ONE-SIDED APPROXIMATION AND VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES

BY UMBERTO MOSCO AND GILBERT STRANG¹

Communicated by Hans Weinberger, May 1, 1973

ABSTRACT. For piecewise linear approximation of the unilateral Laplace equation (also known as the *obstacle problem*, and governed by a variational inequality), we prove that the gradient of the error $u-u_{h}$ is of order h. The proof rests on approximation of non-negative functions U by nonnegative splines $V_{h} \leq U$.

We are interested in one of the first and most fundamental of the variational problems introduced by Fichera, Stampacchia, and Lions [3], [4], [6]:

Find that function u in the convex set

$$K = \{ v \mid v \in \mathscr{H}^1_0(\Omega), v \ge \psi \text{ on } \Omega \}$$

which minimizes

$$I(v) = a(v, v) - 2(f, v) = \iint_{\Omega} (v_x^2 + v_y^2 - 2fv) \, dx \, dy.$$

If the "obstacle function" ψ were absent, this would be the classical Dirichlet problem for Poisson's equation $-\Delta u = f$, and the condition for a minimum would be a variational equation: a(u, v) = (f, v) for v in \mathcal{H}_0^1 . This is the weak form of Poisson's equation, and coincides with the engineer's "equation of virtual work".

For minimization over K instead of the full space \mathscr{H}_0^1 , the variational equation turns into an inequality—just as, for minimization of a function g over $0 \le x \le 1$, the possibility of minima at the endpoints alters the usual dg/dx=0. The condition that u be minimizing is

(1)
$$a(u, v - u) \ge (f, v - u)$$
 for all v in K .

Suppose we solve this problem approximately, by the Ritz principle: The approximation u_h minimizes the functional I over a finite-dimensional

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 35J20, 65N30, 41A15.

Key words and phrases. Approximation, splines, variational problem, Ritz method.

¹ The first author was supported by GNAFA-CNR and enjoyed the hospitality of the Courant Institute under a CNR-NATO grant. The second author was supported by the National Science Foundation (P22928).

convex set K_h . In analogy with (1), this means that u_h satisfies

(2)
$$a(u_h, v_h - u_h) \ge (f, v_h - u_h)$$
 for all v_h in K_h .

We want to estimate the error, using the \mathscr{H}_0^1 norm $||u-u_h|| = (a(u-u_h, u-u_h))^{1/2}$ which is intrinsic to the problem. Without ψ , such estimates are classical: u_h is the projection of u onto K_h (which becomes a subspace instead of a general convex set) and $||u-u_h||$ is exactly the distance from u to K_h . The unilateral constraint $u \ge \psi$ destroys this pattern, and our u_h is unlikely to be the projection of u.

We shall work with the following example. Let Ω be a convex polygon, and carve it into triangles of side less than h. Let S_h be the space of continuous piecewise linear functions on this triangulation, vanishing on $\partial\Omega$. S_h is the subspace of $\mathscr{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ which Courant proposed for approximation of the Dirichlet problem; it was the first of the *finite element* spaces [7]. Suppose ψ_h is the *linear interpolate* of $\psi: \psi_h$ agrees with ψ at all vertices of the triangulation, and takes the form a+bx+cy within each triangle. Then we choose $K_h = S_h \cap \{v_h \ge \psi_h \text{ on } \Omega\}$. The minimization of I over K_h —in other words, the computation of u_h —is numerically not a difficult problem (cf. [5]).

To admit a smooth domain instead of a polygon would simplify the theory for the continuous problem; but it complicates the construction of the discrete subset K_h . Also, because all the novelty (and difficulty) comes from ψ and not f, we shall assume f=0. And to keep this note brief, we require that ψ lie on both \mathcal{H}_0^1 and \mathcal{H}^2 . Then it is known (Brézis-Stampacchia-Lewy) that the solution u also lies in \mathcal{H}^2 , and that its norm can be estimated from the data: $||u||_2 \leq C ||\psi||_2$.

We first ask how closely such a function can be approached by elements of the convex set K_h . Suppose we choose the particular element u_I , the interpolate of u, which agrees with u at every vertex of the triangulation (and lies in K_h). Then it is a standard estimate in approximation theory [7] that

(3)
$$||u - u_I|| \leq Ch ||u||_2.$$

Although u_I may not achieve precisely the minimum distance from u to K_h , it is at least "quasi-optimal"; $||u-u_I||$ is within a constant multiple of this distance, which is of order h. Our problem is to show that the Ritz approximation u_h is also quasi-optimal, in other words that $||u-u_h|| = O(h)$.

For variational inequalities in general, this will not be the case. In the plane, consider the problem of minimizing $I=x^2+y^2$ —in other words, of finding the points u in K and u_h in K_h closest to the origin. If K is the quadrant $x \ge 1$, $y \ge 0$, then obviously u = (1, 0). We will have $u_h = (1, h^{1/2})$, if K_h is formed from K by deleting the small triangle below the line connecting this point u_h to $v_h = (1+h, 0)$. The distance from u to K_h is less than $|u-v_h|=h$, and therefore $|u-u_h|=h^{1/2}$ is much too large to be quasi-optimal. Aubin [1] has proved that our example illustrates the worst possible case; always $||u-u_h||^2 \leq c \operatorname{dist}(u, K_h)$.

In the obstacle problem, the first step is to notice that for some elements v, equality holds in the variational inequality (1). This will be the case if, together with v, also 2u-v lies in K. Replacing v by 2u-v in (1), that inequality is reversed—and equality holds. Obviously u is the average of v and 2u-v; our observation is simply that if u is not an extreme point of the convex set K, then there are directions in which u is interior to a line segment, and in these directions the usual equality holds.

Let the cones C and C_h be composed of the nonnegative functions in \mathscr{H}_0^1 and its subspace S_h , respectively. Thus $U=u-\psi$ lies in C, and $U_h=u_h-\psi_h$ in C_h .

LEMMA. If $V_h \in C_h$ and $2U - V_h \in C$, then

(4)
$$||u - u_h|| \leq ||\psi - \psi_h|| + ||U - V_h||.$$

PROOF. Since both $v=\psi+V_h$ and $2u-v=\psi+(2U-V_h)$ lie in K, equality must hold in (1): with f=0, this means that

(5)
$$a(u, v - u) = a(u, V_h - U) = 0.$$

Choosing $v_h = \psi_h + V_h$ in (2), we also have

(6)
$$a(u_h, v_h - u_h) = a(u_h, V_h - U_h) \ge 0.$$

Finally, with $v = \psi + U_h$ in (1),

(7)
$$a(u, U_h - U) \ge 0.$$

It follows from (5)–(7) that $a(u-u_h, U_h-V_h) \ge 0$. Therefore

$$\|u - u_{h}\|^{2} = a(u - u_{h}, u - u_{h})$$

= $a(u - u_{h}, \psi - \psi_{h}) + a(u - u_{h}, U - U_{h})$
 $\leq a(u - u_{h}, \psi - \psi_{h}) + a(u - u_{h}, U - V_{h})$
 $\leq \|u - u_{h}\| (\|\psi - \psi_{h}\| + \|U - V_{h}\|).$ Q.E.D.

Since ψ_h is the interpolate of ψ , we know from (3) that $\|\psi - \psi_h\| \leq Ch \|\psi\|_2$. To use the lemma we have also to find a V_h in C_h which is close in norm to U, and everywhere below 2U. In fact, we shall be able to keep $V_h \leq U$ —leading to one-sided approximation of a nonnegative U by a nonnegative linear spline V_h .

THEOREM. Suppose that $U \ge 0$ in the plane polygon Ω , and that U lies in $\mathcal{H}_0^1 \cap \mathcal{H}^2$. Then there exists a V_h in S_h which satisfies

(8)
$$0 \leq V_h \leq U$$
 in Ω

and achieves the optimal order of approximation

(9)
$$||U - V_h|| \leq Ch ||U||_2.$$

REMARK. We cannot choose V_h to be the interpolate U_I , since this choice may violate $V_h \leq U$. Nor can we subtract a small constant from the interpolate, to keep it below U; the condition $V_h \geq 0$ intervenes.

SKETCH OF PROOF. The constraint (8) is satisfied on some subset of S_h —nonempty, because it contains the zero function. Our choice V_h will be any maximal element of this subset.

The proof of (9) would be easy for piecewise linear functions of *one* variable. At a typical node x_j , the value of V_h cannot be increased while the other nodal values are kept fixed (since V_h is maximal). Therefore, either

(i) $V_h = U$ at the node x_i , or

(ii) at some point ξ in $[x_{j-1}, x_j)$ or $(x_j, x_{j+1}]$, $V_h = U$ and $V'_h = U'$.

In the latter case, with V_h tangent to U at ξ , it is easy to prove that

(10)
$$|U(x_j) - V_h(x_j)|^2 \leq ch^3 \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j+1}} |U''|^2 dx.$$

This means that V_h is close to the interpolate U_I , for which (9) is known to be true. In fact, (10) gives an estimate of $U_I - V_h$ at each node, and therefore of its slope over each interval. Applying the triangle inequality to $U - V_h = U - U_I + U_I - V_h$, (9) is proved.

The proof in two dimensions is much more technical, since we cannot speak about tangency at ξ ; the assumption $U \in \mathscr{H}^2$ does not imply differentiability at a point. Nevertheless the theorem continues to hold, and will be published by the second author in the Proceedings of the Symposium on Computing Methods (IRIA, France, 1973). The theorem appears to extend also to three dimensions, but not to \mathbb{R}^5 —where De Giorgi has shown us a nontrivial function $u \ge 0$ which vanishes on a dense set, forcing $v_h = 0$. The order of one-sided approximation by splines of higher degree is an open question even in \mathbb{R}^1 .

The estimate we hoped for—that $||u-u_h|| \leq Ch ||\psi||_2$ —follows immediately from the lemma and theorem. We have just received from Richard Falk [2] another proof of this estimate. And we understand that Baiocchi has some preliminary results about convergence of the free boundary (separating U=0 from U>0).

311

References

1. J. P. Aubin, *Approximation of variational inequalities*, Functional Analysis and Optimization, E. R. Caianiello (Editor), Academic Press, New York, 1966, pp. 7–14. MR 35 #4743.

2. R. Falk, Error estimates for the approximation of a class of variational inequalities (preprint).

3. G. Fichera, Boundary value problems of elasticity with unilateral constraints, Handbuch der Physik, Bd. VI a/2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.

4. J. L. Lions and G. Stampacchia, *Variational inequalities*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 20 (1967), 493–519. MR 35 #7178.

5. U. Mosco and F. Scarpini, Complementarity systems and approximation of variational inequalities (to appear).

6. G. Stampacchia, Formes bilinéaires coercitives sur les ensembles convexes, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 258 (1964), 4413-4416. MR 29 #3864.

7. G. Strang and G. Fix, An analysis of the finite element method, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1973.

INSTITUTO DI CALCOLO DELLA PROBABILITÀ, UNIVERSITY OF ROME, ROME, ITALY

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139