

## EXPONENTIAL SUMS AND NEWTON POLYHEDRA

ALAN ADOLPHSON AND STEVEN SPERBER

Let  $p$  be a prime number and let  $k$  denote the field of  $q = p^a$  elements. Fix a nontrivial additive character  $\Psi: k \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)^\times$ . Given a variety  $V$  of dimension  $n$  and a regular function  $f$  on  $V$ , with both  $V$  and  $f$  defined over  $k$ , one can define an exponential sum

$$(1) \quad S(V, f) = \sum_{x \in V(k)} \Psi(f(x)),$$

where  $V(k)$  denotes the  $k$ -rational points of  $V$ . It is a classical problem to find conditions on  $V$  and  $f$  that will imply a good estimate for  $|S(V, f)|$ . By "good estimate" we mean an inequality of the form

$$(2) \quad |S(V, f)| \leq C\sqrt{q}^n,$$

where  $C$  is a constant depending on  $V$  and  $f$  but not on  $q$ .

Deligne's fundamental theorem [3] reduces the problem of estimating the archimedean size of exponential sums to the problem of computing certain associated  $l$ -adic cohomology groups. Let  $\mathbf{A}^n$  denote affine  $n$ -space over  $k$  and let  $(\mathbf{G}_m)^n$  denote the product of  $n$  copies of the multiplicative group  $\mathbf{G}_m$  over  $k$ . The purpose of this note is to report on some general criteria, when  $V = (\mathbf{G}_m)^n$  or  $\mathbf{A}^n$ , that allow us to calculate this cohomology and hence obtain sharp archimedean estimates for the corresponding exponential sums. These same criteria allow us to obtain apparently sharp  $p$ -adic estimates for the exponential sums as well, although space limitations prevent us from describing them here. Connections between the  $p$ -adic theory and Newton polyhedra already appear in [7 and 8].

A novel feature of our work is the use of Dwork cohomology [4, 5] to compute  $l$ -adic cohomology. The results of this note have not so far been obtainable by purely  $l$ -adic methods. Complete proofs and references will appear elsewhere. We are indebted to B. Dwork and N. Katz for many helpful discussions.

**1. Statement of results.** Let  $k_r$  denote the extension of  $k$  of degree  $r$  and let  $\text{Tr}_r: k_r \rightarrow k$  be the trace map. Let  $\bar{k}$  denote the algebraic closure of  $k$ . Set

$$(3) \quad S_r(V, f) = \sum_{x \in V(k_r)} \Psi(\text{Tr}_r f(x)),$$

---

Received by the editors November 1, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 11L40; Secondary 14F20, 14F30.

First author partially supported by NSF Grant No. DMS-8401723; second author partially supported by NSF Grant No. DMS-8301453.

©1987 American Mathematical Society  
0273-0979/87 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

where  $V(k_r)$  denotes the  $k_r$ -rational points of  $V$ . Define the associated  $L$ -function  $L(V, f; t)$  by

$$(4) \quad L(V, f; t) = \exp \left( \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} S_r(V, f) t^r / r \right) \in \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)[[t]].$$

It is well known that for every prime number  $l \neq p$  there is a lisse, rank-one,  $l$ -adic étale sheaf  $\mathcal{L}_{\Psi}(f)$  on  $V$  whose associated  $L$ -function is identical to  $L(V, f; t)$ . By Grothendieck’s Lefschetz trace formula and Deligne’s fundamental theorem, if

$$(5) \quad H_c^i(V \otimes_k \bar{k}, \mathcal{L}_{\Psi}(f)) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq n,$$

then one obtains the estimate

$$(6) \quad |S_r(V, f)| \leq (\dim H_c^n(V \otimes_k \bar{k}, \mathcal{L}_{\Psi}(f))) \sqrt{q}^{rn}$$

(where  $H_c^i(V \otimes_k \bar{k}, \mathcal{L}_{\Psi}(f))$  denotes  $l$ -adic cohomology with proper supports). When  $V = (\mathbf{G}_m)^n$  or  $\mathbf{A}^n$ , we shall give conditions on  $f$  that allow us to deduce (5) and give a simple formula for  $\dim H_c^n(V \otimes_k \bar{k}, \mathcal{L}_{\Psi}(f))$ .

Consider first the case  $V = (\mathbf{G}_m)^n$ . The regular functions on  $V$  defined over  $k$  are the Laurent polynomials with coefficients in  $k$ , i.e., elements of  $k[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}]$ . For  $j = (j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ , let  $x^j = x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_n^{j_n}$ . A Laurent polynomial  $f$  over  $k$  can be written

$$(7) \quad f = \sum_{j \in J} a_j x^j,$$

where  $J$  is a finite subset of  $\mathbf{Z}^n$  and  $a_j \in k^\times$ . We define the *Newton polyhedron*  $\Delta(f)$  of  $f$  to be the convex closure in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  of the set  $J \cup \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ . For each face  $\sigma$  of  $\Delta(f)$ , define a Laurent polynomial  $f_\sigma$  by

$$(8) \quad f_\sigma = \sum_{j \in \sigma \cap J} a_j x^j.$$

Call  $f$  *nondegenerate with respect to*  $\Delta(f)$  (Kouchnirenko [6]) if for every face  $\sigma$  of  $\Delta(f)$  that does not contain the origin,  $\partial f_\sigma / \partial x_1, \dots, \partial f_\sigma / \partial x_n$  have no common zero in  $(\bar{k}^\times)^n$ . The set of all nondegenerate polynomials having a given Newton polyhedron is Zariski open in the set of all polynomials having that Newton polyhedron, except possibly if the characteristic of  $k$  lies in a certain finite set which depends on the Newton polyhedron. We define the *dimension* of  $\Delta(f)$  to be the dimension of the smallest subspace of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  containing  $\Delta(f)$ . Let  $V(f)$  denote the volume of  $\Delta(f)$  with respect to Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\Delta$  be an  $n$ -dimensional convex polyhedron in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with vertices in  $\mathbf{Z}^n$  that contains the origin. There is a finite set of rational primes  $S_\Delta$  such that the following holds: If  $\text{char}(k) \notin S_\Delta$ ,  $f \in k[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}]$  with  $\Delta(f) = \Delta$ , and  $f$  is nondegenerate with respect to  $\Delta(f)$ , then*

- (i)  $H_c^i((\mathbf{G}_m)^n \otimes_k \bar{k}, \mathcal{L}_{\Psi}(f)) = 0$  if  $i \neq n$ ;
- (ii)  $\dim H_c^n((\mathbf{G}_m)^n \otimes_k \bar{k}, \mathcal{L}_{\Psi}(f)) = n! V(f)$ .

If in addition the origin is an interior point of  $\Delta$ , then

(iii)  $H_c^n((\mathbf{G}_m)^n \otimes_k \bar{k}, \mathcal{L}_\Psi(f))$  is pure of weight  $n$ .

COROLLARY. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1,

$$|S((\mathbf{G}_m)^n, f)| \leq n!V(f)\sqrt{q}^n.$$

PROOF. Using the ideal theory of [6], we are able to develop a cohomology theory along the lines of [4] and [5] to show that  $L((\mathbf{G}_m)^n, f; t)^{(-1)^{n-1}}$  is a polynomial of degree  $n!V(f)$  and obtain  $p$ -adic estimates for its roots. The proof then proceeds by induction on  $n$ . After an invertible change of coordinates, one may regard  $f$  as a one-parameter family of Laurent polynomials in  $n - 1$  variables, each satisfying the induction hypothesis and containing the origin in the interior of its Newton polyhedron. Applying basic theorems of  $l$ -adic cohomology shows that  $H_c^i = 0$  except possibly in dimensions  $n$  and  $n + 1$ . Corollaire 1.4.4 of [3] and the fact that  $L((\mathbf{G}_m)^n, f; t)^{(-1)^{n-1}}$  is a polynomial show that  $H_c^{n+1} = 0$ . The  $p$ -adic estimate for the roots, Deligne's fundamental theorem [3], and the product formula for valuations then imply purity.

We conjecture that Theorem 1 remains true without restriction on the characteristic of  $k$ . This can be verified if  $n = 2$  and in many other cases (see the examples at the end of this note).

We now turn to the case  $V = \mathbf{A}^n$ ,  $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Since an ordinary polynomial may also be regarded as a Laurent polynomial, all our previous definitions concerning the Newton polyhedron make sense in this context. We call  $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  *commode* if for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $f$  contains a term  $\gamma_i x_i^{d_i}$  with  $\gamma_i \in k^\times$ ,  $d_i > 0$ . For each subset  $A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ , let  $X_A$  be the subspace of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  where  $x_i = 0$  for all  $i \notin A$ . Let  $V_A(f)$  be the volume of  $\Delta(f) \cap X_A$ , computed with respect to Lebesgue measure on  $X_A$  normalized so that a fundamental domain for  $\mathbf{Z}^n \cap X_A$  has volume 1. Let  $|A|$  denote the cardinality of  $A$ . Define the *Newton number*  $\nu(f)$  by the formula

$$(9) \quad \nu(f) = \sum_{A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} (-1)^{n-|A|} |A|! V_A(f).$$

Let  $\mathbf{R}_+$  denote the nonnegative real numbers.

THEOREM 2. Let  $\Delta$  be a convex polyhedron in  $(\mathbf{R}_+)^n$  with vertices in  $\mathbf{Z}^n$  that has a vertex at the origin and on each of the coordinate axes. There is a finite set of rational primes  $S_\Delta$  such that the following holds: If  $\text{char}(k) \notin S_\Delta$ ,  $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  with  $\Delta(f) = \Delta$ , and  $f$  is nondegenerate with respect to  $\Delta(f)$ , then  $L(\mathbf{A}^n, f; t)^{(-1)^{n-1}}$  is a polynomial of degree  $\nu(f)$ , all of whose reciprocal roots are algebraic integers pure of weight  $n$ .

COROLLARY. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2,  $|S(\mathbf{A}^n, f)| \leq \nu(f)\sqrt{q}^n$ .

PROOF. The fact that  $L(\mathbf{A}^n, f; t)^{(-1)^{n-1}}$  is a polynomial is a consequence of the  $p$ -adic theory. Theorem 2 then follows from Theorem 1 by the standard relations between exponential sums over  $\mathbf{A}^n$  and  $(\mathbf{G}_m)^n$ .

We conjecture that Theorem 2 remains true without restriction on the characteristic of  $k$ . This can be verified if  $n = 2$  and in many other cases

(see Theorem 3 below). Of course, we believe that there is a cohomological explanation for this result:

CONJECTURE. *If  $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is commode and nondegenerate with respect to  $\Delta(f)$ , then*

- (i)  $H_c^i(\mathbf{A}^n \otimes_k \bar{k}, \mathcal{L}_\Psi(f)) = 0$  if  $i \neq n$ ;
- (ii)  $\dim H_c^n(\mathbf{A}^n \otimes_k \bar{k}, \mathcal{L}_\Psi(f)) = \nu(f)$ ;
- (iii)  $H_c^n(\mathbf{A}^n \otimes_k \bar{k}, \mathcal{L}_\Psi(f))$  is pure of weight  $n$ .

We can prove this conjecture provided  $\Delta(f)$  has a somewhat special form.

THEOREM 3. *Suppose  $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is commode and nondegenerate with respect to  $\Delta(f)$ . Assume in addition that for each codimension-one face  $\sigma$  of  $\Delta(f)$  that does not contain the origin, all coordinates of the exterior normal vector to  $\sigma$  with respect to the standard basis are positive (where the exterior normal vector is the one pointing out of  $\Delta(f)$ ). Then all conclusions of the Conjecture hold. In particular, we have*

$$|S(\mathbf{A}^n, f)| \leq \nu(f)\sqrt{q}^n.$$

PROOF. The proof is identical to the proof of Theorem 1, the point being that one can simply specialize one of the variables to regard  $f$  as a one-parameter family of polynomials, each satisfying the induction hypothesis.

EXAMPLES. The Laurent polynomial

$$(10) \quad f = \gamma_1 x_1^{d_1} + \dots + \gamma_n x_n^{d_n} + \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{x_1^{e_1} \dots x_n^{e_n}},$$

where the  $\gamma_i$  lie in  $k^\times$  and the  $d_i$  and  $e_j$  are positive integers prime to  $p$ , satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1 (one can show in addition that no restriction on  $\text{char}(k)$  is necessary) and  $n!V(f) = (\prod_{i=1}^n d_i)(1 + \sum_{i=1}^n e_i/d_i)$ . Thus

$$(11) \quad \left| S \left( (\mathbf{G}_m)^n, \gamma_1 x_1^{d_1} + \dots + \gamma_n x_n^{d_n} + \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{x_1^{e_1} \dots x_n^{e_n}} \right) \right| \leq \left( \prod_{i=1}^n d_i \right) \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{e_i}{d_i} \right) \sqrt{q}^n.$$

See Carpentier [1] for a  $p$ -adic study of this exponential sum.

Consider the polynomial

$$(12) \quad f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \gamma_1 x_1^{d_1} + \dots + \gamma_n x_n^{d_n} + g(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

where  $g$  is chosen subject to the restrictions that  $\Delta(f)$  be the simplex with vertices at the origin and at  $(d_1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, \dots, 0, d_n)$  and that  $f$  be nondegenerate with respect to  $\Delta(f)$ . Then  $f$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3 and  $\nu(f) = \prod_{i=1}^n (d_i - 1)$ , hence

$$(13) \quad |S(\mathbf{A}^n, f)| \leq \left( \prod_{i=1}^n (d_i - 1) \right) \sqrt{q}^n.$$

It can be shown that this result includes Deligne's theorem [2, Théorème 8.4] as the special case where  $d_1 = \dots = d_n$ .

## REFERENCES

1. M. Carpentier, *p-Adic cohomology of generalized hyperkloosterman sums*, Doctoral thesis, University of Minnesota (August, 1985).
2. P. Deligne, *La conjecture de Weil*. I, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **43** (1974), 273–307.
3. —, *La conjecture de Weil*. II, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **52** (1980), 137–252.
4. B. Dwork, *On the zeta function of a hypersurface*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **12** (1962), 5–68.
5. —, *On the zeta function of a hypersurface*. II, Ann. of Math. **80** (1964), 227–299.
6. A. G. Kouchnirenko, *Polyèdres de Newton et nombres de Milnor*, Invent. Math. **32** (1976), 1–31.
7. S. Sperber and A. Adolphson, *Newton polyhedra and the degree of the L-function associated to an exponential sum*, Invent. Math. (to appear).
8. —, *p-Adic estimates for exponential sums and the theorem of Chevalley-Waring*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA 74078

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55455