

CONJUGATE SYSTEM CHARACTERIZATIONS OF  
 $H^1$ : COUNTER EXAMPLES FOR THE  
EUCLIDEAN PLANE AND LOCAL FIELDS

BY A. GANDULFO, J. GARCIA-CUERVA AND M. TAIBLESON<sup>1</sup>

Communicated by Richard Goldberg, August 27, 1975

ABSTRACT. The characterization of the Hardy space,  $H^1$  of the plane, as those integrable functions whose first order Riesz transforms are (or whose maximal function is) integrable is well known. J.-A. Chao and M. Taibleson have shown that there is a conjugate system characterization of  $H^1$  of a local field that parallels the Riesz system characterization of  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . C. Fefferman has conjectured that "nice" conjugate systems, such as the second order Riesz transforms would also give a characterization of  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . In the present paper a counter example of A. Gandulfo and M. Taibleson is described that shows that any conjugate system generated by an even kernel will fail to characterize  $H^1$  of a local field. A counter example of J. Garcia-Cuerva is described that shows that the second order Riesz system for the Euclidean plane (which is generated by an even kernel) will fail to characterize  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  in the above sense.

Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and let  $f^*(x) = \sup_{y>0} |f(x, y)|$ , where  $f(x, y)$  is the Poisson integral of  $f$ . We say that  $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  iff  $f^* \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $(r, \theta)$  be the polar representation of  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , and let  $(\cdot)^\wedge$  and  $(\cdot)^\vee$  represents the Fourier transform and its inverse. The following characterization of  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is in [5, §8]:

THEOREM A. *If  $f$  is real-valued and  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , then  $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  iff  $(e^{i\theta} \hat{f})^\vee \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .*

Similarly, if  $K$  is a local field, e.g., a  $p$ -adic field, we may define  $f^*(x) = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(x, k)|$ , where  $f(x, k)$  is the regularization of  $f$ . (See [6, Chapter IV].) We say that  $f \in H^1(K)$  iff  $f^* \in L^1(K)$ . The following characterization of  $H^1(K)$  follows from results of Chao and Taibleson [3] and Chao [1], [2].

THEOREM B. *Suppose  $\pi$  is a multiplicative character on  $K$  that is unitary, ramified of degree 1, homogeneous of degree 0 and odd. If  $f \in L^1(K)$  then  $f \in H^1(K)$  iff  $(\pi \hat{f})^\vee \in L^1(K)$ .*

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 42A18, 42A40; Secondary 12B99, 46J15.

Key words and phrases. Characterizations of Hardy spaces, conjugate systems, counter-examples, even multipliers.

<sup>1</sup>Research supported in part by the Army Research Office (Durham) under Grant No. DA-ARO-D-31-124-72-G143.

The “only if” part of the proof is in Chao [2]. The “if” part follows from [3, Theorem 2] and [2, Theorem 3.1 and example (i), p. 282].

The “if” part of the proof of Theorem B depends on the fact that  $\pi$  is an odd function. Taibleson and Gandulfo investigated this point and have shown Theorem B fails if  $\pi$  is even.

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose  $\lambda$  is a multiplicative character on  $K$  that is unitary, ramified of degree 1, homogeneous of degree 0 and even. Then, there is a real-valued function  $g, g \in L^1(K)$  such that  $\lambda\hat{g} = \hat{g}$  and  $g^* \notin L^1(K)$ .*

Thus,  $g$  and  $(\lambda\hat{g})^\vee \in L^1(K)$  but  $g \notin H^1(K)$ . If the local class field of  $K$  is odd and of order not equal to 3 (e.g., a  $p$ -adic field with  $p \neq 2$  or 3) then there is a character  $\pi$  on  $K$  that satisfies the conditions of Theorem B while  $\pi^2$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1. Note that  $f \rightarrow (\pi^2\hat{f})^\vee$  is bounded from  $H^1$  into itself (Chao [2]).

This result suggested that a similar investigation be made of the multiplier  $e^{2i\theta}$  on  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . Note that  $f \rightarrow (e^{2i\theta}\hat{f})^\vee$  is bounded from  $H^1$  into itself (Fefferman and Stein [5, p. 190]). Recently it has been conjectured by Fefferman [4] that any “nice” multiplier should characterize  $H^1$  in the sense of Theorem A. In particular,  $e^{2i\theta}$  is a usual example of such a “nice” multiplier. Garcia-Cuerva has investigated this problem and obtained the following result:

**THEOREM 2.** *There is a real-valued, radial function  $g, g \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^2)$  such that  $(e^{2i\theta}g)^\vee \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^2)$  but  $g \notin H^1(\mathbf{R}^2)$ .*

We now briefly sketch proofs of Theorems 1 and 2.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $\lambda$  be as in Theorem 1. Then there exists a finite Borel measure  $\mu$ , supported on  $\mathfrak{D}$  (the ring of integers in  $K$ ) such that  $\mu$  is singular,  $\mu(\mathfrak{D}) = 0$  and  $\lambda\hat{\mu} = \hat{\mu}$ .*

Theorem 1 follows from Lemma 1. We note that  $\mu^* \notin L^1$ , where  $\mu^*(x) = \sup_k |\mu(x, k)|$ . Also  $\sup_k \|\mu(\cdot, k)\|_1 < \infty$ . Using the fact that  $\mu(x, k)$  is supported on  $\mathfrak{D} \times \mathbf{Z}$  we define  $f(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^1 a_k \mu(x + c_k, k)$  where  $\{c_k\}$  are coset representatives of  $\mathfrak{D}$  in  $K$ . If  $\sum |a_k| < \infty$  we see that  $f \in L^1(K)$  and  $\lambda\hat{f} = \hat{f}$ . Note that  $(\mu(\cdot + c_k, k))^*(x) = \sup_{l \geq k} |\mu(x, l)|$ . Thus,  $\sup_k \|\mu(\cdot + c_k, k)\|_1 = \infty$ , and we may choose the  $\{a_k\}$  so that  $f^* \notin L^1(K)$ .

To construct the measure  $\mu$  we need to construct a regular function  $\mu(x, k)$  on  $K \times \mathbf{Z}$  such that  $\mu(x, k)$  is supported on  $\mathfrak{D} \times \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $\int_{\mathfrak{D}} \mu(x, k) dx = 0$  for all  $k$ ,  $\|\mu(\cdot, k)\|_1 \leq A$  and  $\|\mu(\cdot, k) - \mu(\cdot, k - 1)\|_1 = B, k = -1, -2, \dots$ , for positive constants  $A$  and  $B$ . (See [6, IV(1.8d) and (1.9b)].)

One now observes that if  $\chi$  is an additive character on  $K$  that is nontrivial on  $\mathfrak{D}$ , but is trivial on  $\mathfrak{P}$  (the maximal ideal in  $\mathfrak{D}$ ) then

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Re} \chi(x), & x \in \mathfrak{D}, \\ 0, & x \notin \mathfrak{D}, \end{cases}$$

has the property that  $\lambda \hat{g} = \hat{g}$  whenever  $\lambda$  is as in Theorem 1,  $\mu(x, k)$  is constructed by "patching together" various translations and dilations of  $g$ .

For a sketch of the proof of Theorem 2 we will identify  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with  $\mathbb{C}$  in the usual way:  $(x_1, x_2) \leftrightarrow r e^{i\theta} = z$ .

For  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{C})$  let  $\tilde{f}(w) = \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(w - z) dz / \bar{z}^2$ . Then,  $(\tilde{f})^\wedge = e^{2i\theta} \hat{f}$ . We now assume that  $f$  is radial; i.e.,  $f(z) = g(|z|)$  for some  $g$ . We then show that if  $f$  is radial on  $\mathbb{C}$  then  $f \in H^1(\mathbb{C})$  iff  $rg(r) \in H^1$  where  $rg(r)$  can be viewed as either a function defined on  $[0, \infty)$  or as an even function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Finally we show that

$$\tilde{f}(r e^{i\theta}) = \pi e^{2i\theta} \left\{ \frac{2}{r^2} \int_0^r g(s) s ds - g(r) \right\}.$$

Thus, we see that we need to find a function  $\varphi \in L^1(0, \infty)$  such that  $(1/r) \int_0^r \varphi(s) ds \in L^1(0, \infty)$  but  $\varphi \in H^1(0, \infty)$ .

Let  $I_{[a,b]}$  be the characteristic function of the interval  $[a, b]$ , and let  $l_k = k I_{[k, k+1/k]} - (1/k) I_{[k+1/k, 2k+1/k]}$ . We see that  $\int_0^\infty |l_k| = 2$ ,  $\int_0^\infty l_k = 0$ ,  $\int_0^\infty (1/r) \int_0^r l_k |dr \leq 1$ . We see that there is a  $C > 0$  such that if  $k$  is large enough  $\int_{k/2}^k |\tilde{l}_k| dr \geq C \ln k$ . A little calculation shows that if  $n_0$  is large enough, then  $\varphi = \sum_1^\infty (1/n^2) l_{n_0 6^n}$  has the required properties.

As a final comment, we observe that the formula for  $\tilde{f}$ ,  $f$  integrable and radial extends easily to finite Borel measures that are radial. Apply that result to the singular measure  $\mu$  that has measure 1 uniformly distributed on the unit circle in  $\mathbb{C}$  and measure  $-1$  uniformly distributed on the circle of radius two. It is easy to check that  $\tilde{\mu}$  is a singular measure. Together with the result of Lemma 1 we see that the conjugate systems induced by the multipliers  $e^{2i\theta}$  and  $\pi^2$  (on the Euclidean plane or local fields respectively) fail to produce an F. and M. Riesz theorem in the sense: There is a finite Borel measure  $\mu$ , such that the conjugate of  $\mu$  is also a finite measure, but  $\mu$  is not absolutely continuous.

REFERENCES

1. J.-A. Chao,  $H^p$ -spaces of conjugate systems on local fields, *Studia Math.* 49 (1974), 268-287.
2. ———, *Maximal singular integral transforms on local fields*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 50 (1975), 297-302.
3. J.-A. Chao and M. H. Taibleson, *A sub-regularity inequality for conjugate systems on local fields*, *Studia Math.* 46 (1973), 249-257. MR 49 #3459.
4. C. Fefferman, *Symposium on Harmonic Analysis*, De Paul Univ. Conf., 1974.
5. C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein,  $H^p$ -spaces of several variables, *Acta Math.* 129 (1972), 137-193.
6. M. H. Taibleson, *Fourier analysis on local fields*, *Math. Notes*, no. 15, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1975.