BULLETIN OF THE AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Volume 79, Number 3, May 1973

## $\Phi$ -LIKE ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS. I

BY LOUIS BRICKMAN<sup>1</sup>

Communicated by François Treves, November 16, 1972

The object of this paper is to introduce a very broad generalization, indeed a complete generalization of star-like and spiral-like functions. Our principal definition is the following.

DEFINITION 1. Let f be analytic in the unit disk  $\Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  of the complex plane with f(0) = 0,  $f'(0) \neq 0$ . Let  $\Phi$  be analytic on  $f(\Delta)$  with  $\Phi(0) = 0$ , Re  $\Phi'(0) > 0$ . Then f is  $\Phi$ -like (in  $\Delta$ ) if

(1) 
$$\operatorname{Re}(zf'(z)/\Phi(f(z))) > 0 \qquad (z \in \Delta).$$

**REMARKS.** 1. The two classical cases of Definition (1) are given by  $\Phi(w) = w$  (f is star-like) and, more generally,  $\Phi(w) = \lambda w$ , Re  $\lambda > 0$ . (f is spiral-like of type arg  $\lambda$ .)

2. The conditions  $\Phi(0) = 0$ , Re  $\Phi'(0) > 0$  on  $\Phi$  are necessary for the existence of an f as described satisfying (1). Conversely, if  $\Phi$ , analytic in a neighborhood of 0, has these two properties, then there exist  $\Phi$ -like functions f. For the present we mention only the trivial example f(z) = az, where |a| is nonzero and sufficiently small.

3. In spite of the great generality of Definition 1,  $\Phi$ -like functions are necessarily univalent in  $\Delta$  (Theorem 1). Moreover the converse is true: Every function analytic and univalent in  $\Delta$  and vanishing at 0 is  $\Phi$ -like for some  $\Phi$  (Corollary 1). Thus we shall obtain a characterization of univalence.

4. The definition immediately below will prove to be the geometric counterpart of Definition 1. (See Theorems 1 and 2.)

DEFINITION 2. Let  $\Omega$  be a region containing 0, and let  $\Phi$  be analytic on  $\Omega$  with  $\Phi(0) = 0$ , Re  $\Phi'(0) > 0$ . Then  $\Omega$  is  $\Phi$ -like if for any  $\alpha \in \Omega$  the initial value problem

(2) 
$$dw/dt = -\Phi(w), \qquad w(0) = \alpha$$

has a solution w(t) defined for all  $t \ge 0$  such that  $w(t) \in \Omega$  for all  $t \ge 0$ and  $w(t) \to 0$  as  $t \to +\infty$ .

**REMARKS.** 5. If there is a solution of (2) on  $[0, \infty)$ , it is necessarily unique by a fundamental theorem on first order differential equations. For instance if  $\alpha = 0$ , then w(t) = 0 for all t.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 30A32, 30A36.

Key words and phrases. Univalent-star-like, spiral-like, Φ-like.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant PO 19709000, and a State University of New York Faculty Fellowship.

LOUIS BRICKMAN

6. If  $\Phi(w) = w$ , the solution of (2) is  $w(t) = \alpha e^{-t}$ . Hence, for this  $\Phi$ ,  $\Omega$  is  $\Phi$ -like if and only if  $\Omega$  is star-like with respect to 0. If  $\Phi(w) = \lambda w$ , Re  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $w(t) = \alpha e^{-\lambda t}$ . Hence  $\Omega$  is  $\Phi$ -like if and only if  $\Omega$  contains all spirals { $\alpha e^{-\lambda t} : t \ge 0$ } joining points  $\alpha$  of  $\Omega$  to 0.

7. Perhaps the simplest example of a  $\Phi$  other than those already mentioned is given by  $\Phi(w) = w - w^2/\beta$  ( $\beta \neq 0$ ). It is easy to see that if  $\beta \in \Omega$  then  $\Omega$  is not  $\Phi$ -like. In fact a study shows that a necessary condition for  $\Omega$  to be  $\Phi$ -like is that  $\Omega$  be disjoint from the ray  $\{r\beta : r \ge 1\}$ . Moreover, if  $\Omega$  fulfills this requirement, then  $\Omega$  is  $\Phi$ -like if and only if for each  $\alpha \in \Omega$ , the circular arc (or line segment) joining  $\alpha$  to 0, concyclic with but not containing  $\beta$ , lies entirely in  $\Omega$ .

8. For any  $\Phi$  as described in Definition 2 each sufficiently small disk centered at 0 is  $\Phi$ -like. Indeed, we can write  $\Phi(w) = wp(w)$  where Re p(w) > 0 for |w| sufficiently small. Hence our assertion is one of the consequences of Lemma 1 below. Using terminology from the theory of differential equations, we can say that the origin is an asymptotically stable critical point of our differential equation  $dw/dt = -\Phi(w)$ .

**LEMMA** 1. Let p(z) be analytic for |z| < r with  $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ . Then for any z with |z| < r, the initial value problem

(3) 
$$d\theta/dt = -\theta p(\theta), \quad \theta(0) = z$$

has a solution defined for all  $t \ge 0$ , and this solution approaches 0 with nonincreasing modulus as  $t \to +\infty$ .

**PROOF.** Let |z| < r. For  $t \ge 0$  and  $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$  we define

$$\theta_0(t) = z, \qquad \theta_{n+1}(t) = z \exp\left[-\int_0^t p(\theta_n(x)) dx\right]$$

noting that  $|\theta_n(t)| \leq |z| < r$  for all *n* and all *t*. Next we apply the inequality

$$|e^a - e^b| \leq |a - b|$$
 (Re  $a \leq 0$ , Re  $b \leq 0$ )

to estimate  $|\theta_{n+1}(t) - \theta_n(t)|$ . It then follows in a familiar way (Picard iteration) that  $\{\theta_n\}$  converges uniformly on any interval  $[0, t], t \ge 0$ . Hence the limit function  $\theta$  satisfies

$$\theta(t) = z \exp\left[-\int_0^t p(\theta(x)) \, dx\right] \qquad (t \ge 0)$$

and therefore (3). Clearly  $|\theta(t)|$  is nonincreasing as t increases. Finally, since  $|\theta(t)| \leq |z|, \exists \delta > 0$  such that Re  $p(\theta(t)) \geq \delta$  for  $t \geq 0$ . Therefore

$$|\theta(t)| \leq |z|e^{-\delta t} \to 0 \text{ as } t \to +\infty.$$

**THEOREM** 1. Let f be  $\Phi$ -like in  $\Delta$  (Definition 1). Then f is univalent in  $\Delta$ 

556

and  $f(\Delta)$  is  $\Phi$ -like (Definition 2).

**PROOF.** We define p by

(4) 
$$p(z) = \Phi(f(z))/zf'(z) \qquad (z \in \Delta).$$

By (1), p is analytic in  $\Delta$  with positive real part. Next we fix  $z \in \Delta$  and define  $\theta(t) = \theta_z(t)$  for  $t \ge 0$  by (3) and (4) (and Lemma 1). Finally we define  $w(t) = w_z(t)$  by

(5) 
$$w_z(t) = f(\theta_z(t)) \quad (t \ge 0).$$

Then an easy calculation based on (3), (4), and (5) shows that  $w_z(t)$  is the solution for  $t \ge 0$  of

(6) 
$$dw_z/dt = -\Phi(w_z), \quad w_z(0) = f(z).$$

Moreover, by further use of Lemma 1 we obtain the result

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} w_z(t) = \lim_{t \to +\infty} f(\theta_z(t)) = f(0) = 0.$$

It is now clear that  $f(\Delta)$  is  $\Phi$ -like.

To demonstrate the univalence of f we let  $a, b \in \Delta$  and suppose f(a) = f(b). In the notation of (5) and (6) we can write this as  $w_a(0) = w_b(0)$ . But then an application of the uniqueness theorem to (6) yields  $w_a(t) = w_b(t)$  for all  $t \ge 0$ . That is,  $f(\theta_a(t)) = f(\theta_b(t))$  for  $t \ge 0$ . Since  $f'(0) \ne 0$  and since  $\theta_a(t), \theta_b(t) \to 0$  as  $t \to +\infty$ , it follows that  $\theta_a(t) = \theta_b(t)$  for t sufficiently large. By an application of the uniqueness theorem to (3) we conclude that  $\theta_a(t) = \theta_b(t)$  for all  $t \ge 0$ . Therefore  $a = \theta_a(0) = \theta_b(0) = b$  as required.

**COROLLARY** 1. Let f be analytic in  $\Delta$  with f(0) = 0. Then f is univalent in  $\Delta$  if and only if f is  $\Phi$ -like for some  $\Phi$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose f is univalent in  $\Delta$ . Let p be any function analytic in  $\Delta$  with positive real part. Then the equation

$$\Phi(f(z)) = zf'(z)/p(z)$$

defines a function  $\Phi$ , analytic in  $f(\Delta)$  and satisfying (1). The converse is of course contained in Theorem 1.

**REMARKS.** 9. In the proof of Corollary 1 the following problem has been solved: Given f, univalent in  $\Delta$  with f(0) = 0, find all  $\Phi$  such that f is  $\Phi$ -like in  $\Delta$ . The converse problem is the following: Given  $\Phi$  analytic in a neighborhood of 0 with  $\Phi(0) = 0$  and Re  $\Phi'(0) > 0$ , find all  $\Phi$ -like functions f. We intend to discuss this matter in a second paper.

**THEOREM** 2. Let f be analytic and univalent in  $\Delta$  with f(0) = 0, and let  $f(\Delta)$  be  $\Phi$ -like (Definition 2). Then f is  $\Phi$ -like in  $\Delta$  (Definition 1).

1973]

## LOUIS BRICKMAN

**PROOF.** We define  $w_z(t)$  for  $z \in \Delta$  and  $t \ge 0$  by (6). Next we define

$$\theta_z(t) = f^{-1}(w_z(t)) \qquad (z \in \Delta, t \ge 0).$$

Then  $f'(\theta_z(t))\theta'_z(t) = -\Phi(w_z(t))$  and  $\theta'_z(0) = -\Phi(f(z))/f'(z)$ . Since for z = 0, Re  $\Phi(f(z))/zf'(z) = \operatorname{Re} \Phi'(0) > 0$ , we can complete the proof by showing that Re  $\theta'_z(0)/z \leq 0$  for  $z \in \Delta$ ,  $z \neq 0$ . For this we make some observations about  $\theta_z(t)$ . First it follows from (6) and a standard theorem on differential equations that  $w_z(t)$  is analytic in z for each fixed  $t \geq 0$ . Therefore the same is true of  $\theta_z(t)$ . Second, it is clear that  $|\theta_z(t)| < 1$  for all  $z \in \Delta$  and all  $t \geq 0$ . Third, from (6) we obtain  $w_0(0) = 0$ . Therefore  $w_0(t) = 0$  for all t by the uniqueness theorem. Hence  $\theta_0(t) = 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . We can now apply Schwarz's Lemma to conclude that  $|\theta_z(t)| \leq |z|$  for all  $z \in \Delta$  and all  $t \geq 0$ . Therefore

$$\operatorname{Re}\frac{\theta_{z}'(0)}{z} = \operatorname{Re}\lim_{t \to 0^{+}} \frac{\theta_{z}(t) - \theta_{z}(0)}{tz} = \lim_{t \to 0^{+}} \frac{1}{t} \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{\theta_{z}(t)}{z} - 1\right] \leq 0$$

as required.

REMARKS. 10. In the above proof we have used ideas from Theorem 1 of [1]. (See also the original paper [2].) By exploiting this theorem fully we could have obtained the following stronger but more technical result: Let f be analytic in  $\Delta$  with f(0) = 0 and  $f'(0) \neq 0$ . Let  $\Phi$  be analytic on  $f(\Delta)$  with  $\Phi(0) = 0$  and Re  $\Phi'(0) > 0$ . Suppose that for each r, 0 < r < 1, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that (6) has a solution  $w_z(t)$  defined for  $0 \leq t \leq \delta$  and |z| < r. Suppose further that this solution satisfies the subordination relation  $w_z(t) < f(z)$  in |z| < r for each fixed t. Then f is  $\Phi$ -like in  $\Delta$ .

## References

1. L. Brickman, Subordinate families of analytic functions, Illinois J. Math. 15 (1971), 241-248. MR 43 #2205.

2. M. S. Robertson, Applications of the subordination principle to univalent functions, Pacific J. Math. 11 (1961), 315-324. MR 23 # A1787.

Department of Mathematics, State University of New York at Albany, Albany, New York 12203

558