the function $f_\varepsilon(\cdot)$ it follows that

$$J_{t,\varepsilon}^{(5)} = 0, \quad \forall t \in T. \quad (3.7)$$

Let us observe that for $t \in \delta_i$,

$$\begin{aligned} |p_\varepsilon(r_\varepsilon \dot{x}(t) - \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t))|_{V^*} &= |\dot{x}(t) - (I - p_\varepsilon r_\varepsilon)\dot{x}(t) - p_\varepsilon \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t)|_{V^*} \\ &\leq |(I - p_\varepsilon r_\varepsilon)\dot{x}(t)|_{V^*} + |\dot{x}(\tau_i) - p_\varepsilon \dot{w}_\varepsilon(\tau_i)|_{V^*} + \int_{\tau_i}^t \{|\ddot{x}(\tau)|_{V^*} + |p_\varepsilon \ddot{w}_\varepsilon(\tau)|_{V^*}\} d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Using (2.2), (3.8), 5^0 , 7^0 and Lemmas 1, 2 we conclude, for $t \in \delta_i$,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{t,\varepsilon}^{(4)} &= (B(u_*(t) - q_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon^h(t)), p_\varepsilon(r_\varepsilon \dot{x}(t) - \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t)))_H \\ &\leq (B_\varepsilon(s_\varepsilon u_*(t) - v_\varepsilon^h(t)), \dot{x}(\tau_i) - p_\varepsilon \dot{w}_\varepsilon(\tau_i))_\varepsilon + |B|_{L(U;H)} |(I - q_\varepsilon s_\varepsilon)u_*(t)|_U \\ &\quad |\dot{x}(\tau_i) - p_\varepsilon \dot{w}_\varepsilon(\tau_i)|_H + |B|_{L(U;V)} \{|u_*(t)|_U + c_2\} |(I - p_\varepsilon r_\varepsilon)\dot{x}(t)|_V^* \\ &\quad + \int_{\tau_i}^t \{|\ddot{x}(\tau)|_{V^*} + |p_\varepsilon \ddot{w}_\varepsilon(\tau)|_{V^*}\} d\tau \leq -(B_\varepsilon(s_\varepsilon u_*(t) - v_\varepsilon^h(t)), s_\varepsilon^{(i)})_\varepsilon \\ &\quad + k_1 |(I - q_\varepsilon s_\varepsilon)u_*(t)|_U + k_2(\delta^{1/2} + h) + k_3 |(I - p_\varepsilon r_\varepsilon)\dot{x}(t)|_{V^*}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Also, due to the equality $\varphi_\varepsilon(\dot{w}_\varepsilon(t)) = \varphi(p_\varepsilon \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t))$, the inclusion $p_\varepsilon \in L(V_\varepsilon; V)$ and condition 8^0 we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{t,\varepsilon}^{(2)} &= (p_\varepsilon^* \Phi(\dot{x}(t)) - p_\varepsilon^* F(p_\varepsilon \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t)), r_\varepsilon \dot{x}(t) - \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t))_\varepsilon \\ &\geq (\Phi(\dot{x}(t)) - F(p_\varepsilon \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t)), \dot{x}(t) - p_\varepsilon \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t))_H - |\Phi(\dot{x}(t)) - F(p_\varepsilon \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t))|_{V^*} \\ &\quad |(I - p_\varepsilon r_\varepsilon)\dot{x}(t)|_V \geq -|\Phi(\dot{x}(t)) - F(p_\varepsilon \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t))|_{V^*} |(I - p_\varepsilon r_\varepsilon)\dot{x}(t)|_V, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where $F(p_\varepsilon \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t)) \in \partial\varphi(p_\varepsilon \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t))$ almost every $t \in T$, $t \rightarrow F(p_\varepsilon \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t)) \in L_\infty(T; H)$. Taking into account (3.4)–(3.7), (3.9), (3.10) one can get the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \{|\dot{x}(t) - p_\varepsilon \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t)|_H^2 + \langle Ap_\varepsilon(r_\varepsilon x(t) - w_\varepsilon(t)), p_\varepsilon(r_\varepsilon x(t) - w_\varepsilon(t)) \rangle \\ &\leq I_{t,\varepsilon} + F_{x,w_\varepsilon}(t) |(I - p_\varepsilon r_\varepsilon)\dot{x}(t)|_V - (B_\varepsilon(s_\varepsilon u_*(t) - v_\varepsilon^h(t)), s_\varepsilon^{(i)})_\varepsilon \\ &\quad + k_1 |(I - q_\varepsilon s_\varepsilon)u_*(t)|_U + k_2(\delta^{1/2} + h) + k_3 |(I - p_\varepsilon r_\varepsilon)\dot{x}(t)|_{V^*}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} F_{x,w_\varepsilon}(t) &= |\Phi(\dot{x}(t)) - F(p_\varepsilon \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t))|_{V^*} + |\ddot{x}(t) - p_\varepsilon \ddot{w}_\varepsilon(t)|_{V^*}, \\ \sup \left\{ \int_{t_0}^\theta F_{x,w_\varepsilon}^2(t) dt : x(\cdot) \in X_T, w_\varepsilon(\cdot) \in W_\varepsilon, \varepsilon \neq 0 \right\} &< +\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

It is also evident that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{t,\varepsilon} &= \langle A(I - p_\varepsilon r_\varepsilon)x_{10}, p_\varepsilon(r_\varepsilon x_{10} - w_\varepsilon(t_0)) \rangle - \langle A(I - p_\varepsilon r_\varepsilon)x(t), \\ &\quad p_\varepsilon(r_\varepsilon x(t) - w_\varepsilon(t)) \rangle + \int_{t_0}^t \langle A(I - p_\varepsilon r_\varepsilon)\dot{x}(\tau), p_\varepsilon(r_\varepsilon x(\tau) - w_\varepsilon(\tau)) \rangle d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{t_0}^t I_{\tau,\varepsilon} d\tau \leq k_* \nu(t; \varepsilon, x(\cdot)), \quad \forall t \in T. \tag{3.13}$$

After some manipulations we obtain from (2.5), (3.11)–(3.13) and Lemma 1.2 that

$$\lambda(t) \leq \lambda(t_0) + \mu(t; h, \delta, \varepsilon, x(\cdot), u_*(\cdot)).$$

The Lemma is proved.

Lemma 4. *Let $u^{h,\varepsilon(h)}(\cdot) \rightarrow u_0(\cdot)$ weakly in $L_2(T;U)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$. Then $u_0(\cdot) \in U_*(x(\cdot))$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

$$x_*(\cdot) = x(\cdot). \tag{3.14}$$

Here $x_*(\cdot) = x(\cdot; t_0, x_0, x_{10}, u_0(\cdot))$, $x(\cdot) = x(\cdot; t_0, x_0, x_{10}, u_*(\cdot))$. Following the proof of (3.11) we come to the estimate (for almost every $t \in T$)

$$\begin{aligned} & d/dt\{|\dot{x}_*(t) - p_\varepsilon \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t)|_H^2 + \langle Ap_\varepsilon(r_\varepsilon x_*(t) - w_\varepsilon(t)), p_\varepsilon(r_\varepsilon x_*(t) - w_\varepsilon(t)) \rangle\} \\ & \leq \mu_1(t; \varepsilon, \delta, h, x_*(\cdot)) + 2(B(q_\varepsilon s_\varepsilon u_0(t) - q_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon^h(t)), p_\varepsilon(r_\varepsilon \dot{x}_*(t) - \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t)))_H, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\int_{t_0}^\theta \mu_1(t; \varepsilon, \delta, h, x_*(\cdot)) dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } h, \varepsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0. \tag{3.15}$$

Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (B(q_\varepsilon s_\varepsilon u_0(t) - q_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon^h(t)), p_\varepsilon(r_\varepsilon \dot{x}_*(t) - \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t)))_H \leq k_1 |(I - p_\varepsilon r_\varepsilon) \dot{x}_*(t)|_{V^*} \\ & + (Bq_\varepsilon s_\varepsilon u_0(t) - Bu^{h,\varepsilon}(t), \dot{x}_*(t) - p_\varepsilon \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t))_H \leq k_1 |(I - p_\varepsilon r_\varepsilon) \dot{x}_*(t)|_{V^*} \\ & + k_2 |(I - q_\varepsilon s_\varepsilon)u_0(t)|_U + (Bu_0(t) - Bu^{h,\varepsilon}(t), \dot{x}_*(t) - p_\varepsilon \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t))_H \tag{3.16} \\ & \leq k_1 |(I - p_\varepsilon r_\varepsilon) \dot{x}_*(t)|_{V^*} + k_2 |(I - q_\varepsilon s_\varepsilon)u_0(t)|_U + k_3 |\dot{x}(t) - p_\varepsilon \dot{w}_\varepsilon(t)|_{V^*} \\ & + (Bu_0(t) - Bu^{h,\varepsilon}(t), \dot{x}_*(t) - \dot{x}(t))_H. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (3.15), (3.16), Lemma 3 and the weak convergence of $u^{h,\varepsilon(h)}(\cdot)$ to $u_0(\cdot)$, we come to the equality (3.14). This completes the proof of Lemma 4.

4. Proof of Theorem. The proof is similar to those of the corresponding assertions from the papers [13, 18, 21]. We give it to complete the narration. Assume that the Theorem is not true. Then there exists a subsequence of the sequence $\{u_j(\cdot)\}$, $u_j(\cdot) = u^{h_j,\varepsilon(h_j)}(\cdot)$ (denote it for simplicity by the same symbol $\{u_j(\cdot)\}$), such that

$$h_j \rightarrow 0, \quad u_j(\cdot) \rightarrow u_0(\cdot) \text{ weakly in } L_2(T;U), \quad u_0(\cdot) \neq u_*(\cdot; x(\cdot)). \tag{4.1}$$

Note that Lemma 4 implies the inclusion $u_0(\cdot) \in U_*(x(\cdot))$. Also, due to the property of the weak limit,

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|u_j(\cdot)\|_{L_2(T;U)} \geq \|u_0(\cdot)\|_{L_2(T;U)} \geq \|u_*(\cdot, x(\cdot))\|_{L_2(T;U)}. \tag{4.2}$$

Thanks to Lemma 3 and 4⁰,

$$\overline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|u_j(\cdot)\|_{L_2(T;U)} \leq \|u_*(\cdot, x(\cdot))\|_{L_2(T;U)}. \quad (4.3)$$

Now the Theorem follows from (4.1)–(4.3).

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Arnautu, *Approximation of optimal distributed control problems governed by variational inequalities*, *Numerische Math.*, 38 (1982), 393–416.
- [2] J.-P. Aubin, “Approximation of Elliptic Boundary-Value Problems,” John Wiley, New York, 1972.
- [3] V. Barbu, “Nonlinear Semigroups and Differential Equations in Banach Spaces,” Nordhof, 1976.
- [4] V. Barbu, “Optimal Control of Variational Inequalities,” Pitman, London, 1984.
- [5] V. Barbu, *The inverse one phase Stefan problem*, *Differential and Integral Equations*, 3 (1990), 209–218.
- [6] V. Barbu, *The approximate solvability of the inverse one phase Stefan problem*, *Internat. Series of Numerical Mathem.*, 99 (1991), 33–43, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel.
- [7] R. Glowinski, J.-P. Lions, and R. Tremolieres, “Analyse Numerique des Inequations Variationnelles,” Dunod, Paris, 1976.
- [8] K.-H. Hoffmann and J. Sprekels, *On the identification of parameters in general variational inequalities by asymptotic regularization*, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 17 (1986), 1198–1217.
- [9] K.-H. Hoffmann and J. Sprekels, *On the identification of heat conductivity and latent heat in a one-phase Stefan problem*, *Control and Cybernet.*, 14 (1985), 37–51.
- [10] V.K. Ivanov, V.V. Vasin and V.P. Tanana, “The Theory of Linear Ill-Posed Problems and Its Applications,” Nauka, Moscow, 1978 (in Russian).
- [11] N.N. Krasovski, “Controlling of a dynamical system,” Nauka, Moscow, 1985 (in Russian).
- [12] N.N. Krasovski and A.I. Subbotin, “Game-Theoretical Control Problems,” Springer Verlag, New York, 1988.
- [13] A.V. Kryazhimski and Yu.S. Osipov, *On modelling of control in dynamical systems*, *Izv. Adad. Nauk USSR, Tech. Cybern.*, no. 1 (1983), 51–60 (in Russian).
- [14] A.V. Kryazhimski and Yu.S. Osipov, *On positional calculation of Ω -normal controls in dynamical systems*, *Problem Control and Inform. Theory*, 13 (1984), 425–436.
- [15] A.V. Kryazhimski and Yu.S. Osipov, *Stable solutions of inverse problems in the dynamics of controlled systems*, *Proc. Steklov Inst.*, Issue 2 (1990), 143–164.
- [16] V.I. Maksimov, *On modelling of controls in parabolic variational inequalities*, *Differential Equat.*, vol. 27, no. 9 (1991), 1603–1609 (in Russian).
- [17] V.I. Maksimov, *Stable reconstruction of controls on the basis of measurement result*, *Automatika (Kiev)*, no. 4 (1990), 60–65 (in Russian).
- [18] V.I. Maksimov, *Inverse problems for variational inequalities*, *Inter. Series of Numerical Math.*, 107 (1992), 275–286, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel.
- [19] Yu.S. Osipov, *Control problems under insufficient information*, *Lecture Notes in Control and Inform. Sciences*, 113 (1988), 29–51, Springer Verlag, New York.
- [20] Yu.S. Osipov, *On the reconstruction of a parameter for hyperbolic system*, (1991), IIASA, Laxenberg, Austria: WP-91-54, 32P.
- [21] Yu.S. Osipov, A.V. Kryazhimski and V.I. Maksimov, “Dynamic Regularization Problems for Distributed Parameter Systems,” *Inst. Mathem. Mechan. Ural Branch., Acad. Sci. USSR, Sverdlovsk*, 1991 (in Russian).
- [22] A.N. Tihonov and Yu.S. Arsenin, “Solution of Ill-Posed Problems,” John Wiley, New York, 1977.