

Time Decay of Solutions to the Cauchy Problem for Time-Dependent Schrödinger–Hartree Equations

Nakao Hayashi¹ and Tohru Ozawa²

¹ Department of Applied Physics, Waseda University, Tokyo 160, Japan

² Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan

Abstract. We consider the time-dependent Schrödinger–Hartree equation

$$iu_t + \Delta u = \left(\frac{1}{r} * |u|^2 \right) u + \lambda \frac{u}{r}, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{1}$$

$$u(0, x) = \phi(x) \in \Sigma^{2,2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{2}$$

where $\lambda \geq 0$ and $\Sigma^{2,2} = \{g \in L^2; \|g\|_{\Sigma^{2,2}}^2 = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \|D^\alpha g\|_2^2 + \sum_{|\beta| \leq 2} \|x^\beta g\|_2^2 < \infty\}$.

We show that there exists a unique global solution u of (1) and (2) such that

$$u \in C(\mathbb{R}; H^{1,2}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; H^{2,2}) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \Sigma^{2,2})$$

with

$$u_t \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; L^2).$$

Furthermore, we show that u has the following estimates:

$$\|u(t)\|_{2,2} \leq C, \quad \text{a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$\|u(t)\|_\infty \leq C(1 + |t|)^{-1/2}, \quad \text{a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

1. Introduction and Main Results

We consider the time decay of solutions to the Cauchy problem for the equation in $L^2 = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$

$$iu_t + \Delta u = f(|u|^2)u + \lambda Vu, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.1}$$

$$u(0) = \phi, \tag{1.2}$$

where $u_t = \partial_t u$, $f(|u|^2) = |x|^{-1} * |u|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u(t, y)|^2 / |x - y| dy$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $V = 1/|x|$ and ϕ

is a given initial data. Let $\Sigma^{l,m}$ be the Hilbert space defined by

$$\Sigma^{l,m} = \{g \in L^2; \|g\|_{\Sigma^{l,m}}^2 = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} \|D^\alpha g\|_2^2 + \sum_{|\beta| \leq m} \|x^\beta g\|_2^2 < \infty\}$$

with the inner product

$$(g, g)_{\Sigma^{l,m}} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} (D^\alpha g, D^\alpha g) + \sum_{|\beta| \leq m} (x^\beta g, x^\beta g),$$

where

$$(f, g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \cdot \bar{g} \, dx, \quad D^\alpha = \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \partial_2^{\alpha_2} \partial_3^{\alpha_3} \quad \text{and} \quad x^\beta = x_1^{\beta_1} x_2^{\beta_2} x_3^{\beta_3}$$

$$(|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \quad |\beta| = \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3).$$

We shall prove the following:

Theorem 1. *We assume that $\lambda \geq 0$ and $\phi \in \Sigma^{2,2}$. Then there exists a unique global solution u of (1.1) and (1.2) such that*

$$u \in C(\mathbb{R}; H^{1,2}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; H^{2,2}) \cap L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; \Sigma^{2,2})$$

with

$$u_t \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; L^2). \tag{1.3}$$

Furthermore, there exist positive constants C_1 depending only on $\|\phi\|_{\Sigma^{2,1}}$ and C_2 depending only on $\|\phi\|_{\Sigma^{2,2}}$ such that

$$\|u(t)\|_{2,2} \leq C_1, \quad \text{a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$\|u(t)\|_\infty \leq C_2(1 + |t|)^{-1/2}, \quad \text{a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.5}$$

In what follows positive constants will be denoted by C and will change from line to line. If necessary, by $C(*, \dots, *)$ we denote positive constants depending only on the quantities appearing in parentheses.

It has been shown in [9] that any solution $u \in C(\mathbb{R}; \Sigma^{2,2})$ of (1.1) and (1.2) with $\lambda = 0$ satisfies

$$\|u(t)\|_{2,2} \leq C(\|\phi\|_{\Sigma^{2,1}}) \cdot (1 + \log(1 + |t|)), \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$\|u(t)\|_\infty \leq C(\|\phi\|_{\Sigma^{2,2}})(1 + |t|)^{-1/2}. \tag{1.7}$$

Therefore, one of our results (1.4) is an improvement of (1.6).

When $\lambda > 0$, Chadam and Glassey [1] showed that there exists a unique global solution u of (1.1) and (1.2) such that

$$u \in C(\mathbb{R}; H^{1,2}) \cap L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; H^{2,2})$$

with

$$u_t \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L^2). \tag{1.8}$$

Furthermore, they showed that

$$\|u(t)\|_{2,2} \leq C(\|\phi\|_{2,2}) \exp [C(\|\phi\|_{2,2})|t|], \quad \text{a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.9}$$

In [3] Dias and Figueira (see also Dias [2]) considered the time decay of solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) satisfying (1.8). They showed the following L^p ($2 < p \leq 6$) decay estimate:

$$\|u(t)\|_p \leq C(\|\phi\|_{\Sigma^{1,1}})(1 + |t|)^{-(3/2)(1/2 - 1/p)}. \tag{1.10}$$

Hence our results (1.3) and (1.4) are improvements of (1.8) and (1.9), and our result (1.5) is a new estimate in the case of $6 < p \leq \infty$. Finally we put $J = x + 2it\nabla = UxU^{-1} = S2it\nabla S^{-1}$, where $U = U(t) = \exp(it\Delta)$ and $S = S(t) = \exp(i|x|^2/4t)$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

We start with stating some useful lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. (The Gagliardo–Nirenberg Inequality) *Let $1 \leq q, r \leq \infty$, and let $j, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ satisfy $0 \leq j < m$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{|\beta|=j} \|D^\beta g\|_p \leq C(m, j, q, r, a) \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|D^\alpha g\|_r^a \|g\|_q^{1-a},$$

for any $g \in H^{m,r} \cap L^q$ and $1/p = j/3 + (1/r - m/3)a + (1 - a)/q$, for all a in the interval $j/m \leq a \leq 1$, with the following exception: if $m - j - (3/r)$ is a nonnegative integer, then the above inequality is asserted for $a = j/m$.

For Lemma 2.1 see, e.g., Friedman [4].

Lemma 2.2. (a) *Let $1 < p < q < \infty$, $0 < \delta < 3$ and $1/q = 1/p - \delta/3$. Then we have*

$$\|I_\delta(g)\|_q \leq C(\delta, p, q) \|g\|_p, \quad \text{for any } g \in L^p,$$

where $I_\delta(g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(y)/|x - y|^{3-\delta} dy$.

(b) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |g(x)|^2/|x|^2 dx \leq 4 \|\nabla g\|_2^2, \quad \text{for any } g \in H^{1,2}.$

(c) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u(t, x)|^2/|x|^2 dx \leq \|Ju(t)\|_2^2/t^2, \quad \text{for any } Ju(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}; L^2).$

Proof. (a) and (b) are well known results (see, e.g., Stein [10]). We only prove (c). We have by (b)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u(t, x)|^2/|x|^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |S^{-1}u(t, x)|^2/|x|^2 dx \leq 4 \|\nabla S^{-1}u(t)\|_2^2 \\ &= \|2it\nabla S^{-1}u(t)\|_2^2/t^2 = \|Ju(t)\|_2^2/t^2. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

We consider the auxiliary equation

$$i(u_n)_t + \Delta u_n = f(|u_n|^2)u_n + \lambda V_n u_n, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{2.1}$$

$$u_n(0, x) = \phi_n(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\lambda \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $V_n = 1/(|x| + (1/n))$ for $\lambda > 0$, $V_n = 0$ for $\lambda = 0$ and $\{\phi_n\}$ is a sequence in the space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ of rapidly decreasing infinitely differentiable functions such that $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$ strongly in $\Sigma^{2,2}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For the sake of brevity we suppress the subscript n of u_n for the moment.

Proposition 2.1. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Cauchy problem (2.1) and (2.2) has a unique global solution u such that*

$$u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)). \tag{2.3}$$

Furthermore, u satisfies

$$\|u(t)\|_2 = \|\phi_n\|_2, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}(f(|u|^2)u(t), u(t)) + \lambda(V_n u(t), u(t)) \\ = \|\nabla \phi_n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}(f(|\phi_n|^2)\phi_n, \phi_n) + \lambda(V_n \phi_n, \phi_n), \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

$$\|u(t)\|_{1,2} \leq C(\|\phi_n\|_{1,2}), \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\|u_t(t)\|_2, \|u(t)\|_{2,2} \leq C(\|\phi_n\|_{2,2}) \exp [C(\|\phi_n\|_{2,2})|t|]. \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. In the same way as in the proof of Lemmas 3.1–3.3 in [1], we have (2.4)–(2.7). (For details see Chadam and Glassey [1].) We prove (2.3). M. Tsutsumi [11] showed that there exists a positive number T^* such that the Cauchy problem (2.1) and (2.2) has a unique solution $u \in C^\infty([-T^*, T^*]; \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for each ϕ_n . By the proof of Corollary 3.2 in [11], (2.3) is obtained if a priori estimates of $\|u(t)\|_{s,2}$ ($s > (3/2)$) are shown. Therefore, (2.7) gives (2.3). This completes the proof.

Proposition 2.2. *Let u be the solution constructed in Proposition 2.1. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ju(t)\|_2^2 + 4t^2(\frac{1}{2}(f(|u|^2)u(t), u(t)) + \lambda(V_n u(t), u(t))) \\ = \|x\phi_n\|_2^2 + 4\int_0^t s(\frac{1}{2}(f(|u|^2)u(s), u(s)) + \lambda(V_n u(s), u(s))) ds \\ + (4\lambda/n)\int_0^t s \|V_n u(s)\|_2^2 ds, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

$$\|Ju(t)\|_2^2 \leq C(\|\phi_n\|_{\Sigma^{1,1}})(1 + |t|), \quad \text{for } n > 4\lambda, \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$\left| \int_1^t s^{-2} \|Ju(s)\|_2^2 ds \right| \leq C(\|\phi_n\|_{\Sigma^{1,1}}), \quad \text{for } |t| \geq 1 \quad \text{and } n > 4\lambda. \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. When $\lambda = 0$, (2.8) was shown by Ginibre and Velo [6, 7]. When $\lambda > 0$, (2.8) was shown by Dias and Figueira [3]. Therefore, we prove (2.9) and (2.10). We assume that $t \geq 0$. The case $t \leq 0$ can be treated similarly. Differentiating (2.8) with respect to t , we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\|Ju(t)\|_2^2 + 4t^2(\frac{1}{2}(f(|u|^2)u(t), u(t)) + \lambda(V_n u(t), u(t))))$$

$$= 4t(\frac{1}{2}(f(|u|^2)u(t), u(t)) + \lambda(V_n u(t), u(t))) + (4\lambda/n)t \|V_n u(t)\|_2^2. \tag{2.11}$$

We multiply (2.11) by t^{-1} and integrate with respect to t to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & t^{-1} \|Ju(t)\|_2^2 + 4t(\frac{1}{2}(f(|u|^2)u(t), u(t)) + \lambda(V_n u(t), u(t))) \\ &= \|Ju(1)\|_2^2 + 4(\frac{1}{2}(f(|u|^2)u(1), u(1)) + \lambda(V_n u(1), u(1))) \\ &\quad - \int_1^t s^{-2} \|Ju(s)\|_2^2 ds + (4\lambda/n) \int_1^t \|V_n u(s)\|_2^2 ds, \quad \text{for } t \geq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

By (2.5) and Lemmas 2.1–2.2 we have

$$|(f(|u|^2)u(t), u(t))| \leq C \|u(t)\|_{1,2/5}^4 \leq C(\|\phi_n\|_{1,2}), \tag{2.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |(V_n u(t), u(t))| &\leq \|V_n u(t)\|_2 \|u(t)\|_2 \\ &\leq C \|\nabla u(t)\|_2 \|u(t)\|_2 \leq C(\|\phi_n\|_{1,2}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$\|V_n u(t)\|_2^2 \begin{cases} \leq 4 \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 \leq C(\|\phi_n\|_{1,2}) \\ \leq t^{-2} \|Ju(t)\|_2^2 \end{cases}. \tag{2.15}$$

We have by (2.8) and (2.13)–(2.15),

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ju(t)\|_2^2 &\leq \|x\phi_n\|_2^2 + C \int_0^t s |(f(|u|^2)u(s), u(s))| \\ &\quad + |(V_n u(s), u(s))| ds + (4\lambda/n) \int_0^t s \|V_n u(s)\|_2^2 ds \\ &\leq C(\|\phi_n\|_{\Sigma^{1,1}})(1+t)^2, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

We obtain by (2.12)–(2.16),

$$t^{-1} \|Ju(t)\|_2^2 + (1 - (4\lambda/n)) \int_1^t s^{-2} \|Ju(s)\|_2^2 ds \leq C(\|\phi_n\|_{\Sigma^{1,1}}), \quad \text{for } t \geq 1. \tag{2.17}$$

Since $(4\lambda/n) < 1$ (2.16) and (2.17) give (2.9) and (2.10). This completes the proof.

Remark 2.1 (2.10) plays an important role to improve (1.6).

Proposition 2.3. *Let u be the solution constructed in Proposition 2.1. Then for any $n > 4\lambda$, we have*

$$\|u(t)\|_{2,2} \leq C(\|\phi_n\|_{\Sigma^{2,1}}), \tag{2.18}$$

and

$$\|u_t(t)\|_2 \leq C(\|\phi_n\|_{\Sigma^{2,1}}). \tag{2.19}$$

Proof. A standard argument gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta u(t)\|_2^2 &= \text{Im}(i\Delta u_t(t), \Delta u(t)) = \text{Im}(\Delta(f(|u|^2)u(t)), \Delta u(t)) \\ &\quad + \lambda \text{Im}(\Delta(V_n u(t)), \Delta u(t)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

We consider the second term of the right-hand side of (2.20),

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im}(\Delta(V_n u(t)), \Delta u(t)) &= \operatorname{Im}((\Delta V_n)u(t), \Delta u(t)) + 2\operatorname{Im}(\nabla V_n \cdot \nabla u(t), \Delta u(t)) \\ &= \operatorname{Im}((\Delta V_n)u(t), -iu_t(t) + f(|u|^2)u(t) + \lambda V_n u(t)) \\ &\quad - 2\operatorname{Im}(V_n \Delta u(t), \Delta u(t)) - 2\operatorname{Im}(V_n \nabla u(t), \nabla \Delta u(t)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} ((\Delta V_n)u(t), u(t)) - 2\operatorname{Im}(V_n \nabla u(t), \nabla \Delta u(t)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

We denote the second term of the right-hand side of (2.21) by I_1 . We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= -2\operatorname{Im}(V_n \nabla u(t), \nabla(-iu_t(t) + f(|u|^2)u(t) + \lambda V_n u(t))) \\ &= -\frac{d}{dt}(V_n \nabla u(t), \nabla u(t)) - 2\operatorname{Im}(V_n \nabla u(t), (\nabla f(|u|^2))u(t)) \\ &\quad - \lambda \operatorname{Im}(\nabla V_n^2 \cdot \nabla u(t), u(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{Im}(\nabla V_n^2 \cdot \nabla u(t), u(t)) &= \operatorname{Im}(V_n^2 \Delta u(t), u(t)) \\ &= \operatorname{Im}(V_n^2(-iu_t(t) + f(|u|^2)u(t) + V_n u(t)), u(t)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|V_n u(t)\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Collecting everything, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\Delta u(t)\|_2^2 + \lambda(\lambda \|V_n u(t)\|_2^2 - ((\Delta V_n)u(t), u(t)) + 2\|V_n^{1/2} \nabla u(t)\|_2^2)) \\ &= \operatorname{Im}(\Delta(f(|u|^2)u(t)), \Delta u(t)) - 2\lambda \operatorname{Im}(V_n \nabla u(t), (\nabla f(|u|^2))u(t)) = I_2 + 2\lambda I_3. \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

By Appendix and Proposition 2.1 (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq Ct^{-2} \|Ju(t)\|_2^2 \|\nabla u(t)\|_2 \|\Delta u(t)\|_2 \\ &\leq C(\|\phi_n\|_{1,2})t^{-2} \|Ju(t)\|_2^2 \|\Delta u(t)\|_2 \quad \text{for } t \geq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

We get by (2.4) and Lemma 2.2

$$\begin{aligned} |I_3| &\leq C \|\nabla u(t)\|_6 \|\nabla f(|u|^2)(t)\|_3 \|V_n u(t)\|_2 \\ &\leq C \|\nabla u(t)\|_6 \|u(t)\|_6 \|u(t)\|_2 \|V_n u(t)\|_2 \\ &\leq C(\|\phi_n\|_2)t^{-2} \|Ju(t)\|_2^2 \|\Delta u(t)\|_2 \quad \text{for } t \geq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Since $\lambda \geq 0$ and $\Delta V_n \leq 0$, we obtain by (2.22)–(2.24)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta u(t)\|_2^2 &\leq \|\Delta u(1)\|_2^2 + \lambda(\lambda \|V_n u(1)\|_2^2 - ((\Delta V_n)u(1), u(1)) + 2\|V_n^{1/2} \nabla u(1)\|_2^2) \\ &\quad + C(\|\phi_n\|_{1,2}) \int_1^t s^{-2} \|Ju(s)\|_2^2 \|\Delta u(s)\|_2 ds. \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

We have by Lemmas 2.1–2.2 and Proposition 2.1,

$$\|V_n u(1)\|_2^2 \leq C \|\nabla u(1)\|_2^2 \leq C(\|\phi_n\|_{1,2}), \tag{2.26}$$

$$\|V_n^{1/2} \nabla u(1)\|_2^2 \leq C \|\Delta u(1)\|_2 \|\nabla u(1)\|_2 \leq C(\|\phi_n\|_{2,2}), \tag{2.27}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -((\Delta V_n)u(1), u(1)) &= 2\text{Re}((\nabla V_n) \cdot \nabla u(1), u(1)) \\ &= -2\text{Re}(V_n \Delta u(1), u(1)) - 2(V_n \nabla u(1), \nabla u(1)) \leq C(\|\phi_n\|_{2,2}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

We have by (2.25)–(2.28),

$$\|\Delta u(t)\|_2^2 \leq C(\|\phi_n\|_{2,2}) \left(1 + \int_1^t s^{-2} \|Ju(s)\|_2^2 \|\Delta u(s)\|_2 ds \right).$$

This and the Schwarz inequality give

$$\|\Delta u(t)\|_2^2 \leq C(\|\phi_n\|_{2,2}) \left(1 + \int_1^t s^{-2} \|Ju(s)\|_2^2 ds + \int_1^t s^{-2} \|Ju(s)\|_2^2 \|\Delta u(s)\|_2^2 ds \right). \tag{2.29}$$

We obtain by (2.29), Proposition 2.2 (2.10) and Gronwall’s inequality,

$$\|\Delta u(t)\|_2 \leq C(\|\phi_n\|_{\Sigma^{2,1}}). \tag{2.30}$$

Proposition 2.1 and (2.30) yield (2.18). From (2.1), Lemma 2.2, Proposition 2.1 and (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_t(t)\|_2 &\leq \|\Delta u(t)\|_2 + \|f(|u|^2)u(t)\|_2 + \lambda \|V_n u(t)\|_2 \\ &\leq C(\|\phi_n\|_{\Sigma^{2,1}}) + \|f(|u|^2)(t)\|_\infty \|u(t)\|_2 + C \|\nabla u(t)\|_2 \\ &\leq C(\|\phi_n\|_{\Sigma^{2,1}}) + C \|u(t)\|_2^2 \|\nabla u(t)\|_2 \leq C(\|\phi_n\|_{\Sigma^{2,1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(|\phi\psi|)\|_\infty &\leq \text{esssup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int \frac{|\phi(y)||\psi(y)|}{|x-y|} dy \leq \text{esssup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \left(\int \frac{|\phi(y)|^2}{|x-y|^2} dy \right)^{1/2} \|\psi\|_2 \\ &\leq 2 \|\nabla \phi\|_2 \|\psi\|_2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

This completes the proof.

Proposition 2.4. *Let u be the solution constructed in Proposition 2.1. Then for any $n > 4\lambda$, we have*

$$\|J^2 u(t)\|_2 \leq C(\|\phi_n\|_{\Sigma^{1,2}})(1 + |t|)^{3/2},$$

where

$$J^2 = \sum_{j=1}^3 (x_j + 2it\partial_j)^2 = U|x|^2 U^{-1} = S(-4t^2 \Delta)S^{-1}.$$

Proof. We put $v(t) = S^{-1}u(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. It is easily verified that $v \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$;

$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$S^{-1} \left(i \frac{d}{dt} + \Delta \right) S v = \left(i \frac{d}{dt} + \Delta - \frac{1}{t} A \right) v,$$

where $A = (1/2i)(x \cdot \nabla + \nabla \cdot x)$. Therefore, v satisfies

$$i v_t = -\Delta v + \frac{1}{t} A v + f(|v|^2)v + \lambda V_n v, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}. \tag{2.32}$$

Since J^2 commutes with $i(d/dt) + \Delta$, $J^2 u(t)$ satisfies

$$i(J^2 u(t))_t = -\Delta J^2 u + J^2(f(|u|^2)u(t)) + \lambda J^2(V_n u(t)),$$

from which it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|J^2 u(t)\|_2^2 = \text{Im}(J^2(f(|u|^2)u(t)), J^2 u(t)) + \lambda \text{Im}(J^2(V_n u(t)), J^2 u(t)). \tag{2.33}$$

We consider the second term of the right-hand side of (2.33). Since $J^2 = -4t^2 S \Delta S^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Im}(J^2(V_n u(t)), J^2 u(t)) \\ &= 16t^4 \text{Im}(\Delta(V_n v(t)), \Delta v(t)) \\ &= 16t^4 \text{Im}((\Delta V_n)v(t), \Delta v(t)) + 2(\nabla V_n \cdot \nabla v(t), \Delta v(t)) \\ &= 16t^4 \text{Im}(((\Delta V_n)v(t), \Delta v(t)) - 2(V_n \nabla v(t), \nabla \Delta v(t))) \\ &= 16t^4 \text{Im}((\Delta V_n)v(t), -i v_t(t) + \frac{1}{t} A v(t) + f(|v|^2)v(t) + \lambda V_n v(t)) \\ &\quad - 32t^4 \text{Im}(V_n \nabla v(t), \nabla(-i v_t(t) + \frac{1}{t} A v(t) + f(|v|^2)v(t) + \lambda V_n v(t))) \\ &= 8t^4 \frac{d}{dt}((\Delta V_n)v(t), v(t)) + 16t^3 \text{Im}((\Delta V_n)v(t), A v(t)) \\ &\quad - 16t^4 \frac{d}{dt}(V_n \nabla v(t), \nabla v(t)) - 32t^3 \text{Im}(V_n \nabla v(t), \nabla(A v(t))) \\ &\quad - 32t^4 \text{Im}(V_n \nabla v(t), \nabla(f(|v|^2)v(t))) - 32t^4 \lambda \text{Im}(V_n \nabla v(t), (\nabla V_n)v(t)) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} [8t^4((\Delta V_n)v(t), v(t)) - 16t^4(V_n \nabla v(t), \nabla v(t))] \\ &\quad - 32t^3((\Delta V_n)v(t), v(t)) + 64t^3(V_n \nabla v(t), \nabla v(t)) \\ &\quad + 8t^3 \text{Im}([A, \Delta V_n]v(t), v(t)) - 16t^3 \text{Im}([A, V_n] \nabla v(t), \nabla v(t)) \\ &\quad - 32t^3 \text{Im}(V_n \nabla v(t), [V, A]v(t)) - 32t^4 \text{Im}(V_n \nabla v(t), \nabla(f(|v|^2)v(t))) \\ &\quad - 16t^4 \lambda \text{Im}(\nabla v(t), (\nabla V_n^2)v(t)). \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$[\nabla, A] = -i\nabla, \quad [A, V_n] = -i(x \cdot \nabla)V_n \quad \text{and} \quad [A, \Delta V_n] = -i(x \cdot \nabla)\Delta V_n.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Im}(J^2(V_n u(t)), J^2 u(t)) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} [8t^4((\Delta V_n)v(t), v(t)) - 16t^4(V_n \nabla v(t), \nabla v(t))] \\ & \quad + 16t^3((2V_n + (x \cdot \nabla)V_n)\nabla v(t), \nabla v(t)) - 8t^3((4\Delta V_n + (x \cdot \nabla)\Delta V_n)v(t), v(t)) \\ & \quad - 32t^4 \text{Im}(V_n \nabla v(t), \nabla(f(|v|^2)v(t))) - 16t^4 \lambda \text{Im}(\nabla v(t), (\nabla V_n^2)v(t)). \end{aligned}$$

We finally note that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}(\nabla v(t), (\nabla V_n^2)v(t)) &= -\text{Im}(\Delta v(t), V_n^2 v(t)) = -\text{Im}\left(-iv_t(t) + \frac{1}{t}Av(t), V_n^2 v(t)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|V_n v(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2t} \text{Im}([A, V_n^2]v(t), v(t)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|V_n v(t)\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2t} ((x \cdot \nabla)V_n^2 v(t), v(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Collecting everything, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{1}{2} \|J^2 u(t)\|_2^2 + 8t^4 \lambda (2(V_n \nabla v(t), \nabla v(t)) - ((\Delta V_n)v(t), v(t)) + \lambda \|V_n v(t)\|_2^2) \right] \\ &= 8t^3 \lambda [2((2V_n + (x \cdot \nabla)V_n)\nabla v(t), \nabla v(t)) \\ & \quad + ((4\lambda V_n^2 + \lambda(x \cdot \nabla)V_n^2 - 4\Delta V_n - (x \cdot \nabla)\Delta V_n)v(t), v(t))] \\ & \quad - 32t^4 \lambda \text{Im}(V_n \nabla v(t), (\nabla f(|v|^2))v(t)) + 16t^4 \text{Im}(\Delta(f(|v|^2)v(t)), \Delta v(t)) \\ &= I_4 + I_5 + I_6. \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

We assume that $t \geq 0$. The case $t \leq 0$ can be proved similarly. In view of the fact that $(x \cdot \nabla)V_n \leq 0$, $(x \cdot \nabla)V_n^2 \leq 0$, $-(x \cdot \nabla)\Delta V_n \leq 0$ and $\lambda \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_4| &\leq 32t^3 \lambda [(V_n \nabla v(t), \nabla v(t)) + \lambda \|V_n v(t)\|_2^2 - (\Delta V_n v(t), v(t))] \\ &= 32t^3 \lambda [- (V_n \nabla v(t), \nabla v(t)) + \lambda \|V_n v(t)\|_2^2 - 2\text{Re}(V_n \Delta v(t), v(t))] \\ &\leq Ct^3 [\|V_n v(t)\|_2^2 - 2\text{Re}(V_n \Delta v(t), v(t))] \\ &\leq Ct^3 (\|\nabla v(t)\|_2^2 + \|V_n v(t)\|_2 \|\Delta v(t)\|_2) \\ &\leq Ct \|Ju(t)\|_2^2 + C \|Ju(t)\|_2 \|J^2 u(t)\|_2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

Here we have used Lemma 2.2. We obtain by Lemma 2.2, Proposition 2.2

$$|I_5| \leq 32t^4 \lambda \|\nabla v(t)\|_2 \|V_n v(t)\|_2 \|f(2\text{Re } v\overline{\nabla v})\|_\infty \leq Ct^4 \lambda \|\nabla v(t)\|_2^2 \|f(|v\overline{\nabla v}|)\|_\infty. \tag{2.36}$$

In the same way as in the proof of (2.31) we have

$$\|f(|v\overline{\nabla v}|\|) \|_\infty \leq 2\|\nabla v\|_2^2. \tag{2.37}$$

This and (2.36) yield

$$|I_5| \leq Ct^4 \|\nabla v(t)\|_2^4 \leq C\|Ju(t)\|_2^4. \tag{2.38}$$

By Appendix we have

$$|I_6| \begin{cases} \leq Ct^{-1} \|Ju(t)\|_2^3 \|J^2u(t)\|_2, \\ \leq C \|\nabla u(t)\|_2 \|Ju(t)\|_2^2 \|J^2u(t)\|_2. \end{cases} \tag{2.39}$$

Now we put

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|J^2u(t)\|_2^2 + 8t^4 \lambda(2(V_n \nabla v(t), \nabla v(t)) - ((\Delta V_n)v(t), v(t)) + \lambda \|V_n v(t)\|_2^2).$$

We note that $\frac{1}{2} \|J^2u(t)\|_2^2 \leq \alpha(t)$. We obtain from (2.34), (2.35), (2.38), (2.39) and Proposition 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \alpha(t) &\leq C(\|\phi_n\|_{\Sigma^{1,1}})((1+t)^2 + (1+t)^{1/2} \alpha(t)) \\ &\leq \alpha(t)(1+t)^{-1} + C(\|\phi_n\|_{\Sigma^{1,1}})(1+t)^2. \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\alpha(t)(1+t)^{-1}) \leq C(\|\phi_n\|_{\Sigma^{1,1}})(1+t),$$

from which we get the desired result.

Proof of Theorem 1. A simple calculation gives

$$\|x^2u_n(t)\|_2 \leq C\|u_n(t)\|_{2,2}(1+t^2) + C\|J^2u_n(t)\|_2. \tag{2.40}$$

By Proposition 2.1–2.3, (2.40) and a standard argument we conclude that there exists a unique function u satisfying (1.3) and (1.4) such that as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightarrow u \quad \text{weakly star in } L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; H^{2,2}) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \Sigma^{2,2}), \\ u_n &\rightarrow u \quad \text{strongly in } C(\mathbb{R}; H^{1,2}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(u_n)_t \rightarrow u_t \quad \text{weakly star in } L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; L^2).$$

It is easily seen that u solves the Cauchy problem (1.1), (1.2) in the distribution sense. Next we show that u satisfies (1.5). From Proposition 2.3 we see that u satisfies

$$\|u(t)\|_{2,2} \leq C(\|\phi\|_{\Sigma^{2,1}}), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.41}$$

Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.4 give

$$\|Ju(t)\|_2 \leq C(\|\phi\|_{\Sigma^{1,1}})(1+|t|)^{1/2}, \quad \text{a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.42}$$

and

$$\|J^2u(t)\|_2 \leq C(\|\phi\|_{\Sigma^{1,2}})(1+|t|)^{3/2}, \quad \text{a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.43}$$

We have by using Lemma 2.1 and (2.41)–(2.43)

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_\infty &\leq C(1 + |t|)^{-1/2} (\|Ju(t)\|_2 + \|\nabla u(t)\|_2)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times (1 + |t|)^{-1} (\|J^2u(t)\|_2 + \|\Delta u(t)\|_2)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C(\|\phi\|_{\Sigma^{2,2}})(1 + |t|)^{-1/2}, \quad \text{a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Remark 2.2. We can apply our method used in this paper to the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} i(u_j)_t + \Delta u_j &= \sum_{k=1}^N (u_j v_{k,k} - u_k v_{j,k}) + \lambda u_j/r, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u_j(0) &= \phi_j \in \Sigma^{2,2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$, $v_{j,k} = r^{-1} * u_j \bar{u}_k$, and $\lambda > 0$.

Especially the equality (2.34) in the proof of Proposition 2.4 is useful to investigate the decay properties of solutions for the linear Schrödinger equations,

$$iu_t + \Delta u = Vu, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad u(0) = \phi,$$

where $V = V(x)$ is real-valued function satisfying some additional conditions.

Appendix

Lemma A. Let $f(\phi)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi(y)|x - y|^{-1} dy$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\text{Im}(\Delta(f(|\phi|^2)\phi), \Delta\phi)| \\ &\leq Ct^{-2} \|J\phi\|_2^2 \|\nabla\phi\|_2 \|\Delta\phi\|_2, \quad \text{for } \phi \in \Sigma^{2,1} \quad \text{and} \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &|t^4 \text{Im}(\Delta(f(|\phi|^2)S^{-1}\phi), \Delta S^{-1}\phi)| \\ &\begin{cases} \leq C|t|^{-1} \|J\phi\|_2^3 \|J^2\phi\|_2 \\ \leq C \|\nabla\phi\|_2 \|J\phi\|_2^2 \|J^2\phi\|_2, \quad \text{for } \phi \in \Sigma^{2,2} \quad \text{and} \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

Proof. (See also [9]). We put $\phi(t) = S^{-1}\phi$ and

$$f_j(\psi)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (x_j - y_j)\psi(y)|x - y|^3 dy, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 3.$$

A simple calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} t^4 \text{Im}(\Delta(f(|\phi(t)|^2)\phi(t)), \Delta\phi(t)) &= -2t^4 \text{Im} \sum_{j=1}^3 (f_j(\text{Re } \overline{\phi(t)} \partial_j \phi(t))\phi(t), \Delta\phi(t)) \\ &\quad + 4t^4 \text{Im}(f(\text{Re } \overline{\phi(t)} \nabla \phi(t))\nabla\phi(t), \Delta\phi(t)). \end{aligned}$$

We have by Hölder’s inequality and Lemmas 2.1–2.2

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f_j(\text{Re } \overline{\phi(t)} \partial_j \phi(t))\phi(t)\|_2 \\ &\leq \|f_j(\text{Re } \overline{\phi(t)} \partial_j \phi(t))\|_3 \|\phi(t)\|_6 \\ &\leq C \|\overline{\phi(t)} \partial_j \phi(t)\|_{3/2} \|\phi(t)\|_6 \leq C \|\phi(t)\|_6^2 \|\partial_j \phi(t)\|_2 \\ &\leq C \|\nabla\phi(t)\|_2^3 \leq C|t|^{-3} \|J\phi\|_2^3. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.31) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(\operatorname{Re} \bar{\phi}(t) \nabla \phi(t)) \nabla \phi(t)\|_2 &\leq \|f(|\bar{\phi}(t) \nabla \phi(t)|)\|_\infty \|\nabla \phi(t)\|_2 \\ &\leq C \|\nabla \phi(t)\|_2^3 \leq C |t|^{-3} \|J\phi\|_2^3. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have the first inequality of (A.2). Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t^4 \operatorname{Im}(\Delta(f(|\phi|^2)\phi(t)), \Delta \phi(t)) &= -2t^4 \operatorname{Im} \sum_{j=1}^3 (f_j(\operatorname{Re} \bar{\phi} \partial_j \phi)\phi(t), \Delta \phi(t)) \\ &\quad + 4t^4 \operatorname{Im}(f(\operatorname{Re} \bar{\phi} \nabla \phi) \nabla \phi(t), \Delta \phi(t)). \end{aligned}$$

The second inequality of (A.2) follows from

$$\|f_j(\operatorname{Re} \bar{\phi} \partial_j \phi)\|_3 \leq C \|\phi(t)\|_6 \|\partial_j \phi\|_2 \leq C |t|^{-1} \|J\phi\|_2 \|\nabla \phi\|_2$$

and

$$\|f(\operatorname{Re} \bar{\phi} \nabla \phi)\|_\infty \leq C |t|^{-1} \|J\phi\|_2 \|\nabla \phi\|_2.$$

Inequality (A.1) is obtained in the same way as in the preceding argument.

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to the referee for helpful suggestions which simplified the proof of Proposition 2.4 of our original manuscript.

References

1. Chadam, J. M., Glassey, R. T.: Global existence of solutions to the Cauchy problem for time-dependent Hartree equations. *J. Math. Phys.* **16**, 1122–1130 (1975)
2. Dias, J. P.: Time decay for the solutions of some nonlinear Schrödinger equations. In: Bielefeld encounters in mathematics and physics IV and V, trends and developments in eighties. Singapore: World Scientific 1985
3. Dias, J. P., Figueira, M.: Conservation laws and time decay for the solutions to some nonlinear Schrödinger–Hartree equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **84**, 486–508 (1981)
4. Friedman, A.: Partial differential equations. New York: Holt–Rinehart and Winston 1969
5. Ginibre, J., Velo, G.: On a class of non-linear Schrödinger equation II. Scattering theory. *J. Funct. Anal.* **32**, 33–71 (1979)
6. Ginibre, J., Velo, G.: On a class of non-linear Schrödinger equations with non-local interaction. *Math. Z.* **170**, 109–136 (1980)
7. Ginibre, J., Velo, G.: Sur une équation de Schrödinger non-linéaire avec interaction non-locale. In: Nonlinear partial differential equations and their applications, college de France seminair, Vol. II. Boston: Pitman 1981
8. Glassey, R. T.: Asymptotic behavior of solutions to certain nonlinear Schrödinger–Hartree equations. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **53**, 9–18 (1977)
9. Hayashi, N.: Asymptotic behavior of solutions to time-dependent Hartree equations. *J. Nonlinear Anal.* (to appear)
10. Stein, E. M.: Singular integral and differentiability properties of functions. Princeton Math. Ser. **30**. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press 1970
11. Tsutsumi, M.: Weighted Sobolev spaces and rapidly decreasing solutions of some nonlinear dispersive wave equations. *J. Differ. Equations* **42**, 260–281 (1981)

Communicated by B. Simon

Received September 9, 1986; in revised form December 19, 1986