

ON HOLOMORPHIC FAMILIES OF POINTED RIEMANN SURFACES

BY CLIFFORD J. EARLE¹

Communicated by F. W. Gehring, June 26, 1972

According to a theorem of A. Grothendieck [4] the Teichmüller space of a closed Riemann surface of genus $p \geq 2$ is the universal parameter space for holomorphic families of marked Riemann surfaces of genus p . In this note we offer a corresponding description for every finite-dimensional Teichmüller space $T(p, n)$ and discuss the universal families $\pi: V(p, n) \rightarrow T(p, n)$. Detailed proofs will be given elsewhere.

1. **The space $T(p, n)$.** Let X be the smooth (C^∞) oriented closed surface of genus $p \geq 0$, and let x_1, x_2, \dots be a sequence of distinct points on X . Set $X_0 = X$, $X_n = X \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, $n \geq 1$. Let $\text{Diff}^+ X$ be the group of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of X , with the C^∞ topology. We define the subgroups

$$\text{Diff}^+(X, n) = \{f \in \text{Diff}^+ X; f(X_n) = X_n\},$$

$$G_n = \text{the path component of the identity in } \text{Diff}^+(X, n).$$

Next we form the space M of smooth conformal structures (= complex structures) on X , again with C^∞ topology. $\text{Diff}^+ X$ acts on M from the right by pullback. If the inequality

$$(1) \quad 2p - 2 + n > 0$$

holds, then the group G_n acts freely, continuously, and properly (see [3]) with local sections, and we have a principal G_n -fibre bundle. The base space M/G_n of this bundle is, by definition, the Teichmüller space $T(p, n)$. It is well known that $T(p, n)$ has a natural complex structure and can be imbedded in \mathbf{C}^d as a bounded open contractible domain of holomorphy [2], $d = 3p - 3 + n$.

2. **n -pointed families.** Suppose the integers $p, n \geq 0$ satisfy (1). An n -pointed family (of closed Riemann surfaces of genus p) consists of a pair of complex manifolds V and B , a holomorphic map $\pi: V \rightarrow B$, and n holomorphic sections $s_j: B \rightarrow V$ such that

- (i) π is a proper submersion,

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 32G15, 14H15.

¹The author is grateful to the Institut Mittag-Leffler for financial support while this research was done.

(ii) $\pi^{-1}(t)$ is diffeomorphic to the closed surface X of genus p , for all t in B ,

(iii) the sections s_1, \dots, s_n are disjoint (i.e., $s_j(t) \neq s_k(t)$ for all t in B if $j \neq k$).

Given the n -pointed family $\pi: V \rightarrow B$, set

$$V' = V \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^n \text{range } s_j.$$

The restriction of π maps V' onto B , and $\pi: V' \rightarrow B$ is a smooth fibre bundle with fibre X_n and structure group $\text{Diff}^+(X, n)$. If the structure group of that bundle is reduced to the subgroup G_n , we say that the family $\pi: V \rightarrow B$ is *marked*. In other words, an n -pointed family is marked by choosing a homotopy basis on each ‘‘punctured fibre’’ $\pi^{-1}(t) \cap V'$ in a manner that depends continuously on t .

A map of marked (n -pointed) families is by definition a pair of holomorphic maps $f: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ and $g: B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ such that $f(V'_1) = V'_2$ and (f', g) is a map of G_n -bundles, where $f' = f|_{V'_1}$.

THEOREM 1. *There is a marked n -pointed family $\pi: V(p, n) \rightarrow T(p, n)$ such that, for every marked n -pointed family $\pi_1: V_1 \rightarrow B_1$, there is a unique map of marked families*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & V(p, n) \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow \\ B_1 & \xrightarrow{g} & T(p, n). \end{array}$$

Of course the universal property described in Theorem 1 uniquely determines both $V(p, n)$ and $T(p, n)$ as complex manifolds. For $n = 0$, Theorem 1 reduces to Grothendieck’s theorem [4]. The general case is proved by the same method. Topologically, $\pi: V(p, n) \rightarrow T(p, n)$ is the G_n -bundle with fibre X associated to the principal G_n -bundle $M \rightarrow T(p, n) = M/G_n$. The cross-sections of π are determined by the points x_1, \dots, x_n on X (which are fixed by G_n), and $\pi: V(p, n)' \rightarrow T(p, n)$ is the associated bundle with fibre X_n . The ‘‘punctured’’ fibre space $V(p, n)'$ is more familiar, and perhaps more natural, than $V(p, n)$. Bers has shown [1] that $T(p, n + 1)$ can be interpreted in a natural way as the holomorphic universal covering space of $V(p, n)'$.

3. The modular group. Since the group $\text{Diff}^+(X, n)$ acts on M , and G_n is normal in $\text{Diff}^+(X, n)$ the quotient group $\Gamma(p, n)$ acts on $T(p, n)$. $\Gamma(p, n)$ is called the (Teichmüller) modular group. This group does not always act effectively on $T(p, n)$; however, it acts also on the fibre space $V(p, n)$ and there it acts very effectively.

THEOREM 2. $\Gamma(p, n)$ acts on $V(p, n)$ and $T(p, n)$ as a group of holomorphic

automorphisms satisfying

$$\pi(v \cdot \gamma) = \pi(v) \cdot \gamma \quad \text{for all } v \in V(p, n), \gamma \in \Gamma(p, n).$$

Further, if $v \cdot \gamma = v$ for all v in some fixed fibre $\pi^{-1}(t_0)$, then $\gamma = \text{id}$ in $\Gamma(p, n)$.

EXAMPLE. The modular group $\Gamma(2, 0)$ has in its center one nontrivial element γ , of order two. γ fixes every point of $T(2, 0)$ and therefore maps each fibre $\pi^{-1}(t)$ of $V(2, 0)$ onto itself. Each fibre is a closed Riemann surface of genus two, hence hyperelliptic, and γ on each fibre is the hyperelliptic involution. Let $\Gamma_0 = \{\gamma, \text{id}\}$ be the center of $\Gamma(2, 0)$. Then $T(2, 0)/\Gamma_0 = T(2, 0) \cong T(0, 6)$, and $V(2, 0)/\Gamma_0 \cong V(0, 6)$. The six cross-sections of $\pi: V(0, 6) \rightarrow T(0, 6)$ map $T(0, 6)$ onto the six sheets of the branch locus of the map from $V(2, 0)$ onto $V(0, 6)$. The modular groups $\Gamma(1, 1)$ and $\Gamma(1, 2)$ also have nontrivial centers which act trivially on $T(1, 1)$ and $T(1, 2)$, but which act on $V(1, 1)$ and $V(1, 2)$ by an involution on each fibre.

4. **Sections of $\pi: V(p, n) \rightarrow T(p, n)$.** John Hubbard has proved [5] that the map $\pi: V(p, 0) \rightarrow T(p, 0)$ has no holomorphic sections if $p \geq 3$ and exactly six sections if $p = 2$. For $n \geq 1$, the map

$$\pi: V(p, n) \rightarrow T(p, n)$$

has n holomorphic sections given, and it makes sense to ask whether π has a holomorphic section disjoint from the given ones (i.e., taking its values in $V(p, n)$). We conjecture that no such sections exist unless $p = 1$ and $n = 1$ or 2 . For the case $p = n = 1$, we can prove that only the obvious sections exist. We formulate that fact as

THEOREM 3. *Let $U = \{z \in \mathbf{C}; \text{Im}(z) > 0\}$ be the upper halfplane. Suppose $f: U \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a holomorphic function such that*

$$f(z) \neq m + nz \quad \text{for all } z \in U, \text{ all } m, n \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Then $f(z) = a + bz$, where a and b are real and not both integers.

Our proof of Theorem 3 follows the method of Hubbard in [5]. It would be interesting to have a direct proof.

ADDED IN PROOF. After submitting this paper, the author learned that M. Engber proved a stronger form of Theorem 1 independently in his 1972 Columbia thesis.

REFERENCES

1. L. Bers, *Fibre spaces over Teichmüller spaces* (to appear).
2. ———, *Uniformization, moduli, and Kleinian groups*, Bull. London Math. Soc. (1972).
3. C. J. Earle and J. Eells, *A fibre bundle description of Teichmüller theory*, J. Differential Geometry 3 (1969), 19–43. MR 43 #2737a.

4. A. Grothendieck, *Techniques de construction en géométrie analytique*, Séminaire H. Cartan 1960/61, Exposés 7, 17, École Normale Supérieure, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1962. MR **26** #3562.

5. J. Hubbard, *Sur la non-existence de sections analytiques à la courbe universelle de Teichmüller*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér A-B **274** (1972), A 978–A 979.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA, NEW YORK 14850

MITTAG-LEFFLER INSTITUTE, DJURSHOLM, SWEDEN