

## A UNIFORM GENERALIZED SCHOENFLIES THEOREM

BY PERRIN WRIGHT<sup>1</sup>

Communicated by O. G. Harrold, February 13, 1968

The generalized Schoenflies theorem of M. Brown [2], [3] can be restated in the following way: If  $S^{n-1}$  is the equator of  $S^n$ , then any locally flat embedding  $f: S^{n-1} \rightarrow S^n$  can be extended to a homeomorphism  $F: S^n \rightarrow S^n$ .

The purpose of this paper is to show that, if  $n \geq 5$ , the extension  $F$  can be constructed in a controlled manner; in particular, if  $f: S^{n-1} \rightarrow S^n$  is close to the inclusion embedding, then  $F: S^n \rightarrow S^n$  can be chosen to be close to the identity homeomorphism. Consequently if,  $f, g: S^{n-1} \rightarrow S^n$  are locally flat embeddings,  $n \geq 5$ , and  $f$  is close to  $g$ , then there is a homeomorphism  $H: S^n \rightarrow S^n$  which is close to the identity such that  $Hf = g$ .

Let  $S^{n-1}$  denote the unit sphere in  $E^n$ ,  $B^n$  the unit ball, and  $O$  the origin. If  $x, y$  belong to  $E^n - O$ , let  $\theta(x, y)$  denote the angle in radians between the line segments  $Ox$  and  $Oy$ , measured such that  $0 \leq \theta(x, y) \leq \pi$ . The distance between  $x$  and  $y$  under the Euclidean metric will be denoted by  $\text{dist}(x, y)$ . If  $A$  is a subset of  $E^n - O$ , the angular diameter of  $A$ , written  $\theta \text{ diam } A$ , is defined to be  $\sup_{x, y \in A} \theta(x, y)$ . This is significant whenever  $A$  lies in a half-space.

Now suppose  $S$  is a locally flatly embedded  $(n-1)$ -sphere in  $E^n$  which approximates the standard sphere  $S^{n-1}$ . Suppose  $\phi: S^{n-1} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Cl}(\text{Ext } S)$  is a collar on  $S$  in  $\text{Cl}(\text{Ext } S)$ . If the collar is small, then the  $\theta$ -diameter of each fiber  $\phi(x \times [0, 1])$  is also small. The object of Lemma 2 is to push the collar outward, leaving  $S$  fixed, so that its two boundary components are separated by a round sphere with center at  $O$ , and so that the  $\theta$ -diameter of each fiber remains small. The precise statement is as follows.

LEMMA 2. *If  $f: S^{n-1} \rightarrow E^n$ ,  $n \geq 5$ , is a locally flat embedding such that for all  $x \in S^{n-1}$ ,  $\theta(x, f(x)) < \epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon < \pi/7$ , then there is an embedding  $F: S^{n-1} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Cl}(\text{Ext } f(S^{n-1}))$  such that:*

- (1)  $F(x, 0) = f(x)$ ,
- (2)  $F(S^{n-1} \times 0)$  and  $F(S^{n-1} \times 1)$  are separated by some round sphere with center at  $O$ ,
- (3) For all  $x \in S^{n-1}$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\theta(x, F(x, t)) < 13n\epsilon/2 + 15\epsilon$ .

---

<sup>1</sup> Work on this paper was supported by the National Science Foundation under NSF G5458.

The proof of Lemma 2 requires four auxiliary lemmas. We begin with a collar  $\phi$  on  $S=f(S^{n-1})$ , and let  $U=\phi(S^{n-1}\times(0, 1))$ . Then  $U$  is an open subset of  $\text{Ext } S$ . We further assume  $\theta(x, \phi(x, t)) < \epsilon$  for all  $x$  and  $t$ . Lemma A states that any complex in  $\text{Ext } S$  can be pulled into  $U$  (in the sense of [1]) by a homotopy in  $\text{Ext } S$  whose orbits have  $\theta$ -diameter at most  $9\epsilon$ . Lemma B states that any complex in  $\text{Ext } S$  can be disentangled from  $S$ , i.e., pulled into the exterior of some round sphere  $\Sigma$  outside  $S$ , by a homotopy in  $\text{Ext } S$  whose orbits have  $\theta$ -diameter less than  $4\epsilon$ . The condition that  $\theta(x, f(x)) < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in S^{n-1}$  insures that the "folds" in  $S$  are small, and hence any point of  $\text{Ext } S$  may be moved into  $U$  or outside  $\Sigma$  along a path of small  $\theta$ -diameter. The condition  $\epsilon < \pi/7$  is a purely artificial one which makes the proofs work.

Lemmas 1A and 1B are radial engulfing lemmas. The engulfings proceed along the orbits of the homotopies guaranteed by Lemmas A and B. The proofs of these lemmas are almost identical to the proof of Engulfing Theorem A of [1], and their functions are comparable to those of Lemmas 1 and 2 of [5].

Finally, the proof of Lemma 2 is accomplished in the manner of Lemma 9.1 of [6].

If  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are disjoint locally flat  $(n-1)$ -spheres in  $E^n$ ,  $S_1 \subset \text{Int } S_2$ , and if there is a stable homeomorphism  $h: E^n \rightarrow E^n$  such that  $h(S_1) = S_2$ , then  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  cobound an annulus (Theorem 10.3 of [4]). We next strengthen a special case of this theorem.

Let  $\bar{S}$  be a sphere concentric with  $S^{n-1}$ . Let  $\bar{x}$  denote the point of  $\bar{S}$  which is coradial with  $x \in S^{n-1}$ . Introduce the following notation: if  $y \in E^n - O$  and  $L$  is a real number such that  $\|y\| + L > 0$ , then  $y+L$  denotes the unique point of  $E^n$  which is coradial with  $y$  and has norm  $\|y\| + L$ .

If  $f: S^{n-1} \rightarrow E^n$  and  $\bar{f}: \bar{S} \rightarrow E^n$  are embeddings, we say that  $f$  and  $\bar{f}$  are parallel if there is a real number  $L$  such that for all  $x \in S^{n-1}$ ,  $f(x) = \bar{f}(\bar{x}) + L$ .

Clearly any two disjoint parallel spheres are stably equivalent, hence cobound an annulus. Lemma 3 states that this annulus can be coordinatized so that the  $\theta$ -diameters of the fibers are directly proportional to the  $\theta$ -deviation of  $f$  itself.

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $\bar{S}$  be a sphere concentric with  $S^{n-1}$ , of radius less than 1. Let  $A$  be the annulus between  $\bar{S}$  and  $S^{n-1}$ . Let  $0 < \epsilon < \pi/7$ , and let  $f: S^{n-1} \rightarrow E^n$ ,  $n \geq 5$ , be a locally flat embedding such that  $\theta(x, f(x)) < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in S^{n-1}$ . Suppose  $\bar{f}: \bar{S} \rightarrow \text{Int } f(S^{n-1})$  is an embedding which is parallel to  $f$ . Then there is an embedding  $F: A \rightarrow E^n$  such that:*

- (1)  $F|_{S^{n-1}} = f,$
- (2)  $F|\bar{S} = \bar{f},$
- (3)  $\theta(y, F(y)) < (39/2)n\epsilon + 45\epsilon,$  for all  $y \in A.$

To prove Lemma 3, apply Lemma 2 to obtain an annulus in  $Cl(\text{Ext } f(S^{n-1}))$  which satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 2. Call this annulus  $R_1$ , and denote the annulus between  $f(S^{n-1})$  and  $\bar{f}(\bar{S})$  by  $R_2$ . Using the fact that  $\text{Int } R_1$  contains a round sphere with center at  $O$ , push  $R_1$  onto  $R_1 \cup R_2$  by a radial homeomorphism of  $E^n$ . This does not alter the  $\theta$ -diameters of the fibers of  $R_1$ . Next, map  $R_1 \cup R_2$  homeomorphically onto  $R_2$  by utilizing the annular structure on  $R_1$ . This at worst triples the  $\theta$ -diameters of fibers. The result of these maps gives an annular structure on  $R_2$  satisfying Lemma 3.

**The main theorems.**

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $n \geq 5$ , and  $f: S^{n-1} \rightarrow E^n$  is a locally flat embedding such that  $\theta(f(x), x) < \epsilon$  and  $\text{dist}(f(x), x) < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in S^{n-1}$ , then  $f$  can be extended to an embedding  $F: B^n \rightarrow E^n$  such that  $\text{dist}(F(x), x) < 39n\epsilon/2 + 48\epsilon.$*

**COROLLARY 1.** *For each  $\eta > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that each locally flat  $\delta$ -embedding of  $S^{n-1}$  into  $E^n$ ,  $n \geq 5$ , can be extended to an  $\eta$ -embedding of  $B^n$  into  $E^n.$*

The proof of Theorem 1 is outlined as follows. Partition  $B^n$  into annuli  $A_i$  of thickness  $2\epsilon$  together with a small ball  $B_*$  in the center. Partition  $Cl(\text{Int } f(S^{n-1}))$  into annular regions  $R_i$ , together with a small cell  $C$  about the origin, in such a way that each boundary sphere of each  $R_i$  is parallel to  $f(S^{n-1})$  and the parallel distance between any two consecutive spheres (i.e., the constant  $L$  of the definition of parallel embeddings) is  $2\epsilon$ . Obtain a 1-1 correspondence between the  $A_i$  and the  $R_i$  by omitting the innermost  $A_i$  or  $R_i$ , if necessary. Use Lemma 3 to map the outermost annulus  $A_0$  homeomorphically onto the outermost region  $R_0$ . (We assume  $\epsilon < \pi/7$ , for if not, Theorem 1 is certainly true.) Then it is possible to map each  $A_i$  onto the corresponding  $R_i$  by copying the map  $f|_{A_0}$ . This procedure is well defined on  $A_i \cap A_{i+1}$ , because of the parallel condition. Finally, map  $B_*$  homeomorphically onto  $C$  in any fashion, extending the map  $F|_{\dot{B}_*}$ .

For points  $y \in A_i$ ,  $|\|y\| - \|F(y)\|| < 3\epsilon$  and  $\theta(y, F(y)) < (39/2)n\epsilon + 45\epsilon$ . Since  $\|y\| \leq 1$ ,  $\text{dist}(y, F(y)) < (39/2)n\epsilon + 48\epsilon$ . For points  $y \in B_*$  no control is necessary because  $B_* \cup C$  has diameter less than  $7\epsilon$ .

Now consider  $S^{n-1}$  to be the equator of  $S^n$ . Theorems 2 and 3 follow from Corollary 1.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $n \geq 5$ ,  $\eta > 0$ . There is a  $\delta > 0$  such that any locally flat  $\delta$ -embedding  $f: S^{n-1} \rightarrow S^n$  can be extended to a  $\eta$ -homeomorphism  $F: S^n \rightarrow S^n$ .*

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $n \geq 5$ ,  $\eta \geq 0$ . Let  $g: S^{n-1} \rightarrow S^n$  be any locally flat embedding. There exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $f: S^{n-1} \rightarrow S^n$  is any locally flat embedding satisfying  $\text{dist}(f(x), g(x)) < \delta$ , then there is an  $\eta$ -homeomorphism  $H: S^n \rightarrow S^n$  such that  $Hf = g$ .*

These results, together with those of Connell [5] and Bing [1], can be used to show that the problem of approximating homeomorphisms of  $S^n$ ,  $n \geq 5$ , by p.w.l. ones is equivalent to approximating locally flat embeddings of  $(n-1)$ -spheres by p.w.l. ones.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. H. Bing, *Radial engulfing*, Notes of address given at Michigan State University Conference on Topology, 1967.
2. M. Brown, *Locally flat embeddings of topological manifolds*, Ann. of Math. **75** (1962), 331-341.
3. ———, *A proof of the generalized Schoenflies theorem*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **66** (1960), 74-76.
4. M. Brown and H. Gluck, *Stable structures on manifolds. I: Homeomorphisms of  $S^n$* , Ann. of Math. **79**(1964), 1-17.
5. E. H. Connell, *Approximating stable homeomorphisms by piecewise linear ones*, Ann. of Math. **78**(1963), 326-338.
6. John Stallings, *On topologically unknotted spheres*, Ann. of Math. **77**(1963), 490-503.

FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY