

A SMASH PRODUCT FOR SPECTRA

BY HAROLD M. HASTINGS¹

Communicated by Hyman Bass, January 2, 1973

ABSTRACT. We shall show that the smash product for pointed CW complexes induces a smash product \wedge on the homotopy category of Adams's stable category with the following properties. \wedge is coherently homotopy unitary (S^0), associative, and commutative, \wedge commutes with suspension up to homotopy, and \wedge satisfies a Kunneth formula.

Introduction. Precisely, we shall show that the homotopy category of a technical variant of Adams's stable category [1], a fraction category of CW prespectra equivalent to that of Boardman [3], [8], admits a symmetric monoidal structure in the sense of [4].

Whitehead's pairings of prespectra [9] and Kan and Whitehead's nonassociative smash product for simplicial spectra [6] were the first attempts at a smash product. Boardman gave the first homotopy associative, commutative, and unitary smash product in his stable category [3]. Adams has recently obtained a similar construction [2].

We acknowledge with thanks many helpful conversations with Professors Alex Heller and John C. Moore. Details and applications will appear elsewhere.

1. The interchange problem. A S^k -prespectrum X consists of a sequence of pointed spaces $\{X_n \mid n \geq 0\}$, together with inclusions $X_n \wedge S^k \rightarrow X_{n+1}$. Consider $S = \{S_n = S^{nk}\}$ as a ring with respect to the smash product of spaces. Then X is a right S -module.

Construction of a homotopy associative smash product for S^k -prespectra requires permutations π of $S^k \wedge \cdots \wedge S^k$. Since S is not strictly commutative, but only graded homotopy commutative, this requires defining suitably canonical maps of degree -1 (for k odd) and homotopies $\pi \simeq \pm \text{id}$.

We avoid sign problems by using S^4 -prespectra and define *canonical homotopies* H_π as follows. Make the standard identifications

$$S^{4k} \cong S^4 \wedge \cdots \wedge S^4 \cong I^4/\partial I^4 \wedge \cdots \wedge I^4/\partial I^4 \cong I^{4k}/\partial I^{4k} \cong D^{4k}/S^{4k-1}.$$

Then π simply permutes factors of $C^2 \times \cdots \times C^2$. Hence $\pi \in SU(2k)$.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 18D45, 55J99; Secondary 55J10, 55J25.

Key words and phrases. Symmetric monoidal category, Adams's stable category, Boardman's stable category, special unitary group, Kunneth formula.

¹ Partially supported by a NSF institutional grant.

Since $SU(2k)$ is path connected and simply connected, there is a unique homotopy class of paths $[\Gamma_\pi]$ in $SU(2k)$ with $\Gamma_\pi(0) = \pi$, $\Gamma_\pi(1) = e$. Define homotopies $H_\pi: S^{4k} \wedge I^* \rightarrow S^{4k}$ by $H_\pi(s, t) = \Gamma_\pi(t)(s)$. Then $[H_\pi]$ is the required homotopy class (rel the endpoints) of canonical homotopies.

THEOREM 1. *Let π and π' be permutations of S^{4k} . Let H_π and $H_{\pi'}$ be canonical homotopies. Then $H_{\pi'}(H_\pi(s, t), t): S^{4k} \wedge I^* \rightarrow S^{4k}$ is a canonical homotopy for $\pi'\pi$.*

Adams solves the interchange problem directly for S^1 -prespectra by an argument involving special classes of paths in SO .

2. The Adams completion. Give S^4 the CW structure with one 4-cell and one 0-cell. Give $[0, n]$ the CW structure with 0-cells $0, 1, \dots, n$.

DEFINITION 2. A prespectrum consists of a sequence of pointed CW complexes $\{X_n \mid n \geq 0\}$, together with inclusions as subcomplexes $X_n \wedge S^4 \rightarrow X_{n+1}$. A strict (weak) map of prespectra $f: X \rightarrow Y$ consists of a sequence of continuous maps $\{f_n: X_n \rightarrow Y_n\}$ such that $f_{n+1} = f_n \wedge S^4$ (resp. $f_{n+1} \simeq f_n \wedge S^4$) on $X_n \wedge S^4$. Ps is the category of prespectra and strict maps.

DEFINITION 3 (ADAMS). A subspectrum $X' \subset X$ is cofinal if for each cell σ of X a sufficiently high suspension of σ is in X' .

DEFINITION 4 (ADAMS). Ad is the category of prespectra in which maps from X to Y are diagrams $X \supset X' \rightarrow Y$ in Ps with X' cofinal in X .

Formally, Ad is the right fraction category [5] of Ps in which cofinal inclusions are invertible. Morphisms $X \supset X' \xrightarrow{f} Y$ and $X \supset X'' \xrightarrow{g} Y$ are equal if $f = g$ on $X' \cap X''$.

DEFINITION 5. Let X be a prespectrum. Given a monotone unbounded sequence of nonnegative integers $\{j_n \mid n \geq 0, j_n \leq n\}$, define a prespectrum DX by $(DX)_n = X_{j_n} \wedge S^{4(n-j_n)}$, with inclusions $(DX)_n \wedge S^4 \rightarrow (DX)_{n+1}$ defined so that $DX \subset X$.

Then D extends to a functor (*destabilization*) on Ps, and there are natural cofinal inclusions $DX \subset X$.

There are smash products $\wedge: \text{Ps}, \text{CW} \rightarrow \text{Ps}$, and $\wedge: \text{Ad}, \text{CW} \rightarrow \text{Ad}$; these are defined degreewise.

DEFINITION 6. Maps $f, g: X \rightrightarrows Y$ in Ps (Ad) are homotopic if there is a map $H: X \wedge I^* \rightarrow Y$ in Ps (resp. Ad) with $H|X \wedge 0^* = f, H|X \wedge 1^* = g$.

Homotopy has the usual properties. Denote the resulting homotopy categories $Ht(\text{Ps}), Ht(\text{Ad})$.

3. Smash products. We shall define a family of smash products \wedge on $Ht(\text{Ad})$.

DEFINITION 7. Let X and Y be prespectra. Given a sequence of pairs of nonnegative integers $\{(i_n, j_n) \mid n \geq 0, i_n + j_n = n, \text{ and } \{i_n\} \text{ and } \{j_n\} \text{ are monotone unbounded sequences}\}$, let $X \wedge Y$ be the prespectrum with $(X \wedge Y)_n = X_{i_n} \wedge Y_{j_n}$; the required inclusions are induced from X and Y .

Then \wedge extends successively to bifunctors on Ps , Ad (since the smash product of cofinal inclusions is cofinal) and $\text{Ht}(\text{Ad})$.

4. Uniqueness and the symmetric monoidal structure.

DEFINITION 8. Let X be a prespectrum. A permutation Π of DX consists of a sequence of maps $\Pi_n = X_{j_n} \wedge \pi_n: (DX)_n = X_{j_n} \wedge S^4 \wedge \cdots \wedge S^4 \rightarrow DX_n$, where each π_n is a permutation of $S^4 \wedge \cdots \wedge S^4$. If $g = \{g_n: (DX)_n \rightarrow Y_n \mid g_{n+1} \text{ extends } g_n \wedge S^4 \text{ up to permutation}\}$, call g a permutation map.

PROPOSITION 9. Permutation maps are weak maps, where the required homotopies $H_n: (DX)_n \wedge S^4 \wedge I^* \rightarrow Y_{n+1}$ are induced by canonical homotopies (§1).

Also, permutation maps may be destabilized and are closed under the following composition: $f: DX \rightarrow Y$ and $g: D'Y \rightarrow Z$ yield $gD'(f): D'DX \rightarrow D'Y \rightarrow Z$. Two permutation maps $f, g: DX \rightrightarrows Y$ differ by a permutation if for some permutation Π of DX , $g = f\Pi$.

THEOREM 10. Any two smash products \wedge and \wedge' on $\text{Ht}(\text{Ad})$ are naturally isomorphic.

PROOF. There are natural destabilizations and permutation classes of permutation maps $D(X \wedge Y) \rightarrow X \wedge' Y, D'(X \wedge' Y) \rightarrow X \wedge Y$. The composites $D'D(X \wedge Y) \rightarrow X \wedge Y$ and $DD'(X \wedge' Y) \rightarrow X \wedge' Y$ differ from the respective (cofinal) inclusions by permutations. Thus it suffices to prove the following.

LEMMA 11. A permutation commutative diagram of permutation maps $DX \rightarrow Y$ induces a commutative diagram in $\text{Ht}(\text{Ad})$.

We shall sketch a proof in §6.

THEOREM 12. There are natural maps in $\text{Ht}(\text{Ad})$,

$$\begin{aligned} X &\rightarrow X \wedge S^0 \rightarrow X && (\text{unit}), \\ a: (X \wedge Y) \wedge Z &\rightarrow X \wedge (Y \wedge Z) && (\text{associativity}), \\ c: X \wedge Y &\rightarrow Y \wedge X && (\text{commutativity}), \end{aligned}$$

which form a symmetric monoidal category.

PROOF. There are natural destabilizations and permutation classes of permutation maps $DX \rightarrow X \wedge S^0 \rightarrow X$,

$$a': D(X \wedge Y) \wedge Z \rightarrow X \wedge (Y \wedge Z),$$

and

$$c' : D(X \wedge Y) \rightarrow Y \wedge X.$$

By Lemma 11, it suffices to obtain the coherency diagrams [4] as permutation-commutative diagrams of permutation maps involving destabilizations. For example, the diagram for coherency of associativity is

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 D^3((W \wedge X) \wedge Y) \wedge Z & \xrightarrow{D^2(a')} & D^2((W \wedge X) \wedge (Y \wedge Z)) & \xrightarrow{D(a')} & D(W \wedge (X \wedge (Y \wedge Z))) \\
 \downarrow (a' \wedge Z)_* & & & & \downarrow \text{cofinal} \\
 D^2((W \wedge (X \wedge Y)) \wedge Z) & \xrightarrow{D(a')} & D(W \wedge ((X \wedge Y) \wedge Z)) & \xrightarrow{(W \wedge a')^*} & W \wedge (X \wedge (Y \wedge Z))
 \end{array}$$

where D is used generically, and $(a' \wedge Z)_*$ and $(W \wedge a')_*$ are representatives of permutation classes of permutation maps induced by a' .

These diagrams are readily obtained.

5. Telescopes. We sketch the main properties of the following telescope construction.

DEFINITION 13. For a prespectrum X , let $\text{Tel } X$ be the prespectrum with $(\text{Tel } X)_n = \bigcup_{j=0}^n (X_j \wedge S^{4(n-j)} \wedge [j, n]^*)$, the iterated mapping cylinder of $X_0 \wedge S^{4n} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{n-1} \wedge S^4 \rightarrow X_n$, together with the induced inclusions.

Then Tel may be extended to a functor, and there are natural projections $p_X : \text{Tel } X \rightarrow X$.

PROPOSITION 14. p_X admits a homotopy inverse s_X .

PROOF. To define s_X , show that $\text{Tel } X$ and X are strong deformation retracts of the prespectrum Y with $Y_n = X_n \wedge [0, n]^*$ such that p_X is the composite $\text{Tel } X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X$. \square

PROPOSITION 15. A weak map $f : X \rightarrow Y$, together with a family of homotopies for f , $\{H_n : X_n \wedge S^4 \wedge I^* \rightarrow Y_{n+1}$ from $f_n \wedge S^4$ to $f_{n+1} | X_n \wedge S^4\}$, induces strict maps $\phi : \text{Tel } X \rightarrow \text{Tel } Y$ and $F : X \rightarrow Y$.

PROOF. Let $\phi_0 = f_0$, and for $n \geq 0$, define ϕ_{n+1} by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi_{n+1}(x, t) &= (\phi_n \wedge S^4)(x, t) && \text{for } t \leq n, \\
 &= ((f_n \wedge S^4)(x), 2t - n) && \text{for } n \leq t \leq n + \frac{1}{2}, \\
 &= (H_n(x, 2t - 2n - 1), n + 1) && \text{for } n + \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq n + 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Also, let $F = p_Y \phi s_X$. \square

PROPOSITION 16. Let $\{H_n\}$ and $\{H'_n\}$ be homotopies for a weak map $f : X \rightarrow Y$, and assume that $H_n \simeq H'_n$ rel the endpoints for all n . Then

$(f, \{H_n\})$ and $(f, \{H'_n\})$ induce homotopic strict maps $\text{Tel } X \rightarrow \text{Tel } Y$ and $X \rightarrow Y$.

PROPOSITION 17. *Let $f': X \rightarrow Y$ and $f'': Y \rightarrow Z$ be weak maps with homotopies $\{H'_n\}$ and $\{H''_n\}$, respectively. Then there are composed homotopies $\{H_n\}$ for $f''f'$ such that for the respective induced strict maps $F': X \rightarrow Y$, $F'': Y \rightarrow Z$, and $F: X \rightarrow Z$, $F \simeq F''F'$.*

6. Proof of Lemma 11. Given a permutation-commutative square in which each map and composite (see §4) is a permutation map,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} DW & \xrightarrow{f'} & D'X \\ \downarrow g' & & \downarrow f'' \\ D''Y & \xrightarrow{g''} & Z, \end{array}$$

replace each map by a strict map to obtain a commutative square in $Ht(\text{Ps})$ (by Theorem 1, and §5).

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} DW & \xrightarrow{P} & DW & \xrightarrow{F'} & D'X \\ \downarrow G' & & & & \downarrow F'' \\ D''Y & \xrightarrow{G''} & & & Z \end{array}$$

Here P is induced by a permutation Π of DW . Since, for all k , $SU(2k)$ is simply connected, we may “pull back” canonical homotopies to obtain a homotopy $P \simeq DW$. This completes the proof, since cofinal inclusions are inverted in $Ht(\text{Ad})$.

7. Further properties. \wedge commutes with the suspension

$$? \wedge S^1 : Ht(\text{Ad}) \rightarrow Ht(\text{Ad}),$$

\wedge satisfies a Kunneth formula for stable integral homology (see [6]), and \wedge is weakly universal for pairings (see [9], [6]). We conjecture the existence of an internal mapping functor adjoint to \wedge using a suitable category of permutation maps and methods of Quillen [7].

ADDED IN PROOF. This follows from Brown’s Theorem by Heller [Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **147** (1970), 573–602].

REFERENCES

1. J. F. Adams, *Algebraic topology in the last decade*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 22, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1971, pp. 1–23.
2. ———, *Stable homotopy and generalized homology*, notes by R. Ming, University of Chicago Math. Lecture Notes, 1971 (mimeographed).

3. J. M. Boardman, *Stable homotopy theory*, University of Warwick mimeo, 1965 onwards.
4. S. Eilenberg and G. M. Kelly, *Closed categories*, Proc. Conf. on Categorical Algebra (La Jolla 1965), Springer, New York, 1966, pp. 421–562. MR 37 #1432.
5. P. Gabriel and M. Zisman, *Calculus of fractions and homotopy*, Ergebnisse der Math. und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 35, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967. MR 35 #1019.
6. D. M. Kan and G. W. Whitehead, *The reduced join of two spectra*, Topology 3 (1965), suppl. 2, 239–261. MR 31 #2720.
7. Daniel Quillen, *Homotopical algebra*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 43, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967. MR 36 #6480.
8. R. Vogt, *Boardman's stable homotopy category*, Aarhus Universitet Lecture Notes, no. 21, 1971 (mimeographed).
9. G. W. Whitehead, *Generalized homology theories*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 102 (1962), 227–283. MR 25 #573.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HOFSTRA UNIVERSITY, HEMPSTEAD, NEW YORK 11550