

## AN EXAMPLE IN THE CALCULUS OF FOURIER TRANSFORMS

BY ROBERT KAUFMAN

Communicated by R. C. Buck, December 21, 1966

0. The functions which operate on Fourier or Fourier-Stieltjes transforms have been investigated by Helson, Kahane, Katznelson, and Rudin, especially in [1]. In this note we give an example of a positive measure on the Cantor group  $D_2$ , whose Fourier-Stieltjes transform has range in  $[0, 1]$ , and on which the continuous functions operating must have a high degree of analyticity. Our method of expanding this function is based on Bernstein polynomials and is quite different from that of [1].

1. Let  $D_2$  be the complete direct sum  $Z_2 \oplus Z_2 \oplus Z_2 \oplus \cdots$ ,  $e_n$  the unit mass at 0 in the  $n$ th factor,  $m_n$  the uniform  $(1/2, 1/2)$  mass in the same group. For a dense sequence  $\{a_n\} \subseteq [0, 1]$  we form the infinite product measure

$$\mu = \prod_1^\infty \{a_n e_n + (1 - a_n) m_n\}.$$

Denote by  $W$  the set of complex numbers  $\{|z| < 1\} - \{-1 < z \leq 0\}$ .

**THEOREM.** *If  $f$  is continuous in  $[0, 1]$  and  $f \circ \rho$  is a Fourier-Stieltjes transform on  $\hat{D}_2$ , then  $f$  can be extended to a function bounded and analytic in  $W$ .*

The proof is based on certain measures  $\sigma$  on the  $N$ -fold sum  $Z_2 \oplus Z_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_2$ , in which each element is an  $N$ -tuple  $(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_N)$  ( $x_i = 0, 1, 1 \leq i \leq N$ ). Say that  $\sigma$  is *special* if it is invariant with respect to permutations of the coordinates  $x_1, \cdots, x_N$ . A special measure is a linear combination of the measures  $\sigma_j, 0 \leq j \leq N$ , described as follows:  $\sigma_j$  assigns mass 1 to every element  $x$  for which  $\sum_{i=1}^N x_i = j$ .

For any special measure  $\sigma$  there are defined numbers  $b_0, \cdots, b_N$ ;  $b_k$  is the value of  $\hat{\sigma}$  on the character

$$x \rightarrow (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^N x_i}.$$

**LEMMA.** *For a special measure  $\sigma$ , set*

$$B(x) = \sum_0^N b_k \binom{N}{k} x^k (1-x)^{N-k}.$$

Then

$$B(x) = \sum_0^N c_k(1 - 2x)^k, \text{ with } \sum_0^N |c_k| \leq \|\sigma\|.$$

PROOF. Because the measures  $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_N$  are mutually singular it is enough to verify the estimate for each  $\sigma_j$ . The number  $b_k$  is the coefficient of  $s^j$  in  $(1 - s)^k(1 + s)^{N-k}$ ; we write this as  $(j!)^{-1} \partial^j / \partial s^j [(1 - s)^k(1 + s)^{N-k}]$  (the derivative is ultimately evaluated at  $s = 0$ ). Then

$$\begin{aligned} B(x) &= (j!)^{-1} \frac{\partial^j}{\partial s^j} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^N \binom{N}{k} x^k (1 - x)^{N-k} (1 - s)^k (1 + s)^{N-k} \right] \\ &= (j!)^{-1} \frac{\partial^j}{\partial s^j} (1 + s - 2xs)^N \\ &= \binom{N}{j} (1 - 2x)^j, \quad \text{at } s = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\|\sigma_j\| = \binom{N}{j},$$

the lemma is proved.

To prove the theorem, we provide  $D_2$  with coordinates  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots)$  and let  $\xi_n$  be the character  $x \rightarrow (-1)^{x_n}$  ( $1 \leq n < \infty$ ). Now if  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_N$  and  $\epsilon_i = 0, 1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq N$ )

$$\hat{\mu}(\epsilon_1 \xi_{n_1} + \dots + \epsilon_N \xi_{n_N}) = \prod_{i=1}^N \{1 + \epsilon_i (a_{n_i} - 1)\},$$

and

$$f \circ \hat{\mu}(\epsilon_1 \xi_{n_1} + \dots + \epsilon_N \xi_{n_N}) = f(\prod).$$

Since  $\{a_n\}$  is dense in  $[0, 1]$ , we see by choosing the indices  $n_1, \dots, n_N$  carefully that, for every  $N$  and every  $b \in [0, 1]$ , the function  $g_b$  defined on the dual of  $Z_2^N$  by the formula

$$g_b(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N) = f\left(b \sum_1^N \epsilon_i\right)$$

is the transform of a *special* measure on  $Z_2^N$  with norm at most  $\|f(\mu)\|$ .

For each  $r > 0$  define  $\phi_r(u) = f(e^{-ru})$ ,  $0 \leq u \leq 1$ . Then  $\phi_r$  is the uniform limit of the Bernstein polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} B_N(u) &= \sum_0^N \binom{N}{k} \phi_r(k/N) u^k (1-u)^{N-k}, \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad (0 \leq u \leq 1, \quad N = 1, 2, 3, \dots) \\ &= \sum_0^N \binom{N}{k} f(e^{-rk/N}) u^k (1-u)^{N-k}, \end{aligned}$$

Widder [2].

By the lemma, and the subsequent remarks,

$$B_N(u) = \sum_0^N c_{k,N} (1-2u)^k \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_0^N |c_{k,N}| \leq \|f(\mu)\|.$$

Clearly the  $B_N$ 's form a normal family in  $\{|u-1/2| < 1/2\}$ , so that  $\phi_r$  can be extended to a function analytic in this open set and bounded by  $\|f(\mu)\|$ . But this just means that  $f$  can be extended to an analytic function of (the principal branch) of  $\log$ , in the set where  $\log$  has real part between  $e^{-r}$  and 1. As  $r \rightarrow \infty$  the theorem is proved.

#### REFERENCES

1. H. Helson, J.-P. Kahane, Y. Katznelson, and W. Rudin, *The functions which operate on Fourier transforms*, Acta Math. 102(1959), 135-157.
2. D. V. Widder, *The Laplace transform*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1942.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS