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#### Abstract

We consider the $\varphi^{4}$ theory in Euclidean space of complex dimension $v$ and prove that, for $\operatorname{Re} v<4$ the renormalized Feynman amplitudes grow at worst exponentially in the number of vertices in the graph. This implies that the Borel transform of any Schwinger function may be defined in a neighborhood of the origin in the Borel plane.


1. In this paper we prove the existence, in a neighborhood of the origin, of the Borel transform of the perturbative series for any Schwinger function of the Euclidean $\varphi_{v}^{4}$ model, when the (possibly complex) dimension $v$ of space time satisfies $\operatorname{Re} v<4$.

We do not discuss the more difficult problem of extending the domain of analyticity of the transform and proving the Borel summability of the theory (a problem which has been solved by constructive quantum field theory in the integer dimensions $v=1,2,3$ ). A discussion of the background and motivation for this study is given in [1] (see also [2]), to which we refer the interested reader; here we summarize the problem briefly.

We consider then a truncated Schwinger function of $N \geqq 2$ fields. Such a function has a formal power series development in the coupling constant $\lambda$ :

$$
\delta\left(\sum_{1}^{N} p_{i}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{n}}{n!} a_{n}(p, v),
$$

where $a_{n}$ is a sum of Feynman amplitudes which are associated with connected Feynman graphs and in which $v$ appears as a parameter. Since the number of such graphs is obviously smaller than the total number of graphs appearing in the development of the full Schwinger function, and since this number $K_{N, n}=(4 n+N-1)!$ ! is certainly bounded by $(n!)^{2} \cdot(16)^{n}(4 n+N-1)^{N / 2}$, the con-

[^0]vergence of the series for the Borel transform $B(t)$ :
$$
B(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n}}{(n!)^{2}} a_{n}(p, v)
$$
in a neighborhood of the origin $\left(|t|<t_{0}\right)$ will thus follow from our main result:
Theorem. Let $G_{0}$ be a connected graph in the $\varphi_{v}^{4}$ theory with $n$ internal and $N \geqq 2$ external vertices, and let $\delta\left(\sum p_{i}\right) I_{G_{0}}^{R}(p, v)$ be a renormalized Feynman amplitude for $G_{0}$. Then for $0<\operatorname{Re} v<4$, there is a constant $A_{v}$ independent of $n$ and of the graph $G_{0}$, such that:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{G_{0}}^{R}(v)\right| \leqq A_{v}^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Moreover, $A_{v}$ may be chosen uniformly on compact subsets of $\{0<\operatorname{Re} v<4\}$.
We note although we do not deal here with this question, that a precise numerical calculation of $A_{v}$ would provide a rigorous bound on the behavior of large orders of perturbation theory for $\varphi_{v}^{4}$, that could be compared with the more precise behavior obtained by Lipatov's method [3,4].

We remark also (see [1]) that it suffices to establish the theorem for any one choice of renormalization method, since a finite renormalization will not affect the estimate (1). The generalization of the theorem to the region $\operatorname{Re} v<4$ is trivial (see [1]).

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we introduce our notation, recall briefly the method of dimensional renormalization, and reduce the proof of the theorem above to an estimate on unrenormalized amplitudes. Section 3 is devoted to two preliminary estimates, on convergent graphs with generalized propagators, and on self-energy graphs. Section 4 gives an integral representation of an arbitrary amplitude in terms of the amplitudes considered in Sect. 3 and proves the final estimate.
2. We establish our notation for an arbitrary connected Feynman graph $G$ in which line $l$ has generalized propagator $\left(p^{2}+\zeta_{l}\right)^{-\lambda_{l}}$, with $\lambda_{l} \geqq 1, \operatorname{Re} \zeta_{l}>0$.

Let $L_{G}, n_{G}$, and $h_{G}$ denote the number of lines, vertices, and loops, respectively, and write

$$
\delta_{G}(v)=v / 2 \cdot h_{G}-\sum_{l \in G} \lambda_{l}
$$

for the superficial divergence in dimension $v$. Some vertices $\left\{v_{a}\right\}$ of $G$ are external and formally associated to them are Euclidean momenta $\left\{p_{a}\right\}$ satisfying overall momentum conservation; the complex dimensional amplitude is a function of the invariants $\left\{s_{a b}\right\}$ formally given by $s_{a b}=p_{a} \cdot p_{b}$. The standard combinatoric functions are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{G}(\alpha, \zeta)=\sum \alpha_{l} \zeta_{l} \\
& U_{G}(\alpha)=\sum_{T} \prod_{l \notin T} \alpha_{l}  \tag{2}\\
& V_{G}(\alpha, s)=U_{G}^{-1}(\alpha) \sum_{T_{2}}\left(\prod_{l \notin T_{2}} \alpha_{l}\right)\left(\sum_{a \in E} p_{a}\right)^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

where $T$ is a (spanning) tree in $G, T_{2}$ a 2-tree which separates the external vertices into two non-empty sets, one of which is $E$. Finally the unrenormalized Feynman amplitude [5] of $G$ is, omitting irrelevant factors:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{G}(v, s)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \ldots \int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{l \in G}\left[\Gamma\left(\lambda_{l}\right)^{-1} \alpha_{l}^{\lambda_{l}-1} d \alpha_{l}\right] U_{G}^{-v / 2} \exp \left[-\left(V_{G}+M_{G}\right)\right] . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This integral converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re} v<2$.
To study renormalization of the $\varphi^{4}$ theory, we fix $v_{0}<4$ and restrict $v$ to the domain $\Omega=\left\{v / 0<\operatorname{Re} v<v_{0}\right\}$.

A graph $G_{0}$ in the $\varphi^{4}$ theory has $\zeta_{l}=m^{2}, \lambda_{l}=1$ for each line $l$; the amplitude $I_{G_{0}}$ is meromorphic in $v$ and is analytic in the domain $\Omega^{\prime}=\left\{v / 0<\operatorname{Re} v<v_{0}, v \neq 4-\frac{2}{n}\right.$ for any $n \geqq 1\}$ [5]. A one-particle irreducible (1 PI) graph $G_{0}$ is superficially divergent for some $v \in \Omega$ [i.e. $\left.\delta_{G}\left(v_{0}\right)>0\right]$ if and only if $G_{0}$ has two external lines (i.e. is a self energy graph, obtained by amputating a graph in the Schwinger function of two fields) and satisfies $n_{G_{0}}<\left[2-\left(v_{0} / 2\right)\right]^{-1}$.

Thus there is a finite collection $\mathscr{J}$ of divergent 1PI self energy graphs and all other graphs are superficially convergent.

Suppose then that $G_{0}$ is superficially convergent in $\Omega\left(\delta_{G_{0}}\left(v_{0}\right) \leqq 0\right) . G_{0}$ contains a (possibly empty) collection $\left\{H_{1}, \ldots, H_{I}\right\}$ of divergent connected subgraphs, each isomorphic to an element of $\mathscr{J}$. Then the dimensionally renormalized amplitude $I_{G_{0}}^{R}$ has the form [5]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{G_{0}}^{R}(v ; s)=\sum_{S}\left[\prod_{i \in s} f_{H_{i}}(v)\right] I_{G_{S}}(v, s) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is over subsets $S \subset\{1, \ldots, I\}$ such that $\left\{H_{i}, i \in S\right\}$ are disjoint, $G_{S}$ is obtained from $G$ by replacing each $H_{i}, i \in S$, by a single $\varphi^{2}$ vertex, and $f_{H_{i}}(v)$ depends only on the structure of $H_{i}$ and is analytic in $\Omega^{\prime}$. Moreover, $I_{G_{0}}^{R}$ is analytic in $\Omega$.

Now in Sect. 4 we will prove:
Lemma 1. For any compact subset $K \subset \Omega^{\prime}$ there is a constant $B_{K} \geqq 1$ such that, for $\nu \in K$ and for any Feynman graph $G$ containing $\varphi^{4}$ and $\varphi^{2}$ vertices and at least two external lines:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{G}(v, s)\right| \leqq B_{K}^{L_{G}} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we note that the main theorem of Sect. 1 follows immediately. For the right side of (4) contains fewer than $2^{L_{G}}$ terms; thus if $K \subset \Omega^{\prime}$ is compact and $b_{K}=\max _{H \in \mathcal{F}}\left\{\sup _{v \in K} f_{H}(v)\right\}$ then for $v \in K,\left|I_{G}^{R}(v, s)\right| \leqq\left(2 b_{K} B_{K}\right)^{L_{G}}$.

A similar bound follows on a compact subset $\bar{K} \subset \Omega$ by writing $I_{G}^{R}$ in terms of Cauchy's integral formula on a Jordan curve in $\Omega^{\prime}$ enclosing $\bar{K}$. Since $L_{G}<2 n_{G}$, (1) follows.
3. We need two preliminary estimates for the proof of Lemma 1 . The first controls the behavior of convergent graphs; the key idea is to use a convexity argument (as in [1,2]) together with a standard result from linear programming to estimate $U_{G}^{-v / 2}$ as a product.

Lemma 2. For any compact $K \subset \Omega$ and any $a>0$, there is a constant $C_{K, a} \geqq 1$ such that, if $\bar{G}$ is a connected Feynman graph whose propagators $\left(p^{2}+\zeta_{l}\right)^{-\lambda_{l}}$ satisfy $\lambda_{l} \geqq 1$, $\operatorname{Re} \zeta_{l} \geqq a$, and for which $\delta_{J}\left(v_{0}\right) \leqq 0$ for every subgraph $J$, then for $v \in K$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{\bar{G}}(v, s)\right| \leqq\left(C_{K, a}\right)^{\sum_{t \in G}^{E_{G}} \lambda_{l}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\mu=\operatorname{Re} v$. We claim that there exist weights $W_{T}$ for the (spanning) trees $T$ of $\bar{G}$ such that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{T} \geqq 0 \\
& \sum W_{T}=1  \tag{7}\\
& \sum_{T \neq l} W_{T} \leqq \frac{2 \lambda_{l}}{v_{0}} \quad \forall l \in \bar{G} .
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose this is true; then :

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\bar{G}}(\alpha) \geqq \sum_{T} W_{T} \prod_{l \notin T} \alpha_{l} \geqq \prod_{T}\left(\prod_{l \notin T} \alpha_{l}\right)^{W_{T}}=\prod_{l} \alpha_{l}\left(\sum^{\tau^{\ddagger} l}{ }^{W_{T}}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the standard inequality between geometric and arithmetic means. Hence from (3), since $V_{\bar{G}} \geqq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{\bar{G}}\right| & \leqq \int_{0}^{\infty} \ldots \int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{l}^{\infty}\left[\Gamma\left(\lambda_{l}\right)^{-1} \alpha_{l}^{\lambda_{l}-1} d \alpha_{l}\right] U_{\bar{G}}^{-\mu / 2} \exp \left[-a \sum \alpha_{l}\right] \\
& \leqq \prod_{l}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \Gamma\left(\lambda_{l}\right)^{-1} \alpha_{l}^{\alpha_{l}-1} \exp \left(-a \alpha_{l}\right) d \alpha_{l}\right] \\
& =\prod_{l}\left\{a^{\varrho_{l}} \Gamma\left(\varrho_{l}\right) / \Gamma\left(\lambda_{l}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varrho_{l}=\lambda_{l}-\frac{1}{2} \mu \sum_{T \neq l} W_{T}$ satisfies $\lambda_{l} \geqq \varrho_{l} \geqq 1-\mu / v_{0}$.
Then (6) follows: for example, we may take $C_{K, a}=(\max \{1, a\}) \Gamma(r)$ where $r=\inf _{v \in K}\left(1-\frac{\operatorname{Re} v}{v_{0}}\right)$.

It remains to prove the claim. Now (7) may be reformulated as follows: there exist weights $\left\{W_{T}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{l} \mid l \in \bar{G}\right\}$ such that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{T} \geqq 0, \quad u_{l} \geqq 0 \\
& \sum W_{T}=1  \tag{9}\\
& \sum_{T \ni l} W_{T}-u_{l}=1-2 \frac{\lambda_{l}}{v_{0}} \equiv \chi_{l} \quad \forall l \in \bar{G}
\end{align*}
$$

By a lemma of Farkas $[6,7]$, (9) has a solution if there is no solution $\left(y, x_{l}\right)$ to the dual problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{l} \leqq 0 & \forall l \in G \\
y+\sum_{l \in T} x_{l} \geqq 0 & \forall T  \tag{10}\\
y+\sum_{l \in \bar{G}} \chi_{l} x_{l}<0 &
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose then that a solution of (10) exists, we number the lines of $\bar{G}$ so that $x_{l_{1}} \leqq x_{l_{2}} \leqq \ldots \leqq x_{l_{L}} \leqq x_{l_{L_{+1}}} \equiv 0$ and let $J_{k}$ be the graph formed by $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}$.

The condition $\delta_{J_{k}}\left(v_{0}\right) \leqq 0$ is precisely:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{k} \chi_{l_{j}} \leqq L_{J_{k}}-h_{J_{k}}=n_{J_{k}}-c_{J_{k}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{J_{k}}$ is the number of connected components of $J_{k}$.
Multiply (11) by $x_{l_{k+1}}-x_{l_{k}}$ and sum over $k=1, \ldots, L$ to obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\bar{G}} \chi_{l} x_{l} \geqq \sum_{k=1}^{L} x_{l_{k}}\left[\left(n_{J_{k}}-c_{J_{k}}\right)-\left(n_{J_{k-1}}-c_{J_{k-1}}\right)\right]=\sum_{l \in T} x_{l} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T=\left\{l_{k} / n_{J_{k}}-c_{J_{k}} \neq n_{J_{k-1}-1}-c_{J_{k-1}}\right\}$ (set $n_{J_{0}}=0, c_{J_{0}}=0$, by convention).
But $T$ is clearly a tree in $\bar{G}$, so that (10) implies:

$$
\sum_{T} x_{l} \geqq-y>\sum_{\bar{G}} \chi_{l} x_{l}
$$

contradicting (12). Thus (10) has no solution, and a solution exists for (9).
The second estimate we need controls the high energy behavior of self energy graphs. Such results are known in greater generality [8] and we give a proof in our somewhat different case primarily for completeness.

For a self energy graph we write $z$ for the external energy $\left(z=p^{2}\right)$ and the notation $V(\alpha, z)=v(\alpha) z$.

Lemma 3. Let $H$ be a 1-PI self energy graph in the $\varphi^{4}$ theory. Then for $\operatorname{Re} z>-\frac{m^{2}}{2}$, the defining integral:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(v, z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \ldots \int_{0}^{\infty} \prod d \alpha_{l} U^{-v / 2} \exp \left[-\left(v z+\left(\sum \alpha\right) m^{2}\right)\right] \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges absolutely if $\operatorname{Re} v<2$, may be analytically continued to the domain $\Omega^{\prime}$, and on compact subsets $K \subset \Omega^{\prime}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|I(v, z)| \leqq D_{K}\left|z+m^{2}\right|^{\bar{\delta}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $D_{K}$, with $\bar{\delta}=\max \left\{\operatorname{Re} \delta_{H}(\nu), 0\right\}$.
Proof. We leave the case $v(\alpha)=0$ (in which the external vertices of $H$ coincide) to the reader. In the general case we first note that, from (2), $v(\alpha) \leqq \sum_{H} \alpha_{l}$ and hence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[v z+\left(\sum_{H} \alpha_{l}\right)^{m^{2}}\right] \geqq \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{H} \alpha_{l}\right) m^{2} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now following [5], we write $I=\sum I_{\xi}$ where the sum is over all $s$-families $\xi$ for $H$, and in $I_{\xi}$ introduce scaling variables $\left\{t_{J} \mid J \in \xi\right\}$ by $\alpha_{l}=\prod_{J \exists l} t_{j}$. Then:

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\xi}(v, z)= & \int_{0}^{\infty} t_{H}^{-\delta_{H}(v)-1} d t_{H} \int_{0}^{1} \ldots \int_{0}^{1} \prod_{\substack{J \in \xi \\
J \neq H}} t_{J}^{-\delta_{J}(v)-1} d t_{J} e(t)^{-v / 2} \\
& \cdot \exp \left\{-t_{H}\left[\left(\prod_{J \in \xi^{\prime}} t_{J}\right) f(t) z+g(t) m^{2}\right]\right\} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c(t), f(t)$, and $g(t)$ are independent of $t_{H}$, continuous and positive in the integration region, and $\xi^{\prime}=\{J \in \xi / J \neq H$ and $J$ connects the external vertices of $H\}$. The absolute convergence of (16) for $\operatorname{Re} v<2$ now follows from (15) and the inequality $\operatorname{Re} \delta_{J}(v)<0, J \in \xi$.

To continue $I_{\xi}$ to all of $\Omega^{\prime}$ we use the identity, valid for $\operatorname{Re} v<2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} t_{J}^{-\delta_{J}(v)-1} \varphi\left(t_{J}\right) d t_{J}=\left(-\delta_{J}(v)\right)^{-1} \varphi(o)+\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} t_{J}^{-\delta_{J}(v)-1} d t_{J} d \tau_{J}\left[\frac{d}{d \tau_{J}} \varphi\left(t_{J} \tau_{J}\right)\right] \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $J \in \mathfrak{I}=\left\{J \in \xi / J \neq H, \delta_{J}\left(v_{0}\right)>0\right\}$ carry out the $\tau_{J}$ derivatives, then do the $t_{H}$ integral explicitly. The result is a finite sum of terms which we label by a partition $\mathfrak{I}=\mathfrak{J}^{\prime} \cup \mathfrak{J}^{\prime \prime}$ describing which term of (17) occurs for each $J$, and non negative integers $c, d$, describing the number of times the $z$ and $m^{2}$ terms respectively are pulled down from the exponential in (16) by $\tau$ derivatives. Thus (using $\mathfrak{J} \cap \xi^{\prime}=\emptyset$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\xi}= & \sum_{\mathfrak{J}^{\prime}, c, d} \Gamma\left(c+d-\delta_{\boldsymbol{H}}(v)\right) \prod_{\mathfrak{S}^{\prime}}\left[-\delta_{J}(v)\right]^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} \ldots \int_{0}^{1} \prod_{\mathfrak{J}^{\prime}} t_{J}^{-\delta_{J}(v)} \\
& \cdot d t_{J} d \tau_{J} \prod_{J \neq \mathfrak{J}, J \neq \boldsymbol{H}} t_{J}^{-\delta_{J}(v)-1} d t_{J}\left(z \prod_{\xi^{\prime}} t_{J}\right)^{c}\left(m^{2}\right)^{d} R(t, \tau) S(t, \tau, z)^{\delta_{H}(v)-c-d}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R$ is a continuous function and:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(t, \tau, z) & =\left[\prod_{\xi^{\prime}} t_{J} f(t) z+g(t) m^{2}\right]_{\substack{t_{J} \rightarrow \tau_{J} t_{J} \in J \in \mathcal{J}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{Y}^{\prime \prime}}} \\
& =\left[\prod_{\xi^{\prime}} t_{J} \bar{f}(t, \tau) z+\bar{g}(t, \tau) m^{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (15), $\operatorname{Re} S \geqq \bar{g}(t, \tau) \frac{m^{2}}{2}$; hence the integral in (18) is convergent for $v \in \Omega$ and (18) provides an analytic continuation of $I$ to $\Omega^{\prime}$.

To verify (14), note that for appropriate $C_{1}, C_{2}$ :

$$
C_{1}\left|z+m^{2}\right| \geqq|S| \geqq C_{2}\left[\prod_{\xi^{\prime}} t_{J}|z|+m^{2}\right] .
$$

Hence since $|\arg S| \leqq \pi / 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|S^{\delta_{H}(v)-c-d}\right|= & \left|S^{\delta_{H}(v)}\right|\left|S^{-c}\right|\left|S^{-d}\right| \\
\leqq & e^{\pi / 2\left|\operatorname{Im} \delta_{H}(v)\right|}\left[C_{2} \prod_{\xi^{\prime}} t_{J}|z|\right]^{-c}\left[C_{2} m^{2}\right]^{-d} \\
& \cdot\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
{\left[C_{1}\left|z+m^{2}\right|\right]^{\operatorname{Re} \delta_{H}(v)}} & \text { if } & \operatorname{Re} \delta_{H}(v) \geqq 0 \\
{\left[C_{2} m^{2}\right]^{\operatorname{Re} \delta_{H}(v)}} & \text { if } & \operatorname{Re} \delta_{H}(v) \leqq 0 .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Inserting these estimates in (18) yields (14).
4. Our goal in this section is to prove Lemma 1.

Thus, let $G$ be a graph with $\varphi^{4}$ or $\varphi^{2}$ vertices and propagators $\left(p^{2}+m^{2}\right)^{-1}$; since Lemma 1 is an asymptotic result we may assume $\delta_{G}\left(v_{0}\right) \leqq 0$.

We identify in $G$ a collection $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{p}$ of subgraphs as in Fig. 1; $G_{j}$ is a maximal chain of $r(j)$ subgraphs $G_{j k} \in \mathscr{J}$, joined by lines $l_{j 0}, l_{j 1}, \ldots, l_{j r(j)}$.

Moreover, we suppose that $G_{j k}$ is a maximal subgraph of $G$ belonging to $\mathscr{F}$ and that every such maximal subgraph is a $G_{j k}$ for some $j, k$. Feynman parameters in $G_{j}$ are denoted $\alpha_{j k}\left(\right.$ for $\left.l_{j k}\right)$ or $\alpha_{j k i}$ (in $\left.G_{j k}\right)$; other Feynman parameters in $G$ are denoted $\gamma_{i}$.


Fig. 1. The subgraphs $G_{j}$

We consider also the graph $\bar{G}$ obtained by replacing $G_{j}$ in $G$ with a single line $l_{j}$ having Feynman parameters $\beta_{j}$ and propagators $\left(p^{2}+\zeta_{j}\right)^{-\lambda_{j}}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{j}=-\delta_{G_{j}}\left(v_{0}\right)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{r(j)}\left(1-\delta_{G_{j k}}\left(v_{0}\right)\right) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that if $J$ is a subgraph of $\bar{G}$ and $J^{\prime}$ the corresponding subgraph of $G$ obtained by replacing $l_{j}$ by $G_{j}$ throughout, then $\delta_{j}\left(v_{0}\right) \leqq \delta_{J}\left(v_{0}\right)$; thus Lemma 2 applies to $\bar{G}$. We will write $U, \bar{U}, U_{j}$ etc. $\ldots$ for $U_{G}, U_{\bar{G}}, U_{G_{j}}$ etc. $\ldots$ and from (2) note that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& U(\alpha, \gamma)=\bar{U}(\beta, \gamma) / \beta_{j}=v_{j} \prod_{1}^{p} U_{j} \\
& V(\alpha, \gamma, s)=\bar{V}(\beta, \gamma, s) / \beta_{j}=v_{j} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Also :

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{j}(\alpha)=\prod_{k=1}^{r(j)} U_{j k}(\alpha) \\
& v_{j}(\alpha)=\sum_{k=1}^{r(j)} v_{j k}(\alpha)+\sum_{k=0}^{r(j)} \alpha_{j k} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

and hence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{G_{j}}(v, z)=\left(z+m^{2}\right)^{-r(j)-1} \prod_{k=1}^{r(j)} I_{G_{j k}}(v, z) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now give a purely formal derivation of a new representation of $I_{G}$; a similar representation is used in [9]. From (3) and (20),

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{G}(v, s)= & \int_{0}^{\infty} \ldots \int_{0}^{\infty} \prod d \alpha d \gamma \prod_{j=1}^{p}\left\{\delta\left(\beta_{j}-v_{j}(\alpha)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\cdot\left[\beta_{j} / v_{j}(\alpha)\right]^{\lambda_{j}-1} U_{j}^{-v / 2} d \beta_{j}\right\} \bar{U}^{-v / 2} \exp [-(\bar{V}+M)] \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Now write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta\left(\beta_{j}-v_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\operatorname{Re} \zeta_{j}} \int_{m^{2} / 2} d \zeta_{j} \exp \left[\zeta_{j}\left(v_{j}-\beta_{j}\right)\right],  \tag{24}\\
& v_{j}^{-\left(\lambda_{j}-1\right)}=\Gamma\left(\lambda_{j}-1\right)^{-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \eta_{j} \eta_{j}^{\lambda_{j}-2} \exp \left(-\eta_{j} v_{j}\right) \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

so that (23) becomes [using (3) for $\bar{G}$ and $G_{j}$, then (22)]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{G}(v, s)=\int_{\operatorname{Re} z_{j}>-m^{2} / 2} \prod_{j=1}^{P} d \mu_{j}\left(z_{j}\right) I_{\bar{G}}(v, s) \prod_{j=1}^{P}\left\{\left(z_{j}+m^{2}\right)^{-r(j)-1} \prod_{k} I_{G_{j k}}\left(v, z_{j}\right)\right\}, \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{j}=\eta_{j}-\zeta_{j}$ and $d \mu_{j}\left(z_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left(\lambda_{j}-1\right) \eta_{j}^{\lambda_{j}-2} d \eta_{j} d \zeta_{j}\left[\right.$ recall that $I_{\bar{G}}$ contains a factor $\left.\prod_{j} \Gamma\left(\lambda_{j}\right)^{-1}\right]$.
Lemma 4. The formula (26) is valid for all $v \in \Omega^{\prime}$.
Proof. We first establish (26) for $\operatorname{Re} v$ sufficiently small. Let $\varphi$ be a smooth, even, non-negative function of compact support on $\mathbb{R}$ for which $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) d x=1$, and let $\tilde{\varphi}(t)=\int \varphi(x) e^{i x t} d x$. For $\varepsilon>0$, we may regularize the $\delta$-function above by inserting a factor $\underset{\varphi}{\mathbb{L}}\left(\varepsilon \operatorname{Im} \zeta_{j}\right)$ in the integral (24); substitution of (25) and the modified (24) into (23) leads us to define

$$
\begin{align*}
& I^{\varepsilon}(v, s)=\int_{\operatorname{Re} z_{j}>-m^{2} / 2} \prod_{j=1}^{P} \tilde{\varphi}\left(\varepsilon \operatorname{Im} z_{j}\right) \Gamma\left(\lambda_{j}\right)^{-1} d \mu_{j}\left(z_{j}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \prod d \alpha d \gamma \\
& \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{P} \beta_{j}^{\lambda_{j}-1} d \beta_{j}\left[\bar{U}_{(\beta, \gamma)}\right]^{-v / 2} \exp [-(\bar{V}+\bar{M})] \prod_{j=1}^{P} U_{j}(\alpha)^{-1 / 2} \exp \left[-\left(v_{j} z_{j}+M_{j}\right)\right] . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

This integral is absolutely convergent, since taking the absolute value of the integrand replaces $v$ by $\operatorname{Re} v$ and $\zeta_{j}$ by $m^{2} / 2$, thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int|\ldots| d \eta d \zeta d \alpha d \gamma d \beta= & \left.\int \prod_{j}\left|\tilde{\varphi}\left(\varepsilon \operatorname{Im} z_{j}\right)\right| d \mu_{j}\left(z_{j}\right) I_{\bar{G}}(\operatorname{Re} v, s)\right|_{\zeta_{j}=m^{2} / 2} \\
& \cdot \prod_{j} I_{G_{j}}\left(\operatorname{Re} v, \operatorname{Re} z_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which converges by (22) and Lemmas 2 and 3.
Thus we may evaluate (27) by doing the $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$ integrals to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{\varepsilon}(v, s)=\int \prod_{j} \tilde{\varphi}\left(\varepsilon \operatorname{Im} z_{j}\right) d \mu_{j}\left(z_{j}\right) I_{\bar{G}}(v, s) \prod_{j}\left[\left(z_{j}+m^{2}\right)^{-r(j)-1} \prod_{k} I_{G_{j k}}\left(v, z_{j}\right)\right] . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\tilde{\varphi}(o)=1$ the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem and Lemmas 2, 3 yield:
$\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} I^{\varepsilon}(v, s)=[$ right hand side of (26) $]$.
[The use of Lemmas 2 and 3 to estimate integrals such as (28) is given in detail in the proof of Lemma 1, below.]

It remains to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} I^{\varepsilon}(v, s)=I_{G}(v, s) . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this, we do the $z$ integrals in (27) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{\varepsilon}(v, s)=\int \prod d \alpha \prod d \gamma \prod_{j=1}^{P}\left[v_{j}^{-\left(\lambda_{j}-1\right)} U_{j}^{-v / 2} e^{v_{j} m^{2} / 2}\right] \exp (-M) G^{\varepsilon}(\alpha, \gamma), \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G^{\varepsilon}(\alpha, \gamma)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{j}\left\{\beta_{j}^{\lambda_{j}-1} \varepsilon^{-1} \varphi\left[\left(\beta_{j}-v_{j}\right) / \varepsilon\right] \exp \left(-\beta_{j} m^{2} / 2\right) d \beta_{j}\right\} \bar{U}^{-v / 2} \exp (-\bar{V})$.
Clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} G^{\varepsilon}(\alpha, \gamma)=\left.\left(v_{j}\right)^{\lambda_{j}-1} \exp \left(-v_{j} m^{2} / 2\right)\left[\bar{U}^{-v / 2} \exp (-\bar{V})\right]\right|_{\beta_{j}=v_{j}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if we can take this limit outside the integral in (30), (29) is established. Now choosing any term in $\bar{U}$ we may estimate

$$
\bar{U} \geqq \prod \beta_{j}^{b_{j}} \prod \gamma_{i}^{c_{i}},
$$

where $b_{j}, c_{i}$ are zero or one; using $\bar{V} \geqq 0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G^{\varepsilon}\right| \leqq \prod_{i} \gamma_{i}^{-c_{i} R e v / 2} \prod_{j}\left\{\sup _{\beta_{J} \geqq 0} \beta_{j}^{\lambda_{j}-1-b_{j} \mathrm{Re} v / 2} \exp \left(-\beta_{j} \frac{m^{2}}{2}\right)\right\} . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lambda_{j}>1$ the supremum is finite for $\operatorname{Re} v$ sufficiently small. From (21),

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{j}^{-\lambda_{j}-1} \leqq\left(\sum_{k=1}^{r(j)} \alpha_{j k}\right)^{\sum_{k}\left(\delta_{G_{j k}}\left(v_{0}\right)-1\right)} \leqq \prod_{k=1}^{r(j)} \alpha_{j k}^{\left(\delta_{G_{j k}}\left(v_{0}\right)-1\right)} . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (32), (33), and (15), we see that the integrand in (30) is in absolute value less than

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { (const) } & \cdot \prod_{i}\left[\gamma_{i}^{-c_{i} R e v / 2} e^{-\gamma_{i} m^{2}}\right] \prod_{j}\left\{e^{-\alpha_{j o} m^{2}} \prod_{k=1}^{r(j)}\left[\alpha_{j k}^{\left(\delta_{G_{j k}}\left(v_{0}\right)-1\right)} e^{-\alpha_{j k} m^{2}}\right]\right. \\
& \left.\cdot \prod_{j k}^{-v / 2} e^{-1 / 2 M_{j k}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $\operatorname{Re} v$ small the dominated convergence theorem yields (29).
To complete the proof we must verify (26) for all $v \in \Omega^{\prime}$. But by Lemmas 2 and 3 the right hand side is absolutely convergent for all $v \in \Omega^{\prime}$, (again see the proof of Lemma 1, below) and hence analytic in $\Omega^{\prime}$. The result follows by the principle of analytic continuation.

Proof of Lemma 1. We apply Lemmas 2 and 3 to estimate (26) for $v \in K \subset \Omega^{\prime}, K$ compact. Let $L^{\prime}=L_{G}-\sum_{j} L_{G_{j}}=L_{\bar{G}}-P$ and set $t_{j}=\operatorname{Im} z_{j}$.

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{G}(v, s)\right| \leqq C_{K, m^{2} / 2}^{\left(L^{\prime}+\lambda_{j}\right)} \prod_{j=1}^{P}\left\{\frac{D_{K}\left(\lambda_{j}-1\right)}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \eta_{j}^{\lambda_{j}-2} d \eta_{j} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d t_{j}\left|z_{j}+m^{2}\right|^{-\mu_{j}}\right\} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mu_{j}=r(j)+1-\sum_{k} \max \left[0, \operatorname{Re} \delta_{G_{j k}}(v)\right]$.
We introduce polar coordinates $\eta_{j}=r_{j} \sin \theta_{j}, t_{j}=r_{j} \cos \theta_{j}$, and note

$$
\left|z_{j}+m^{2}\right|=\left|\eta_{j}+i t_{j}+m^{2} / 2\right| \geqq A\left(r_{j}+1\right)
$$

for an appropriate constant $A$.
Thus we estimate the bracketed term in (34) by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\} & \leqq D_{K} \frac{\left(\lambda_{j}-1\right)}{2 \pi} 2 \int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left(\sin \theta_{j}\right)^{\lambda_{j}-2} d \theta_{j} \int_{0}^{\infty} r_{j}^{\lambda_{j}-1}\left[A\left(r_{j}+1\right)\right]^{-\mu_{j}} d r_{j} \\
& \leqq \frac{D_{K}}{\pi}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{\lambda_{j}-1} A^{-\mu_{j}} B\left(\lambda_{j}, \mu_{j}-\lambda_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B$ is the beta function. (We have used $\sin \theta_{j} \leqq \theta_{j}$.)
Since $\lambda_{j}>1$ and $\mu_{j}-\lambda_{j}$ is uniformly bounded away from zero on $K$ [see (19)], the beta function is uniformly bounded by some $K$-dependent constant.

Thus (34) becomes:

$$
\left|I_{G}(v, s)\right| \leqq\left(C_{K, m^{2} / 2}\right)^{\left(L^{\prime}+\sum \lambda_{j}\right)}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{\Sigma\left(\lambda_{j}-1\right)} A^{-\sum \mu_{j}} E_{K}^{P}
$$

since $L^{\prime} \leqq L_{G}, \sum \lambda_{j} \leqq \sum[r(j)+1] \leqq L_{G}$ by $(19), P<L_{G}$ and $\sum \mu_{j} \leqq \sum[r(j)+1] \leqq L_{G}$, this yields a bound of the form (5).

Acknowledgements. The first author thanks Princeton University for hospitality during the year 78-79, where part of this work was conceived. We wish to express our thanks to Arthur Wightman for suggesting this problem, and to Pavol Hell for contributing to the proof of Lemma 2.

## References

1. Rivasseau, V., Wightman, A.S.: Non perturbative dimensional interpolation. Prépublications de Rencontres de Strasbourg, RCP 25,Vol. 28, 1980
2. Rivasseau, V.: Sommation et estimation d'amplitudes de Feynman. Thèse de $3^{e}$ cycle, Université Paris VI, 1979
3. Lipatov, L.N.: Preprint, Leningrad Nuclear Physics Institute 1976
4. Brezin, E., Le Guillou, J.C., Zinn Justin, J. : Phys. Rev. D 15, 1544-1557 (1977)
5. Speer, E. : Dimensional and analytic renormalization. In: Renormalization theory (eds. G. Velo, A. S. Wightman), pp. 25-93. Dordrecht: Reidel 1976
6. Farkas, J.: J. Reine Angew. Math. 124, 1-24 (1902)
7. Mangasarian, O.L.: Non linear programming. New York: MacGraw Hill 1969
8. Bergère, M.C., Lam, Y.P.: Asymptotic expansion of Feynman amplitudes. Part II: The divergent case. FUB HEP preprint (1974)
9. Regge, T., Speer, E.R., Westwater, M.J. : Fortschr. Phys. 30, 365-420 (1972)

Communicated by R. Stora


[^0]:    * Research partially supported by National Science Foundation, Grant Number Phy 77-02277 A 01

