# The Non-relativistic Limit of $\mathscr{P}(\varphi)_{2}$ Quantum Field Theories: Two-Particle Phenomena 

J. Dimock ${ }^{\star}$<br>Department of Mathematics, SUNY at Buffalo, Amherst, NY 14226, USA


#### Abstract

It is proved that for two-particle phenomena the $\mathscr{P}(\varphi)_{2}$ quantum field theories with speed of light $c$ converge to non-relativistic quantum mechanics with a $\delta$ function potential in the limit $c \rightarrow \infty$.


## I. Introduction

In this paper we are concerned with the general question of how relativistic quantum mechanics with speed of light $c$ is approximated by non-relativistic quantum mechanics in the limit $c \rightarrow \infty$. Only a few rigorous results of this nature exist. For example, for a single particle in an external field, the relation between the Dirac equation and the Schrödinger equation is understood. ([12], and earlier references.)

Specifically we consider $\mathscr{P}(\varphi)_{2}$ quantum field theory models with speed of light $c$, denoted $\mathscr{P}(\varphi)_{2, c}$. According to the folklore the $c \rightarrow \infty$ limit should produce a multiparticle Schrödinger theory with $\delta$-function potentials. For $\left(\varphi^{4}\right)_{2, c}$ the argument goes as follows. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{c}(p)=\left(p^{2} c^{2}+m^{2} c^{4}\right)^{1 / 2} \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^{1} \\
& \varphi_{c}(x)=(2 \pi)^{-1 / 2} \int e^{-i p x} c\left(2 \omega_{c}(p)\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(a^{*}(p)+a(-p)\right) d p,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m$ is the single particle mass and $a^{*}, a$ are the usual creation and annihilation operators. The Hamiltonian for the theory has the form

$$
H_{c}=\int a^{*}(p) \omega_{c}(p) a(p) d p+\lambda \int: \varphi_{c}^{4}(x): d x .
$$

As $c \rightarrow \infty$ all creation and annihilation processes are somehow kinematically suppressed. If we also ignore the "zitterbewegung" term $m c^{2}$ in $\omega_{c}(p)=m c^{2}$ $+(2 m)^{-1} p^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(c^{-2}\right)$, then in some vague sense we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{\infty}= & \int a^{*}(p)(2 m)^{-1} p^{2} a(p) d p \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3 \lambda}{m^{2}}\right) \int a^{*}(x) a^{*}(y) \delta(x-y) a(x) a(y) d x d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]This corresponds to non-relativistic bosons interacting with a two body potential $V(x)=3 \lambda m^{-2} \delta(x)$.

In trying to establish precise results one must decide for which objects in the theory the limit $c \rightarrow \infty$ should exist. It is evident that $\lim _{c \rightarrow \infty} H_{c}=H_{\infty}$ is too much to ask for. On the other hand, at least the physically measurable quantities should have the correct non-relativistic limit. This is essentially what we show, but restricted to two particle interactions.

The main result is the following. Let $\mathscr{P}^{ \pm}(\varphi)=\lambda\left(\mathscr{R}(\varphi) \pm \varphi^{4}\right)$ where $\mathscr{R}$ is an even polynomial with no second or fourth order terms.
Theorem. The two particle scattering amplitude and the two particle binding energies for the $\mathscr{P}^{ \pm}(\varphi)_{2, c}$ quantum field theory converge to the corresponding objects for a $\pm 3 \lambda / m^{2} \delta(x)$ potential as $c \rightarrow \infty$.

The proof of these results depends on the fact that for $c$ large the dimensionless coupling constant $\lambda / m^{2} c$ is small, and so we are in the weak coupling regime which is relatively well understood [9, 2, 6]. In particular one has the Bethe-Salpeter equation at one's disposal $[16,8,17,4]$. The results essentially follow by showing that the Bethe-Salpeter equation (one might better say Bethe-Salpeter identity) converges to the resolvent identity. To obtain this one must shift energies by $m c^{2}$ and restrict to wave functions independent of relative energy (i.e. depending only on relative momentum).

The plan of attack is the following. In Section II we define the non-relativistic model. In Section III we develop the weakly coupled $\mathscr{P}(\varphi)_{2}$ model with $c=1$. The results here are the basis for the study of the large $c \mathscr{P}(\varphi)_{2, c}$ models in Section IV.

## II. The Non-relativistic Model

In this section we define non-relativistic quantum mechanics for a $\delta$ function potential. To describe two spinless bosons of mass $m$ in a world with one space dimension we take for the Hilbert space $L_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$, where $\mathbb{R}^{1}$ corresponds to relative momentum and $L_{2}^{+}$means even functions in $L_{2}$ corresponding to Bose statistics. The Hamiltonian has the form $H=H_{0}+V$ where $H_{0}$ is multiplication by $p^{2} / m$ (the reduced mass is $m / 2$ ) and $V$ denotes a potential function $V(p)$ and also the bilinear form with kernel $\left(2 \pi^{-1 / 2} V(p+q)^{1}\right.$. We are concerned with the case of constant $V$, and take $V=V_{\alpha}$ with $V_{\alpha}(p)=(2 \pi)^{-1 / 2} \alpha, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$. This corresponds to multiplication by $\alpha \delta(x)$ in configuration space.

As is well known $H_{\alpha}=H_{0}+V_{\alpha}$ defines a self-adjoint operator on $L_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ (e.g. [7,15]). This can be approached as follows. Consider the Hilbert spaces

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{H} & =L_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1},\left(p^{2}+1\right)^{-1} d p\right) \\
\mathscr{H}^{*} & =L_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1},\left(p^{2}+1\right) d p\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

[^1]By the nuclear theorem any such bilinear form has a unique kernel
which are dual with the pairing given by the Lebesgue inner product. Then both $H_{0}$ and $V_{\alpha}$ define bounded symmetric bilinear forms in $\mathscr{H}^{*} \times \mathscr{H}^{*}$ and hence operators in $\mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{H}^{*}, \mathscr{H}\right)$. Thus $H_{\alpha}=H_{0}+V_{\alpha}$ is well defined in $\mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{H}^{*}, \mathscr{H}\right)$. Furthermore $V_{\alpha}$ is a small form perturbation of $H_{0}$, and so $H_{\alpha}$ restricted to $\left\{\psi \in \mathscr{H}^{*}: H_{\alpha} \psi \in L_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)\right\}$ is a self-adjoint operator.

The binding energies $E<0$ are the eigenvalues of $H_{\alpha}$ on $L_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$. These coincide with the eigenvalues of $H_{\alpha}$ on $\mathscr{H}^{*}$ and hence with the solutions of the implicit eigenvalue problem on $\mathscr{H}$

$$
V_{\alpha}\left(H_{0}-E\right)^{-1} \psi=-\psi
$$

The operator $V_{\alpha}\left(H_{0}-E\right)^{-1} \in \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{H})$ is compact; in fact it is rank one with range equal to the constant functions. For $\psi=$ constant we have

$$
V_{\alpha}\left(H_{0}-E\right)^{-1} \psi=K_{\alpha}(E) \psi \quad K_{\alpha}(E)=\frac{\alpha}{2} m^{1 / 2}(-E)^{-1 / 2}
$$

Thus $E$ is an eigenvalue if and only if $K_{\alpha}(E)=-1$. If $\alpha$ is positive there are no solutions, while if $\alpha$ is negative there is the unique solution

$$
E_{B}(\alpha)=-\frac{1}{4} \alpha^{2} m .
$$

We now note the resolvent identity in $\mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{H}^{*}\right)$

$$
\left(H_{\alpha}-E\right)^{-1}=\left(H_{0}-E\right)^{-1}\left(1+V_{\alpha}\left(H_{0}-E\right)^{-1}\right)^{-1}
$$

valid in the cut plane $\left\{E \in \mathbb{C}: E \notin \mathbb{R}^{+}, E \neq E_{B}(\alpha)\right.$ if $\left.\alpha<0\right\}$ (Fredholm theorem). We also define the $T$ operator $\mathbb{T}_{\alpha}(E) \in \mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{H}^{*}, \mathscr{H}\right)$ in the same region by

$$
\mathbb{T}_{\alpha}(E)=\left(1+V_{\alpha}\left(H_{0}-E\right)^{-1}\right)^{-1} V_{\alpha}
$$

Actually we have $\mathbb{T}_{\alpha}(E)=\left(1+K_{\alpha}(E)\right)^{-1} V_{\alpha}$ and so $\mathbb{T}_{\alpha}(E)$ can be analytically continued across the cut onto a two sheeted manifold. For $\alpha>0$ there is a pole on the second sheet at $E=E_{B}(\alpha)$. The kernel $\mathbb{T}_{\alpha}(E, p, q)$ has the same analyticity in $E$, and is constant in $p, q$ :

$$
\mathbb{T}_{\alpha}(E, p, q)=\left(1+K_{\alpha}(E)\right)^{-1}(2 \pi)^{-1} \alpha .
$$

Finally we consider the scattering operator $\mathbb{S}_{\alpha}$ on $L_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}, d p\right)$. According to the Lipmann-Schwinger equation the kernel of $\mathbb{S}_{\alpha}$ is given by

$$
\mathbb{S}_{\alpha}(p, q)=\delta(p-q)-2 \pi i \mathbb{T}_{\alpha}\left(\frac{p^{2}}{m}+i 0^{+}, p, q\right) \delta\left(\frac{p^{2}}{m}-\frac{q^{2}}{m}\right)
$$

The verification of this equation as an identity in $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ away from $p=0$ for a class of potentials including the $\delta$ function will be presented elsewhere (for similar results see $[13,19])$. For the present we take this as the definition of $\mathbb{S}_{\alpha}$. We further define

$$
k_{\alpha}(p)=K_{\alpha}\left(\frac{p^{2}}{m}+i 0^{+}\right)=\frac{1}{2} i \alpha m|p|^{-1} .
$$

For even test functions $\delta(p-q)=\delta(p+q)$ and so

$$
\delta\left(p^{2} / m-q^{2} / m\right)=\frac{m}{|p|} \delta(p-q)
$$

Thus away from $p=0$ we have

$$
\mathbb{S}_{\alpha}(p, q)=\left(\frac{1-k_{\alpha}(p)}{1+k_{\alpha}(p)}\right) \delta(p-q) .
$$

Scattering consists of a phase shift.

## III. Weakly Coupled $\mathscr{P}(\varphi)_{2}$ Models

## III.1. The Models

A $\mathscr{P}(\varphi)_{2}$ model for a self-interacting boson field may be defined in terms of its Schwinger functions $\mathfrak{S}=\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda, m, \sigma}$ which are formally given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{S}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\frac{\int q\left(x_{1}\right) \ldots q\left(x_{n}\right) \exp \left(-\int: \mathscr{P}(q(x)): d x\right) d \mu(q)}{\int \exp \left(-\int: \mathscr{P}(q(x)): d x\right) d \mu(q)}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q \in \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), d \mu=d \mu_{m}$ is the Gaussian measure with mean zero and covariance $\left(-\Delta+\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)^{-1}$ and $\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{P}_{\lambda, \sigma}^{ \pm}$is an even polynomial of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{P}_{\lambda, \sigma}^{ \pm}(q) & =\lambda\left(\mathscr{R}(q) \pm q^{4}\right)+\sigma^{2} q^{2} \\
\mathscr{R}(q) & =\sum_{n=3}^{N} a_{2 n} q^{2 n}, \quad a_{2 N}>0 . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

With $+q^{4}$ we also allow $\mathscr{R}=0$. We do not consider polynomials lacking a quartic term (which are trivial for our purposes).

The Schwinger functions $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda, m, \sigma} \in \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ may be constructed using the cluster expansion of Glimm et al. [9] provided $\lambda / m^{2}$ and $\sigma / m$ are sufficiently small. By analytic continuation one obtains a family of Wightman distributions $\mathscr{W}_{\lambda, m, \sigma}$ satisfying the Wightman axioms and by reconstruction a quantum field theory [18, 14]. The energy-momentum spectrum has isolated single particle states of mass $m_{*}=m_{*}(\lambda, m, \sigma)$. We make a finite mass renormalization, taking $\sigma=\sigma_{*}(\lambda)$ so $m=m_{*}\left(\lambda, m, \sigma_{*}(\lambda)\right)$ [6]. Then $(m, \sigma)$ are supressed, writing $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}=\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda, m, \sigma_{*}(\lambda)}$, etc. The truncated Schwinger function has a Fourier transform of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\lambda}^{T}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)=\delta\left(\sum p_{i}\right) \stackrel{H}{\lambda}_{\lambda}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\stackrel{\circ}{H}_{\lambda}$ is a bounded real analytic function in $\left\{p \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}: \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=0\right\}$. (Here and in the following, " $\circ$ " means "Euclidean".)

## III.2. The Bethe-Salpeter Equation

We now discuss the (Wick-rotated) Bethe-Salpeter equation, mostly following Spencer and Zirilli [17]. We define $S_{\lambda}(p)=\stackrel{H}{H}_{\lambda}(p,-p)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{\circ}{Q}_{\lambda}(k, p, q)=(2 \pi)^{-1} S_{\lambda}\left(p+\frac{k}{2}\right) S_{\lambda}\left(-p+\frac{k}{2}\right)(\delta(p+q)+\delta(p-q)) \\
& \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{\lambda}(k, p, q)=(2 \pi)^{-1} \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{\lambda}\left(p+\frac{k}{2},-p+\frac{k}{2},-q-\frac{k}{2},+q-\frac{k}{2}\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
& \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{\lambda}(k, p, q)=\stackrel{\circ}{Q}_{\lambda}(k, p, q)+\stackrel{\circ}{H}_{\lambda}(k, p, q) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then $\dot{R}_{\lambda}$ is the four point function truncated only in the $(1,2),(3,4)$ channel, $k$ is a center of mass variable for this channel, and $(p, q)$ are relative variables. By $\dot{Q}_{\lambda}(k)$, $\stackrel{\circ}{H}_{\lambda}(k), \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{\lambda}(k)$ we denote bilinear forms with kernels $\stackrel{\circ}{Q}_{\lambda}(k, p, q)$, etc. We are mainly concerned with $Q_{\lambda}(\chi) \equiv \grave{Q}_{\lambda}((i x, 0)), H_{\lambda}(\chi) \equiv \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{\lambda}((i x, 0)), \quad R_{\lambda}(\varkappa) \equiv \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{\lambda}((i x, 0))$, defined initially for $\varkappa$ imaginary.

Consider the Hilbert spaces

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{K} & =L_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2},\left(p^{2}+1\right)^{-2} d p\right)  \tag{3.5}\\
\mathscr{K}^{*} & =L_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2},\left(p^{2}+1\right)^{2} d p\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

For $\lambda$ sufficiently small the Lehman spectral formula for the two point function takes the form [9]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\lambda}(p)=Z_{\lambda}^{2}\left(p^{2}+m^{2}\right)^{-1}+\int_{(3 m-\varepsilon)^{2}}^{\infty}\left(p^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{-1} d \varrho_{\lambda}(a) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it follows that $Q_{\lambda}(\chi)$ defines a bounded bilinear form on $\mathscr{K} \times \mathscr{K}$, even for $|\operatorname{Re} x|<2 m$. By integration by parts in the functional integral (3.1) [8], one may also show that for $\operatorname{Re} \chi=0, H_{\lambda}(x)$ defines a bilinear form on $\mathscr{K} \times \mathscr{K}$, and hence so does $R_{\lambda}(x)$. Corresponding to the forms we have operators $Q_{\lambda}(x), H_{\lambda}(x), R_{\lambda}(x)$ in $\mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{K}, \mathscr{K}^{*}\right)$.

It is straightforward that $Q_{\lambda}(\varkappa)^{-1}$ exists and is in $\mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{K}^{*}, \mathscr{K}^{\prime}\right)$. We also have $\left\|H_{\lambda}(\varkappa)\right\| \leqq \mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ and so $R_{\lambda}(\varkappa)^{-1}$ exists for $\lambda$ sufficiently small. Thus we may define $K_{\lambda}(\varkappa) \in \mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{K}^{*}, \mathscr{K}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\lambda}(\varkappa) \equiv R_{\lambda}(\varkappa)^{-1}-Q_{\lambda}(\varkappa)^{-1} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then we have the Bethe-Salpeter equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\lambda}(\varkappa)=Q_{\lambda}(\varkappa)-R_{\lambda}(\varkappa) K_{\lambda}(\varkappa) Q_{\lambda}(\varkappa) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Spencer [16] shows that for $\lambda$ sufficiently small, the kernel $K_{\lambda}(\varkappa, p, q)$ of $K_{\lambda}(\varkappa)$ is analytic and bounded in

$$
\begin{align*}
& |\operatorname{Re} x|<3 m-\varepsilon \\
& \left|\operatorname{Imp}_{0}\right|,\left|\mathrm{Imq}_{0}\right|<\frac{3}{4} m-\varepsilon \equiv \delta_{0}  \tag{3.9}\\
& \left|\operatorname{Imp}_{1}\right|,\left|\mathrm{Imq}_{1}\right|<\frac{1}{4} m-\varepsilon \equiv \delta_{1} . \\
& \varepsilon>0
\end{align*}
$$

(Note: our $(p, q)$ variables are half those of [16].)
Furthermore in the same domain, $K_{\lambda}(\varkappa, p, q)$ is $C^{\infty}$ in $\lambda \geqq 0$ and the coefficients of the asymptotic series in $\lambda$ are the usual two particle irreducible diagrams [4]. In first order there is one diagram, and for $\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{P}^{ \pm}$we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\lambda}(\varkappa, p, q)= \pm \frac{3 \lambda}{\pi}+\mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^{2}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence of the analyticity, the operator $K_{\lambda}(\chi)$ has an analytic continuation to $|\operatorname{Re} x|<2 m$. Furthermore $(K Q)_{\lambda}(x) \equiv K_{\lambda}(x) Q_{\lambda}(x)$ is compact and
analytic in this region. Therefore the implicit eigenvalue problem $(K Q)_{\lambda}(\varkappa) \psi=-\psi$ has solutions at only a discrete set of points, and the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\lambda}(\chi)=Q_{\lambda}(\chi)\left(1+(K Q)_{\lambda}(\chi)\right)^{-1} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

provides a meromorphic continuation of $R_{\lambda}(\varkappa)$ to $|\operatorname{Re} \chi|<2 m$ (Fredholm theorem). The poles of $R_{\lambda}(\chi)$ (= implicit eigenvalues) contain all two particle bound state masses [17].

At this point we remark that it is not necessary to stick with the Hilbert space $\mathscr{K}=L_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2},\left(p^{2}+1\right)^{-2} d p\right)$. Instead we could take, for example, the smaller spaces $\mathscr{K}=\mathscr{K}_{\alpha}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{K}_{\alpha}=L_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2},\left(p^{2}+1\right)^{-\alpha} d p\right) \quad 1<\alpha<2 . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

One easily shows that with new $\mathscr{K}, Q_{\lambda}(\varkappa)$ restricts to an element of $\mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{K}, \mathscr{K}^{*}\right)$ that $K_{\lambda}(\varkappa)$ extends to an element of $\mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{K}^{*}, \mathscr{K}\right)$ (since the kernel is bounded), that $(K Q)_{\lambda}(\varkappa) \in \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{K})$ is compact with the same eigenvalues, and that $R_{\lambda}(\varkappa)$ restricted to $\mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{K}, \mathscr{K}^{*}\right)$ is given by (3.11). [However we do not have $Q_{\lambda}^{-1} \in \mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{K}^{*}, \mathscr{K}\right)$.] Another possible choice we will use is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{K}=L_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \pi^{-1}\left(p_{0}^{2}+\left(p_{1}^{2}+1\right)^{2}\right)^{-1} d p\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can also take $\mathscr{K}=L_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, d p\right)$, however $K_{\lambda}(\chi)$ is no longer a bounded operator (as was erroneously stated in [3]).

We also consider the Sobolev-Hardy space $A$ [17], consisting of even functions on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ which have analytic continuations to the tube $\mathbb{R}^{2}+i I$ where $I=\left(-\delta_{0}, \delta_{0}\right) \times\left(-\delta_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}, \delta_{1}\right)$ and satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\psi\| & =\sup _{\alpha \in I}\left[\int|w(p+i \alpha) \psi(p+i \alpha)|^{2} d p\right]^{1 / 2}<\infty \\
w(p) & =\left(p^{2}+16 m^{2}\right)^{-2 / 3} \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

We have the topological inclusions $Z \subset A \subset \mathscr{K}_{4 / 3} \subset \mathscr{S}^{\prime}$ [where $\left.Z=C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)^{\sim}\right]$ and hence $\mathscr{S} \subset \mathscr{K}_{4 / 3}^{*} \subset A^{*} \subset Z^{\prime}$. Using the boundedness and analyticity of $K_{\lambda}(\varkappa, p, q)$ one can show that $K_{\lambda}(\chi)$ extends to $\mathscr{L}\left(A^{*}, A\right)$. Furthermore $Q_{\lambda}(\varkappa) \in \mathscr{L}\left(A, A^{*}\right)$, $(K Q)_{\lambda}(\varkappa) \in \mathscr{L}(A)$ is compact with the same eigenvalues, and $R_{\lambda}(\chi) \in \mathscr{L}\left(A, A^{*}\right)$ and is given by (3.11).

For $\lambda$ sufficiently small, the eigenvalue problem $(K Q)_{\lambda}(\chi) \psi=-\psi$ on $A$ has been solved by the author and Eckmann [4]. For $\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{P}^{+}$there are no solutions, while for $\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{P}^{-}$there is one solution $\chi=m_{B}(\lambda)$ which is $C^{\infty}$ in $\lambda \geqq 0$ and has the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{B}(\lambda)=2 m-\frac{9}{4} \frac{\lambda^{2}}{m^{3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^{4}\right) . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Correspondingly the $\mathscr{P}^{+}$field theories have no bound states and the $\mathscr{P}^{-}$field theories have one bound state of mass $m_{B}(\lambda)$.

## III.3. The T-Operator

We now define an operator which will turn out to play a role analagous to the nonrelativistic $\mathbb{T}_{\alpha}$. Let $\stackrel{\circ}{H}_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ be the amputated Euclidean $n$-point function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{H}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)=\prod_{j} \cdot\left(p_{j}^{2}+m^{2}\right) \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{\lambda}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{T}_{\lambda}(k, p, q)=-(2 \pi) \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(p+\frac{k}{2},-p+\frac{k}{2},-q-\frac{k}{2,} q-\frac{k}{2}\right) . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By integration by parts $\stackrel{\circ}{T}_{\lambda}(k, p, q)$ is a bounded function and we let $\stackrel{\circ}{T}_{\lambda}(k)$ be the associated form on $\mathscr{K}^{*} \times \mathscr{K}^{*}$ [ $\mathscr{K}$ given by (3.5)]. For $\chi$ imaginary we set $T_{\lambda}(\chi)$ $=\stackrel{\circ}{T}_{\lambda}((i x, 0))$.

Lemma 3.1. $T_{\lambda}(\varkappa) \in \mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{K}^{*}, \mathscr{K}\right)$ is meromorphic in $|\operatorname{Re} x|<2 m$ and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\lambda}(x)=4\left(Q_{0}^{-1} Q_{\lambda}\right)(x)\left(1+(K Q)_{\lambda}(x)\right)^{-1} K_{\lambda}(x)\left(Q_{\lambda} Q_{0}^{-1}\right)(x) . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the identity for $\operatorname{Re} x=0$, then the right side provides the continuation with poles at implicit eigenvalues of $(K Q)_{\lambda}(\chi)$. We note that $Q_{0}(k)$ is multiplication by $Q_{0}(k, p)$ where

$$
\grave{Q}_{0}(k, p)=\pi^{-1}\left(\left(p-\frac{k}{2}\right)^{2}+m^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\left(p+\frac{k}{2}\right)^{2}+m^{2}\right)^{-1} .
$$

Hence we have $\stackrel{\circ}{T}_{\lambda}(k)=-4\left(\mathscr{Q}_{0}^{-1} \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{\lambda} \grave{Q}_{0}^{-1}\right)(k)$ and hence $T_{\lambda}(x)=-4\left(Q_{0}^{-1} H_{\lambda} Q_{0}^{-1}\right)(\chi)$. However since $H_{\lambda}(x)=R_{\lambda}(x)-Q_{\lambda}(x)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{\lambda}(x) & =Q_{\lambda}(x)\left(\left(1+(K Q)_{\lambda}(x)\right)^{-1}-1\right) \\
& =-Q_{\lambda}(x)\left(1+(K Q)_{\lambda}(x)\right)^{-1}(K Q)_{\lambda}(x) \quad \text { Q.E.D. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.2. (a) $\left(Q_{0}^{-1} Q_{\lambda}\right)(\chi) \in \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{K})$ is multiplication by a function $\left(Q_{0}^{-1} Q_{\lambda}\right)(\varkappa, p)$ which is analytic and bounded in $|\operatorname{Re} x|<3 m-\varepsilon,\left|\operatorname{Imp}_{0}\right|<\frac{3}{4} m-\varepsilon,\left|\operatorname{Imp}_{1}\right|<\frac{1}{4} m-\varepsilon$.
(b) $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0}\left(Q_{0}^{-1} Q_{\lambda}\right)(\varkappa, p)=1$ uniformly in this region.

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\dot{Q}_{0}^{-1} \dot{Q}_{\lambda}\right)(k, p)=D_{\lambda}\left(p+\frac{k}{2}\right) D_{\lambda}\left(p-\frac{k}{2}\right), \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{\lambda}(p) & =\left(p^{2}+m^{2}\right) S_{\lambda}(p) \\
& =Z_{\lambda}^{2}+\int_{3 m-\varepsilon}^{\infty}\left(p^{2}+m^{2}\right)\left(p^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{-1} d \varrho_{\lambda}(a) . \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus $\left(Q_{0}^{-1} Q_{\lambda}\right)(\varkappa, p)=\left(\dot{Q}_{0}^{-1} \dot{Q}_{\lambda}\right)((i \varkappa, 0), p)$ depends on $D_{\lambda}\left(p_{0} \pm \frac{i \varkappa}{2}, p_{1}\right)$. This is analytic and bounded in the stated region since the denominator is bounded away from zero. The convergence follows from $Z_{\lambda} \rightarrow 1, \varrho_{\lambda} \rightarrow 0$. Q.E.D.

Corollary 3.3. Lemma 3.1 holds for any of the spaces $\mathscr{K}, A$ given by (3.12), (3.13), (3.14).

Proof. Lemma 3.2a shows that $\left(Q_{0}^{-1} Q_{\lambda}\right)(\varkappa)$ restricts to $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{K})$ or $\mathscr{L}(A)$. The other operators are treated similarly. Q.E.D.

In the next lemma we explore the analytic structure of the kernel $T_{\lambda}(\varkappa, p, q)$ near the threshold $(2 m, 0,0)$ and find that it is meromorphic in $x$ on a two sheeted domain with branch point at $\chi=2 m$.

Lemma 3.4. $T_{\lambda}(\varkappa, p, q)$ has the form $T_{\lambda}(\varkappa, p, q)=\hat{T}_{\lambda}\left(\left(4 m^{2}-\varkappa^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, p, q\right)$ where $\hat{T}_{\lambda}(\zeta, p, q)$ is meromorphic in $|\zeta|<\frac{m}{8}$ and analytic in $|p|,|q|<m / 8$. Furthermore, let $\zeta_{B}(\lambda)$ $=\left(4 m-m_{B}(\lambda)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. Then we have $\hat{T}_{\lambda}(\zeta, p, q)=U_{\lambda}(\zeta, p, q)+V_{\lambda}(\zeta, p, q)$ where $U_{\lambda}(\zeta, p, q)$ and $\left(\zeta \pm \zeta_{B}(\lambda)\right) V_{\lambda}(\zeta, p, q)\left(\right.$ for $\left.\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{P}^{ \pm}\right)$are analytic and bounded in $|\zeta|,|p|,|q|<m / 8$ with constants which are respectively $\mathcal{O}(\lambda), \mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^{2}\right)$.

Proof. Consider all operators relative to the $A, A^{*}$ pairing. For $f \in A$, and $p \in \mathbb{R}^{2}+i I$ define $\left\langle\varepsilon_{p}, f\right\rangle=f(p)$. Then $\varepsilon_{p} \in A^{*}, \varepsilon_{p}$ is analytic in $\mathbb{R}^{2}+i I$ and for $g \in \mathscr{S} \subset A^{*}$ we have $\int \bar{g}(p)\left\langle\varepsilon_{p}, f\right\rangle d p=\langle g, f\rangle$. Now we claim that for $|\operatorname{Re} x|<2 m$ (except a discrete set) and $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{\lambda}(\varkappa, p, q)= & 4\left(Q_{0}^{-1} Q_{\lambda}\right)(\varkappa, p)\left\langle\varepsilon_{p},\left(1+(K Q)_{\lambda}(\varkappa)\right)^{-1} K_{\lambda}(\varkappa) \varepsilon_{q}\right\rangle \\
& \cdot\left(Q_{0}^{-1} Q_{\lambda}\right)(\varkappa, q) . \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

This is true because it holds in the sense of distributions by Lemma 3.1. This equation provides a continuation of $T_{\lambda}(\varkappa, p, q)$ to $|\operatorname{Re} \chi|<2 m, p, q \in \mathbb{R}^{2}+i I$. In fact every factor except $\left(1+(K Q)_{\lambda}(\chi)\right)^{-1}$ also continues to $|\operatorname{Re} \chi|<3 m-\varepsilon$ and hence in terms of $\zeta=\left(4 m^{2}-x^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ is analytic in $|\zeta|<\frac{m}{8}$. Furthermore these terms are bounded in $|\zeta|,|p|,|q|<m / 8\left(\left\|\varepsilon_{p}\right\|\right.$ is bounded on compact sets $)$ and we have a factor of $\lambda$ from $\left\|K_{\lambda}(x)\right\| \leqq \mathcal{O}(\lambda)$.

It remains to consider the factor $\left(1+(K Q)_{\lambda}^{\hat{\lambda}}(\zeta)\right)^{-1} \in \mathscr{L}(A)$ where $(K Q)_{\lambda}^{\hat{\lambda}}(\zeta)$ $=(K Q)_{\lambda}\left(\left(4 m^{2}-\zeta^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)$. In [4] it is shown that $(K Q)_{\lambda}^{\hat{1}}(\zeta)=\tau_{1, \lambda}(\zeta)+\tau_{2, \lambda}(\zeta)$ where $\tau_{1, \lambda}(\zeta)$ is a rank one operator with a pole at $\zeta=0$ and satisfies $\left\|\zeta \tau_{1, \lambda}(\zeta)\right\| \leqq \mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ while $\tau_{2, \lambda}(\zeta)$ is analytic near zero and satisfies $\left\|\tau_{2, \lambda}(\zeta)\right\| \leqq \mathcal{O}(\lambda)$. Thus in

$$
(1+K Q)^{-1}=\left(1+\left(1+\tau_{2}\right)^{-1} \tau_{1}\right)^{-1}\left(1+\tau_{2}\right)^{-1}
$$

we may focus attention on the first factor. Since $\left(1+\tau_{2}\right)^{-1} \tau_{1}$ is rank one we have

$$
\left(1+\left(1+\tau_{2}\right)^{-1} \tau_{1}\right)^{-1}=1-\left(1+\operatorname{Tr}\left(1+\tau_{2}\right)^{-1} \tau_{1}\right)^{-1}\left(1+\tau_{2}\right)^{-1} \tau_{1}
$$

and this defines the division into $U_{\lambda}, V_{\lambda}$. We have immediately $\left|U_{\lambda}(\zeta, p, q)\right| \leqq \mathcal{O}(\lambda)$. For the second term multiply the numerator and denominator by $\zeta$. Then the numerator $\zeta\left(1+\tau_{2}\right)^{-1} \tau_{1}$ is holomorphic and bounded by $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ for a second factor of $\lambda$. The denominator $\zeta\left(1+\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(1+\tau_{2}\right)^{-1} \tau_{1}\right)\right.$ is the function $H(\lambda, \zeta)$ of [4] which has a simple zero at $\zeta=\mp \zeta_{B}(\lambda)$ and satisfies $\left|\left(\zeta \pm \zeta_{B}(\lambda)\right) H(\lambda, \zeta)^{-1}\right| \leqq \mathcal{O}(1)$. Thus we have $\left|\left(\zeta \pm \zeta_{B}(\lambda)\right) V_{\lambda}(\zeta, p, q)\right| \leqq \mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^{2}\right)$. Q.E.D.

## III.4. The S-Operator

We now consider the real time aspects of $\mathscr{P}(\varphi)_{2}$ theories. It is known that time ordered products $\tau_{\lambda}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) \in \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ and retarded products exist for these models, and hence so does the momentum analytic function $H_{\lambda}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$, defined on the "axiomatic domain" in $\sum k_{j}=0$, whose boundary values are locally the $\tau_{\lambda}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$. At Euclidean points these are the Schwinger functions [6]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(i)^{n-1} H_{\lambda}\left(\grave{p}_{1}, \ldots, \dot{p}_{n}\right)=\stackrel{\circ}{H}_{\lambda}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for $p=\left(p_{0}, p_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ we denote $\dot{p}=\left(i p_{0}, p_{1}\right)$. We also consider the amputated functions $\tau_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ which are the boundary values of

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)=\prod_{j}\left(k_{j} \cdot k_{j}-m^{2}\right) H_{\lambda}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

(here $k \cdot k=k_{0}^{2}-k_{1}^{2}$ ). Then $H_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{H}_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ are also related by an equation like (3.22).
The LSZ formula [10] gives the scattering operator ( $S$-matrix) in terms of the restriction of $\tau_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ to the mass shell. This formula has been used by Eckmann et al.[6] to show that the scattering operator is a $C^{\infty}$ function of $\lambda \geqq 0$ and hence that standard perturbation theory is asymptotic. We remark that in general for the LSZ formula one must require all velocities to be non-overlapping. However for two particle scattering it is sufficient to require that the initial and final velocities be separately non-overlapping [1]. This is fortunate since, as noted in the nonrelativistic case, we are kinematically constrained to forward scattering on one spatial dimension.

In detail, let $\psi_{ \pm}$be the canonical injections of the Fock space

$$
\mathscr{F}=\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[\otimes_{s}^{n} L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}, d p\right)\right]
$$

(note: Lesbesgue measure) into the physical Hilbert Space as given by the HaagRuelle scattering theory. Let $\Pi$ be the projection of $L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, d p\right)$ onto $L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}, d p\right) \otimes_{s} L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}, d p\right) \subset \mathscr{F}$. We define the kernel of the $S$-matrix $S_{\lambda} \in \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\psi_{+}(\Pi g), \psi_{-}(\Pi f)\right)=\int \bar{g}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right) S_{\lambda}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}\right) f\left(p_{3}, p_{4}\right) d p_{1}, \ldots, d p_{4} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the LSZ formula says that away from $p_{1}=p_{2}$ and $p_{3}=p_{4}$ we have [with $\omega(p)$ $\left.=\left(p^{2}+m^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]$

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\lambda}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{4}\right)= & (2!)^{-1}\left[\delta\left(p_{1}-p_{3}\right) \delta\left(p_{2}-p_{4}\right)+\delta\left(p_{1}-p_{4}\right) \delta\left(p_{2}-p_{3}\right)\right. \\
& +Z_{\lambda}^{-4}(2 \pi)^{2} \prod_{j}\left(2 \omega\left(p_{j}\right)\right)^{-1 / 2} \tau_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(\omega\left(p_{1}\right), p_{1}, \ldots,-\omega\left(p_{4}\right),-p_{4}\right) \\
& \left.\cdot \delta\left(p_{1}+p_{2}-p_{3}-p_{4}\right) \delta\left(\omega\left(p_{1}\right)+\ldots-\omega\left(p_{4}\right)\right)\right] . \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

We now restrict to small momenta in this formula. For the time ordered product this means we are interested in a center of mass energy $\chi$ in an interval ( $2 m, 2 m+\varepsilon$ ) and all other momenta in a neighborhood of zero. In such a region it follows from Lemma 3.4 that the distribution $\tau_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ is actually an analytic function. To see
this consider $\tau_{\lambda}^{\prime}(k, p, q)=\tau_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(p+\frac{k}{2}, \ldots\right)$, the boundary value of $H_{\lambda}^{\prime}(k, p, q)$ $=H_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(p+\frac{k}{2}, \ldots\right)$, and note that by Lorentz invariance it suffices to consider $\tau_{\lambda}^{\prime}((x, 0), \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q})$. Then by analytically continuing (3.17) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_{\lambda}^{\prime}((\varkappa, 0), p, q) & =H_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(\left(\varkappa+i 0^{+}, 0\right), p, q\right) \\
& =-i(2 \pi)^{-1} T_{\lambda}\left(\varkappa+i 0^{+},\left(i p_{0}, p_{1}\right),\left(i q_{0}, q_{1}\right)\right) \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

To compare the scattering amplitude with the non-relativistic formula, we shift to center of mass and relative variables in $S_{\lambda}$, defining $S_{\lambda}\left(k, p ; k^{\prime}, q\right)$ by $S_{\lambda}\left(k, p ; k^{\prime}, q\right)$ $=S_{\lambda}\left(p+\frac{k}{2}, \ldots,-q+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}\right)$. Then $S_{\lambda}\left(k, p ; k^{\prime}, q\right)=S_{\lambda}(k, p, q) \delta\left(k-k^{\prime}\right)$ and we consider the center of mass at rest defining $S_{\lambda}(p, q) \in \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ by $S_{\lambda}(p, q)=S_{\lambda}(0, p, q)$. Then by (3.25), (3.26), for ( $p, q$ ) small and away from zero

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\lambda}(p, q)= & \delta(p-q)-2 \pi i Z_{\lambda}^{-4}(8 \omega(p) \omega(q))^{-1}  \tag{3.27}\\
& \cdot T_{\lambda}\left(2 \omega(p)+i 0^{+},(0, p),(0, q)\right) \delta(2 \omega(p)-2 \omega(q))
\end{align*}
$$

## IV. $\mathscr{P}(\varphi)_{2, c}$ Models as $c \rightarrow \infty$

## IV.1. The Models

We define the $\mathscr{P}(\varphi)_{2, c}$ models in terms of their Schwinger functions $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda, m, \sigma, c}$. These are given by a functional integral like (3.1) except that now $d \mu=d \mu_{m, c}$ is the Gaussian measure on $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ with covariance

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\frac{1}{c^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+m^{2} c^{2}\right)^{-1} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{P}_{\lambda, \sigma, c}^{ \pm}$is the polynomial

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathscr{P}_{\lambda, \sigma, c}^{ \pm}(q)=\lambda\left(\mathscr{R}_{c}(q) \pm q^{4}\right)+\sigma^{2} c^{2} q^{2}  \tag{4.2}\\
\mathscr{R}_{c}(q)=\sum_{n=3}^{N} c^{-n+2} a_{2 n} q^{2 n} .
\end{gather*}
$$

With this choice we have the scaling relation (at least formally)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda, m, \sigma, c}\left(t_{1}, x_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}, x_{n}\right) \\
& \quad=\alpha^{n / 2} \beta^{-n / 2} \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda \alpha / \beta^{3}, m \alpha / \beta^{2}, \sigma \alpha / \beta^{2}, c \beta / \alpha}\left(\alpha t_{1}, \beta x_{1}, \ldots\right) . \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular with $\alpha=c^{2}, \beta=c$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda, m, \sigma, c}\left(t_{1}, x_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}, x_{n}\right) \\
& \quad=c^{n / 2} \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda / c, m, \sigma}\left(c^{2} t_{1}, c x_{1}, \ldots\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

For arbitrary $(\lambda, m), c$ sufficiently large, and $\sigma$ sufficiently small we take this as the definition of $\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda, m, \sigma, c}$. We further define $\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda, c}=\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda, m, \sigma_{*}(\lambda / c), c}$ and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{\Xi}_{\lambda, c}\left(t_{1}, x_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} x_{n}\right)=c^{n / 2} \mathfrak{\Xi}_{\lambda / c}\left(c^{2} t_{1}, c x_{1}, \ldots\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also define distributions $\mathscr{W}_{\lambda, c}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{W}_{\lambda, c}\left(t_{1}, x_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}, x_{n}\right)=c^{n / 2} \mathscr{W}_{\lambda / c}\left(c^{2} t_{1}, c x_{1}, \ldots\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the $\mathscr{W}_{\lambda, c}$ are the analytic continuations of $\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda, c}$ and satisfy the Wightman axioms for a two-dimensional Minkowski space with quadratic form

$$
((t, x) \cdot(t, x))_{c}=c^{2} t^{2}-x^{2} .
$$

By reconstruction we obtain a $\mathscr{P}(\varphi)_{2, c}$ quantum field theory. We still have single particles of mass $m$, i.e. the spectral measure $d E_{\lambda, c}\left(p_{0}, p_{1}\right)$ has support on the hyperbolas $p_{0}^{2} / c^{2}-p_{1}^{2}=m c^{2}$.

The momentum analytic function $H_{\lambda, c}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$ and the amputated function $H_{\lambda, c}^{\prime}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{\lambda, c}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)=c^{-5 n / 2+3} H_{\lambda / c}\left(k_{1, c}, \ldots, k_{n, c}\right) \\
& H_{\lambda, c}^{\prime}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)=c^{-n / 2+3} H_{\lambda / c}^{\prime}\left(k_{1, c}, \ldots, k_{n, c}\right), \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where for $k=\left(k^{0}, k^{1}\right)$ we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{c}=\left(k^{0} / c^{2}, k^{1} / c\right) . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we define $\stackrel{\circ}{Q}_{\lambda, c}, \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{\lambda, c}, \stackrel{\circ}{T}_{\lambda, c}$ in terms of $\stackrel{\circ}{H}_{\lambda, c}, \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{\lambda, c}^{\prime}$ as before, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{\circ}{Q}_{\lambda, c}(k, p, q)=c^{-7} \dot{\circ}_{\lambda / c}\left(k_{c}, p_{c}, q_{c}\right) \\
& \stackrel{R}{R}_{\lambda, c}(k, p, q)=c^{-7} \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{\lambda / c}\left(k_{c}, p_{c}, q_{c}\right) \\
& \stackrel{\circ}{T}_{\lambda, c}(k, p, q)=c \stackrel{\circ}{T}_{\lambda / c}\left(k_{c}, p_{c}, q_{c}\right) . \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

These are the kernels of operators $\dot{Q}_{\lambda, c}(k)$, etc. in $\mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{K}, \mathscr{K}^{*}\right)$, and we define $Q_{\lambda, c}(\chi)$ $=\stackrel{\circ}{Q}_{\lambda, c}((i \varkappa, 0))$, etc. If we further define

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\lambda, c}(\varkappa, p, q)=c K_{\lambda / c}\left(\varkappa / c^{2}, p_{c}, q_{c}\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $K_{\lambda, c}(\varkappa) \in \mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{K}^{*}, \mathscr{K}\right)$ be the operator with this kernel, then we have the BetheSalpeter equation

$$
R_{\lambda, c}(\chi)=Q_{\lambda, c}(\varkappa)-R_{\lambda, c}(\varkappa) K_{\lambda, c}(\chi) Q_{\lambda, c}(\varkappa) .
$$

## IV.2. The $c \rightarrow \infty$ Limit

Now we are ready to discuss the non-relativistic limit. The following four theorems all say that some object for the $\mathscr{P}^{ \pm}(\varphi)_{2, c}$ field theory converges to a corresponding object for the $\alpha \delta(x)$ model, $\alpha= \pm 3 \lambda / m^{2}$, as defined in Section II.

Theorem 4.1. Let $c$ be sufficiently large.
a) $(K Q)_{\lambda, c}(x) \in \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{K})$ is compact and analytic in $|\operatorname{Re} x|<2 m c^{2}$.
b) For $\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{P}^{ \pm}$the eigenvalue equation $(K Q)_{\lambda, c}(\varkappa) \psi=-\psi$ has respectively no solutions or one solution at $\chi=m_{B}(\lambda / c) c^{2}$.
c) The corresponding masses $\left(\varnothing\right.$ or $\left.\left\{m_{B}(\lambda / c)\right\}\right)$ coincide with the two particle bound state masses for the $\mathscr{P}^{ \pm}$field theory.
d) Let $E_{B, c}(\lambda)=m_{B}(\lambda / c) c^{2}-2 m c^{2}$ be the binding energy for the $\mathscr{P}^{-}$bound state. Then with $\alpha=-3 \lambda / m^{2}$

$$
\lim _{c \rightarrow \infty} E_{B, c}(\lambda)=E_{B}(\alpha) .
$$

Proof. Define $\sigma_{c} \in \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{K})$ by

$$
\left(\sigma_{c} \psi\right)(p)=c^{-3 / 2} \psi\left(p_{c}\right) .
$$

This operator has a bounded inverse, namely $\left(\sigma_{c}\right)^{-1}=\sigma_{c^{-1}}$. Since

$$
(K Q)_{\lambda, c}(\varkappa, p, q)=c^{-3}(K Q)_{\lambda / c}\left(\varkappa / c^{2}, p_{c}, q_{c}\right)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(K Q)_{\lambda, c}(\chi)=\sigma_{c}(K Q)_{\lambda / c}\left(\chi / c^{2}\right) \sigma_{c}^{-1} . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now a) follows immediately. Furthermore $(K Q)_{\lambda, c}(\varkappa)$ has eigenvalue -1 if and only if $(K Q)_{\lambda / c}\left(x / c^{2}\right)$ has eigenvalue -1 , and so $b$ ) follows from the results quoted in § II.2. Part c) also follows from the same result for $c=1$. For Part d) we use (3.15) to obtain

$$
\lim _{c \rightarrow \infty} E_{B, c}(\lambda)=-\frac{9}{4} \frac{\lambda^{2}}{m^{3}}=E_{B}(\alpha) \quad \text { Q.E.D. }
$$

We can rephrase d) by saying that the implicit eigenvalues of $(K Q)_{\lambda, c}\left(E+2 m c^{2}\right)$ converge to those of $V_{\alpha}\left(H_{0}-E\right)^{-1}$. The next theorem indicates why this should be true: the operators themselves converge. However, since they act on different Hilbert spaces, we must clarify what this statement means.

Until now the space $\mathscr{K}$ could be any of (3.5), (3.12), (3.13); now we only consider the last, namely $\mathscr{K}=L_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \pi^{-1}\left(p_{0}^{2}+\left(p_{1}^{2}+1\right)^{2}\right)^{-1} d p\right)$. The advantage of this choice is that with $\mathscr{H}=L_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1},\left(p_{1}^{2}+1\right)^{-1} d p_{1}\right)$ the map $i \in \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{K})$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(i g)\left(p_{0}, p_{1}\right)=g\left(p_{1}\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an isometry. (Thus one could regard $\mathscr{H}$ as a subspace of $\mathscr{K}$.) The adjoint $i^{*} \in \mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{K}^{*}, \mathscr{H}^{*}\right)$ is a partial isometry onto $\mathscr{H}^{*}$ and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(i^{*} f\right)\left(p_{1}\right)=\int f\left(p_{0}, p_{1}\right) d p_{0} . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.2. Let $\alpha= \pm 3 \lambda / m^{2}$ and $E<0$. Then in the sense of strong operator convergence:
a) $\lim _{c \rightarrow \infty} i^{*} Q_{\lambda, c}\left(E+2 m c^{2}\right) i=\left(2 m^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(H_{0}-E\right)^{-1}$ in $\mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{H}^{*}\right)$.
b) $\lim _{c \rightarrow \infty} K_{\lambda, c}\left(E+2 m c^{2}\right)=i\left(2 m^{2} V_{\alpha}\right) i^{*}$ in $\mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{K}^{*}, \mathscr{K}\right)$.
c) $\lim _{c \rightarrow \infty}(K Q)_{\lambda, c}\left(E+2 m c^{2}\right) i=i V_{\alpha}\left(H_{0}-E\right)^{-1}$ in $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{K})$.
d) $\lim _{c \rightarrow \infty} i^{*} R_{\lambda, c}\left(E+2 m c^{2}\right) i=\left(2 m^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(H_{\alpha}-E\right)^{-1}$ in $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{H} *)$.
e) $\lim _{c \rightarrow \infty} T_{\lambda, c}\left(E+2 m c^{2}\right)=i\left(8 m^{2} \mathbb{T}_{\alpha}(E)\right) i^{*}$ in $\mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{K}^{*}, \mathscr{K}\right)$.

For d$)$, e) we exclude $E=E_{B}(\alpha)$ if $\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{P}^{-}$.

## Proof.

a) We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{\lambda, c}(\chi, p, q)=\pi^{-1} S_{\lambda, c}\left(p_{0}+\frac{i \chi}{2}, p_{1}\right) S_{\lambda, c}\left(p_{0}-\frac{i \chi}{2}, p_{1}\right) \delta(p-q) \\
& S_{\lambda, c}(p)=Z_{\lambda, c}^{2}\left(\left(p_{0} / c\right)^{2}+p_{1}^{2}+m^{2} c^{2}\right)^{-1}+\int_{3 m-\varepsilon}^{\infty}\left(\left(\frac{p_{0}}{c}\right)^{2}+p_{1}^{2}+a^{2} c^{2}\right)^{-1} d \varrho_{\lambda, c}(a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

However $Z_{\lambda, c}=Z_{\lambda / c} \rightarrow 1$ and $\varrho_{\lambda, c}=\varrho_{\lambda / c} \rightarrow 0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{c \rightarrow \infty}\left(c^{-2}\left(p_{0} \pm \frac{i}{2}\left(E+2 m c^{2}\right)\right)^{2}+p_{1}^{2}+m^{2} c^{2}\right)^{-1} \\
& \quad=(2 m)^{-1}\left(\left(\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2 m}-\frac{E}{2}\right) \pm i p_{0}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{c \rightarrow \infty} Q_{\lambda, c}\left(E+2 m c^{2}, p, q\right)=Q_{\infty}(E, p, q) \\
& Q_{\infty}(E, p, q)=\left(4 m^{2} \pi\right)^{-1}\left(\left(\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2 m}-\frac{E}{2}\right)^{2}+p_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1} \delta(p-q)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $Q_{\infty}(E, p, q)$ is the kernel of a bilinear form on $\mathscr{K} \times \mathscr{K}$ and defines an operator $Q_{\infty}(E) \in \mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{K}, \mathscr{K}^{*}\right)$. Then $Q_{\lambda, c}\left(E+2 m c^{2}\right) \rightarrow Q_{\infty}(E)$ strongly since this holds a dense set [say $\left.\mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right]$ and $\left\|Q_{\lambda, c}\left(E+2 m c^{2}\right)\right\|$ is bounded. Finally we note that as bilinear forms on $\mathscr{H} \times \mathscr{H}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
i^{*} Q_{\infty}(E) i=\left(2 m^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(H_{0}-E\right)^{-1} . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

b) Using (3.10) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{\lambda, \infty}(p, q) & \equiv \lim _{c \rightarrow \infty} K_{\lambda, c}\left(E+2 m c^{2}, p, q\right) \\
& =\lim _{c \rightarrow \infty} c K_{\lambda / c}\left(E / c^{2}+2 m, p_{c}, q_{c}\right) \\
& = \pm \frac{3 \lambda}{\pi}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $K_{\lambda, \infty} \in \mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{K}^{*}, \mathscr{K}\right)$ is the operator with this kernel then $K_{\lambda, c}\left(E+2 m c^{2}\right) \rightarrow K_{\lambda, \infty}$. The result now follows from
$K_{\lambda, \infty}=i\left(2 m^{2} V_{\alpha}\right) i^{*}$.
c) It suffices to note
$K_{\lambda, \infty} Q_{\infty}(E) i=i V_{\alpha}\left(H_{0}-E\right)^{-1}$.
d) $\lim _{c \rightarrow \infty} i^{*} R_{\lambda, c}\left(E+2 m c^{2}\right) i$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\lim _{c \rightarrow \infty} i^{*} Q_{\lambda, c}\left(E+2 m c^{2}\right)\left(1+(K Q)_{\lambda, c}\left(E+2 m c^{2}\right)\right)^{-1} i \\
& =i^{*} Q_{\infty}(E)\left(1+K_{\lambda, \infty} Q_{\infty}(E)\right)^{-1} i \\
& =\left(2 m^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(H_{0}-E\right)^{-1}\left(1+V_{\alpha}\left(H_{0}-E\right)\right)^{-1} \\
& =\left(2 m^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(H_{\alpha}-E\right)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $R_{\lambda, c}\left(E+2 m c^{2}\right)$ has a pole at $E+2 m c^{2}=m_{B}(\lambda / c) c^{2}$ which we avoid for $c$ large by the assumption $E \neq E_{B}(\alpha)$.
e) We have the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\lambda, c}(\varkappa)=4\left(Q_{0}^{-1} Q_{\lambda}\right)_{c}(\varkappa)\left(1+(K Q)_{\lambda, c}(\varkappa)\right)^{-1} K_{\lambda, c}(\varkappa)\left(Q_{\lambda} Q_{0}^{-1}\right)_{c}(\varkappa) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follows by applying $\sigma_{c}[\cdot] \sigma_{c}^{*}$ to Lemma 3.1. Here $\left(Q_{0}^{-1} Q_{\lambda}\right)_{c}(\varkappa) \in \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{K})$ is interpreted as multiplication by $\left(Q_{0}^{-1} Q_{\lambda / c}\right)\left(\varkappa / c^{2}, p_{c}\right)$ as given by Lemma 3.2a. Then $\left(Q_{0}^{-1} Q_{\lambda}\right)_{c}\left(E+2 m c^{2}\right)$ is multiplication by $\left(Q_{0}^{-1} Q_{\lambda / c}\right)\left(E / c^{2}+2 m, p_{c}\right)$ and hence converges to the identity by Lemma 3.2 b . Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{c \rightarrow \infty} T_{\lambda, c}\left(E+2 m c^{2}\right) \\
& \quad= 4\left(1+K_{\lambda, \infty} Q_{\infty}(E)\right)^{-1} K_{\lambda, \infty} \\
& \quad=8 m^{2} i\left(\left(1+V_{\alpha}\left(H_{0}-E\right)\right)^{-1} V_{\alpha}\right) i^{*} \\
& \quad=8 m^{2} i \mathbb{T}_{\alpha} i^{*} . \quad \text { Q.E.D. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we study the convergence of the kernel of $T_{\lambda, c}\left(E+2 m c^{2}\right)$ and enlarge the domain in $E$ to include positive values. Let $\mathscr{D}$ be the two sheeted domain for $(-E)^{1 / 2}$ with $E_{B}(\alpha)$ deleted.

Theorem 4.3. For c sufficiently large, $T_{\lambda, c}\left(E+2 m c^{2}, p, q\right)$ is analytic in any compact set in $\left\{E \in \mathscr{D} ; p, q \in \mathbb{C}^{2}\right\}$ and is bounded there uniformly in c. Furthermore for $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$

$$
\lim _{c \rightarrow \infty} T_{\lambda, c}\left(E+2 m c^{2},\left(p_{0}, p_{1}\right),\left(q_{0}, q_{1}\right)\right)=8 m^{2} \mathbb{T}_{\alpha}\left(E, p_{1}, q_{1}\right)
$$

uniformly on compact sets in $\mathscr{D}$.
Proof. By (4.9) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\lambda, c}\left(E+2 m c^{2}, p, q\right) & =c T_{\lambda / c}\left(E / c^{2}+2 m, p_{c}, q_{c}\right) \\
& =c \hat{T}_{\lambda / c}\left(\left(4 m^{2}-\left(E / c^{2}+2 m\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, p_{c}, q_{c}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The analyticity follows by Lemma 3.4 since

$$
\left(4 m^{2}-\left(E / c^{2}+2 m\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=\left(4 m+E / c^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(-E / c^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and the pole is avoided for $c$ sufficiently large.
For the uniform bound we also use Lemma 3.4. The $U$ term in immediately $\mathcal{O}(1)$, and for the $V$ term we must bound

$$
c(\lambda / c)^{2}\left|\left(4 m^{2}-\left(E / c^{2}+2 m\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \pm\left(4 m^{2}-m_{B}(\lambda / c)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right|^{-1}
$$

Rationalizing this expression, the numerator is $\mathcal{O}\left(c^{-1}\right)$, and so this is bounded by a constant times

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =c^{-2}\left|-\left(E / c^{2}+2 m\right)^{2}+m_{B}(\lambda / c)^{2}\right|^{-1} \\
& =c^{2}\left|m_{B}(\lambda / c) c^{2}-E-2 m c^{2}\right|^{-1}\left|m_{B}(\lambda / c) c^{2}+E+2 m c^{2}\right|^{-1} \\
& \leqq \mathcal{O}(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the convergence we note that by Vitali's theorem it is sufficient to prove convergence for $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $\operatorname{Re} E<0$ (first sheet). However we have convergence
here in the sense of distributions in $(p, q)$ by Theorem 4.2e, and for uniformly bounded analytic functions this implies pointwise convergence. Q.E.D.

Theorem 4.4. Let $S_{\lambda, c}(p, q) \in \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ be the two body scattering amplitude for $\mathscr{P}^{ \pm}(\varphi)_{2, c}$. Then away from $p, q=0$ with $\alpha= \pm 3 \lambda / m^{2}$

$$
\lim _{c \rightarrow \infty} S_{\lambda, c}(p, q)=\mathbb{S}_{\alpha}(p, q) .
$$

Proof. Here $S_{\lambda, c}(p, q)$ is the amplitude for relative momentum $q$ to scatter to relative momentum $p$, defined from the full kernel $S_{\lambda, c}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{4}\right)$ as in $\S$ III.4. We have $S_{\lambda, c}(p, q)=c^{-1} S_{\lambda / c}(p / c, q / c)$ and (3.27) scales to become

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\lambda, c}(p, q)= & \delta(p-q)-2 \pi i\left(Z_{\lambda, c}\right)^{-4} c^{4}\left(8 \omega_{c}(p) \omega_{c}(q)\right)^{-1} \\
& \cdot T_{\lambda, c}\left(2 \omega_{c}(p)+i 0^{+},(0, p),(0, q)\right) \delta\left(2 \omega_{c}(p)-2 \omega_{c}(q)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then using $\left.\omega_{c}(p)=m c^{2}+p^{2} / 2 m+\mathcal{O}\left(c^{-2}\right)\right)$ and Theorem 4.3 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{c \rightarrow \infty} S_{\lambda, c}(p, q) & =\delta(p-q)-2 \pi i \mathbb{T}_{\alpha}\left(p^{2} / m+i 0^{+}, p, q\right) \delta\left(p^{2} / m-q^{2} / m\right) \\
& =\mathbb{S}_{\alpha}(p, q), \quad \text { Q.E.D. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## V. Concluding Remarks

1. We have not dealt specifically with the question of asymptotics. However by combining the methods of the present paper with those of [4] one can show that $R_{\lambda, c}\left(E+2 m c^{2}\right)$, for example, is a $C^{\infty}$ function of $1 / c \geqq 0$. Thus $R_{\lambda, c}\left(E+2 m c^{2}\right)$ has an asymptotic expansion in powers of $1 / c$ with leading term $\left(H_{\alpha}-E\right)^{-1}$. There seems to be no obstacle to extending this type of result to the $S$-matrix.

2 . We conjecture that the $2 n$-point function:

$$
\tau_{\lambda, c}\left(p_{1}+\left(m c^{2}, 0\right), \ldots, p_{n}+\left(m c^{2}, 0\right), p_{n+1}-\left(m c^{2}, 0\right), \ldots, p_{2 n}-\left(m c^{2}, 0\right)\right)
$$

has a non trivial limit as $c \rightarrow \infty$. (Theorem 4.3 establishes this for the 4-point function.) The limit should be the $2 n$ - point function for a non-relativistic multiparticle system with $\delta$-function potentials.
3. The methods of this paper should work for other models once one has control over the Bethe-Salpeter kernel. For Yukawa models we still expect to get a $\delta$-function potential in the limit. This is consistent with a Yukawa potential of the form $c^{2}\left(p^{2}+m c^{2}\right)^{-1}$ which also converges to a constant. It is not clear whether the Yukawa potential plays any more fundamental role. For models with a massless particle exchange one presumably gets the Coulomb potential in the limit.
4. A related question to the present investigation is to reinstate $\hbar$ as a parameter and ask for the limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$. One expects the quantum field theory to converge to a classical field theory. Some results in this direction for $\mathscr{P}(\varphi)_{2}$ have been obtained by Hepp [11] and Eckmann [5].
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[^1]:    1 A tempered distribution $\mathcal{O}(p, q) \in \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ is said to be the kernel of the continuous bilinear form $\mathcal{O}$ on $\mathscr{P}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right) \times \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ given by
    $\langle\chi, \mathcal{O} \psi\rangle=\int \bar{\chi}(p) \mathcal{O}(p, q) \psi(q) d p d q$.

