

## Decay Estimates for Schrödinger Equations

Peter Constantin\*

Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, 5734 University Avenue, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

**Abstract.** We prove global existence and optimal decay estimates for classical solutions with small initial data for nonlinear nonlocal Schrödinger equations. The Laplacian in the Schrödinger equation can be replaced by an operator corresponding to a non-degenerate quadratic form of arbitrary signature. In particular, the Davey–Stewartson system is included in the the class of equations we discuss.

### Introduction

Nonlinear Schrödinger systems arise naturally as envelope equations in the study of water waves ([N, D–S, Z–K]). Their form is

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u + L_1 u = a|u|^2 u + v u, \tag{0.1}$$

$$L_2 v = L_3(|u|^2), \tag{0.2}$$

where  $a$  is real and  $L_1, L_2, L_3$  are quadratic differential operators

$$L_l = g_l^{jk} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^j \partial x^k} \tag{0.3}$$

for  $l = 1, 2, 3$ . The constant real  $n$  by  $n$  matrices  $(g_l^{jk})$  are invertible but otherwise general. In this paper we assume  $L_2$  to be elliptic; in this case one can solve for  $v$  in (0.2) and write the system (0.1), (0.2) as a single equation:

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u + L_1 u = L(|u|^2)u, \tag{0.4}$$

where  $L = aI + (L_2)^{-1} L_3$  is a linear operator which commutes with translations, is real and it is bounded as an operator from  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to itself for  $1 < p < \infty$ . The

---

\* Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-860-2031. Sloan Research Fellow

initial values of  $u$  are given by:

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x). \tag{0.5}$$

If the initial datum  $u_0$  is localized enough then the solution of the free ( $L = 0$ ) initial value problem decays in time like  $t^{-n/2}$ . In this paper we prove that, if  $u_0$  is sufficiently small, smooth and localized then the initial value problem (0.4), (0.5) has a solution which is small, smooth, exists for all  $t$  and decays like  $t^{-n/2}$ . The method of proof is based by the one employed by Klainerman in his study of nonlinear wave equations ([K]). The basic idea due to him is to measure decay in terms of adapted Sobolev spaces in which the usual derivatives are replaced by vector fields which commute with the free equation and are dictated by the geometry of the problem. In the case of the Schrödinger equation the key operators are not derivations but they are conjugated to derivations. More precisely, these operators denoted  $A_j$  satisfy

$$A_j = e^{i\psi} \left( 2t \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right) e^{-i\psi},$$

where  $\psi$  is a real function of  $x$  and  $t$  which appears in the propagator of the free equation. These operators are familiar objects, both in quantum mechanics ( $A_j = 2ipt - ix$ ) and in the mathematical literature ([H–N–T, G–V, Ka]). They represent the infinitesimal generators of a certain group of symmetries of Schrödinger equation (see, for instance [W]).

In the first section we introduce the Sobolev spaces based on the Lie algebra generated by the  $A_j$ – $s$  and prove a Klainerman Sobolev lemma. As a direct consequence we obtain the decay estimates for the free equation. In the second section we prove the decay estimates for the nonlinear equation. A minor technical difficulty due to the fact that, in general,  $L$  is not bounded in  $L^\infty$  is overcome by a fortuitous cancellation and the use of Gagliardo–Nirenberg-type estimates. Global existence (but not decay) of weak solutions to the Davey–Stewartson system corresponding to (0.4) in  $n = 2$  was proved in ([G–S]).

### 1. The Free Schrödinger Equation

We consider first the free Schrödinger equation

$$i \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + P(D)u = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x), \tag{1.2}$$

where  $P(D)$  is given by

$$P(D) = g^{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}. \tag{1.3}$$

In (1.3) we used the summation convention. The matrix

$$(g^{ij}) \tag{1.4}$$

is a real  $n$  by  $n$  invertible matrix. Its inverse is denoted

$$(g_{ij}) = (g^{ij})^{-1}. \tag{1.5}$$

We introduce the differential operators  $\Lambda_j$  defined by

$$\Lambda_j = 2t \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} - ig_{jk}x^k. \tag{1.6}$$

These operators commute with  $i(\partial/\partial t) + P(D)$ :

$$\left[ i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + P(D), \Lambda_j \right] = 0. \tag{1.7}$$

One checks easily, via the Fourier transform that

$$\Lambda_j = e^{itP(D)} (-ig_{jk}x^k) e^{-itP(D)}. \tag{1.8}$$

On the other hand, it is clear that the operators  $\Lambda_j$  verify

$$2te^{i\psi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} e^{-i\psi} = \Lambda_j, \tag{1.9}$$

where

$$\psi(x, t) = \frac{g_{ij}x^i x^j}{4t}. \tag{1.10}$$

The operators  $\Lambda_j$  commute. They generate a Lie algebra denoted  $\Lambda$ . For any multi-index

$$m = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)$$

the operator  $\Lambda^m = \Lambda_1^{m_1} \dots \Lambda_n^{m_n}$  satisfies

$$(2t)^{-|m|} \Lambda^m = e^{i\psi} \partial^m e^{-i\psi}, \tag{1.11}$$

where  $|m| = m_1 + \dots + m_n$ . We introduce the following notation. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Lie algebra of (pseudo)differential operators we set, for any integer  $m$

$$[u(x, t)]_{\mathcal{A}, m} = \sum_{j=0}^m \left( \sum_{|\alpha|=j} (A^\alpha u(x, t))^2 \right)^{1/2}, \tag{1.12}$$

where  $A^\alpha = A_1^{\alpha_1} \dots A_n^{\alpha_n}$  and  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are the generators of  $\mathcal{A}$ . By means of  $[u(x, t)]_{\mathcal{A}, m}$  we define the generalized  $W^{m,p}$  norms for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  by

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{A}, m, p} = \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [u(x, t)]_{\mathcal{A}, m}^p dx \right]^{1/p}. \tag{1.13}$$

With these notations we can state a Klainerman Sobolev lemma:

**Lemma 1.1.** *There exists a constant  $C_n$  depending only on the dimension  $n$  such that, for every smooth  $u(x, t)$ ,*

$$|u(x, t)| \leq C_n |t|^{-n/2} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\Lambda, [n/2]+1, 2}. \tag{1.14}$$

*Proof.* Let us consider the function  $v(x, t)$  defined by

$$v(x, t) = e^{-i\psi} u(x, t)$$

and apply the classical scale-invariant local Sobolev lemma to it:

$$|v(x, t)| \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1} R^{j-(n/2)} \left( \sum_{|\alpha|=j} \int_{|y-x| \leq R} |\partial_y^\alpha v(y, t)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2}$$

which holds for any positive  $R$  and with  $C$  independent of  $R$ . Noting that  $|v(x, t)| = |u(x, t)|$  and that, in view of (1.11)

$$(2|t|)^{-|\alpha|} |A^\alpha u(y, t)| = |\partial_y^\alpha v(y, t)|$$

the inequality (1.14) follows by choosing  $R = 2|t|$ .

The estimate (1.11) is singular at  $t = 0$ . One can remove this singularity by augmenting the Lie algebra we are working with. Denoting by  $\mathcal{B}$  the Lie algebra generated by the operators  $1, A_j$  and  $(\partial/\partial x^j)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  we obtain

**Corollary 1.2.** *There exists a constant  $C_n$  depending on  $n$  only such that every smooth  $u(x, t)$  satisfies*

$$|u(x, t)| \leq C_n (1 + |t|)^{-(n/2)} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{B}, [n/2]+1, 2}. \quad (1.15)$$

Applying Lemma 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 to derivatives we deduce

**Corollary 1.3.** *For every positive integer  $k$  there exists a constant  $C_k$  such that every smooth  $u(x, t)$  satisfies*

$$[u(x, t)]_{A, k} \leq C_k |t|^{-(n/2)} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{A, [n/2]+1+k, 2} \quad (1.16)$$

and

$$[u(x, t)]_{\mathcal{B}, k} \leq C_k (1 + |t|)^{-(n/2)} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{B}, [n/2]+1+k, 2}. \quad (1.17)$$

A direct consequence of these considerations is

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $u(x, t)$  be a solution of (1.1), (1.2). Then*

$$|u(x, t)| \leq C |t|^{-(n/2)} \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{X}, [n/2]+1, 2}, \quad (1.18)$$

where  $\mathcal{X}$  is the Lie algebra generated by the operators of multiplication by  $x^j, j = 1, \dots, n$ . More generally,

$$[u(x, t)]_{A, k} \leq C_k |t|^{-(n/2)} \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{X}, [n/2]+1+k, 2}$$

and

$$[u(x, t)]_{\mathcal{B}_0, k} \leq C_k (1 + |t|)^{-(n/2)} \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{B}_0, [n/2]+1+k, 2}, \quad (1.19)$$

where  $\mathcal{B}_0$  is the Lie algebra generated by the operators  $1, (\partial/\partial x_j)$  and multiplication by  $x_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* We apply Lemma 1.1 (respectively Corollary 1.3) to  $u(x, t)$ . In view of (1.8) and the fact that the Schrödinger equation (1.1) preserves  $L^2$  norms (1.18) (respectively (1.19)) follow.

We end this section with a Gagliardo–Nirenberg lemma for the operators  $A_j$ :

**Lemma 1.4.** *For any pair of positive integers  $0 < j < m$  there exists a constant  $C$  such that every smooth function  $u(x, t)$  satisfies*

$$\sum_{|\beta|=j} \| \Lambda^\beta u(\cdot, t) \|_{L^{(2m/j)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \| u(\cdot, t) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1-(j/m)} \left( \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \| \Lambda^\alpha u(\cdot, t) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right)^{j/m}. \tag{1.20}$$

*Proof.* The inequality (1.20) in which the differential operators  $\Lambda_j$  are replaced by  $(\partial/\partial x^j)$  is a well-known Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality. We apply to  $v(x, t) = e^{-i\psi}u(x, t)$ ; the estimate (1.20) follows from (1.11) and the scale invariance of the usual Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality.

### 2. Nonlinear Nonlocal Schrödinger Equations

The equation we investigate is

$$i \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + P(D)u = L(|u|^2)u, \tag{2.1}$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \tag{2.2}$$

where  $P(D)$  is given in (1.3) and the linear operator  $L$  is real,  $t$ -independent and commutes with translations:

$$[L, *] = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

where we denote by  $*$  the operation of taking the complex conjugate and

$$\left[ L, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right] = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Moreover we assume that  $L$  is bounded in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $1 < p < n$ :

$$\| Lf \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_p \| f \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{2.5}$$

Such equations arise in water wave theory ([D–S, Z–K, N]) and, typically,  $L$  is a product of Riesz transforms. We start with a Leibniz rule:

**Lemma 2.1.** *For any multi-index  $\alpha$  the formula*

$$\Lambda^\alpha(L(|u|^2)v) = \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!\delta!} (L(\Lambda^\beta u(\Lambda^\gamma u)^*) \Lambda^\delta v) \tag{2.6}$$

holds.

*Proof.* In (2.6) we denoted  $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!$ . The proof is done by induction on  $|\alpha|$  and follows easily from the observations

$$\Lambda_j(ab) = \left( 2t \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} a \right) b + a \Lambda_j b$$

and

$$2t \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} (ab^*) = (\Lambda_j a)b + a(\Lambda_j b)^*.$$

For every non-negative integer  $m$  we denote by  $F_m$  the integral

$$F_m = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Lambda^\alpha u|^2 dx. \tag{2.7}$$

If  $u$  solves (2.1) then  $F_m$  satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} F_m = \text{Im} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Lambda^\alpha (L(|u|^2)u) (\Lambda^\alpha u)^* dx. \tag{2.8}$$

In view of (2.6) the right-hand side of (2.8) is a sum for  $|\alpha| = m$  and  $\alpha = \beta + \gamma + \delta$  of terms of the form

$$\frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma! \delta!} \text{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} L(\Lambda^\beta u (\Lambda^\gamma u)^*) \Lambda^\delta u (\Lambda^\alpha u)^* dx. \tag{2.9}$$

The term (2.9) corresponding to  $\beta = \gamma = 0$  equals zero. If  $\beta = \delta = 0$  or  $\gamma = \delta = 0$  then we estimate (2.9) by

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} L(u (\Lambda^\alpha u)^*) u (\Lambda^\alpha u)^* dx \right| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^2 |\Lambda^\alpha u|^2 dx.$$

The rest of the terms (2.9) have  $0 < |\delta| < m$ . In these terms we apply a Hölder inequality raising the last term to the second power, the term involving  $\Lambda^\delta u$  to the power  $(2m/|\delta|)$  and the term involving  $L$  to the power  $q = 2(1 - (|\delta|/m))^{-1}$ . Using the boundedness of  $L$  in  $L^q$  spaces and the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality (1.20) with  $j = |\delta|$  we majorize (2.9) by

$$C \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1 - (j/m)} F_m^{(m+j)/2m} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Lambda^\beta u|^q |\Lambda^\gamma u|^q dx \right]^{1/q}.$$

In the integral above we use a Hölder inequality with powers  $(2m/q|\beta|)$  and  $(2m/q|\gamma|)$  (their inverse add up to one) and again the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality (1.20). The end product of these estimates is the fact that all terms (2.9) can be majorized by

$$C \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 F_m,$$

and consequently we established the inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} F_m \leq C \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 F_m. \tag{2.10}$$

Clearly, the same argument applies to  $G_m$ , where

$$G_m = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial^\alpha u|^2 dx, \tag{2.11}$$

and yields the analogue of (2.10). Defining

$$E_m = \sum_{k=0}^m (F_k + G_k) \tag{2.12}$$

we proved:

**Lemma 2.2.** *For every non-negative integer  $m$  there exist a constant  $C_m$  such that*

every smooth solution of (2.1) satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_m \leq C_m \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 E_m. \quad (2.13)$$

The next thing is to observe that, in view of (1.14) and the usual Sobolev lemma

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq C(1 + |t|)^{-n} E_{[n/2]+1}. \quad (2.14)$$

Consequently, if  $[n/2] + 1 \leq m$  then the ordinary differential equality

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_m \leq C_m(1 + |t|)^{-n} E_m^2 \quad (2.15)$$

holds. If  $2 \leq n$  we deduce that

$$E_m(1 - K_m E_m(0)) \leq E_m(0). \quad (2.16)$$

Using (2.16) and standard arguments we can prove:

**Theorem 2.3.** *For every  $n, 2 \leq n$  and  $m$  satisfying  $[n/2] + 1 \leq m$  there exist positive numbers  $\varepsilon_m$  and  $C_m$  such that, if  $u_0(x)$  satisfies*

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|A^\alpha u_0(x)|^2 + |\partial^\alpha u_0(x)|^2) dx \leq \varepsilon_m \quad (2.17)$$

then the solution  $u(x, t)$  of (2.1), (2.2) exists for all  $t$  and satisfies

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|A^\alpha u(x, t)|^2 + |\partial^\alpha u(x, t)|^2) dx \leq C_m \varepsilon_m, \quad (2.18)$$

and

$$|u(x, t)| \leq (C_m \varepsilon_m)^{1/2} (1 + |t|)^{-(n/2)}. \quad (2.19)$$

*Acknowledgement.* I thank Russel Brown for an observation which greatly simplified the proof of Lemma 1.1.

## References

- [D-S] Davey, A., Stewartson, K.: On three dimensional packets of surface waves. Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) **A338**, 101–110 (1974)
- [G-V] Ginibre, J., Velo, G.: On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, I, II, J. Funct. Anal. **32**, 1–71 (1979)
- [G-S] Ghidaglia, J-M., Saut, J-C.: On the Cauchy problem for the Davey–Stewartson equations, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Serie 1, **308**, 115–120 (1989)
- [H-N-T] Hayashi, N., Nakamitsu, K., Tsutsumi, M.: On solutions to the initial value problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger equations. J. Funct. Anal. **71**, 218–245 (1987)
- [Ka] Kato, K.: On nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Physique Theorique **46**, 113–129 (1987)
- [K] Klainerman, S.: Remarks on the Global Sobolev Inequalities in the Minkowski space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **XL**, 111–117 (1987)
- [N] Newell, A.: Bifurcation and nonlinear focusing, Proc. Internat. Symp. on Synergetics. Haken, H. (ed.) pp. 244–265. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1979

- [W] Weinstein, M.: The nonlinear Schrödinger equation—Singularity formation, Stability and Dispersion, in Proceedings of the AMS/SIAM Summer Conference on the Connection between Finite and Infinite Dimensional Systems, Boulder, Colorado (1987). Foias, C., Nicolaenko, B., Temam, R. (eds.) Contemporary Mathematics (to appear)
- [Z-K] Zakharov, V. E., Kuznetsov, E. A.: Multi-scale expansions in the theory of systems integrable by the inverse scattering method. *Physica* **18D**, 455–463 (1986)

Communicated by B. Simon

Received March 24, 1989; in revised form June 23, 1989