

# WHITEHEAD GROUPS OF FINITE GROUPS<sup>1</sup>

BY MICHAEL R. STEIN

In 1966, Milnor surveyed in this Bulletin [23] the concept of Whitehead torsion, focusing on the definition, topological significance and computation of Whitehead groups and their relationship to algebraic  $K$ -theory and the congruence subgroup problem. As Milnor showed in that survey [23, Appendix 1], an affirmative solution to the congruence subgroup problem for algebraic number fields would imply that for any finite abelian group  $G$ ,  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G) = 0$ ; i.e. that the Whitehead group of a finite abelian group  $G$  is torsion-free. At that time the status of the congruence subgroup problem was uncertain [23, pp. 360, 416]; it was subsequently shown to have a negative solution by Bass, Milnor and Serre [7]. Nevertheless, until 1972 all finite abelian groups for which computations could be made had trivial  $SK_1$  (cf. [5, p. 624]) and the question of whether these groups could be nontrivial remained open [6].

An intensive study of Milnor's  $K_2$ -functor on discrete valuation rings [10] and the application of Mayer-Vietoris sequences in algebraic  $K$ -theory led to the first examples of finite abelian groups with nontrivial  $SK_1$  and have provided an algorithm for the computation of such  $SK_1$ 's in general. In addition, the first steps towards the computation of  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$  for nonabelian finite groups have been taken by several authors.

It is my purpose to survey these techniques and computations, beginning where Milnor left off in 1966. I will rely heavily on his article for background material; all unexplained notations and terminology should be sought there.

If  $G$  is a finite group, its order is denoted  $|G|$  and its abelianization,  $G^{\text{ab}}$ . A finite field with  $q$  elements is denoted  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The units of a ring  $A$  are denoted  $A^*$  or  $U(A)$ .

I would like to thank Bruce Magurn, Keith Dennis and Michael Keating for their helpful comments.

**1. Whitehead groups.** Let  $R$  be an associative ring with 1, and suppose  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $GL_n(R)$  be the group of all invertible  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $R$ . We can embed  $GL_n(R)$  in  $GL_{n+1}(R)$  by sending an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  to  $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in GL_{n+1}(R)$ . This yields homomorphisms  $GL_1(R) \rightarrow GL_2(R) \rightarrow \dots$ ; their direct limit is denoted  $GL(R)$ .

An  $n \times n$  matrix is called *elementary* if it differs from the identity by a single off-diagonal entry. The subgroup generated by all elementary matrices is denoted  $E(R)$ , and J. H. C. Whitehead proved that  $E(R)$  is precisely the

---

This is an expanded version of an invited address presented at the 745th meeting of the American Mathematical Society, Evanston, Illinois, April 15, 1977; received by the editors September 12, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 18F25, 16A54; Secondary 57C10.

<sup>1</sup> This work was partially supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

© American Mathematical Society 1978

commutator subgroup of  $GL(R)$ . We define  $K_1(R) = GL(R)/E(R) = GL(R)^{ab}$ .

If the ring  $R$  is commutative, similar considerations apply to the matrices of determinant 1, and lead to the definition  $SK_1(R) = SL(R)/E(R)$ . In this case there is a direct sum decomposition

$$K_1(R) \approx U(R) \oplus SK_1(R),$$

where  $U(R)$  denotes the group of units of  $R$ , since the inclusion  $U(R) = GL_1(R) \subset GL(R)$  splits the determinant homomorphism  $GL(R) \rightarrow U(R)$  whose kernel is  $SL(R)$ .

If  $I$  is a (two-sided) ideal in  $R$ , we let  $GL(R, I) = \ker(GL(R) \rightarrow GL(R/I))$  and  $E(R, I)$  be the normal subgroup of  $E(R)$  generated by matrices with all off-diagonal entries in  $I$ . The *relative group*  $K_1(R, I) = GL(R, I)/E(R, I)$  fits into an exact sequence

$$(1.1) \quad K_1(R, I) \rightarrow K_1(R) \rightarrow K_1(R/I);$$

when  $R$  is commutative, similar definitions and remarks apply to  $SK_1(R, I)$  as well.

The following theorem will be crucial to our calculations.

(1.2) THEOREM [7, COROLLARY 4.3]. *Let  $\mathfrak{O}$  be the ring of integers in an algebraic number field  $F$  and let  $\alpha$  be an ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}$ . Then  $SK_1(\mathfrak{O}, \alpha)$  is canonically isomorphic to a cyclic subgroup of order  $l$  of the roots of unity,  $\mu(F)$ , of  $F$ , where  $l = 1$  if  $\alpha = \mathfrak{O}$ , or  $\alpha = (0)$ , or  $\mathfrak{O}$  is not totally imaginary; and*

$$\text{ord}_p(l) = \min_{p|p} \left[ \frac{\text{ord}_p(\alpha)}{\text{ord}_p(p)} - \frac{1}{p-1} \right]_{[0, s]},$$

where  $s = \text{ord}_p(\mu(F))$ , otherwise.

Here  $[x]_{[0, s]}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ , denotes the nearest integer in the interval  $[0, s]$  to the largest integer  $\leq x$ .

Let  $A$  be any commutative ring and  $G$  a finite group. The inclusion of  $A$  into the group ring  $AG$  splits the augmentation  $AG \rightarrow A$  and shows that  $K_1(A)$  occurs as a direct summand of  $K_1(AG)$ . Also, the composite

$$G \rightarrow U(AG) = GL_1(AG) \rightarrow GL(AG) \rightarrow K_1(AG)$$

induces a homomorphism  $G^{ab} \rightarrow K_1(AG)$  which is injective because the composition

$$G^{ab} \rightarrow K_1(AG) \rightarrow K_1(AG^{ab}) \xrightarrow{\det} U(AG^{ab})$$

is just the usual inclusion. We define the *Whitehead group*,  $\text{Wh}(AG)$ , to be the quotient of  $K_1(AG)$  by  $K_1(A) \oplus G^{ab}$ . When  $A = \mathbb{Z}$ , we write  $\text{Wh}(G)$  for  $\text{Wh}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ . Bass has shown [4] that  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is a finitely generated abelian group of rank  $r(G) - q(G)$ , where  $r(G)$  (resp.  $q(G)$ ) denotes the number of irreducible real (resp. rational) representations of  $G$ .

Note that when  $G$  is finite abelian, we have the decomposition

$$(1.3) \quad \text{Wh}(AG) \approx (SK_1(AG)/SK_1(A)) \oplus (U(AG)/(U(A) \oplus G)).$$

When  $A$  is the ring of integers in an algebraic number field,  $SK_1(A) = 0$  by

Theorem 1.2 and we have  $\text{Wh}(AG) \approx SK_1(AG) \oplus U(AG)/(A^* \oplus G)$ . In this case Higman [13] has shown that  $A^* \oplus G$  contains all the units of finite order in  $AG$ , so the summand  $U(AG)/(A^* \oplus G)$  is torsion-free. We shall see later that  $SK_1(AG)$  is finite.

**2. Group rings of finite abelian groups; some easy examples.** Let  $F$  be a finite extension field of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $G$  a finite abelian group, and  $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  an irreducible character of  $G$ . Then  $\chi(G)$  is a finite cyclic group of roots of unity of order  $m$  dividing  $|G|$ . Let  $\bar{\chi}$  be a primitive  $m$ th root of unity. Then  $\chi$  induces a surjective homomorphism, which we shall also denote  $\chi$ , from the group algebra  $FG$  to  $F(\bar{\chi})$ . Since  $FG$  is semisimple (Maschke's theorem),  $\chi$  must be split and  $F(\bar{\chi})$  occurs as a simple component of  $FG$ . If  $\mathfrak{O}$  is the ring of integers in  $F$ , the restriction to  $\mathfrak{O}G$  of  $\chi$  has image  $\mathfrak{O}[\bar{\chi}]$ .

Conversely, every simple component of  $FG$  affords an irreducible representation, and thus an irreducible character, of  $G$ . This means that every simple component of  $FG$  arises in the way described above.

It is possible, however, for distinct irreducible characters of  $G$  to give rise to the same simple component of  $FG$ . For example, if  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ , two characters  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  of  $G$  will give rise to the same simple component of  $\mathbb{Q}G$  if and only if their kernels are the same. The relation " $\chi_1 \sim \chi_2$  if the simple components of  $FG$  they give rise to are the same" is an equivalence relation on the set of irreducible characters of  $G$ ; we shall denote by  $S_F(G)$  (or simply  $S$  when  $F$  and  $G$  are understood) a set of representatives for the equivalence classes under this relation. When  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $S_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$  may be taken to be the set of irreducible rational characters of  $G$ .

It follows from the preceding discussion that for any finite abelian group  $G$ , there is an isomorphism

$$(2.1) \quad \alpha: FG \xrightarrow{\approx} \prod_{\chi \in S_F(G)} F(\bar{\chi})$$

where  $\alpha = \prod_{\chi \in S_F(G)} \chi$ .

Let us write  $B = \prod_{\chi \in S_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)} \mathbb{Z}[\bar{\chi}]$ . The restriction to  $\mathbb{Z}G$  of  $\alpha$  is an injective homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}G \rightarrow B$ . The conductor,  $\mathfrak{c}$ , from  $B$  to  $\mathbb{Z}G$  is the largest ideal of  $B$  contained in  $\mathbb{Z}G$ ; equivalently,  $\mathfrak{c} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}G \mid xB \subset \mathbb{Z}G\}$ . Moreover,  $|G|B \subset \mathfrak{c}$  [5, Chapter IX, Corollary 1.2]. Similar remarks hold if we replace  $\mathbb{Z}$  by the ring of integers in a number field  $F$  throughout. It is a theorem of Bass and Murthy [8, Lemma 10.5] that under the above hypotheses, the map  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G, \mathfrak{c}) \rightarrow SK_1(B, \mathfrak{c})$  is an isomorphism.<sup>2</sup> Since  $|G|\mathbb{Z}G \subset |G|B \subset \mathfrak{c}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}G/\mathfrak{c}$  is a finite ring and has trivial  $SK_1$  [5, Chapter V, Corollary 9.2]. We thus deduce from (1.1) that  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$  is a quotient of  $SK_1(B, \mathfrak{c})$ .

Following the decomposition  $B = \prod_{\chi \in S} \mathbb{Z}[\bar{\chi}]$ , we may write  $\mathfrak{c} = \prod_{\chi \in S} \mathfrak{c}_{\chi}$ ; since  $SK_1$  commutes with finite products, we see that  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ , for  $G$  finite abelian, is a quotient of  $\prod_{\chi \in S} SK_1(\mathbb{Z}[\bar{\chi}], \mathfrak{c}_{\chi})$ , and each term of this product is known by Theorem 1.2. Thus  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$  is a finite abelian group and is precisely the torsion part of  $\text{Wh}(G)$  when  $G$  is finite abelian. (A more refined analysis shows that any exponent for  $G$  is also an exponent for  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ .)

<sup>2</sup>This property is special to the circumstances described here. In general if  $A$  is a subring of  $B$  and  $I$  is an ideal in both,  $SK_1(A, I)$  is not necessarily isomorphic to  $SK_1(B, I)$  [30].

Similar arguments can be used to show that  $SK_1(AG)$  is finite when  $A$  is the ring of integers in an algebraic number field.

Here is an example. Suppose  $G$  is an elementary abelian 2-group of rank  $k$  (i.e. a product of  $k$  cyclic groups of order 2). All characters of  $G$  take values in  $\{\pm 1\}$ ; hence  $B \approx \mathbf{Z}^q$ , where  $q = 2^k - 1$ . Thus  $SK_1(B, c) = \prod_{\chi \in S} SK_1(\mathbf{Z}, c_\chi) = 0$  (since  $\mathbf{Z}$  has a real embedding), and  $SK_1(\mathbf{Z}G) = 0$ .

**3. Mayer-Vietoris sequences;  $K_2$ .** Early workers who tried to exploit the exact sequence  $\prod SK_1(\mathbf{Z}[\bar{\chi}], c_\chi) \rightarrow SK_1(\mathbf{Z}G) \rightarrow 0$  to compute Whitehead groups were frustrated by the absence of an extension of this sequence to the left. The algebraic  $K_2$  functor introduced by Milnor [24] and the resulting Mayer-Vietoris sequences went far towards alleviating this difficulty. I will describe these techniques in some generality before specializing to our particular computational problems.

Suppose

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta \\ A' & \xrightarrow{f'} & B' \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram of rings and ring homomorphisms with the property that  $f$  induces an isomorphism between the ideals  $I = \ker \alpha$  and  $J = \ker \beta$ . Such a diagram is a *pullback* in the category of rings. Let us assume, in addition:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{For some } i \geq 1, K_i(A, I) \rightarrow K_i(B, J) \text{ is onto and} \\ K_{i-1}(A, I) \rightarrow K_{i-1}(B, J) \text{ is an isomorphism.} \end{array}$$

(In Milnor's original work [5, Chapter VII, §4] he took  $i = 1$ . We are assuming in this general discussion the existence of a "higher" algebraic  $K$ -theory as, for example, in [27].) Then an easy diagram chase shows that there exists a connecting homomorphism  $\partial$  such that the following sequence is exact:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{c} K_i(A) \rightarrow K_i(B) \oplus K_i(A') \rightarrow K_i(B') \\ \xrightarrow{\partial} K_{i-1}(A) \rightarrow K_{i-1}(B) \oplus K_{i-1}(A') \rightarrow K_{i-1}(B'). \end{array}$$

Such a sequence is called a Mayer-Vietoris sequence. It exists for  $i = 1$  so long as  $f'$  or  $\beta$  is onto. When  $i = 2$ , Milnor showed (3.2) is satisfied provided  $f'$  and  $\beta$  are onto. This leads to an easy proof that  $SK_1(\mathbf{Z}G) = 0$  for a finite cyclic group  $G$ .

Let us assume, first of all, that  $G$  is cyclic of prime order  $p$  (we shall see in §5 that we can reduce to this case). Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Z}G & \rightarrow & \mathbf{Z}[\zeta] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Z} & \rightarrow & \mathbf{F}_p \end{array}$$

is a pullback with all arrows surjective, where  $\zeta$  is a primitive  $p$ th root of unity. Here a generator of  $G$  is mapped to  $\zeta \in \mathbf{Z}[\zeta]$  and to  $1 \in \mathbf{Z}$ , and the

other maps are reduction mod  $1 - \zeta$  and  $p$ . From (3.3) with  $i = 2$  we deduce the exact sequence

$$K_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G) \rightarrow SK_1(\mathbb{Z}) \oplus SK_1(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta])$$

whose last term is trivial by Theorem 1.2. Since  $K_2$  of a finite field is also trivial [29], we conclude that  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G) = 0$ .

A second circumstance in which a Mayer-Vietoris sequence exists for  $i = 2$  is the conductor situation described above which was first studied by Bass and Murthy. More generally, we have:

(3.4) THEOREM [9]. *Let  $B = \prod_{i=1}^n B_i$  be a direct product of (not necessarily commutative) rings and suppose  $A \subset B$  is a subring such that each projection of  $A$  into a direct factor  $B_i$  of  $B$  is surjective. Let  $I$  be any two-sided ideal of  $B$  contained in  $A$ . Then (3.2) holds for the square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \rightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A/I & \rightarrow & B/I \end{array}$$

and  $i = 2$ .

**4. An algorithm for finite abelian groups.** Let me now describe how Theorem 3.4 can be used to calculate, in principle,  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$  for any finite abelian group  $G$ . We return to the notation introduced in §2; in particular,  $B = \prod_{\chi \in S} \mathbb{Z}[\bar{\chi}]$  is the integral closure in  $\mathbb{Q}G$  of  $\mathbb{Z}G$ , where  $S = S_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$ . We choose an ideal  $I$  contained in the conductor from  $B$  to  $\mathbb{Z}G$  and containing a rational integer ( $|G|B = I$  is one such choice). We obtain from (3.3) the exact sequence

$$(4.1) \quad K_2(B) \oplus K_2(\mathbb{Z}G/I) \rightarrow K_2(B/I) \rightarrow SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G) \rightarrow 0.$$

This involves noting that  $K_1$  can be replaced by  $SK_1$  when the rings are commutative, and applying Theorem 1.2 and the fact that  $\mathbb{Z}G/I$  is finite to conclude that

$$SK_1(B) = \prod SK_1(\mathbb{Z}[\bar{\chi}]) = 0 = SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G/I).$$

Our first task is to analyze the map  $K_2(B) \rightarrow K_2(B/I)$ . This may be done componentwise by considering the exact sequences

$$(4.2) \quad K_2(\mathbb{Z}[\bar{\chi}]) \rightarrow K_2(\mathbb{Z}[\bar{\chi}]/I_{\chi}) \rightarrow SK_1(\mathbb{Z}[\bar{\chi}], I_{\chi}) \rightarrow 0.$$

To simplify our task, let us assume henceforth that  $G$  is a  $p$ -group for some prime  $p$  (we will shortly show how we may always reduce to this case). The group  $K_2(\mathbb{Z}[\bar{\chi}]/I_{\chi})$  has been computed by Dennis and Stein [10, Theorem 5.1]; combining their calculation with Theorem 1.2 we conclude from (4.2) that  $K_2(\mathbb{Z}[\bar{\chi}]) \rightarrow K_2(\mathbb{Z}[\bar{\chi}]/I)$  is the 0-map unless  $\chi = \pm 1$ , in which case the map is onto. Thus we may rewrite (4.1) as follows:

$$(4.3) \quad K_2(\mathbb{Z}G/I) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \prod_{\chi \in S^*} K_2(\mathbb{Z}[\bar{\chi}]/I_{\chi}) \rightarrow SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $S^*$  is the subset of  $S = S_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$  different from  $\pm 1$  (of course,  $\chi = -1$  can occur only when  $p = 2$ ) and  $\varphi$  is the product map induced by the characters in  $S^*$ . Since all the  $K_2$ 's which occur in (4.3) are generated by

Steinberg symbols [28, Theorem 2.13], explicit calculation is often possible. Here is a simple example which indicates the technique.

Let  $\zeta$  be a primitive cube root of unity and let  $\chi$  be the character of a cyclic group of order 3 which maps a fixed generator to  $\zeta$ . Let  $G$  be the product of 2 cyclic groups of order 3 with generators  $\sigma, \tau$ , respectively ( $G$  is an elementary abelian 3-group of rank 2).

Then  $\varphi = (\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \chi_4)$ , where  $\chi_1 = \chi \times 1$ ,  $\chi_2 = 1 \times \chi$ ,  $\chi_3 = \chi \times \chi$  and  $\chi_4 = \chi^{-1} \times \chi$  (these are the elements of  $S^*$ ), and we may take  $I_\chi = (\zeta - 1)^3$ . By [10, Theorem 3.8(f)] we know that  $K_2(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]/(\zeta - 1)^3)$  is generated by  $s = \{\zeta, 1 + (\zeta - 1)^2\}$ . Also, since each  $\chi_i$  maps the augmentation ideal,  $J$ , of  $\mathbb{Z}G$  to  $(\zeta - 1)\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ , it follows that  $\chi_i(J^3) = 0$ , and we may replace (4.3) by the exact sequence

$$K_2(\mathbb{Z}G/(I, J^3)) \xrightarrow{\varphi} K_2(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]/(\zeta - 1)^3)^4 \rightarrow SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G) \rightarrow 0.$$

Define elements  $s_i \in K_2(\mathbb{Z}G/(I, J^3))$  by

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= \{\sigma, 1 + (\sigma\tau - 1)(\sigma\tau^2 - 1)\}, & s_2 &= \{\tau, 1 + (\sigma\tau - 1)(\sigma^2\tau - 1)\}, \\ s_3 &= \{\tau, 1 + (\sigma - 1)(\sigma^2\tau^2 - 1)\}, & s_4 &= \{\sigma^{-1}, 1 + (\tau - 1)(\sigma\tau^2 - 1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\chi_i(s_j) = \delta_{ij}s$  (Kronecker delta), proving  $\varphi$  is surjective and  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G) = 0$ .

Of course, the above result was known before the advent of the functor  $K_2$ , and our wish is to produce examples where  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$  is *not* trivial. One method, based on [2], and suitable for machine computation, works as follows. Choose a collection of Steinberg symbols  $s_j$  which generate  $K_2(\mathbb{Z}G/I)$ . Form the matrix with rows indexed by these symbols and columns indexed by  $S^*$  whose  $ij$ th entry is the Steinberg symbol  $\chi_i(s_j) \in K_2(\mathbb{Z}[\bar{\chi}_i]/I_{\bar{\chi}_i})$ . This  $K_2$  is a cyclic group which may be identified with a subgroup of the roots of unity in  $\mathbb{Z}[\bar{\chi}_i]$  by interpreting  $\chi_i(s_j)$  as a norm residue symbol [10, §4]. Since explicit formulas for the evaluation of norm residue symbols are known [3], [14], we obtain a relation matrix describing the image of  $\varphi$  as a subgroup of  $\prod_{\chi \in S^*} K_2(\mathbb{Z}[\bar{\chi}]/I_{\bar{\chi}})$  and the order of  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G) = \text{coker}(\varphi)$  may be computed. This method has been used by Roy G. Fuller to obtain the following results by machine calculation:

$G$	$SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$
$\mathbb{Z}/p^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/p^2$ ( $p = 3, 5, 7$ )	$(\mathbb{Z}/p)^{p-1}$
$\mathbb{Z}/p^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathbb{Z}/p$ ( $p = 3, 5, 7$ )	$(\mathbb{Z}/p)^{p(p-1)}$
$\mathbb{Z}/27 \times \mathbb{Z}/9$	$(\mathbb{Z}/3)^4$
$\mathbb{Z}/27 \times \mathbb{Z}/3 \times \mathbb{Z}/3$	$(\mathbb{Z}/3)^9$
$\mathbb{Z}/9 \times \mathbb{Z}/9 \times \mathbb{Z}/3$	$(\mathbb{Z}/3)^{15} \times (\mathbb{Z}/9)^2$

General computations of  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$  depend, of course, on finding a method for computing the image of  $\varphi$ . The only general result so far has been for elementary abelian  $p$ -groups. In that case  $\prod_{\chi \in S^*} K_2(\mathbb{Z}[\bar{\chi}]/I_{\bar{\chi}})$  is an  $F_p$ -vector space of dimension  $(p^k - 1)/(p - 1)$ , where  $k$  is the rank of  $G$ . The

dimension of  $V = \text{image}(\varphi)$  as a subspace of this vector space may be computed by interpreting  $V$  as a certain vector subspace of the polynomial functions from the character group of  $G$  to  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . The result is:

(4.4) THEOREM [2]. *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $G$  an elementary abelian  $p$ -group of rank  $k$ . Then  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group of rank*

$$\frac{p^k - 1}{p - 1} - \binom{p + k - 1}{p}.$$

*In particular,  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G) \neq 0$  for  $k \geq 3$ .*

Some other results obtained by hand computation are given below [Dennis and Stein, unpublished].

$G$	$SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$
$\mathbb{Z}/4 \times \mathbb{Z}/4$	$\mathbb{Z}/2$
$\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/4$	$\mathbb{Z}/2$
$(\mathbb{Z}/2)^3 \times \mathbb{Z}/4$	$(\mathbb{Z}/2)^3 \times \mathbb{Z}/4$

**5. Reduction to  $p$ -groups.** The method outlined above for calculating  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$  when  $G$  is a finite abelian  $p$ -group applies more generally to the computation of  $SK_1(\mathfrak{O}G)$ , where  $\mathfrak{O}$  is the ring of integers in any finite Galois extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in which the prime  $p$  does not ramify. We obtain an exact sequence

$$(5.1) \quad K_2(\mathfrak{O}G/\mathfrak{S}) \xrightarrow{\psi} \prod_{\chi \in S_0} K_2(\mathfrak{O}[\bar{\chi}]/\mathfrak{S}_\chi) \rightarrow SK_1(\mathfrak{O}G) \rightarrow 0$$

analogous to (4.3), where  $S_0$  is a certain collection of irreducible characters of  $G$  [2]. The norm  $N: \mathfrak{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  induces, by extension of scalars, compatible homomorphism  $\mathfrak{O}G/\mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}G/I$  and  $\mathfrak{O}[\bar{\chi}]/\mathfrak{S}_\chi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\bar{\chi}]/I_\chi$ . These, in turn, induce a map of (5.1) to (4.3), which is an isomorphism  $SK_1(\mathfrak{O}G) \rightarrow SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$  for  $p \neq 2$ . (When  $p = 2$ ,  $S_0$  may be larger than  $S^*$ .) The precise result is as follows.

(5.2) THEOREM. *Let  $p$  be a prime and  $G$  a finite abelian  $p$ -group. Let  $K_1 \subset K_2$  be a finite Galois extension of number fields with rings of integers  $\mathfrak{D}_1, \mathfrak{D}_2$ , respectively, in which  $p$  is unramified. Then  $SK_1(\mathfrak{D}_2G) \approx SK_1(\mathfrak{D}_1G)$  in case  $p$  is odd, or, when  $p = 2$ , both  $\mathfrak{D}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_2$  are totally imaginary or both have real embeddings.*

I now want to indicate how Theorem 5.2 can be used to reduce the computation of  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$  from general finite abelian groups to the case of  $p$ -groups. For any finite abelian group  $G$ , let us write  $G_p$  for its Sylow  $p$ -subgroup. Thus  $G = H \times G_p$ , where  $H$  has order prime to  $p$ . The integral closure,  $C$ , of  $\mathbb{Z}H$  in  $\mathbb{Q}H$  is, by the discussion in §2, the direct product of  $q(H) = q(G/G_p)$  factors of the form  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ , where  $\zeta$  is a root of unity of order prime to  $p$ . In particular, each factor  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$  satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 5.2, and it follows that if  $p$  is odd,  $SK_1(C[G_p]) \approx SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G_p)^{q(G/G_p)}$ . In particular,  $SK_1(C[G_p])$  is a finite abelian  $p$ -group (cf. §2).

On the other hand, we have the homomorphisms

$$\mathbf{Z}G = \mathbf{Z}[H \times G_p] \approx \mathbf{Z}[H][G_p] \subset C[G_p]$$

and the induced map  $SK_1(\mathbf{Z}G) \rightarrow SK_1(C[G_p])$  kills all torsion other than  $p$ -torsion, thus inducing a homomorphism

$$SK_1(\mathbf{Z}G)_p \xrightarrow{\alpha} SK_1(C[G_p]).$$

(5.3) THEOREM [2]. *The homomorphism  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism for all  $p$ .*

Combining Theorems 5.2 and 5.3, we have

(5.4) THEOREM. *Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group with Sylow subgroups  $\{G_p\}$ . Then*

$$SK_1(\mathbf{Z}G) \approx \prod_{\substack{p \text{ odd} \\ p \mid |G|}} SK_1(\mathbf{Z}G_p)^{q(G/G_p)} \times SK_1(\mathbf{Z}G_2) \times SK_1(\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_3]G_2)^{q(G/G_2)-1},$$

where  $\zeta_3$  is a primitive cube root of unity.

**6. Induction theorems for finite groups.** Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group. Since  $\mathbf{Q}G$  is semisimple, it follows from the stability theorems for  $K_1$  [5, Chapter V, Theorem 4.2 ff.] that the determinant map from  $K_1(\mathbf{Q}G)$  to  $U(\mathbf{Q}G)$  is an isomorphism, and, therefore, that  $\ker(K_1(\mathbf{Z}G) \rightarrow K_1(\mathbf{Q}G)) = SK_1(\mathbf{Z}G)$ . We may thus generalize the definition of  $SK_1$  to nonabelian finite groups by setting  $SK_1(\mathbf{Z}G) = \ker(K_1(\mathbf{Z}G) \rightarrow K_1(\mathbf{Q}G))$ . (There is an alternative method of defining  $SK_1$  using reduced norms [4, §1]; we will not need this definition here.) More generally, if  $A$  is the ring of integers in an algebraic number field  $F$ , we set  $SK_1(AG) = \ker(K_1(AG) \rightarrow K_1(FG))$ .

We have already seen in §§1 and 2 that when  $G$  is abelian, the torsion subgroup of  $\text{Wh}(AG)$  is precisely  $SK_1(AG)$ . Our next task is to prove Wall's result that this remains true if  $G$  is finite, but not necessarily abelian (or, equivalently, to show that  $\text{tor}(K_1(AG)) = \text{tor}(A^*) \oplus G^{\text{ab}} \oplus SK_1(AG)$  where  $A$  is the ring of integers in an algebraic number field and  $G$  is finite). To do so will require a brief sketch of the use of induction techniques in algebraic  $K$ -theory, as developed by Swan, Lam and Dress.

Our starting point is the calculus of induction and restriction for group representations. Let  $H$  be a subgroup of a finite group  $G$ . Any  $\mathbf{Z}H$ -module  $M$  can be made into an induced  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -module  $i_*(M) = M \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}H} \mathbf{Z}G$ . Conversely, by restricting scalars from  $\mathbf{Z}G$  to  $\mathbf{Z}H$ , any  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -module  $N$  can be made into a  $\mathbf{Z}H$ -module denoted  $i^*(N)$ . The maps  $i_*$ ,  $i^*$  are, in fact, functors between the appropriate categories of modules, and are related by the Frobenius reciprocity theorem, which, for our purposes, can be formulated as follows.

Let  $G_{\mathbf{Z}}(G)$  (resp.  $G_{\mathbf{Z}}(H)$ ) be the Grothendieck group on the category of all finitely generated  $\mathbf{Z}G$  (resp.  $\mathbf{Z}H$ )-modules which are  $\mathbf{Z}$ -projective. Tensor product over  $\mathbf{Z}$  induces ring structures on  $G_{\mathbf{Z}}(G)$  and  $G_{\mathbf{Z}}(H)$ , and the Frobenius reciprocity law in this setting states that for  $x \in G_{\mathbf{Z}}(G)$ ,  $y \in G_{\mathbf{Z}}(H)$ ,

$$i_*(i^*(x)y) = xi_*(y).$$

As usual, similar definitions and results apply when  $\mathbf{Z}$  is replaced by a ring of algebraic integers  $A$ .



Now suppose  $\mathfrak{D}$  is some collection of subgroups of  $G$ , and let  $G_A(G)_{\mathfrak{D}}$  be the ideal in  $G_A(G)$  generated by  $i_*(G_A(H))$  for all  $H \in \mathfrak{D}$ .

(6.1) THEOREM [ARTIN]. *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the set of all cyclic subgroups of the finite group  $G$ . Then*

$$|G|G_Q(G) \subset G_Q(G)_{\mathcal{C}}.$$

We shall often be interested in the collection of hyperelementary subgroups of  $G$ . A finite group  $H$  is said to be *p-hyperelementary* (for some prime  $p$ ) if it contains a cyclic normal subgroup of index a power of  $p$  (equivalently: if  $H$  is the semidirect product  $N \rtimes P$  with  $N$  normal cyclic and  $P$  a  $p$ -group). Any *dihedral group* is 2-hyperelementary. All  $p$ -groups are  $p$ -hyperelementary.

(6.2) THEOREM [WITT, BERMAN]. *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the collection of  $p$ -hyperelementary subgroups of the finite group  $G$  for all primes dividing  $|G|$ . Then  $G_F(G) = G_F(G)_{\mathcal{H}}$  for any algebraic number field  $F$ .*

These theorems were extended by Swan [31], [32].

(6.3) THEOREM. *Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions  $K$  and let  $G$  be a finite group. Suppose  $\mathfrak{D}$  is a collection of subgroups of  $G$ . If  $nG_K(G) \subset G_K(G)_{\mathfrak{D}}$ , then*

- (i)  $nG_{R/\mathfrak{p}}(G) \subset G_{R/\mathfrak{p}}(G)_{\mathfrak{D}}$  for all maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$ ; and
- (ii)  $n^2G_R(G) \subset G_R(G)_{\mathfrak{D}}$ .

Swan's work, in turn, was formalized and extended by Lam [21], who showed that whenever theorems such as (6.3) hold for  $G_R(G)$ , they are valid as well for  $F(G)$ , where  $F$  is any functor from finite groups and their monomorphisms to abelian groups which can be given the structure of a "Frobenius module" over  $G_R$ . Examples of such functors are  $K_0(RG)$ ,  $K_1(RG)$ ,  $SK_1(RG)$ ,  $\text{Wh}(RG)$ .

**7. Some computations for nonabelian finite groups.** We have seen above that  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G) = 0$  when  $G$  is cyclic. Hence  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G)_{\mathcal{C}} = 0$  for any finite group  $G$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  is the set of cyclic subgroups of  $G$ . Using the work of Lam together with Theorems 6.1 and 6.3, we see that  $|G|^2SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G) = 0$ ; i.e. that  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$  is a torsion group. Since it is also finitely generated (cf. [5, p. 553, (v)]), we conclude that  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$  is finite.

Another corollary of the work of Swan and Lam is:

(7.1) COROLLARY. *If  $SK_1(AH) = 0$  for every hyperelementary subgroup  $H$  of a finite group  $G$ , then  $SK_1(AG) = 0$  as well.*

By restricting attention to  $p$ -hyperelementary subgroups for a fixed prime  $p$ , we can obtain information about the  $p$ -torsion in  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ . For example:

(7.2) THEOREM [SWAN-LAM]. *If  $G$  has a cyclic normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup,  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$  has no  $p$ -torsion.*

Let me now indicate, in outline, Wall's argument for proving  $SK_1(AG) = \text{tor}(\text{Wh}(AG))$ . Here  $A$  is the ring of integers in an algebraic number field  $F$ , and we write  $K_1'(AG)$  for the image of  $K_1(AG)$  in  $K_1(FG)$ . We have an exact commutative diagram (cf. §1):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 \rightarrow & SK_1(A) \rightarrow & K_1(A) & \rightarrow & \oplus G^{ab} \rightarrow & K'_1(A) \oplus G^{ab} \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\
 0 \rightarrow & SK_1(AG) \rightarrow & K_1(AG) & \rightarrow & & K'_1(AG) \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & & \downarrow & \\
 & & Wh(AG) & \rightarrow & & Wh'(AG) & \\
 & & \downarrow & & & \downarrow & \\
 & & 0 & & & 0 & 
 \end{array}$$

Note that  $SK_1(A) = 0$  by Theorem 1.2. Wall shows that  $Wh'(AG)$  is torsion-free, hence that  $\text{tor}(A^*)$ ,  $G^{ab}$  and  $SK_1(AG)$  generate  $\text{tor}(K_1(AG))$ . Since the diagram remains exact when we restrict to torsion subgroups, it follows that  $\text{tor}(A^*) \oplus G^{ab}$  projects isomorphically to  $K'_1(AG)$ , which proves that  $SK_1(AG)$  is a direct summand of  $\text{tor}(K_1(AG))$ .

To prove that  $Wh'(AG)$  is torsion-free, Wall invokes the induction techniques described above, showing that  $Wh'(AG)$  is  $p$ -torsion free if  $Wh'(AH)$  is  $p$ -torsion free for every  $p$ -hyerelementary subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ . Next he proves that if  $K$  is a normal  $l$ -subgroup of  $H$  for some prime  $l \neq p$ ,  $Wh'(AH)$  is  $p$ -torsion free if  $Wh'(A[H/K])$  is. This allows him to reduce to the case when  $H$  is a  $p$ -group, for which direct arguments are possible.

Theorem 7.2 has been used to prove that  $SK_1(ZD_{2p}) = 0$ , where  $D_{2p}$  is the dihedral group of order  $2p$ ,  $p$  an odd prime. Let  $I$  be the ideal of  $ZD_{2p}$  generated by all  $g - 1$ , where  $g$  lies in the Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $D_{2p}$ . Then  $SK_1(ZD_{2p}/I) = 0$ , and it follows that  $SK_1(ZD_{2p})$  is a quotient of  $SK_1(ZD_{2p}, I)$ . Direct computation shows that this relative group is a  $p$ -group. Since Theorem 7.2 implies that  $SK_1(ZD_{2p})$  has no  $p$ -torsion, it must be trivial. These results are due to Lam [21] for  $p = 3$  and to Keating [18] and Obayashi [25].

Similar arguments have been used by Keating to show  $SK_1(ZG) = 0$  for any metacyclic group  $G$  containing a normal subgroup  $H$  of prime index  $s$  relatively prime to  $|H|$ . He has also noted [19] that these methods prove the triviality of  $SK_1(ZG)$  if the normal subgroup  $H$  has order  $p$ ,  $|G/H|$  does not divide  $p - 1$  and  $G/H$  embeds in the automorphism group of  $H$ .

Along slightly different lines, Keating [17] and Obayashi [26] have proved  $SK_1(ZD) = 0$  when  $D$  is a dihedral 2-group. Keating produces an order  $\mathfrak{D}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}D$  and an ideal  $I \subset \mathfrak{D}$  such that the usual map  $SK_1(\mathfrak{D}, I) \rightarrow SK_1(\mathfrak{D})$  factors as

$$SK_1(\mathfrak{D}, I) \xrightarrow{\alpha} SK_1(ZD) \xrightarrow{\beta} SK_1(\mathfrak{D}),$$

with  $\alpha$  onto and  $\beta$  injective. Explicit computation then shows that  $\beta\alpha$  is the 0-map. The same technique gives a similar result for semidihedral 2-groups [Keating, unpublished].

Finally, Magurn [22] has generalized the results of Keating and Obayashi to show  $SK_1(ZD) = 0$  for all dihedral groups  $D$ . His method uses Mayer-Vietoris sequences reminiscent of §3 to proceed inductively from the cases  $|D| = 2^r$  and  $|D| = 2p$ . Since these dihedral groups are hyper-elementary, Magurn is able to apply his result in conjunction with Corollary 7.1 to prove:

(7.3) THEOREM. Let  $\mathcal{S}_n$  be the  $n$ th symmetric group.  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{S}_n) = 0$  for  $n = 4, 5, 6$ . More generally,  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G) = 0$  for any permutation group  $G$  of degree  $< 6$ .

Similarly,  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}G) = 0$  when  $G$  is the binary tetrahedral or icosahedral group. The same is true for the binary octahedral group, provided that  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}H) = 0$  for the generalized quaternion group  $H$  of order 16. Whether this is, in fact, true, remains an open question.

## REFERENCES

1. R. C. Alperin, R. K. Dennis and M. R. Stein, *The nontriviality of  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$* , Proc. Conf. on Orders, Group Rings and Related Topics, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 353, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1973, pp. 1–7.
2. ———,  *$SK_1$  of finite abelian groups* (to appear).
3. E. Artin and H. Hasse, *Die beiden Ergänzungssätze zum Reziprozitätsgesetz der  $l^n$ -ten Potenzreste im Körper der  $l^n$ -ten Einheitswurzeln*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **6** (1928), 146–162.
4. H. Bass, *The Dirichlet unit theorem, induced characters, and Whitehead groups of finite groups*, Topology **4** (1966), 391–410.
5. ———, *Algebraic K-theory*, Benjamin, New York, 1968.
6. ———, *Is  $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}\Pi) = 0$  for  $\Pi$  a finite abelian group*, Proc. Conf. on Orders, Group Rings and Related Topics, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 353, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1973, p. 84.
7. H. Bass, J. Milnor and J.-P. Serre, *Solution of the congruence subgroup problem for  $SL_n$  ( $n > 3$ ) and  $Sp_{2n}$  ( $n > 2$ )*, Inst. Hautes Étude Sci. Publ. Math. No. 33 (1967), 59–137.
8. H. Bass and M. P. Murthy, *Grothendieck groups and Picard groups of abelian group rings*, Ann. of Math. (2) **86** (1967), 16–73.
9. R. K. Dennis, *The computation of Whitehead groups*, Notes of a course at Universität Bielefeld, 1973.
10. R. K. Dennis and M. R. Stein,  *$K_2$  of discrete valuation rings*, Advances in Math. **18** (1975), 182–238.
11. A. W. M. Dress, *Contributions to the theory of induced representations*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 342, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973, pp. 183–240.
12. A. Fröhlich, M. E. Keating and S. M. J. Wilson, *The class groups of quaternion and dihedral 2-groups*, Mathematika **21** (1974), 64–71.
13. G. Higman, *The units of group rings*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **46** (1940), 231–248.
14. K. Iwasawa, *On explicit formulas for the norm residue symbol*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **20** (1968), 151–165.
15. M. E. Keating, *Class groups of metacyclic groups of order  $p^r q$* , Mathematika **21** (1974), 90–95.
16. ———, *On the K-theory of the quaternion group*, Mathematika **20** (1973), 59–62.
17. ———, *Whitehead groups of dihedral groups* (private communication).
18. ———, *Whitehead groups of some metacyclic groups and orders*, J. Algebra **22** (1972), 332–349.
19. ———, *Postscript to Journal of Algebra paper* (private communication).
20. ———, *Whitehead torsion for the quaternion group of order  $4p$*  (holograph).
21. T.-Y. Lam, *Induction theorems for Grothendieck groups and Whitehead groups of finite groups*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **1** (1968), 91–148.
22. B. Magurn,  *$SK_1$  of dihedral groups*, J. Algebra (to appear).
23. J. Milnor, *Whitehead torsion*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1966), 358–426.
24. ———, *Introduction to algebraic K-theory*, Ann. of Math. Studies, No. 72, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971.
25. T. Obayashi, *On the Whitehead group of the dihedral group of order  $2p$* , Osaka J. Math. **8** (1971), 291–297.
26. ———, *The Whitehead groups of dihedral 2-groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **3** (1973), 59–71.
27. D. Quillen, *Higher algebraic K-theory. I*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 341, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973, pp. 85–147.

28. M. R. Stein, *Surjective stability in dimension 0 for  $K_2$  and related functors*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **178** (1973), 165–191.

29. R. Steinberg, *Générateurs, relations et revêtements de groupes algébriques*, Colloq. Théorie des Groupes Algébriques (Bruxelles, 1962), Librairie Universitaire, Louvain; Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1962, pp. 113–127.

30. R. G. Swan, *Excision in algebraic K-theory*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **1** (1971), 221–252.

31. ———, *Induced representations and projective modules*, Ann. of Math. (2) **71** (1960), 552–578.

32. ———, *The Grothendieck group of a finite group*, Topology **2** (1963), 85–110.

33. C. T. C. Wall, *Norms of units in group rings*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **29** (1974), 593–632.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, EVANSTON, ILLINOIS 60201